## A THEOREM ON THE CLUSTER SETS OF PSEUDO-ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

## KIYOSHI NOSHIRO

- 1. Let D be an arbitrary connected domain and w = f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y), z = x + iy, be an interior transformation in the sense of Stoïlow in D. Denote by  $\gamma$  a set, in D, such that D and the derived set  $\gamma'$  of  $\gamma$  have no point in common. We suppose that
- (i)  $u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y$  exist and are continuous in  $D^* = D \gamma$ ;

(ii) 
$$J(z) = \begin{vmatrix} u_x & u_y \\ v_x & v_y \end{vmatrix} > 0 \text{ at every point in } D^*;$$

- (iii) the function q(z) defined as the ratio of the major and minor axes of an infinitesimal ellipse with centre f(z), into which an infinitesimal circle with centre at each point z of  $D^*$  is transformed by w = f(z), is bounded in  $D^*$ :  $q(z) \leq A$ .
  - f(z) is then called pseudo-meromorphic (A) in  $D_{\cdot}^{(1)}$

Next, suppose that w=f(z) is pseudo-meromorphic (A) in D. Let C be the boundary of D, E be a closed set of capacity  $z_0$  zero, included in C, and  $z_0$  be a point in E. We can associate with  $z_0$  three cluster sets  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ ,  $S_{z_0}^{(C)}$  and  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  as follows:  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  is the set of all values  $\alpha$  such that  $\lim_{v\to\infty} f(z_v) = \alpha$  with a sequence  $\{z_v\}$  of points tending to  $z_0$  inside D.  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is the intersection  $\bigcap_r M_r$ , where  $M_r$  denotes the closure of the union  $\bigcup_r S_r^{(D)}$  for all  $\zeta'$  belonging to the common part of C-E and  $U(z_0,r)\colon |z-z_0|< r$ . In the particular case when E consists of a single point  $z_0$ , we denote  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  by  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  for simplicity. Obviously  $S_{z_0}^{*(D)}$  and  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  are closed sets such that  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)} \subset S_{z_0}^{*(D)}$  and  $S_{z_0}^{*(D)}$  is always non-empty while  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  becomes empty if and only if there exists a positive number r such that C-E and  $U(z_0,r)$  have no point in common.

In the particular case where w=f(z) is single-valued meromorphic in D, the following theorems concerning the cluster sets  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ ,  $S_{z_0}^{(C)}$  and  $S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  are known:

Received February 20, 1950.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> For the definition of pseudo-meromorphic functions, Cf. S. Kakutani: Applications to the theory of pseudo-regular functions to the type-problem of Riemann surfaces, Jap. Journ. of Math. Vol. 13 (1937), pp. 375-392. R. Nevanlinna: Eindeutige analytische Funktionen, Berlin, 1936, p. 343.

<sup>2) &</sup>quot;Capacity" means logarithmic capacity in this note.

Theorem I. (Iversen-Beurling-Kunugui) 3)  $B(S_{z_0}^{(D)}) \subset S_{z_0}^{(C)}$ , where  $B(S_{z_0}^{(D)})$  denotes the boundary of  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$ , or what is the same,  $\mathcal{Q} = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{(C)}$  is an open set.

Theorem II. (Beurling-Kunugui) 4) Suppose that  $\Omega = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{(C)}$  is not empty and denote by  $\Omega_n$  any component of  $\Omega$ . Then w = f(z) takes every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to  $\Omega_n$  infinitely often in any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ .

Theorem I\*. (Tsuji) 5)  $B(S_{z_0}^{(D)}) \subset S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{Q} = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is an open set.

Theorem II\*. (Kametani-Tsuji) 6) Suppose that  $\Omega = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is not empty. Then w = f(z) takes every value, except a possible set of w-values of capacity zero, belonging to  $\Omega$  infinitely often in any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ .

The object of the present note is to propose the following

THEOREM 1. Suppose that E is included in a single boundary-component  $C_0$  of C and w = f(z) is pseudo-meromorphic (A) in D. Then  $\Omega = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is an open set. Suppose further that  $\Omega$  is not empty. Then w = f(z) takes every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to any component  $\Omega_n$  of  $\Omega$  infinitely often in any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ .

Remark. It is obvious that Theorem 1 contains Theorems I and II<sup>7)</sup> and holds good provided that D is simply connected. There is an anticipation that Theorems I\* and II\* may be probably true when w = f(z) be pseudo-meromor-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup> F. Iversen: Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions monogènes au voisinage d'un point singulier, Öfv. af Einska Vet-Soc. Förh. 58 (1916).

K. Kunugui: Sur un théorème de M. M. Seidel-Beurling, Proc. Acad. Tokyo, 15 (1939); Sur un problème de M. A. Beurling, Proc, Acad. Tokyo, 16 (1940); Sur l'allure d'une fonction analytique uniform au voisinage d'un point frontière de son domaine de définition, Jap. Journ. of Math. 18 (1942), pp. 1-39.

A. Beurling: Études sur un problème de majoration, Thèse de Upsal, 1933; Cf. pp. 100-103.

<sup>4)</sup> Beurling: 1. c. 3); Kunugui: 1. c. 3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup> M. Tsuji: On the cluster set of a meromorphic function, Proc. Acad. Tokyo, 19 (1943); On the Riemann surface of an inverse function of a meromorphic function in the neighbourhood of a closed set of capacity zero, Proc. Acad. Tokyo, 19 (1943).

<sup>6)</sup> Tsuji: 1. c. 5). S. Kametani: The exceptional values of functions with the set of capacity zero of essential singularities, Proc. Acad. Tokyo, 17 (1941), pp. 429-433.

<sup>7)</sup> Recently E. Sakai has obtained some interesting results concerning pseudo-meromorphic functions. Theorem 1 answers affirmatively a problem represented by him. Cf. E. Sakai: Note on pseudo-analytic functions, forthcoming Proc. Acad. Tokyo.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8)</sup> The special case where D is simply connected and w = f(z) is single-valued meromorphic in D has been treated by the writer in another note. Cf. K. Noshiro: Note on the cluster sets of analytic functions, forthcoming Journ. Math. Soc. Japan.

phic (A) in (D). But the writer has not yet succeeded in proving it.

2. To prove Theorem 1 we use two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let w = f(z) be pseudo-regular (A) in a bounded domain D and E be a closed set of capacity zero, included in the boundary C of D. If

$$\overline{\lim_{z \to \ell}} |f(z)| \leq M$$

for every point  $\zeta$  of C - E and f(z) is bounded in a neighbourhood of every point  $\zeta$  of E, then  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for all points z in D.

**Proof.** We suppose, contrary to the assertion, that there exists a point  $z_0$  in D such that  $|f(z_0)| > M$ . Let  $\emptyset$  be the Riemannian image of D by w = f(z) and denote by  $P_0$  the point on  $\emptyset$  which corresponds to  $z_0$ . Consider the star-region H in Gross' sense formed by the sum of segments from  $P_0$  with projection  $w_0 = f(z_0)$  to singular points along all rays:  $\arg (w - w_0) = \varphi$  on  $\emptyset$ , whose projections lie in the half-plane  $\Re[e^{-i\arg w_0}\cdot(w-w_0)]>0$ . We shall show that the linear measure of the set  $\Gamma$  of arguments  $\varphi$  of singular rays (by which we understand rays meeting singular points in finite distances) is equal to zero. Denote by  $H_R$  the common part of H and a circular disc  $|w-w_0| < R$  and by  $A_R$  the image of  $H_R$  by the inverse transformation of w = f(z). Then,  $A_R$  is a simply connected domain included in D. Since E is a closed set of capacity zero, Evans' theorem  $P_0$  shows that there exists a distribution of positive mass  $d\mu(a)$  entirely on E such that

(1) 
$$u(z) = \int_{E} \log \left| \frac{1}{z - a} \right| d\mu(a), \quad \mu(E) = 1$$

is harmonic outside E, excluding  $z=\infty$ , and has boundary value  $+\infty$  at any point of E. Let v(z) be its conjugate harmonic function and put

(2) 
$$t = \chi(z) = e^{u(z) + iv(z)} = \rho(z)e^{iv(z)}.$$

For the sake of convenience, we call the function  $t = \chi(z)$  "Evans' function." Let  $C_{\lambda}$  be the niveau curve:  $\rho(z) = \text{const.} = \lambda$   $(0 < \lambda < +\infty)$ . Then  $C_{\lambda}$  consists of a finite number of simple closed curves surrounding E. Further, Evans' function has the property

(3) 
$$\int_{c_{\lambda}} dv(z) = \int_{c_{\lambda}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} ds = 2 \pi,$$

where s denotes the arc length of  $C_{\lambda}$  and n is the inner normal of  $C_{\lambda}$ . Now

<sup>9)</sup> G. C. Evans: Potentials and positively infinite singularities of harmonic functions, Monatsheft für Math. und Phys. 43 (1936), pp. 419-424.

K. Noshiro: Contributions to the theory of the singularities of analytic functions, Jap. Journ. of Math. 19 (1948), pp. 299-327.

we consider the Riemannian image  $\widetilde{\Delta}_R$  of  $\Delta_R$  by  $t = \chi(z)$  and the function w = W(t) = f[z(t)] defined on  $\widetilde{\Delta}_R$ . Let  $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\lambda}$  be the set of cross-cuts of  $\widetilde{\Delta}_R$  above the circle  $|t| = \lambda$ . We denote by  $\lambda \theta(\lambda)$  the total length of  $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\lambda}$  and  $L(\lambda)$  that of the image of  $\widetilde{\Theta}_{\lambda}$  by w = W(t). Then, applying a well-known method in proving Gross' theorem, we get

$$(4) \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{[L(\lambda)]^2}{\lambda \theta(\lambda)} d\lambda \leq (A + \sqrt{A^2 - 1}) \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \int_{\widetilde{\Theta}_{\lambda}} J(t) \lambda d\lambda d\theta \leq \pi A R^2, \quad (0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda).$$

Since  $\theta(\lambda) \leq 2\pi$ , we have

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}L(\lambda)=0.$$

Accordingly, we see that the set  $\Gamma$  of arguments  $\varphi$  of singular rays is of linear measure zero. Consequently there exists at least one asymptotic path  $\Lambda$  inside D reaching a point  $\zeta$  in E, along which w = f(z) converges to  $\infty$  as z tends to  $\zeta$ . But this is a contradiction, since f(z) is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\zeta$ .

*Remark.* Lemma 1 is an immediate consequence from R. Nevanlinna's theorem <sup>10)</sup> in the case when w = f(z) is single-valued regular in D.

By a similar argument as in Lemma 1, we obtain, without difficulty,

Lemma 2. (An extension of Iversen's theorem) <sup>11)</sup> Let D be an arbitrary domain, C being its boundary, and let E be a closed set of capacity zero included in C. Suppose that f(z) is pseudo-meromorphic (A) in D and  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is not empty. If w = f(z) does not take a value  $\alpha$ , contained in  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$ , infinitely often, then  $\alpha$  is either an asymptotic value of w = f(z) at  $z_0$  or there is a sequence of accessible boundary points  $\zeta_n$  in E tending to  $z_0$  such that  $\alpha$  is an asymptotic value at each  $\zeta_n$ .

3. Proof to Theorem 1. Let  $w_0$  be an arbitrary value belonging to  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$   $-S_{z_0}^{*(c)}$ . By hypothesis, there exists a circle  $K: |z-z_0| = r$ , arbitrarily small, such that  $K \cdot E = 0$  and  $f(z) \neq w_0$  on  $K \cdot D$ . We may suppose that  $w_0$  does not belong to the closure  $M_r$  of the union  $\bigcup_{\zeta'} S_{\zeta'}^{(D)}$  for all  $\zeta'$  belonging to the common part of C - E and  $|z-z_0| \leq r$ . We denote by  $\rho_1$  the distance of  $M_r$  from  $w_0$ . Let  $\rho_2$  be a positive number such that  $|f(z) - w_0| \geq \hat{\rho}_2 > 0$  on  $K \cdot D$ . We denote by  $\rho$  a positive number less than min  $(\rho_1, \rho_2)$ . Since  $w_0$  is a cluster value of w = f(z) at  $z_0$ , there exists a sequence of points  $z_{\mu}$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \ldots$ ) inside  $(K) \cdot D$ , (K) denoting the interior of K, tending to  $z_0$  such that  $w_{\mu} = f(z_{\mu})$  tends to  $w_0$ .

<sup>10)</sup> R. Nevanlinna: 1. c. 1), pages 132 and 134.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11)</sup> K. Noshiro: On the theory of the cluster sets of analytic functions, Journ. Fac. of Sci., Hokkaido Imp. Univ. 6 (1938), pp. 217-231; Cf. theorem 4.

We keep hereafter the sequence  $z_{\mu}$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \ldots$ ) fixed. Consider the open set  $D_0$  of points z inside  $(K) \cdot D$  whose images w = f(z) lie in (c):  $|w - w_0| < \rho$ . Then  $D_0$  consists of a finite or an enumerable number of connected domains d. Denote by  $\Delta_{\mu}$  the component containing  $z_{\mu}$ ; some  $\Delta_{\mu}$  may coincide with one other.

First we consider the case in which there are infinitely many distinct components  $d_{\mu}$ . For the sake of simplicity, we suppose that  $d_{\mu} \neq d_{\nu}$  if  $\mu \neq \nu$ . Then, we easily show that  $d_{\mu}$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, ...$ ) converges to  $z_0$ . For, if otherwise there exists a circle K':  $|z-z_0|=r'$  (< r) such that  $K' \cdot E=0$  and  $K' \cdot \Delta_{\mu_n} \neq 0$  (n=1,  $2, \ldots$ ), where  $A_{\mu_n}$  denotes a sub-sequence of  $A_{\mu}$ . Let  $\zeta_n$  be any boundary point of  $d_{\mu_n}$ , lying on the circle K' and  $\zeta_0$  be a point of accumulation of the sequence  $\zeta_n$   $(n=1,2,\ldots)$ . Since  $f(\zeta_n)$  lies on the circle  $c: |w-w_0| = \rho$ ,  $\zeta_0$  must belong to either C-E or D. However, either of two cases leads to a contradiction, because either the set  $M_r$  intersects the circle  $|w-w_0|=\rho$  or infinitely many niveau curves:  $|f(z) - w_0| = \rho$  intersect any neighbourhood of  $\zeta_0$ , while w = f(z)is pseudo-regular (A) in D. If  $\Delta_{\mu}$  is compact in D, then it is evident that w = f(z) takes every value in (c):  $|w - w_0| < \rho$ . If  $\Delta_{\mu}$  is not compact in D, its boundary consists of a closed subset  $E_{\mu}$  of E and a finite or an enumerable number of analytic curves inside D; by Lemma 1, the value-set  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}$  of w = f(z)in  $\Delta_{\mu}$  is everywhere dense in (c):  $|w-w_0|<
ho$ , what is the same, the closure  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mu}$  coincides with  $|w-w_0| \leq \rho$ . Considering that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}$   $(\mu=1,2,\ldots)$  converges to  $z_0$ , we see that the cluster set  $S_{z_0}^{(D)}$  includes the closed circular disc  $|w-w_0|$  $\leq \rho$ .

Next, let  $r_n$  and  $\rho_n$  be two decreasing sequences of positive numbers tending to zero, such that, for each n,  $r_n$  and  $\rho_n$  are selected as stated above, and consider two sequences of circles  $K_n$ :  $|z-z_0|=r_n$  and  $c_n$ :  $|w-w_0|=\rho_n$   $(n=1,2,\ldots)$ . Denote by  $\Delta_{\mu}^{(n)}$  the component with an interior point  $z_{\mu}$ , which is an inverse image of  $(c_n)$ :  $|w-w_0|<\rho_n$ . If the sequence  $\Delta_{\mu}^{(n)}$   $(\mu \geq N_{\mu})$  consists of infinitely many distinct domains for at least one n, then the reasoning used above shows that  $S_{20}^{(n)}$  includes the closed disc  $|w-w_0| \leq \rho_n$ . Thus, we have only to consider the case in which the sequence  $\Delta_{\mu}^{(n)}$  consists of only a finite number of distinct domains for every n. Denote by  $\Delta_1$  any  $\Delta_{\mu}^{(1)}$  containing a sub-sequence  $\{z_{\mu}^{(n)}\}$  of  $\{z_{\mu}\}$ , and by  $\Delta_2$  any  $\Delta_{\mu}^{(2)}$  containing a sub-sequence  $\{z_{\mu}^{(n)}\}$  of  $\{z_{\mu}\}$  and so on. Thus, we obtain a new sequence of domains  $\{\Delta_n\}$  such that  $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_2 \Delta_3 \Delta_3 \Delta_4 \Delta_4 \Delta_5 \Delta_5 \Delta_6$  in common. Accordingly, since the value-set of w=f(z) in  $\Delta_n$  is included in  $(c_n)$ :  $|w-w_0|<\rho_n$  and the diameter of  $\Delta_n$  tends to zero as  $n\to\infty$ , there exists an asymptotic path  $\Delta_1$  of  $\omega=f(z)$  reaching  $\omega$ 0 along which  $\omega=f(z)$  converges to  $\omega$ 0. Denote

by  $\Omega_0$  the component containing  $w_0$  of the complementary set of  $S_{z_0}^{*(c)}$  with respect to the w-plane. We shall now show that w = f(z) takes every value, except two possible exceptions, belonging to  $\Omega_0$  infinitely often in any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $\Omega_0$  does not contain w= ∞. Suppose, contrary to the assertion, that there are three exceptional values Then, there exists a positive number  $\eta_i$  such that f(z) $w_1, w_2, w_3$  in  $\Omega_0$ .  $\phi = w_1, w_2, w_3$  in the common part of D and  $U(z_0, \eta_1) \colon |z - z_0| < \eta_1$ . Inside  $\Omega_0$  we draw a simple closed regular analytic curve  $\Gamma$  which surrounds  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  and passes through  $w_3$ , and whose interior consists only of interior points of  $\Omega_0$ . By hypothesis, we can select a positive number  $\eta \ll \eta_1$ , arbitrarily small, such that, K' denoting the circle  $|z-z_0|=\eta$ ,  $K'\cdot (C-E)=0$  and the closure  $M_{\eta}$  of the union  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{C} S_{\zeta_i}^{(D)}$  for all  $\zeta'$  belonging to the common part of C-E and  $|z-z_0| \leq \eta$ lies outside  $\Gamma$ . We may assume that the image of  $\Lambda$  by w = f(z) is a curve lying completely in the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Consider the set  $D_{\eta}$  of points z inside the intersection of D and  $U(z_0, \eta)$  such that w = f(z) lies in the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Then the open set  $D_{\eta}$  consists of at most an enumerable number of connected components. We shall denote by \( \delta \) the component which contains the asymptotic path  $\Lambda$ . It is easily seen that the boundary of  $\Lambda$  consists of a finite number of arcs of the circle K', a finite or an enumerable number of analytic contours inside D and a closed subset  $E_0$  of E. Further it should be noticed that  $\Delta$  is simply connected. For, by hypothesis, E is included in a single boundary-component  $C_0$  of the boundary C of D and the frontier of  $\Delta$  contains no closed analytic contour, since every analytic contour of  $\Delta$  is transformed by w = f(z)into a curve lying on the simple closed curve  $\Gamma$  passing through an exceptional value  $w_3$ . Denote by  $\emptyset$  the Riemannian image of  $\Delta$  transformed by w = f(z) in a one-one manner and by  $\theta_0$  the domain obtained by excluding two points  $w_1$ and  $w_2$  from the interior of  $\Gamma$ . Then,  $\emptyset$  is a simply connected covering surface of basic surface  $\phi_0$  whose Euler's characteristic is equal to 1. With an aid of Evans' theorem stated before, we can prove, without difficulty, that @ satisfies the condition of regular exhaustion (with a slightly modified form) in Ahlfors' sense. But this will lead to a contradiction by Ahlfors' main theorem on covering surfaces.<sup>12)</sup> Thus, it is proved that  $S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is an open set.

Suppose that the open set  $\mathcal{Q} = S_{z_0}^{(D)} - S_{z_0}^{*(C)}$  is not empty. Let  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  be any connected component of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . We shall now prove that w = f(z) takes every value, with two possible exceptions, belonging to  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  infinitely often in any neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . We may suppose that  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  does not contain  $w = \infty$ . Contrary to the

L. Ahlfors: Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen, Acta Math. 65 (1935), pp. 157-194.
 R. Nevanlinna: 1. c. 1), Cf. p. 323. K. Noshiro: 1. c. 8).

assertion, we suppose that there are three exceptional values  $w_0$ ,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in  $Q_n$ . Then, there exists a positive number  $\eta_1$  such that  $f(z) \neq w_0, w_1, w_2$  in the common part of D and  $U(z_0, \eta_1)$ :  $|z - z_0| < \eta_1$ . Inside  $\Omega_n$  we draw a simple closed regular analytic curve  $\Gamma$  which surrounds  $w_0, w_1$  and passes through  $w_2$ , and whose interior consists only of interior points of  $\Omega_n$ . We can select a positive number  $\eta$  ( $<\eta_1$ ), arbitrarily small, such that, K' denoting the circle  $|z-z_0|=\eta$ ,  $K'\cdot (C-E)=0$  and the closure  $M_0$  of the union  $\bigcup_{i} S_{\zeta}^{(D)}$  for all  $\zeta'$ belonging to the common part of C - E and  $|z - z_0| \le \eta$  lies outside  $\Gamma$ . Now, by Lemma 2 either  $w_0$  is an asymptotic value of w = f(z) at  $z_0$  or there exists a sequence of  $\zeta_n$  in E tending to  $z_0$  such that  $w_0$  is an asymptotic value at each  $\zeta_n$ . Consequently it is possible to find a point  $\zeta_0$  (distinct from  $z_0$  or not) belonging to  $E \cdot U(z_0, \eta)$  such that  $w_0$  is an asymptotic value of w = f(z) at  $\zeta_0$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the asymptotic path with the asymptotic value  $w_0$  at  $\zeta_0$ . We may assume that the image of  $\Lambda$  by w = f(z) is a curve lying completely inside  $\Gamma$ . Consider the set  $D_{\eta}$  of points z inside the intersection of D and  $U(z_0, \eta)$  such that w = f(z) lies inside  $\Gamma$ . Now, we denote by  $\Delta$  the component, of  $D_{\eta}$ , which contains the asymptotic path  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\Delta$  must be simply connected, we would arrive at a contradiction. 13)

Mathematical Institute, Nagoya University

<sup>13)</sup> K. Noshiro: 1. c. 8).