# SMALL EMBEDDINGS OF PARTIAL DIRECTED CYCLE SYSTEMS 

C.C. Lindner and C.A. Rodger

In this paper, a generalisation of the Andersen, Hilton, Rodger Theorem for embedding partial idempotent latin squares is proved. This result is then used to prove that a partial directed $m$-cycle system of order $n$ can be embedded in a directed $m$-cycle system of order $(2 n+1) m$ if $m$ is odd, of order $2 n m$ if $m \geqslant 8$ is even, $12 n+1$ if $m=6$ and approximately $2 n+\sqrt{2 n}$ if $m=4$.

## 1. Introduction

By a cyclic triangle of the complete directed graph $D_{n}$ (based on the set $S$ ) is meant a collection of three directed edges of the form $\{(a, b),(b, c),(c, a)\}$, where $a, b$, and $c$ are three distinct elements of $S$. We will denote the cyclic triangle $\{(a, b),(b, c),(c, a)\}$ by $(a, b, c),(b, c, a)$ or (c,a,b). A Mendelsohn triple system (MTS) is a pair $(S, T)$, where $T$ is a collection of edge disjoint cyclic triangles which partition the edge set of $D_{n}$ with vertex set $S$. The number $|S|=n$ is called the order (or size) of the Mendelsohn triple system ( $S, T$ ) and it is (by now) well-known [9] that the spectrum of MTS (that is, the set of all $n$ such that a $M T S$ of order $n$ exists) is precisely the set of all $n \equiv 0$ or $1(\bmod 3)$, except $n=6$ for which no such system exists. It is trivial to see that if $(S, T)$ is a. $M T S$ of order $n$ then $|T|=n(n-1) / 3$.

A partial MTS of order $n$ is a pair $(S, P)$, where $P$ is a collection of edge disjoint cyclic triangles of the edge set of $D_{n}$ with vertex set $S$. The difference between a (complete) MTS and a partial MTS is that the edge disjoint cyclic triangles belonging to a partial MTS do not necessarily include all of the edges of $D_{n}$.

Example 1.1. $(S, P)$ is a partial MTS of order 5 , where $S=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and $P=$ $\{(1,2,3),(3,2,4),(3,1,5)\}$.

Now, given a partial MTS $(S, P)$ the obvious question of completion arises. That is, can we decompose $E\left(D_{n}\right) \backslash E(P)$ into cyclic triangles? The above example shows that this cannot be done in general since $|S|=5$ is not the order of a MTS. Since a partial MTS cannot necessarily be completed to a MTS, the problem of whether or not a partial MTS can always be embedded in a (complete) MTS is immediate. The partial

[^0]MTS $(S, P)$ is said to be embedded in the MTS $\left(S^{*}, T\right)$ provided $S \subseteq S^{*}$ and $P \subseteq T$. Naturally, we would like $\left|S^{*}\right|$ to be as small as possible.

In 1971 Lindner [4] showed that a partial MTS of order $n$ can be embedded in a MTS of order $\leqslant 2^{2 n}$. In 1976 Lindner and Cruse [5] reduced the size of the containing MTS to $12 n$. This was subsequently reduced to $6 n+3$ [1] in 1982 by Andersen, Hilton and Rodger and finally in 1986 to the smallest admissible order $\geqslant 4 n$ by Rodger [ 10$]$. Although the best possible embedding is the smallest admissible order $\geqslant 2 n+1$, the approximately $4 n$ embedding by C.A. Rodger is the best to date. This is where the partial MTS embedding problem stands at the moment. (We remark that if the partial system is complete, then the best possible embedding can be obtained. In particular D.G. Hoffman and C.C. Lindner proved in 1981 that a MTS of order $n$ can be embedded in a MTS of order $t$ for every admissible $t \geqslant 2 n+1$ [3].)

A directed $m$-cycle of $D_{n}$ (based on $S$ ) is a collection of $m$ directed edges of the form $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right),\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right),\left(x_{m}, x_{1}\right)\right\}$ where $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are $m$ distinct elements of $S$. We will denote this directed $m$-cycle by any cyclic shift of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$. A directed m-cycle system ( $m D C S$ ) is a pair $(S, C)$, where $C$ is a collection of edge disjoint directed $m$-cycles which partition the edge set of $D_{n}$ with vertex set $S$. As with $M T S \mathrm{~s}$, the number $|S|=n$ is called the order (or size) of the $m D C S(S, C)$ and of course $|C|=n(n-1) / m$.

Now a Mendelsohn triple system is a directed 3 -cycle system. Since there is nothing particularly sacred about the number 3 , we can ask the same questions for directed $m$ cycle systems that are asked for directed 3 -cycle systems. In particular, for a given $m \geqslant 4$, we can ask for the spectrum (that is, the set of all $n$ such that an $m D C S$ of order $n$ exists) of $m D C S s$ as well as for an embedding (as small as possible) of partial $m D C S$ s. An obvious definition here: a partial $m D C S$ is a pair $(S, P)$, where $P$ is an edge disjoint collection of directed $m$-cycles.

The obvious necessary conditions for the existence of a $m D C S$ of order $n$ are

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (1) } n \geqslant m, \text { if } n>1, \text { and } \\
(2) \quad n(n-1) / m \text { is an integer. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

For a given $m$ Wilson [13] has shown that these necessary conditions are sufficient for sufficiently large $n$. However the general spectrum problem is far far from being settled [6]. Wilson has also shown that a partial $m D C S$ can be embedded in a $m D C S$ [13]. The containing system however is exponentially large with respect to the partial system. Apart from Mendelsohn triple systems, nothing has been done on the problem of obtaining a "small" embedding for partial $m D C S$ s. (The analogous problem for undirected cycle systems is addressed by the authors in [7].) The purpose of this paper is to rectify this situation by showing that a partial $m D C S$ of order $n$ can always be
embedded in a $m D C S$ of order $(2 n+1) m$ when $m$ is odd, and order $n m$ when $m$ is even, $m \neq 4$ or 6 . For $m=4$ or 6 the bounds are $4 n+1$ and $6 n+1$ respectively. Additionally, we give an ad hoc argument to reduce the size of the embedding for $m=4$ to about $2 n+\sqrt{2 n}$. Since the techniques of proof are completely different for $m$ odd, $m$ even, and $m=4$, we will handle each case in a separate section.

Finally, the principal ingredient in the embedding for odd $m$ is a generalisation of a theorem due to Andersen, Hilton and Rodger [1] from embedding partial idempotent quasigroups to embedding partial idempotent groupoids. So, we will begin our discussion with this generalisation.

## 2. A generalisation of the Andersen, Hilton, Rodger Theorem

In [1] Andersen, Hilton and Rodger proved the following result.
Theorem 2.1. A partial idempotent quasigroup of order $r$ (based on $\{1,2,3, \ldots, r\}$ ) can be embedded in an idempotent quasigroup of order $t$, for all $t \geqslant 2 r+1$.

To obtain a small embedding for partial directed $m$-cycle systems, we need to generalise this result. But first we need some definitions.

An $r \times s$ (partial) groupoid based on $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ is an $r \times s$ array $R$ in which each cell is occupied by (at most) one of the symbols from the set $\{1, \ldots, t\}$. If the symbols in each row of $R$ are all different then we say that $R$ is row latin, and if the symbols in each column of $R$ are all different then we say that $R$ is column latin. If $r=s$ then we say that $R$ has order $r$. If $R$ is a (partial) groupoid that is both row latin and column latin then we have the usual definition of a (partial) $r \times s$ latin rectangle. Let $R(i)$ denote the number of times the symbol $i \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ occurs in $R$. A hole of order $r$ is a subset $H$ of the set of cells $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant r\}$. A patterned hole of order $r$ based on $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ is a triple $(H, R, C)$ where $R$ and $C$ are partial groupoids of order $r$ based on $\{1, \ldots, t\}$, and $H$ is a hole of order $r$, that satisfy:
(a) cell $(i, j)$ of $R$ contains a symbol if and only if cell $(i, j)$ of $C$ contains a symbol,
(b) $H=\{(i, j) \mid$ cell $(i, j)$ of $R$ contains a symbol $\}$,
(c) $R$ is row latin and $C$ is column latin, and
(d) $R(i)=C(i)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$ (we say that $R$ and $C$ have the same frequency).

In what follows, unless otherwise stated, everything is based on $\{1, \ldots, t\}$. We will denote by $P(H)$ a partial latin rectangle in which the empty cells are precisely the cells belonging to the hole $H$. We will say that the patterned hole $(H, R, C)$ is embedded in the partial latin rectangle $P(H)$ if and only if the groupoid $P(R)$ obtained from $P(H)$ by filling in $H$ with $R$ is row latin, and the groupoid $P(C)$ obtained from $P(H)$ by
filling in $H$ with $C$ is column latin. Finally, we say that the patterned hole ( $H, R, C$ ) of order $r$ is idempotent if both $R$ and $C$ are idempotent (so cell ( $i, i$ ) contains symbol $i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ ).

We shall need the following result of Hall [2].
Theorem 2.2. Every $r \times t$ latin rectangle based on $\{1,2,3, \ldots, t\}(r<t)$ can be embedded in a latin square of order $t$.

We are now ready to state and prove the generalisation of Theorem 2.1.
Theorem 2.3. An idempotent patterned hole $(H, R, C)$ of order $r$ based on $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ can be embedded in a partial idempotent latin square $P(H)$ of order $t$ (based on $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ ) for all $t \geqslant 2 r+1$.

Proof: Clearly we can assume that $r \geqslant 4$. Suppose that a symbol, say symbol $r$, occurs exactly once in $R$. Then either all cells $(u, v), 1 \leqslant u, v \leqslant r-1$, are filled with symbols $1, \ldots, r-1$, or one such cell $(x, y)$ is empty. In the former case, all cells in rows and columns $r$ of $R$ and $C$ are empty except for the diagonal cell, so the result follows by deleting rows and columns $r$ from $R$ and $C$ and then applying Theorem 2.1. In the latter case, place symbol $r$ in cell $(x, y)$ of both $R$ and $C$. Continue this process until in the resulting partial groupoids $R^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ we have $R^{\prime}(i)=C^{\prime}(i) \geqslant 2$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, R^{\prime}$ is row latin, and $C^{\prime}$ is column latin.

If $R^{\prime}(i)=r$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ then clearly the result follows from Theorem 2.1, so we can assume that at least one cell of $R^{\prime}$ (and so also of $C^{\prime}$ ) is empty. Let ( $y, z$ ) be such an empty cell (so $y \neq z$ ).

The proof of the theorem has three main steps (the second of which is quite long!).
As a first step, we embed $\left(H^{\prime}, R^{\prime}, C^{\prime}\right)$ in a partial $(r+1) \times(r+2)$ latin rectangle $L_{r+1}$ with the following properties:

$$
L_{r+1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)(i)=L_{r+1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)(i) \geqslant \begin{cases}2 r+4-t & \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-2  \tag{1}\\ 2 r+3-t & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(2) cells $(r, r+1),(r, r+2),(r+1, r+1)$ and $(r+1, r+2)$ contain symbols, $t, t-1, t-1$ and $t$ respectively, and
(3) $L_{r+1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ and $L_{r+1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ are row and column latin respectively.

To do this when $t \geqslant 2 r+2$, let $L$ be an incomplete $(r+1) \times(r+2)$ latin rectangle on the symbols $\{r+1, \ldots, t\}$ that satisfies (2) and, if $t=2 r+3$, then the symbol missing from row $r+1$ of $L$ occurs in column $r+2$ of $L$. $L$ is easy to construct by first filling rows $r$ and $r+1$ as required and then using Hall's Theorem. Fill each cell $(j, k) \notin H$ of $L_{r+1}$ with the symbol in cell $(j, k)$ of $L$. It is easy to check that $L_{r+1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies (1) and that (3) is satisfied.

If $t=2 r+1$ then let $L$ be a latin square of order $r+2$ on the symbols $\{0, r+1, r+2, \ldots, 2 r+1\}$ ( 0 is a dummy symbol that does not appear in $L_{r+1}$ ) in
which cells $(r, r+1),(r, r+2),(r+1, r+1),(r+1, r+2),(r+2, r+1),(r+2, r+2)$ and ( $r+1, z$ ) contain symbols $2 r+1,2 r, 0,2 r+1,2 r, 0$ and $2 r$ respectively (recall cell ( $y, z$ ) of $C^{\prime}$ is empty), and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ cell ( $i, i$ ) contains symbol 0 . Again $L$ is easy to construct by filling rows $r, r+1$ and $r+2$ as required, and then completing $L$ using Hall's Theorem. Fill each cell $(j, k) \notin H$ of $L_{r+1}$ with the symbol in cell $(j, k)$ of $L$, except that cell $(r+1, r+1)$ is filled with symbol $2 r$ and cell $(r+1, z)$ is filled with a symbol from $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ that does not occur in column $z$ of $C^{\prime}$ (such a symbol exists because cell $(y, z)$ of $C^{\prime}$ is empty). Then each symbol in $\{r+1, \ldots, 2 r-1\}$ occurs in columns $r+1$ and $r+2$ and in row $r+1$, so (1) is satisfied, and clearly (3) is satisfied.

The second step is to embed $L_{r+1}$ in a partial $(t-1) \times t$ latin rectangle $L_{t-1}$ in which cell $(i, i+1)$ for $r+2 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$ contains symbol $i-1$ (eventually row $r+1$ and columns $r+1$ and $r+2$ are deleted, so these cells "become" diagonal idempotent cells), and so that $L_{t-1}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ and $L_{t-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ are row and column latin respectively (see Figure 1). The proof that this can be done follows the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [1], but we present it here since the setting is a little different.


Figure 1. The embedding of $L_{r+1}$ in $L_{t-1}$.
$L_{t-1}$ is obtained inductively by forming $L_{x}$ for $r+1 \leqslant x \leqslant t-1$, where $L_{x}$ is an $x \times(x+1)$ partial latin rectangle, formed by adding a row and a column to $L_{x-1}$ (for $x \geqslant r+2$ ), that satisfies
(4) $\quad L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)(i)=L_{x}\left(C^{\prime}\right)(i) \geqslant \begin{cases}2 x+2-t & \text { for } x+1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-2 \\ 2 x+1-t & \text { otherwise, }\end{cases}$
(5) cell $(x, x+1)$ of $L_{x}$ contains symbol $x-1$, for $x>r+1$, and
(6) $L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ and $L_{x}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ are row and column latin.

Clearly $L_{r+1}$ satisfies these properties, so we now proceed by induction assuming that $L_{x}$ satisfies (4), (5) and (6).

Form two simple bipartite graphs, $B_{r}$ and $B_{c}$ as follows. $B_{r}$ is defined on the vertex sets $\left\{\rho_{1}, \ldots, \rho_{\boldsymbol{x}}, \rho^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}^{\prime}\right\}$ by joining $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ to $\rho_{j}$ if and only if symbol $i$ does not occur in row $j$ of $L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ and joining $\rho^{*}$ to $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ for $x \leqslant i \leqslant t-2 . B_{c}$ is formed on the vertex sets $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{x+1}, c^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ by joining $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ to $c_{j}$ if and only if symbol $i$ does not occur in column $j$ of $L_{x}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and joining $c^{*}$ to $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ for $x \leqslant i \leqslant t-2$. Since, by (6), each row of $L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ contains $x+1$ symbols, $d_{B_{r}}\left(\rho_{j}\right)=t-x-1,1 \leqslant j \leqslant x$. Similarly $d_{B_{c}}\left(c_{j}\right)=t-x$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant x+1$. Also, $d_{B_{r}}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=t-x-1=d_{B_{c}}\left(c^{*}\right)$. From (4), $d_{B_{r}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right) \leqslant x-(2 x+1-t)=t-x-1$ and $d_{B_{c}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant(x+1)-(2 x+1-t)=t-x$ with equality if and only if symbol $i$ satisfies equality in (4).

For each $i$ with $d_{B_{r}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)=t-x-2$ (and so $d_{B_{c}}\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=t-x-1$ ), add a vertex $\sigma_{i}^{*}$ and join it to $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and to $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, thus forming a single bipartite graph $B$.

We now want to select a matching $M$ in $B$ with the following properties:
(7) $M$ contains the edges $\rho^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime}$ and $c^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime \prime}$,
(8) $\rho_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ are incident with an edge in $M, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant x$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant x+1$,
(9) if $L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)(i)$ satisfies equality in (4) then both $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are incident with an edge in $M$, and
(10) if $L_{x}\left(R^{\prime}\right)(i)$ is one less than equality in (4) then either $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ or $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is incident with an edge in $M$ that is not also incident with $\sigma_{i}^{*}$.
If such a matching $M$ is found, then $L_{x+1}$ can be found by filling cell $(j, x+2)$ or ( $x+1, k$ ) with symbol $i$ if $\rho_{j} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ or $c_{k} \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is in $M$ respectively, and fill cell $(x+1, x+2)$ with symbol $x-1$. Then clearly (5) is satisfied. (6) is satisfied since $M$ is a matching and by the definition of edges in $B$. (4) is satisfied because of (9) and (10): symbols satisfying equality in (4) are placed in both the added row and column, while symbols satisfying one less than equality are placed in at least one of the added row and column.

So how do we find $M$ ? Begin by giving $B$ a proper edge-colouring with $t-x$ colours, say $1, \ldots, t-x$. Since $d_{B}\left(c^{*}\right)=t-x-1$, let $t-x$ be the colour occurring on no edge incident with $c^{*}$. Let $S$ be the set of edges coloured $t-x$ that are incident with $c_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant x+1)$ or with $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant t)$. Give $B-S$ a proper edge-colouring with $t-x-1$ colours so that $c^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime \prime}$ is coloured 1 , and replace the edges in $S$, coloured $t-x$, to obtain a proper edge-colouring of $B$ in which
(11) $\rho_{j}(1 \leqslant j \leqslant x)$ and $c_{k}(1 \leqslant k \leqslant x+1)$ are incident with an edge coloured 1 ,
(12) if $L_{x}(R)(i)$ satisfies equality in (4) then $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are incident with edges coloured 1 , and
(13) if $L_{x}(R)(i)$ is one less than equality in (4) then since $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ is incident with edges coloured $1, \ldots, t-x-1, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is incident with edges coloured $1, \ldots, t-$ $x$ and $\sigma_{i}^{*}$ is incident with at most one edge coloured 1 , at least one of $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is incident with an edge coloured 1 that is not incident with $\sigma_{i}^{*}$.

It looks as if we're nearly done, since the edges coloured 1 satisfy (8), (9) and (10), but possibly not (7) yet. We have assumed that $c^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime \prime}$ is coloured 1 , so suppose that $\rho^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime}$ is coloured 2. Let $P$ be the path starting at $c^{*}$ in which edges are alternately coloured 2 and $t-x$ that stops at the first vertex $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*}$ that it reaches, or if it reaches no such vertex then is maximal. Interchange colours along $P$ (so $\sigma_{\alpha}^{*}$, if defined, is now incident with two edges coloured 2). Now let $Q$ be a path or cycle that contains the edge $\rho^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime}$, has edges alternately coloured 1 and 2 , which (in one direction) stops at the first vertex $\sigma_{\beta}^{*}$ it comes across for which $\sigma_{\beta}^{\prime} \sigma_{\beta}^{*}$ is coloured 1 , and otherwise is maximal. Since $c^{*}$ is incident with no edge coloured $2, Q$ does not contain the edge $c^{*} \sigma_{x-1}^{\prime \prime}$, so interchanging colours along $Q$ produces a set of edges coloured 1 that satisfies (7), (8), (9) and (10).

The third and final step is to obtain the required embedding. Delete row $r+1$ and columns $r+1$ and $r+2$ from $L_{t-1}$ to obtain a partial idempotent latin square $T$ of order $t-2$. Notice that
(14) $T\left(C^{\prime}\right)(i)=t-3$ for $t-1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and
(15) symbols $t-1$ and $t$ are both missing from row $r$ of $T\left(R^{\prime}\right)$.

Form a bipartite graph on the vertex sets $\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{t-2}\right\}$ and $\{1, \ldots, t\}$ by joining $c_{j}$ to $i$ if and only if symbol $i$ is missing from column $j$ of $T\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Each vertex $c_{j}$ has degree 2 , vertices $t-1$ and $t$ have degree 1 (by (14)) and the maximum degree is 2 . Give this a proper edge-colouring with two colours, namely $t-1$ and $t$, making sure that the edges incident with vertices $t-1$ and $t$ receive colours $t$ and $t-1$ respectively; it is easy to see that this is possible. Now add two rows to $T$ by filling cell ( $x, y$ ) with symbol $i$ if and only if the edge $\left\{c_{y}, i\right\}$ is coloured $x$. For the resulting partial latin rectangle $T^{\prime}$, by (15) we have that in $T^{\prime}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$, symbol $t-1$ is missing from rows $r$ and $t$, while symbol $t$ is missing from rows $r$ and $t-1$. Therefore, using Hall's Theorem to add two columns to $T^{\prime}\left(R^{\prime}\right)$ produces the required embedding of $(H, R, C)$.

## 3. Embedding directed odd cycle systems

Let $m=2 k+1$ be odd and let $\left(X, C_{1}\right)$ be a partial $m D C S$ of order $n$. Define a pair of partial idempotent groupoids $R$ and $C$ of order $n$ as follows:
(i) cell ( $i, i$ ) is occupied by $i$ for each $i \in X=\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ in each of $R$ and $C$ (and so both are idempotent), and
(ii) if the directed edge ( $a, b$ ) belongs to a directed cycle of $C_{1}$ fill in cell $(a, b)$ of $R$ with $b$ and cell $(a, b)$ of $C$ with $a$.

If $H=\{(i, i) \mid i \in X\} \bigcup\left\{(a, b) \mid(a, b)\right.$ belongs to a cycle of $\left.C_{1}\right\}$, then $(H, R, C)$ is an idempotent patterned hole of order $n$ based on $\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ and so by Theorem 2.3 can be embedded in a partial idempotent quasigroup $(P(H), \circ)$ of order $t$ for every
$t \geqslant 2 n+1$. Let $\left(P(H), \circ\right.$ ) be based on $P=\{1,2,3, \ldots, t\}$ and set $S=P \times Z_{m}$. Define a collection of directed $m$-cycles $C_{2}$ as follows:
(1) For each $a \in P$, let $\left(\{a\} \times Z_{m}, c(a)\right)$ be a $m D C S$ (such a system always exists [12]) and place the directed $m$-cycles of $c(a)$ in $C_{2}$.
(2) For each directed cycle $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in C_{1}$ place the $m$ directed $m$ cycles $\left(\left(x_{1}, i\right),\left(x_{2}, i\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, i\right)\right), i \in Z_{m}$, in $C_{2}$.
(3) Let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{k}, z_{k+1}\right)$ be a sequence such that

$$
\left(\left|z_{2},-z_{1}\right|,\left|z_{3}-z_{2}\right|, \ldots,\left|z_{k+1}-z_{k}\right|\right)=(1,2,3, \ldots, k)
$$

where $|z|=\min \{z,-z\}(\bmod m)$. (For example, define $\left.z_{i}=(-1)^{i}\lfloor i / 2\rfloor.\right)$ Let

$$
\begin{array}{r}
I=\{(0, i, 2 i, 3 i, \ldots,(m-1) i),((m-1) i,(m-2) i,(m-3) i, \ldots, 2 i, i, 0) \\
(\bmod m) \mid i \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}\}
\end{array}
$$

For each cycle $c \in C_{1}$ take a fixed representation ( $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}$ ) of $c$ and define a collection of $2 k m$ directed $m$-cycles as follows: Set $o(c)=$ $\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, a_{1}\right),\left(x_{m}, a_{2}\right),\left(x_{m-1}, a_{3}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{2}, a_{m}\right)\right) \mid\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m}\right) \in I\right.$ and $i$ is ODD $\}$ and $e(c)=\left\{\left(\left(x_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, b_{2}\right),\left(x_{3}, b_{3}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, b_{m}\right)\right)\right.$ $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right) \in I$ and $i$ is EVEN $\}$. Place the $2 k m$ cycles $o(c)+j$ and $e(c)+j\left(j \in Z_{m}\right)$ in $C_{2}$, where $o(c)+j$ and $e(c)+j$ are the directed $m$-cycles obtained from $o(c)$ and $e(c)$ by adding $j(\bmod m)$ to the second coordinates.
(4) If $x \neq y \in P$ and the directed edge ( $x, y$ ) is NOT in a directed cycle of $C_{1}, x \circ y$ is defined in $(P(H), \circ)$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(x, y)=\left(\left(x, z_{1}\right),\left(y, z_{1}\right),\left(x, z_{2}\right),\left(y, z_{3}\right), \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(x, z_{k}\right),\left(x \circ y, z_{k+1}\right),(y, k),\left(x, z_{k-1}\right),\left(y, z_{k-2}\right), \ldots,\left(x, z_{3}\right),\left(y, z_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $k$ is EVEN, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c(x, y)=\left(\left(x, z_{1}\right),\left(y, z_{1}\right),\left(x, z_{2}\right),\left(y, z_{3}\right), \ldots\right. \\
& \left.\left(y, z_{k}\right),\left(x \circ y, z_{k+1}\right),\left(x, z_{k}\right),\left(y, z_{k-1}\right),\left(x, z_{k-2}\right), \ldots,\left(x, z_{3}\right),\left(y, z_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if $k$ is ODD. $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, \ldots, z_{k+1}\right.$ are defined in (3).) Now place the $m$ directed $m$-cycles $c(x, y)+j, j \in Z_{m}$, in $C_{2}$ where $c(x, y)+j$ is the $m$-cycle obtained from $c(x, y)$ by adding $j(\bmod m)$ to the second coordinates.

Claim. ( $S, C_{2}$ ) is a directed $m$-cycle system of order $t m$ containing $m$ disjoint copies of the partial $m D C S\left(X, C_{1}\right)$.

Proof: A counting argument shows that $\left|C_{2}\right|$ contains the correct number of $m$ cycles and so in order to prove that ( $S, C_{2}$ ) is a $m D C S$ it remains to show that each edge in $D_{t m}$ (based on $S$ ) belongs to a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{2}$. So let $((x, i),(y, j))$ be an edge. There are several cases to consider.
(i) $x=y$. Then $((x, i),(y, j)) \in\left(\{x\} \times Z_{m}, c(x)\right)$ and so belongs to a directed $m$-cycle of $c(x)$ and therefore to a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{2}$.
(ii) $x \neq y$ and $i=j$. If $(x, y)$ belongs to a cycle of $C_{1}$, say $\left(x, y, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{m}\right)$, then $\left((x, i),(y, i),\left(x_{3}, i\right),\left(x_{4}, i\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, i\right)\right) \in C_{2}$ (part (2) of the construction). If $(x, y)$ does not belong to a cycle of $C_{1}$ let $z_{1}+j=i$. Then $c(x, y)+j \in C_{2}$ (part (4) of the construction).
(iii) $x \neq y$ and $i \neq j$. One of two things is true: $|i-j|$ is ODD or EVEN.
$|i-j|$ is $O D D$. If $(y, x)$ belongs to a directed $m$-cycle in $C_{1}$, then $c=$ $\left(\ldots,\left(x, a_{r}\right),\left(y, a_{r+1}\right), \ldots\right) \in C_{2}$ where $\left|a_{r}-a_{r+1}\right|=i-j$ (the other cases are similar). Let $a_{r}+d=i$ and $a_{r+1}+d=j$. Then the edge $((x, i),(y, j))$ belongs to the directed $m$-cycle $c+d$, where $c+d$ is obtained from $c$ by adding $d(\bmod m)$ to the second coordinates of $c$. If $(y, x)$ does not belong to a directed $m$-cycle in $C_{1}$ and $|i-j| \neq k+1$ we can assume $z_{r}-z_{r-1}=i-j$. Let $z_{r}+d=j$ and $z_{r-1}+d=i$. Then $((x, i),(y, j))$ belongs to the cycle $c(y, x)+d$. If $(y, x)$ does not belong to a directed $m$-cycle in $C_{1}$ and $|i-j|=k+1$, then $\left|z_{k+1}-z_{k}\right|=k+1=|i-j|$. We can assume $a_{k}+d=i$ and $z_{k+1}+d=j$ (the other cases are similar). In ( $P(C), \circ$ ), $z \circ x=y$ for some $z \in P$. Now $(z, x) \notin H$, since if so $z \circ x=z=y$ implies that $(y, x) \in H$; that is, the directed edge $(y, x)$ belongs to a cycle of $C_{1}$. Hence $((x, i),(y, j))$ belongs to the cycle $c(z, x)+d$ (a type (4) cycle).
$|i=j|$ is EVEN. An argument similar to the odd case handles this case.
Combining all of the above cases shows that $\left(S, C_{2}\right)$ is a $m D C S$. The type (2) directed $m$-cycles show that $m$ disjoint copies of ( $X, C_{1}$ ) are embedded in ( $S, C_{2}$ ). We now have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. If $m$ is ODD, a partial directed $m$-cycle system of order $n$ can be embedded in a directed $m$-cycle system of order $t m$ for every $t \geqslant 2 n+1$.

## 4. Embedding directed even cycle systems

The techniques for embedding partial directed even cycle systems are in stark contrast to the directed odd cycle case.

Let $m=2 k \neq 4$ or 6 be EVEN and let $\left(X, C_{1}\right)$ be a partial $m D C S$ of order $n$. Let $X^{*}$ be a set of size $t \geqslant 2 n$ such that $X \subseteq X^{*}$ and $t$ is even. Further, let
$H=\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{t / 2}\right\}$ be a partition of $X^{*}$ into "holes" of size 2 (2-element subsets) such that the elements of $X$ belong to different holes of $X^{*}$. Let $S=X^{*} \times Z_{k}$ and define a collection of directed $m$-cycles $C_{2}$ as follows:
(1) For each $h_{i} \in H$, let $\left(h_{i} \times Z_{k}, c\left(h_{i}\right)\right)$ be a $m D C S$ (such a system always exists [12]) and place the directed $m$-cycles of $c\left(h_{i}\right)$ in $C_{2}$.
(2) For each cycle $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in C_{1}$ place the $k$ directed m-cycles $\left(\left(x_{1}, i\right),\left(x_{2}, i\right), \ldots,\left(x_{m}, i\right)\right), i \in Z_{k}$, in $C_{2}$.
(3) For each directed $m$-cycle $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in C_{1}$ let $c=\left(\left(x_{1}, 0\right)\right.$, $\left.\left(x_{m}, 1\right),\left(x_{m-1}, 2\right), \ldots,\left(x_{2}, k-1\right)\right)$ and put the $k$ directed $m$-cycles $c+$ $i, i=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, in $C_{2}$ where $c+i$ is obtained from $c$ by adding $i$ $(\bmod k)$ to the second coordinates.
(4) If $x$ and $y$ belong to different holes and $(x, y)$ does not belong to a cycle of $C_{1}$, place the directed $m$-cycle $((x, 0),(y, 0),(x, 1),(y, 1), \ldots$, $(x, k-1),(y, k-1))$ in $C_{2}$.
(5) If $x$ and $y$ belong to different holes place the $k-2$ directed $m$-cycles $c(x, y)+i, i=0,1,2, \ldots, k-3$, in $C_{2}$, where $c(x, y)=((x, 0),(y, 2)$, $(x, 1),(y, 3),(x, 2),(y, 4), \ldots,(x, k-1),(y, 1))$ and $c(x, y)+i$ is obtained from $c(x, y)$ by adding $i(\bmod k)$ to the second coordinates of the ordered pairs with first coordinate $y$.

Claim. ( $S, C_{2}$ ) is a $m D C S$ of order $k t=m t / 2$ containing $k$ disjoint copies of the partial $m D C S\left(X, C_{1}\right)$.

Proof: As with the odd cycle case, a counting argument shows that $\left|C_{2}\right|$ contains the correct number of directed $m$-cycles and so it remains to show that each directed edge in $D_{k t}$ (based on $S$ ) belongs to a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{2}$. So let $((x, i),(y, j))$ be an edge. Again there are several cases to consider.
(i) $x$ and $y \in h_{i} \in H$. Then $((x, i),(y, j)) \in\left(h_{i} \times Z_{k}, c\left(h_{i}\right)\right)$ and so belongs to a directed $m$-cycle of $c\left(h_{i}\right)$ and therefore to a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{2}$. All of the remaining cases are predicated on $x$ and $y$ belonging to different holes of $H$.
(ii) $x \neq y$ and $i=j$. If $(x, y)$ belongs to a cycle of $C_{1}$, then the edge $((x, i),(y, j))$ belongs to a cycle of type (2). If $(x, y)$ does not belong to a cycle of $C_{1}$, then $((x, i),(y, j))$ belongs to a cycle of type (4).
(iii) $x \neq y$ and $i \neq j$. If $|i-j|=1$, one of two things is true: $j=i+1$ or $i=j+1$. If $j=i+1$ and $(y, x)$ is in a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{1}$, then $((x, i),(y, j))$ is in a directed $m$-cycle of type (3). If $(y, x)$ is not in a directed $m$-cycle of $C_{1}$, then $((x, i),(y, j))$ is in a directed $m$-cycle of type (4). A similar argument takes case of the case where $i=j+1$. If $|i-j| \geqslant 2$, write $j=i+2+d(\bmod k)$. Then the edge $((x, i),(y, j))$
belongs to the type (5) directed $m$-cycle $c(x, y)+d$.
Combining the above cases shows that $\left(S, C_{2}\right)$ is a $m D C S$. The type (2) directed $m$-cycles show that $k$ disjoint copies of $\left(X, C_{1}\right)$ are embedded in $\left(S, C_{2}\right)$.

We have the following theorem (replacing $t$ in the previous discussion with $t / 2$ ).
Theorem 4.1. If $m$ is EVEN and $m \neq 4$ or 6 , a partial directed $m$-cycle system of order $n$ can be embedded in a directed $m$-cycle system of order $t m$ for every even $t \geqslant n$. If $m=4$ or 6 the containing system has size $t m+1$ for every even $t \geqslant 8$ or 12 respectively.

Proof: In the construction set $S=\{\infty\} \bigcup\left(X^{*} \times Z_{k}\right)$ and replace (1) by: for each $h_{i} \in H$, let $\{\infty\} \bigcup\left(h_{i} \times Z_{k}, c\left(h_{i}\right)\right)$ be a $m D C S$ of order $m+1=5$ or 7 as the case may be (such a system exists [8]) and place the directed $m$-cycles of $c\left(h_{i}\right)$ in $C_{2}$.

## 5. Embedding directed 4-cycle systems

In the case where $m=4$ we can obtain a smaller embedding that that of Theorem 4.1. The proof of Theorem 5.2 closely follows the proof of the related result for undirected cycles [8]. But we shall also need the following theorem due to Dominique Sotteau:

Theorem 5.1. [11] The complete directed bipartite graph $D_{x, y}$ can be decomposed into directed $2 k$-cycles if and only if $x \geqslant k, y \geqslant k$ and $k$ divides $x y$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $(N, C)$ be a partial directed 4-cycle system of order $n$, and let $x$ be the smallest odd number such that $\binom{x}{2} \geqslant n$. Then $(N, C)$ can be embedded in a directed 4-cycle system of order $\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$.

Proof: Let $N=\{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $S=\left\{1, \ldots,\binom{x}{2}\right\}$ and let $X=\left\{\binom{x}{2}+1, \ldots,\binom{x}{2}+\right.$ $x\}$.

Form a directed 4-cycle system $\left(V, C_{1}\right)$ of order $x^{2}$, with $V=(S \times\{1,2\}) \cup X$ as follows:
(1) For each $(a, b, c, d) \in C$, and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$, place the directed 4 -cycles $((a, i),(b, i),(c, i),(d, i)),((a, i),(d, i+1),(c, i),(b, i+1))$ in $C_{1}$ (reducing the second component modulo 2 ).
(2) If $\{a, b\} \subseteq S$ and $(a, b)$ does not occur in any directed 4-cycle in $C$, then $((a, 1),(b, 1),(a, 2),(b, 2)) \in C_{1}$.
(3) Let $T(x)$ be the set of 2-element subsets of $X$ and let $\phi: S \rightarrow$ $T(x)$ be any bijection. For each $s \in S$ place $((s, 1),(s, 2), t, u)$ and $((s, 1), u, t,(s, 2))$ in $C_{1}$, where $\phi(s)=\{t, u\}, t<u$.
(4) For each $t \in X$ let $D(t)$ be the set of $(s, i) \in S \times\{1,2\}$ such that $((s, i), t)$ is in a directed 4 -cycle defined in (3). (Notice that if $(s(i), t)$
is replaced with ( $t, s(i)$ ) in this definition then $D(t)$ remains the same.) Clearly $|D(t)|=x-1$. Also $\{D(t) \mid t \in X\}$ is a partition of $S \times\{1,2\}$. By Sotteau's Theorem, there exists a directed 4-cycle system ( $V_{t}, C_{t}$ ) of the complete directed bipartite graph $D_{x-1, x-1}$ on the vertex set $V(t)=$ $D(t) \bigcup(X \backslash\{t\})$. For each $t \in X$ let $C_{t} \subset C_{1}$.
It is easy to check that ( $V, C_{1}$ ) is a directed 4-cycle system, and from (1) it contains 2 disjoint copies of $(N, C)$.

Note that $x^{2}$ is approximately $2 n+\sqrt{2 n}$.
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