Galaxy Evolution and Feedback across Different Environments Proceedings IAU Symposium No. 359, 2020 T. Storchi-Bergmann, W. Forman, R. Overzier & R. Riffel, eds. doi:10.1017/S1743921320001829

Classification and photometric redshift estimation of quasars in photometric surveys

L. M. Izuti Nakazono¹, C. Mendes de Oliveira¹, N. S. T. Hirata², S. Jeram³, A. Gonzalez³, S. Eikenberry³, C. Queiroz⁴, R. Abramo⁴ and R. Overzier⁵

¹Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas da U. de São Paulo, Cidade Universitária, 05508-900, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

²Departamento de Ciência da Computação, Instituto de Matemática e Estatística da USP, Cidade Universitária, 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

³Department of Astronomy, University of Florida, 211 Bryant Space Center, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

⁴Departamento de Física Matemática, Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, SP, Rua do Matão 1371, São Paulo, Brazil

⁵Observatório Nacional / MCTIC, Rua General José Cristino 77, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 20921-400, Brazil

Abstract. We present a machine learning methodology to separate quasars from galaxies and stars using data from S-PLUS in the Stripe-82 region. In terms of quasar classification, we achieved 95.49% for precision and 95.26% for recall using a Random Forest algorithm. For photometric redshift estimation, we obtained a precision of 6% using k-Nearest Neighbour.

Keywords. methods: statistical, catalogs, quasars: general

1. Introduction

Several techniques have been developed to classify extended and point-like sources on astronomical images. However, the classification of stars and quasars remains a challenge, as both are unresolved sources. Some techniques have been applied to perform star/quasar separation on photometric data, such as: colour-colour cuts (e.g. Pâris *et al.* 2018); Bayesian Statistics (e.g. Yang *et al.* 2017), and Machine Learning algorithms (e.g. Jin *et al.* 2019). In this work we use the data from 336 deg² of The Southern Photometric Local Universe Survey (S-PLUS) first data release (DR1) to create a new quasar catalog. This survey will cover 9300 deg² with the Javalambre optical filter system consisting of 7 narrow bands and 5 bands similar to the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) broad-bands.

2. Methodology

The quasar sample from S-PLUS DR1 has 13683 sources with near-infrared counterpart from Wide-field Infrared Survey (WISE) and their spectra were obtained from SDSS DR14 (Pâris *et al.* 2018). We retrieved from SDSS DR15 a total of 52914 stars and 84723 galaxies with infrared counterpart from AllWISE catalog. With these samples, we trained a Random Forest algorithm that classifies any S-PLUS observation in quasar, star, or galaxy. The performance of this classifier was evaluated through 4-Fold cross-validation in terms of precision/purity, recall/completeness and F-measure (harmonic mean of precision and recall). We tested the following feature spaces: (i) 12 S-PLUS bands;

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of International Astronomical Union



Figure 1. Cumulative percentages of (a) misclassified and (b) not recovered objects per magnitude and per class. The models referring to the feature spaces (ii) and (i) are represented by a solid and dashed curve, respectively.

(ii) 12 S-PLUS bands + 2 WISE bands; (iii) 5 S-PLUS broad-bands and (iv) 5 S-PLUS broad-bands + 2 WISE bands. We also included four morphological parameters: full width at half maximum (FWHM), major semi-axis, minor semi-axis and Kron radius. For the redshift estimation, we trained a k-Nearest Neighbours (kNN) algorithm. The performance of this model was evaluated through Holdout cross-validation in terms of normalized median absolute deviation (σ_{NMAD}). We considered the same feature spaces (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) without the morphological parameters and with addition of 4 more spaces based on colors rather than magnitudes.

3. Results

For the classification problem, the best performances came from the feature spaces (ii) and (i). We achieved a macro-averaged F-measure of 97.44% (95.95%), with WISE (without WISE). In terms of quasar classification, we achieved 95.49% (92.83%) for precision and 95.26% (91.23%) for recall (Fig. 1). In terms of star classification, we achieved a precision of 98.82% (97.98%) and recall of 98% (97.26%). Finally for galaxies, a precision of 98.26% (97.83%) and a recall of 98.8% (98.56%) were obtained. The best precision for the photometric redshift estimation achieved is $\sigma_z = 6.56\%$ considering k = 5when training kNN within the feature space 12 S-PLUS bands + 2 WISE bands with colors. The narrow-bands improved the star/quasar/galaxy classification, especially when no WISE information is available. We conclude that the S-PLUS optical system is a powerful tool for finding new quasars and for estimating their photometric redshifts.

References

Moore, J. A., Pimbblet, K. A., & Drinkwater, M. J. 2006, PASA, 23, 135
Pâris, I., Petitjean, P. Aubourg, É., et al. 2018, A&A, 613, A51
Heintz, K. E., Fynbo, J. P. U., Høg, E., et al. 2018, A&A, 615, L8
Yang, Q., Wu, X., Fan, X., et al. 2017, AJ, 154, 269
Jin, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 485, 4539
Mendes de Oliveira, C., Ribeiro, T., Schoenell, W., et al. 2019, MNRAS, 489, 241