# Occurrence of pathogens in wild rodents caught on Swedish pig and chicken farms

# A. BACKHANS<sup>1\*</sup>, M. JACOBSON<sup>1</sup>, I. HANSSON<sup>2</sup>, M. LEBBAD<sup>3</sup>, S. THISTED LAMBERTZ<sup>4</sup>, E. GAMMELGÅRD<sup>1</sup>, M. SAAGER<sup>1</sup>, O. AKANDE<sup>1</sup> AND C. FELLSTRÖM<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Department of Bacteriology, National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Department of Diagnostics and Vaccinology, Swedish Institute for Communicable Disease Control, Solna, Sweden

<sup>4</sup> Research and Development Department, National Food Agency, Uppsala, Sweden

Received 18 July 2012; Final revision 22 September 2012; Accepted 28 October 2012; first published online 23 November 2012

# SUMMARY

A total of 207 wild rodents were caught on nine pig farms, five chicken farms and five non-farm locations in Sweden and surveyed for a selection of bacteria, parasites and viruses. *Lawsonia intracellularia* and pathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* were only detected in rodents on pig farms (9% and 8% prevalence, respectively) which indicate that these agents are more likely to be transmitted to rodents from pigs or the environment on infected farms. *Brachyspira hyodysenteriae* (1%), *Brachyspira intermedia* (2%), *Campylobacter jejuni* (4%), *Campylobacter upsaliensis* (2%), leptospires (7%) and encephalomyocarditis virus (9%) were also detected from rodents not in contact with farm animals. *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. were common, although no zoonotic types were verified, and *Salmonella enterica* was isolated from 1/11 mice on one farm but not detected by PCR from any of the rodents. *Trichinella* spp. and *Toxoplasma gondii* were not detected.

Key words: Animal pathogens, spread of disease, vectors, veterinary pathogens, zoonoses.

# INTRODUCTION

Rodents on farms are unwanted but common inhabitants that may transmit disease-causing agents to domestic animals and indirectly to humans. Rodents can be carriers of diarrhoea-causing agents in pigs, like *Lawsonia (La.) intracellularis* [1] and *Brachyspira* (B.) hyodysenteriae [2, 3] and also of various

\* Author for correspondence: Dr A. Backhans, SLU, Department of Clinical Sciences, Box 7054, 750 07 Uppsala. (Email: annette.backhans@slu.se) important human pathogens like *Salmonella* and *Trichinella* [4–6]. The aim of this study was to investigate the risk posed by rodents for transmission of pathogens to pig and chicken herds. Rodents were caught on pig farms, chicken farms and non-farm locations (sewage treatment plants, a city mill, a city pond, a supermarket and a veterinary clinic for ruminants) and investigated for the presence of some major bacteria, parasites and viruses by direct isolation or indirect methods like polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and serology.

The microbes tested for were: the bacteria La. intracellularis, B. hyodysenteriae and B. pilosicoli that are important causes of diarrhoea in pigs; encephalomyocarditis virus, a cardiovirus within the Picornaviridae family that causes sudden death and reproduction problems in pigs and has rodents as a natural reservoir, the foodborne zoonotic agents Campylobacter spp., Salmonella spp., pathogenic Yersinia enterocolitica [7], Giardia and Cryptosporidium, which cause gastrointestinal infections in humans, and Trichinella and Toxoplasma (T.) gondii [8]. Also included in the study were pathogenic leptospires, which are zoonotic bacteria of which some serovars have rodents as a reservoir. Leptospires can cause serious illness in humans and reproduction problems in pigs [9, 10].

Substudies of *Brachyspira* and *Yersinia* have been described previously [11, 12], and the results from these substudies have been included here to provide a complete picture of the pathogens detected in the investigated rodent population.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Capture of rodents and sampling

The animal procedures were approved by the Swedish Ethical Committee for Scientific Animal Experiments (protocol C247/5). Rodent traps were set at 28 locations (16 pig farms, five chicken farms, seven nonfarm locations) as described previously [11]. Both live traps and snap traps were used, baited with peanut butter or chocolate. Animals caught alive were transported in their traps to the laboratory and euthanized by CO<sub>2</sub>, while animals caught in snap traps were kept on ice until necropsy. In addition, seven rats killed by a pest animal control agent were included in the study. Sampling and necropsy of the rodents were performed using sterile instruments [11]. Heart, lung, spleen, kidney, liver, brain, lymph nodes and pieces of colon and ileum (0.5 cm from mice, 1.5 cm from rats) were divided in two and frozen at -80 °C or kept in formalin. Samples were collected from the caecum and colon and stored at +8 °C in Amies medium with charcoal (Copan, Italy) or Cary-Blair transport medium [13] until culture. The remainder of the intestines and carcases were used for parasitological examination. Blood was retrieved from the heart and was either stored at  $-80\ ^\circ C$  or stored-dried on filter paper. Bacterial DNA from colon, ileum and kidneys were extracted by Qiagen DNeasy® Blood

and Tissue kit (Qiagen, Germany) and viral RNA from cardiac tissue by Qiagen RNeasy<sup>®</sup> Fibrous Tissue Mini kit (Qiagen).

#### Bacteria

In brief, *Campylobacter* spp. were analysed from swab samples from the colon by direct culture on mCCDA (Oxoid, UK) according to ISO method 10272:1: 2006. From each suspected positive sample, at least one typical Campylobacter colony was subcultured and confirmed as thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. [14]. A multiplex PCR was used for identification and differentiation of the thermophilic species Campylobacter (C.) jejuni and C. coli [15], while for isolates of uncertain identity, three additional PCRs were applied [16-18]. Salmonella enterica was analysed by real-time PCR [19] on DNA prepared directly from colon samples, without pre-enrichment. In addition, culture by ISO method 6579:2002 was performed on the intestinal contents of a subpopulation of mice (n=11) originating from a laying-hen farm experiencing an outbreak of S. Typhimurium. Analysis for Campylobacter and Salmonella was performed at the Department of Bacteriology, National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden. Pathogenic Y. enterocolitica and Y. pseudotuberculosis were identified by culture of colon samples and TaqMan PCR of colon DNA, and genetic fingerprinting by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis of isolates from rodents and pigs was performed [11]. Leptospira spp. in DNA extracted from kidneys was analysed by conventional PCR, encoding the *hap1* gene present in pathogenic strains [20]. All positive PCR products were sequenced with ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). For the detection of La. intracellularis, DNA extracted from the colon and ileum was analysed by a species-specific PCR [21]. A mimic was used as an internal control to detect the presence of inhibition [22]. Three positive PCR products were sequenced with an ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer. The isolation, phenotyping and characterization of B. hyodysenteriae, B. pilosicoli and B. intermedia have been described in a previous paper [12].

# Parasites

*Trichinella* spp. were analysed by the magnetic stirrer digestion method (EC 2075/2005) in whole carcasses from mice and in  $\sim 25$  g of muscle tissue from rats and one vole. IgG antibodies against *T. gondii* were

studied by direct agglutination test with the Toxo-Screen DA kit (bioMérieux, France). Dry-stored blood was dissolved in PBS before analyses. All samples were run in duplicate. Giardia cysts and Cryptosporidium oocysts in intestinal contents were detected simultaneously with a fluorescein-labelled direct immunofluorescence kit, Aqua-Glo<sup>TM</sup>G/C (Waterborne<sup>TM</sup> Inc., USA). Molecular characterization of Giardia isolates by sequencing the genes for  $\beta$ -giardin, gdh, tpi and ssu-rRNA has been described in a previous paper [23]. In addition, amplification of the ssu-rRNA gene [24] with subsequent sequencing was performed on four Cryptosporidium isolates. Parasitological analyses were performed at the Department of Virology, Immunobiology and Parasitology, National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden, and characterization of Giardia and Cryptosporidium spp. at the Department of Diagnostics and Vaccinology, Swedish Institute for Communicable Disease Control, Stockholm, Sweden.

#### Virus

RNA extracted from cardiac tissue was analysed for encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) by RT–PCR with the Qiagen<sup>®</sup> OneStep RT–PCR kit (Qiagen, Germany) [25]. Sequencing was performed on five amplicons using ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator v. 3.1. and was run on a Genetic Analyzer 3100 (Applied Biosystems, USA).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In this study, the presence of a number of human and pig pathogens was investigated in 207 rodents caught on nine pig farms, five chicken farms and, for comparison purposes, five non-farm locations. At nine of the 28 visited locations, of which seven were pig farms, no rodents were trapped despite repeated efforts. Judging from faecal droppings and burrows, rodents were common inhabitants on all farms visited, but in practice traps could not always be placed at the most strategic points. In addition, active pest control was applied on all farms visited, usually directly by a pest control company, which regularly replenished bait stations with rat poison. Finally, the conditions differed regarding, e.g. free access to the farm for trapping, tidiness on the farm and presence of cats, all of which probably affected the trapping outcome.

Of the 207 rodents, 32 were caught in live traps, 168 in snap traps, and seven rats were killed by a pest animal control agent. The rodent species caught were house mice, *Mus musculus* (n=129; 50 males, 72 females, seven unspecified sex), brown rats, *Rattus norvegicus* (n=58; 35 males, 22 females, one unspecified sex), yellow-necked mice, *Apodemus flavicollis* (n=19; nine males, 10 females) and one water vole, *Arvicola terrestris* (female), the latter was caught in an urban location.

#### Pathogens identified

The number of samples included in the different analyses varied for technical and practical reasons. For the analysis of EMCV and *Leptospira* spp. by PCR, a limited number of samples (125 and 127, respectively) were selected from pig farms where 10 or more rodents had been caught, with non-pig locations as reference. The results are presented in relation to rodent species and type of location in Table 1.

Regarding the important causes of diarrhoea in pigs, La. intracellularis was detected by PCR in 5% of all rodents (seven house mice, two brown rats), all caught on pig farms (prevalence in rodents on pig farms 9%). Comparison of the sequences of three amplicons with uploaded sequences in GenBank showed 100% identity with La. intracellularis PHE/ MN1-00 (GenBank accession no.AM180252), which confirms the high similarity in isolates from various animal species as shown by Cooper et al. [26]. All rodents trapped on chicken farms and other premises tested negative for La. intracellularis in the present study, which suggests that rodents are infected by pigs. Faecal shedding of La. intracellularis can continue for up to 3 weeks in rats and mice after challenge infection [27]; rodents could therefore pose a risk of re-introduction after an eradication programme.

As we have reported previously, *B. hyodysenteriae*, the aetiological agent of swine dysentery was recovered from brown rats, and *B. pilosicoli*, causing colonic spirochaetosis was recovered from rats and mice [12]. The results confirm previous findings that rats and mice are carriers of *Brachyspira* spp. [2, 3] and thus wild rodents in particular should be considered a risk for the introduction and spread of swine dysentery.

Regarding foodborne zoonoses, *Campylobacter* spp. were isolated from 33 (16%) of the rodents tested and eight were identified as *C. jejuni*, while 25 were designated as unspecified thermophilic *Campylobacter* 

| Pathogen                    | Brown rat       | House mouse    | Yellow-necked mouse | Water vole       | Pig farms     | Chicken farms   | Other locations | Total         |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Lawsonia intracellularis    | 2/55 (4%)       | 7/115 (6%)     | 0/19 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \ \%)$ | 9/105 (9 %)   | 0/53 (0 %)      | 0/24 (0 %)      | 9/182 (5 %)   |
| Brachyspira hyodysenteriae  | 1/58 (2%)       | 0/129 (0%)     | 0/19 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 0/116 (0 %)   | 0/59 (0%)       | 1/32 (3 %)      | 1/207 (1 %)   |
| Brachyspira pilosicoli      | 2/58 (3 %)      | 1/129 (1%)     | 0/19 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 3/116 (3 %)   | 0/59 (0%)       | 0/32 (0 %)      | 3/207 (1 %)   |
| Brachyspira intermedia      | 3/58 (5%)       | 1/129 (1%)     | 0/19 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 1/116(1%)     | $0/59\ (0\ \%)$ |                 | 4/207 (2 %)   |
| Campylobacter jejuni        | 2/58 (3 %)      | 2/125 (2%)     | 5/18 (28%)          | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 3/114 (3 %)   | 5/58 (9 %)      |                 | 9/203 (4 %)   |
| Campylobacter coli          | 5/58 (9%)       | 15/125 (12%)   | $0/1 \ (0 \ \%)$    | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 19/114 (17%)  | 1/58 (2 %)      |                 | 20/203 (9.8%) |
| Campylobacter upsaliensis   | 0/58 (0%)       | 2/125 (2%)     | 2/18 (11%)          | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 2/114 (2 %)   | 0/58 (0 %)      | 2/31 (6 %)      | 4/203 (2 %)   |
| Salmonella enterica         | 0/56 (0%)       | 0/115 (0%)     | 0/13 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 0/107~(0~%)   | 0/54~(0~%)      | 0/24~(0~%)      | 0/185~(0~%)   |
| Yersinia enterocolitica     | 7/56 (13%)      | 2/120 (2%)     | 0/13 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \ \%)$ | 9/111 (8 %)   | 0/55 (0 %)      | 0/25 (0 %)      | 9/191 (5 %)   |
| Yersinia pseudotuberculosis | 0/55 (0%)       | 1/120 (1%)     | 0/13 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \ \%)$ | 1/111 (1 %)   | 0/55 (0 %)      | 0/25 (0 %)      | 1/191 (0.5%)  |
| Cryptosporidium spp.        | 6/50 (12%)      | 10/98 (10%)    | 1/7 (14%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 16/106 (15 %) | 0/34~(0~%)      | 1/16~(6~%)      | 17/156 (11 %) |
| Giardia spp.                | 14/50 (28%)     | (%9) 86/9      | 1/7 (14%)           | 0/1 (0 %)        | 12/106 (11 %) | 1/34 (3 %)      | 8/16 (50 %)     | 21/156 (13 %) |
| <i>Trichinella</i> spp.     | $0/49 \ (0 \%)$ | $0/104\ (0\%)$ | (0%) 2/0            | $0/1 \ (0 \%)$   | 0/113 (0 %)   | 0/33 (0 %)      | 0/15~(0~%)      | 0/161 (0 %)   |
| Toxoplasma gondii           | $0/49 \ (0 \%)$ | 0/86 (0%)      | 0/12 (0%)           | $0/1 \ (0 \ \%)$ | 0/80 (0%)     | 0/35 (0 %)      | 0/24~(0~%)      | 0/148~(0~%)   |
| <i>Leptospira</i> spp.      | 1/51 (2%)       | 6/68 (9%)      | 1/5 (20%)           | $1/1 \ (100 \%)$ | 7/105 (7 %)   | 0/5 (0 %)       | 2/17 (12 %)     | 9/127 (7 %)   |
| Encephalomyocarditis virus  | 2/40 (5%)       | 9/78 (12%)     | (0%) 2/(0%)         | (%0)0/0          | 10/97 (10%)   | 1/17~(6~%)      | 0/11 (0 %)      | 11/125 (9 %)  |

by the hippurate test [28]. PCR verified the identity of the C. jejuni isolates and, of the previously unspecified isolates, 20 were identified as C. coli, one as C. jejuni and four as C. upsaliensis. Interestingly, several isolates of C. jejuni and C. upsaliensis were obtained from yellow-necked mice, a wild rodent species that in contrast to the house mouse and the brown rat has its natural habitat in woodlands. However, it is not known whether this species acts as a reservoir for these Campylobacter spp. C. jejuni and C. coli were isolated from rodents captured on pig farms and chicken farms, but C. jejuni was more common on chicken farms and C. coli on pig farms, which might suggest that rodents pick up the bacteria from the farm animals. However, when Meerburg and colleagues used amplified fragment-length polymorphism to analyse C. coli from pig manure and rodents on organic farms, no identical genotypes were identified from the two species [6]. In the present study, genotyping of the isolates obtained was not performed, and it is therefore unknown whether the rodents carried pathogenic strains. Still, the findings in this study imply that rodents should be considered a risk factor for introduction of *Campylobacter* spp. into chicken and pig houses. One isolate of S. enterica serovar Typhimurium was obtained by cultivation of faecal samples from 11 mice captured on a laying-hen farm that had recently experienced an outbreak of salmonellosis. However, when using PCR directly applied on colonic DNA without pre-enrichment, S. enterica could not be detected in this sample or in any of the other samples. It should be emphasized that the PCR method used was developed for analysis of presumptive colonies [19], and potential Salmonella bacteria might have been present in numbers too low to be detected. Ideally, cultivation using pre-enrichment media should be used. Furthermore, inhibitory substances could have been present in the samples. Other studies have reported high prevalence of Salmonella in rodents, especially on contaminated poultry farms [29, 30], but negative results or low prevalence on farms with unknown Salmonella status [6, 31]. Sweden has a favourable situation regarding Salmonella, with only a few positive herds detected each year [32] and the importance of wild fauna as a source of infection is marginal [32, 33]. Thus, the lack of detection in the present study seems logical and may reflect the true situation, but further studies are required to fully estimate the risk of rodents as a source of Salmonella.

As described previously [11], 9% of the rodents caught on pig farms were positive for pathogenic

Table 1. Number and percentage of positive samples related to rodent species and type of location

*Y. enterocolitica* by PCR, and genetic fingerprinting by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis showed that rodent isolates of *Y. enterocolitica* 4/O:3 were highly similar to pig isolates. *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, of unknown prevalence in Swedish pigs, was detected in one house mouse caught on an outdoor pig farm.

Unspecified Cryptosporidium spp. were detected in 11% of the rodents analysed. All rodent species tested positive and the majority of infected individuals were caught on pig farms. Analysis of four isolates by sequencing of the ssu-rRNA gene showed identical sequences to Cryptosporidium (Cr.) muris, Cryptosporidium sp. rat genotype (FJ205699), an environmental Cryptosporidium isolate (AY737585) and an isolate with 99% similarity to a strain isolated from drinking water (HM015877). The sequences obtained in this study have been deposited in GenBank (accession nos. JN172968-JN172971). No zoonotic types were demonstrated, but only a minority (24%) of the positive samples were characterized. Thus, no certain conclusions can be drawn regarding zoonotic relevance, but there may well be an interchange of parasites between pigs and rodents, and between rodents and the environment. Giardia cysts were detected in all rodent species (13%). Analyses by sequencing of the genes for  $\beta$ -giardin, gdh, tpi and ssu-RNA, as described previously [23], conferred cysts from three samples to the species Giardia muris and eight samples from brown rats to G. intestinalis assemblage G. Cysts from one sample from a yellownecked mouse were G. microtis-like (differing by four single nucleotide polymorphisms at the ssu-rRNA locus). Cysts from nine additional rodents (five house mice, four brown rats) were detected but were not further characterized. The prevalence of Giardia spp. in rodents was high in the present study, but there was no evidence of transmission of zoonotic variants.

Neither *Trichinella* spp. nor *T. gondii* were detected in this study. The negative result for *Trichinella* spp. was not surprising, considering the absence of trichinosis in Swedish pig production. The latest case in domestic pigs was recorded in 1994, while sporadic cases are still found in wild boars. In Sweden during 2009, *Trichinella* spp. was found in wolverines, wolves and lynxes [32]. It can be speculated that the rodent populations studied here have their habitat mostly indoors on farms or are urban, and therefore do not come in contact with the sylvatic cycle. However, it would be interesting to examine a larger number of yellow-necked mice, which move between their wild habitat and pig houses. The negative result for

T. gondii serology was a little surprising, since several other studies based on serology have shown high prevalence in rodents [34], although there are also contradictory reports [35]. In Sweden, antibodies against T. gondii have been found in wildlife such as moose, roe deer [36], free-ranging Eurasian lynx [37], pet cats, dogs, horses [38] and pigs [39], so we expected to find antibodies in rodents too. One possible explanation is that the prevalence of Toxoplasma has decreased in the Swedish pig population since 2002, when Lundén et al. reported a seroprevalence of 3.3 % in fattening pigs and 17.3% in adult swine [39]. It is also possible that serology is not the optimal method for an epidemiological survey for T. gondii. Other studies have shown that the prevalence in rodents might be underestimated when relying on serology compared to isolation [34] or PCR [40], moreover, some studies have shown rats with viable T. gondii to be seronegative [34]. In a recent study by Meerburg et al., an infection rate of 9% in house mice on farms was indicated based on real-time PCR analysis [41]; however, in that study the locations were organic farms with an outdoor system whereas in the present study the majority of farms were conventional.

Nine (7%) samples were detected by PCR as pathogenic leptospires. Positive samples originated from six house mice and one yellow-necked mouse caught at four different pig farms, and one rat and one water vole caught at urban locations. Sequencing of the PCR products confirmed the existence of several genetic variants with 98-100 % similarity to deposited sequences of Leptospira (L.) borgpetersenii, L. weili or L. interrogans serovar Copenhageni in GenBank. The brown rat is generally considered the maintenance host for serovar Icterohaemorrhagiae, and small rodents such as the house mouse as maintenance hosts for serovar Sejroe [42]. Leptospirosis is an important zoonosis in many countries, but in Sweden domestic cases of leptospirosis in humans are extremely rare (data from Swedish Institute for Communicable Disease Control, Smittskyddsinstitutet) [43]. In pigs, Leptospira serovar Bratislava has been detected to be related to reproductive problems in a few cases, but seems to be of minor importance [44-46]. In the present study, the prevalence in rodents was lower than reported previously. In Croatia, Cvetnić et al. found 23 % of house mice positive by serology [47] and Krojgaard and colleagues detected Leptospira spp. by PCR in 53% of sewer rats in Copenhagen [48]. However, detection method and the type of location differ between the studies, so direct comparison is difficult.

In RT–PCR analyses, 11 (9%) samples tested positive for EMCV. Both house mice and rats tested positive and sequencing of PCR products showed 97–100% similarity to EMCV sequences in GenBank. The findings support the hypothesis that rodents are natural reservoirs of EMCV [49]. To our knowledge, clinical outbreaks have not been reported in Sweden, but the present results show that EMCV should be considered as a possible differential diagnosis in cases of sudden death in growing pigs or reproductive problems of unclear aetiology, especially when rodents are abundant.

In summary, this study found that brown rats and house mice in Sweden carry C. jejuni, C. coli, C. upsaliensis, Y. enterocolitica, La. intracellularis, B. hyodysenteriae, B. pilosicoli, B. intermedia, Leptospira spp. and EMCV. Rodents, whether they are reservoirs or carriers of these pathogens, can spread pathogenic bacteria and viruses between different locations, directly to farm animals and, for the zoonotic species, indirectly to humans. The negative results for Trichinella spp. and T. gondii and the very low prevalence of S. enterica can be related to low prevalence of these pathogens in Swedish farm animals. In conclusion, the risk for transmission of pathogens by rodents should be seriously considered when deciding on hygiene barriers on farms, and thus rodent pest control should be an important measure in order to maintain good biosecurity.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Eva Engvall for valuable scientific input and Boel Harbom for excellent technical assistance. This study was funded by grants from the Swedish Farmers' Foundation for Agricultural Research; The Swedish Research Council for Environment, Agricultural Sciences and Spatial Planning and the Research Foundation of Ivar and Elsa Sandberg.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

None.

#### REFERENCES

1. Collins AM, et al. Colonisation and shedding of Lawsonia intracellularis in experimentally inoculated rodents and in wild rodents on pig farms. Veterinary Microbiology 2011; 150: 384–388.

- Fellström C, et al. Mice as a reservoir of Brachyspira hyodysenteriae in repeated outbreaks of swine dysentery in a Swedish fattening herd. Proceedings of the 18th International Pig Veterinary Society Congress 2004; 1: 280.
- Trott DJ, et al. Genetic relatedness amongst intestinal spirochaetes isolated from rats and birds. Letters in Applied Microbiology 1996; 23: 431–436.
- Davies RH, Wray C. Mice as carriers of Salmonella enteritidis on persistently infected poultry units. Veterinary Record 1995; 137: 337–341.
- Kijlstra A, et al. The role of rodents and shrews in the transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* to pigs. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2008; 156: 183–190.
- Meerburg BG, et al. Presence of Salmonella and Campylobacter spp. in wild small mammals on organic farms. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2006; 72: 960–962.
- Anon. The community summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in the European Union in 2008 *EFSA Journal* 2010; 8: 1–368.
- Tenter AM, Heckeroth AR, Weiss LM. Toxoplasma gondii: from animals to humans. International Journal for Parasitology 2000; 30: 1217–1258.
- 9. Levett PN. Leptospirosis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2001; 14: 296–326.
- Ellis WA. Leptospirosis. In: Straw B, et al., eds. Diseases of Swine, 9th edn. Ames, Iowa, USA: Blackwell Publisher, 2006.
- Backhans A, Fellström C, Lambertz ST. Occurrence of pathogenic Yersinia enterocolitica and Yersinia pseudotuberculosis in small wild rodents. Epidemiology and Infection 2011; 139: 1230–1238.
- Backhans A, Johansson K-E, Fellström C. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of *Brachyspira* spp. isolated from wild rodents. *Environmental Microbiology Reports* 2010; 2: 720–727.
- Cary SG, Blair EB. New transport medium for shipment of clinical specimens I.: fecal specimens *Journal of Bacteriology* 1964; 88: 96–98.
- Nachamkin I (ed.). Campylobacter and Arcobacter. In: Manual of Clinical Microbiology, 6th edn. Washington, DC: ASM Press, 1995, pp. 483–491.
- Denis M, et al. Development of a m-PCR assay for simultaneous identification of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli. Letters in Applied Microbiology* 1999; 29: 406–410.
- Lawson AJ, et al. Polymerase chain reaction detection and speciation of *Campylobacter upsaliensis* and *C. helveticus* in human faeces and comparison with culture techniques. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 1997; 83: 375–380.
- Marshall SM, et al. Rapid identification of Campylobacter, Arcobacter, and Helicobacter Isolates by PCRrestriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of the 16S rRNA gene. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 1999; 37: 4158–4160.
- 18. Wang G, et al. Colony multiplex PCR assay for identification and differentiation of Campylobacter

*jejuni, C. coli, C. lari, C. upsaliensis,* and *C. fetus* subsp. *fetus. Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 2002; **40**: 4744–4747.

- Hoorfar J, Ahrens P, Radström P. Automated 5' nuclease PCR assay for identification of Salmonella enterica. Journal of Clinical Microbiology 2000; 38: 3429–3435.
- Branger C, et al. Polymerase chain reaction assay specific for pathogenic *Leptospira* based on the gene hap1 encoding the hemolysis-associated protein-1. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* 2005; 243: 437–445.
- Jones GF, et al. Enhanced detection of intracellular organism of swine proliferative enteritis, ileal symbiont intracellularis, in feces by polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 1993; 31: 2611–2615.
- Jacobson M, Englund S, Ballagi-Pordány A. The use of a mimic to detect polymerase chain reaction – inhibitory factors in feces examined for the presence of *Lawsonia intracellularis*. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation* 2003; 15: 268–273.
- 23. Lebbad M, et al. From mouse to moose: Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia* isolates from various animal species. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2010; 168: 231–239.
- Xiao L, et al. Identification of species and sources of Cryptosporidium oocysts in storm waters with a small-subunit rRNA-based diagnostic and genotyping tool. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 2000; 66: 5492–5498.
- Denis P, et al. Genetic variability of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) isolates. *Veterinary Microbiology* 2006; 113: 1–12.
- 26. Cooper DM, et al. Comparison of the 16S ribosomal DNA sequences from the intracellular agents of proliferative enteritis in a hamster, deer, and ostrich with the sequence of a porcine isolate of Lawsonia intracellularis. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 1997; 47: 635–639.
- 27. Collins AM, *et al.* Colonisation and shedding of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in experimentally inoculated rodents and in wild rodents on pig farms. *Veterinary Microbiology* 2011; **150**:384–388.
- Anon. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs – horizontal method for detection and enumeration of *Campylobacter* spp. Part 1: Detection method. ISO 10272-1. International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.
- 29. Henzler DJ, Opitz HM. The role of mice in the epizootiology of *Salmonella* enteritidis infection on chicken layer farms. *Avian Diseases* 1992; **36**: 625–631.
- Lapuz R, et al. The role of roof rats (*Rattus rattus*) in the spread of Salmonella Enteritidis and S. Infantis contamination in layer farms in eastern Japan. Epidemiology and Infection 2008; 136: 1235–1243.
- 31. Pocock M, et al. Patterns of infection by Salmonella and Yersinia spp. in commensal house mouse (Mus musculus domesticus) populations. Journal of Applied Microbiology 2001; 90: 755–760.
- Anon. Surveillance of zoonotic and other animal disease agents in Sweden 2009. National Veterinary Institute (SVA), Uppsala, Sweden, 2009.

- Wahlström H, et al. Survey of Campylobacter species, VTEC 0157 and Salmonella species in Swedish wildlife. Veterinary Record 2003; 153: 74–80.
- Dubey JP, Frenkel JK. Toxoplasmosis of rats: a review, with considerations of their value as an animal model and their possible role in epidemiology. *Veterinary Parasitology* 1998; 77: 1–32.
- Dubey JP, et al. Prevalence of Toxoplasma gondii in rats (Rattus norvegicus) in Grenada, West Indies. Journal of Parasitology 2006; 92:1107–1108
- Malmsten J, Jakubek E-B, Björkman C. Prevalence of antibodies against *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Neospora caninum* in moose (*Alces alces*) and roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) in Sweden. *Veterinary Parasitology* 2011; 177: 275–280.
- Ryser-Degiorgis M-P, et al. Serological survey of Toxoplasma gondii infection in free-ranging eurasian lynx (Lynx lynx) from Sweden. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 2006; 42: 182–187.
- Uggla A, Mattson S, Juntti N. Prevalence of antibodies to *Toxoplasma gondii* in cats, dogs and horses in Sweden. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica* 1990; 31: 219–222.
- Lundén A, et al. Serological survey of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pigs slaughtered in Sweden. *Scandinavian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002; 34: 362–365.
- 40. Murphy RG, et al. The urban house mouse (Mus domesticus) as a reservoir of infection for the human parasite Toxoplasma gondii: an unrecognised public health issue? International Journal of Environmental Health Research 2008; 18: 177–185.
- Meerburg, BG, et al. Neospora caninum and Toxoplasma gondii in brain tissue of feral rodents and insectivores caught on farms in the Netherlands. Veterinary Parasitology 2012; 184: 317–320.
- 42. Ellis WA. Leptospirosis. In: Straw BE, *et al.*, eds. *Diseases of Swine*, 9th edn. Ames, Iowa, USA: Blackwell Publisher, 2006.
- SMI (http://www.smittskyddsinstitutet.se/statistik/ leptospirainfektion/). Accessed 16 August 2011.
- Bolin C, et al. Reproductive failure associated with Leptospira interrogans serovar bratislava infection of swine. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation 1991; 3: 152–154.
- Swedberg C, Eliasson-Selling L. Leptospira interrogans serovar bratislava in pigs - a problem in Sweden? [in Swedish]. Svensk Veterinär Tidning 2006; 58: 13–20.
- Sandstedt K, Engvall A. Serum antibodies to *Leptospira* bratislava in Swedish pigs and horses. *Nordisk Veterinaer Medicin* 1985; 37: 312–313.
- Cvetnić Z, et al. A serological survey and isolation of leptospires from small rodents and wild boars in the Republic of Croatia. *Veterinary Medicin (Praha)* 2003; 48: 321–329.
- Krojgaard LH, et al. High prevalence of Leptospira spp. in sewer rats (*Rattus norvegicus*). Epidemiology and Infection 2009; 137: 1586–1592.
- Spyrou V, et al. Transmission and pathogenicity of encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) among rats. Veterinary Research 2004; 35: 113–122.