ON A COMBINATORIAL PROBLEM OF ERDŐS AND HAJNAL

H. L. Abbott and L. Moser

(received October 12, 1963)

In this note we consider some problems related to the following question: What is the smallest integer m(n) for which there exists a family F_n of sets A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n m(n) with the following properties, (i) each member of F_n has n elements and (ii) if S is a set which meets each member of F_n , then S contains at least one member of F_n ?

Erdős and Hajnal [1] observed that

 $m(n) \leq \binom{2n-1}{n} < 4^n$

and that m(1) = 1, m(2) = 3, m(3) = 7. We do not know the value of m(n) for $n \ge 4$. Erdős [2] proved that, for all n,

$$m(n) > 2^{n-1}$$
,

and that for $n \ge n_{n}$ (ϵ),

$$m(n) > (1 - \epsilon) 2^n \log 2$$
.

It was communicated to us by Erdős that W. Schmidt recently proved that,

$$m(n) > 2^{n} (1 + 4n^{-1})^{-1}$$

Canad. Math. Bull. vol. 7, no. 2, April 1964.

177

In [2], Erdős remarks that it is not known whether or not

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} m(n)^{1/n}$$

exists. In this note we answer this question in the affirmative. In addition, we shall prove that for every $\varepsilon>0$,

(1)
$$m(n) = O(\sqrt{7} + \epsilon)^{11}$$

First we prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1.
$$m(ab) \leq m(a) m(b)^{a}$$
.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $F_a = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m(a)}\}$ be a family of sets with properties (i) and (ii). Let

 $\begin{array}{c} m(a) \\ \bigcup & A_{i} = \{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{l}\} \} \quad \text{For } j = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \text{ let} \\ i = 1 \\ F_{b}^{j} = \{B_{1}^{j}, B_{2}^{j}, \ldots, B_{m(b)}^{j}\} \quad \text{be families of sets, each} \\ \text{with properties (i) and (ii). Also, suppose that no set in } F_{b}^{i} \\ \text{meets any set in } F_{b}^{j}, \text{ if } i \neq j. \quad \text{Pick } A_{i} \in F_{a}. \quad \text{We have} \\ A_{i} = \{X_{i}, X_{i}, \ldots, X_{i}\}, \text{ say. From each of the families} \\ i_{1} & i_{2} & a \\ F_{b}^{i}, F_{b}^{j}, \ldots, F_{b}^{a} \quad \text{pick one } B. \quad \text{The union of these } B's \text{ is} \\ \text{a set consisting of ab elements. Let } F_{ab} \quad \text{be the family of} \\ \text{all possible sets constructed in this way. It is clear that the} \\ \text{number of sets in } F_{ab} \quad \text{is } m(a) m(b)^{a}. \quad \text{The proof of the} \\ \text{lemma will be complete if we show that any set } S \quad \text{which meets} \\ \text{every member of } F_{ab} \quad \text{contains at least one member of } F_{ab}. \\ \text{Let } A_{i} = \{X_{i}, X_{i}, \ldots, X_{i}\} \in F_{a}. \quad A_{i} \quad \text{is said to have} \\ 1 & 2 & a \\ \end{array} \right$

each of the families $F_b^{i_1}, F_b^{i_2}, \ldots, F_b^{a_i}$. There are two cases to consider.

<u>Case 1</u>. At least one $A_i \in F_a$ has property P with respect to S. Then we are finished since, if such is the case, S contains at least one member of each of the families $F_b^{i1}, F_b^{i2}, \ldots, F_b^{ia}$ and hence contains at least one member of F_{ab} .

<u>Case 2</u>. No $A_i \in F_a$ has property P with respect to S. Then in each A_i there is an element, which we denote by $X_{A'_i}$ such that S misses at least one of the sets in F_b^i . Now $T = \{X_{A'_i}; i = 1, 2, ..., m(a)\}$ meets every member of F_a and hence contains one of the A's, say $A_j = \{X_j, X_j, ..., X_j\}$. Hence S misses at least one set in each of the families $F_b^{j_1}$,

 j_2 , j_a F_b , ..., F_b^a and therefore misses a set in F_a , contrary to the assumption that S meets every member of F_a^b . The proof of the lemma is complete.

LEMMA 2. m(n+1) > m(n).

<u>Proof.</u> Consider a family of m(n+1) sets with properties (i) and (ii). If an arbitrary element is deleted from each member of this family we get a new family of m(n+1) sets each with n elements. If S meets each member of the new family then it meets each member of the old family. S thus contains a member of the old family and hence a member of the new family. The lemma follows.

We proceed to prove (1). Repeated application of lemma 1 and the fact that m(3) = 7 yields

179

(2)
$$m(3^k) \le (\sqrt{7})^{3^k - 1}$$

For given $\epsilon > 0$, let k be the smallest positive integer such that

(3)
$$1 < \left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{7}}\right)^{\frac{1}{3^k}} \le 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{7}}$$

Then, if n is of the form $l \cdot 3^k$, we have

$$m(n) = m(\ell \cdot 3^{k}) \leq m(\ell) m(3^{k})^{\ell}$$

$$\leq 4^{\ell} (\sqrt{7})^{(3^{k}-1)^{\ell}} \leq (\sqrt{7} + \epsilon)^{n},$$

where we have used lemma 1, (2) and (3). If $l \cdot 3^k < n < (l+1)3^k$, lemma 2 and some straightforward computation gives

$$m(n) \leq m((\ell+1)3^k) < C(\sqrt{7} + \epsilon)^n$$

Thus (1) is established.

In order to establish the existance of $\lim_{n\to\infty} m(n)^{1/n}$ we $n\to\infty$ make use of the following fact; if a and b are independent positive integers (neither one is a power of the other) then the set of integers of the form $a^{U}b^{V}$, where u and v run through all positive integers, is dense, in the sense that for given $\epsilon > 0$ and n sufficiently large there is a number of the form $a^{U}b^{V}$ satisfying $n < a^{U}b^{V} < n(1+\epsilon)$. Clearly a and a-1 are independent.

Let

$$C_{1} = \lim_{n = \infty} m(n)^{1/n} \leq \overline{\lim_{n = \infty}} m(n)^{1/n} = C_{2}.$$

For arbitrary fixed $\epsilon > 0$ let a be a positive integer satisfying

 $m(a) < (C_1 + \epsilon)^a$. Then, using lemma 1, it is not difficult to see that for u and v sufficiently large

(4)
$$m(a^{u}(a-1)^{v}) \leq (C_{1} + 3\epsilon)^{a^{u}}(a-1)^{v}$$

If n is sufficiently large, u and v can be determined so that $n < a^{u} (a-1)^{v} < n(1+\epsilon)$. By lemmas 1 and 2 and (4)

$$m(n) \le m(a^{u}(a-1)^{v}) \le (C_{1} + 3\epsilon)^{a^{u}}(a-1)^{v}$$

Hence

$$m(n)^{1/n} \leq (C_1 + 3\epsilon)^{1+\epsilon}$$

for all sufficiently large n. It follows that $C_1 = C_2$.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On a property of families of sets, Acta. Math. Acad. Hung. Sci. 12(1961) pp.87-123.
- P. Erdös, On a combinatorial problem, Nordisk Mat. Tidski. 2 (1963) pp.5-10.

University of Alberta, Edmonton