

THE WEAK COTYPE 2 AND THE ORLICZ PROPERTY OF THE LORENTZ SEQUENCE SPACE $d(a, 1)$

by J. ZHU

(Received 15 March, 1991)

1. Preliminaries. The question “Does a Banach space with a symmetric basis and weak cotype 2 (or Orlicz) property have cotype 2?” is being seriously considered but is still open though the similar question for the r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ has an affirmative answer. (If X is a r.i. function space on $[0, 1]$ and has weak cotype 2 (or Orlicz) property then it must have cotype 2.) In this note we prove that for Lorentz sequence spaces $d(a, 1)$ they both hold.

Let $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq \dots > 0$. The Lorentz sequence space $d(a, 1)$ is the space of vectors in \mathbf{R}^∞ with finite norm given by

$$\|x\| = \sum_i a_i x_i^*,$$

where (x_i^*) is the decreasing rearrangement of $(|x_i|)$.

A decreasing positive sequence $a = (a_n)$ is said to be p -regular ($p \geq 0$) if there exists a constant $0 < C < \infty$ such that

$$\sum_{i \leq n} a_i^p \leq C n a_n^p \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Reisner proved in [4] that a is 1-regular if and only if $d(a, 1)$ does not contain l_∞^n uniformly, which is also equivalent to the existence of $q < \infty$ such that $d(a, 1)$ is q -concave (for definition of q -concave see below). Another result in [4] which plays an important role in our proof is that $d(a, 1)$ is 2-concave if and only if a is 2-regular. It is well known that for Banach lattices 2-concave and cotype 2 are equivalent. See [2].

The Banach space X is of *weak cotype 2* if there is a constant $c \geq 0$, such that for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and n -dimensional subspace $E \subset X$, we have $\delta(E) \geq cn^{1/2}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(E) &:= \sup \left\{ \rho_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) \right. \\ &= \left. \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i \leq k} \epsilon_i x_i \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} : x_1, \dots, x_k \in E, \mu_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq 1, k \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \\ \mu_p(x_1, \dots, x_k) &:= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \leq k} |f(x_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} : f \in E^*, \|f\| \leq 1 \right\} \quad (1 \leq p \leq \infty). \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that weak cotype 2 implies cotype q for any $q > 2$. See [3] for details of weak cotype 2.

The Banach space X has the *Orlicz property* if there exists a constant C such that for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i \leq k} \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \mu_1(x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

The Orlicz constant $\pi_{2,1}(X) := \inf C$. For more information about the Orlicz property see [1].

A Banach lattice X is said to be q -concave ($q \geq 2$) if there exists a constant D such that

$$\left(\sum_{i \leq k} \|x_i\|^q\right)^{1/q} \leq D \left\| \left(\sum_{i \leq k} |x_i|^q\right)^{1/q} \right\|$$

for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and any x_1, \dots, x_k in X .

2. The weak cotype 2

THEOREM 1. $d(a, 1)$ is of weak cotype 2 if and only if it is of cotype 2.

Proof. Assume $d(a, 1)$ is of weak cotype 2, so $d(a, 1)$ does not contain l_∞^n uniformly. By [4] $d(a, 1)$ is q -concave for some $q < \infty$ and a is 1-regular. For any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $d(a, 1; n)$ denote the n -dimensional subspace of $d(a, 1)$ with all coordinates after the n th being 0. Then the q -concave constant of $d(a, 1; n)$ is independent of n .

Let $x_1, \dots, x_k \in d(a, 1; n)$. Then we have, using Hölder’s inequality, the definition of q -concavity and Khintchine’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{i \leq k} \epsilon_i x_i \right\|^q\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_1 \left\| \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{i \leq k} \epsilon_i x_i \right|^q\right)^{1/q} \right\| \\ &\leq C_2 \left\| \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \leq k} x_i^2(j)\right)^{1/2} \right\}_{j=1}^n \right\|. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that the numbers $\left(\sum_{i \leq k} x_i^2(j)\right)^{1/2}$, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, are decreasing. Then from (1) one has

$$\rho_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) \leq C_2 \sum_{j \leq n} \left(\sum_{i \leq k} |x_i(j)|^2\right)^{1/2} a_j. \tag{2}$$

Suppose that $\mu_2(x_1, \dots, x_k) = 1$. Since $y_\epsilon = \{\epsilon_j a_j\}_{j=1}^n \in d(a, 1; n)^*$ has norm 1 for any $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, one has

$$\sum_{i \leq k} (y_\epsilon, x_i)^2 \leq 1.$$

Averaging over $\{-1, 1\}^n$ we have

$$\sum_{j \leq n} \left(\sum_{i \leq k} |x_i(j)|^2\right) a_j^2 \leq 1. \tag{3}$$

Combining (2), (3) and Lemma 1 below we have

$$\delta(d(a, 1; n)) \leq C_3 \frac{\sum_{j \leq n} a_j}{\left(\sum_{j \leq n} a_j^2\right)^{1/2}}.$$

Meanwhile $\delta(d(a, 1; n)) \geq cn^{1/2}$, so that

$$\left(n \sum_{j \leq n} a_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C_4 \sum_{j \leq n} a_j,$$

for $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence a is 2-regular, since a is 1-regular, and so $d(a, 1)$ is 2-concave by [4], equivalently of cotype 2. ■

LEMMA 1. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, with $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_n \geq 0$ and $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$. Write $ax = (a_1x_1, \dots, a_nx_n)$. Then

$$\frac{\|ax\|_1}{\|ax\|_2} \leq \frac{\|a\|_1}{\|a\|_2}.$$

Proof. Define $R(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \|ax\|_1 / \|ax\|_2$ for any x as above. We show that for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we have

$$R(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_k, \dots, x_k) \geq R(x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}).$$

Then the conclusion follows because $R(x_1, \dots, x_1) = \|a\|_1 / \|a\|_2$. Define

$$F(t) = R(x_1, \dots, x_k, t, \dots, t) = \frac{A + Bt}{\sqrt{C + Dt^2}},$$

where

$$A = \sum_{i \leq k} a_i x_i, \quad B = \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j,$$

$$C = \sum_{i \leq k} a_i^2 x_i^2, \quad D = \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j^2.$$

Then

$$F'(t) = [B(C + Dt^2) - (A + Bt)Dt](C + Dt^2)^{-3/2}$$

$$= (BC - ADt)(C + Dt^2)^{-3/2}.$$

If $0 \leq t \leq x_k$ (and hence $t \leq x_i$, for $i \leq k$), then

$$ADt = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{k+1 \leq j \leq n} a_i a_j^2 t x_i \leq \sum_i \sum_j a_i^2 a_j x_i^2 = BC.$$

Hence $F'(t) \geq 0$, and so $F(t)$ is increasing. The statement follows. ■

3. Some results on Lorentz sequence spaces.

LEMMA 2. (1) $\mu_2(e_1, \dots, e_n) = \sqrt{\sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2}$ in $d(a, 1)$.

(2) $\pi_{2,1}(d(a, 1)) \geq \sqrt{n} \frac{a_1}{\sum_{i \leq n} a_i}$ for each n .

(3) If a is 1-regular, then for $k \geq l$,

$$l(a_{k+1}^2 + \dots + a_{k+l}^2) \leq 4C^2(a_{k+1} + \dots + a_{k+l})^2,$$

where C is a constant independent of k and l .

Proof. (1) and (2) are fairly easy. We only prove (3). By 1-regularity of a , there is a constant C such that

$$(k + 1)a_{k+1} \leq a_1 + \dots + a_{k+l} \leq (k + l)Ca_{k+l}$$

and so $a_{k+1} \leq \frac{k+l}{k+1} Ca_{k+l} \leq 2Ca_{k+l}$. Hence

$$l(a_{k+1}^2 + \dots + a_{k+l}^2) \leq l^2 a_{k+l}^2 \leq 4C^2 l^2 a_{k+l}^2 \leq 4C^2 (a_{k+1} + \dots + a_{k+l})^2,$$

which completes the proof. ■

We now prove a result using Talagrand’s “isoperimetric inequality” (see [6] for details). Let x_1, \dots, x_n be elements of any linear normed space X , $\mu_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma$. Let P_n be the usual probability measure on $D_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$. For $\epsilon \in D_n$, write $s(\epsilon) = \sum_i \epsilon_i x_i$. For $t > 0$, let $A_t = \{\epsilon \in D_n : \|s(\epsilon)\| \leq t\}$, $B_t = D_n \setminus A_t$. The inequality states that for $M > 0$, $P_n(A_M)P_n(B_{M+t}) \leq \exp(-t^2/8\sigma^2)$. If $M = \int \|s(\epsilon)\|$, then $P_n(B_{2M}) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ by since Chebyshev’s inequality $M \geq \int_{B_{2M}} \|s(\epsilon)\| \geq 2MP_n(B_{2M})$. Hence $P_n(B_{2M+t}) \leq 2 \exp(-t^2/8\sigma^2)$.

PROPOSITION. *Let y_1, \dots, y_N be positive elements of $d(a, 1; n)$ with $\sum_{i \leq N} y_i^2 \leq 1$. Let the q -concave constant of $d(a, 1; n)$ be C , for some $q < \infty$. Then there exist $z_1, \dots, z_N \in d(a, 1; n)$ and $C' = C'(C)$ such that $|z_i(j)| = y_i(j) (\forall i, j)$ and*

$$\mu_1(z_1, \dots, z_N) \leq C' \left(\sum a_i + \sqrt{N \sum a_i^2} \right).$$

This generalizes Lemma 6.4 of [5]. The proof is similar but more transparent.

Proof. Let D_{Nn} denote the set of $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_{i,j} : i \leq N, j \leq n\}$ with $\epsilon_{i,j} \in \{-1, 1\}$. Assuming equal probability 2^{-Nn} to each such ϵ , define $z_i^\epsilon(j) = \epsilon_{i,j} y_i(j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq n$. Clearly $|z_i^\epsilon(j)| = y_i(j)$.

Let $\eta_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then

$$\sum_i \eta_i z_i^\epsilon(j) = \sum_i \epsilon_{i,j}^* y_i(j) = \sum_i z_i^{\epsilon^*}(j),$$

where $\epsilon_{i,j}^* = \eta_i \epsilon_{i,j}$. The map $\epsilon \mapsto \epsilon^*$ maps D_{Nn} onto itself.

Write $y_{i,j} = y_i(j)e_j$, so that

$$z_i^\epsilon = \sum_j \epsilon_{i,j} y_{i,j}.$$

If f is a linear functional on $d(a, 1; n)$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \sum_j f(y_{i,j})^2 &= \sum_j \sum_i y_i(j)^2 f(e_j)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_j f(e_j)^2 \leq \sum_i a_i^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by (1) of Lemma 2 and $\sum_i y_i(j)^2 \leq 1$. Hence $\mu_2\{y_{i,j} : i \leq N, j \leq n\} \leq \sqrt{k a_i^2}$.

We will show that

$$\int_{D_{Nn}} \left\| \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{i,j} y_{i,j} \right\| dP(\epsilon) \leq C' \sum a_i. \tag{5}$$

By the isoperimetric inequality it will then follow that

$$P_{Nn} \left\{ \epsilon : \left\| \sum_i z_i^\epsilon \right\| \geq C' \sum a_i + t \right\} \leq 2 \exp\left(-t^2/8 \sum a_i^2\right).$$

If we then choose t with $t^2 = 8N \sum a_i^2$, then for each $(\eta_i) \in D_N$, we have

$$P_{Nn} \left\{ \epsilon : \left\| \sum \eta_i z_i^\epsilon \right\| \geq C' \sum a_i + t \right\} \leq 2e^{-N}.$$

Hence the probability of the union of such sets for all (η_i) is not greater than $2^{N+1}e^{-N} (< 1, \text{ if } N > 3)$. So there is an ϵ belonging to none of these sets, so that $\left\| \sum \eta_i z_i^\epsilon \right\| \leq C' \sum a_i + t$ for all (η_i) . To prove (5), note first that for each s , $\sum_j y_{i,j}(s)^2 = y_i(s)^2$, and so $\sum_{i,j} y_{i,j}(s)^2 = \sum_i y_i(s)^2 \leq 1$. By the q -concavity and Khintchine's inequality, it is easy to obtain the statement. ■

4. The Orlicz property.

THEOREM 2. *If $d(a, 1)$ has the Orlicz property then a is 2-regular; equivalently $d(a, 1)$ is of cotype 2.*

Proof. Throughout the proof we use M, M_1, M_2, \dots for the constants which are independent of n . Since $\pi_{2,1}(d(a, 1)) < \infty$, $d(a, 1)$ does not contain l_∞^n uniformly, so by [4], a is 1-regular. Then it is enough to prove that there exists M such that $n \sum_{i \leq n} a^2 \leq M \left(\sum_{i \leq n} a_i \right)^2, \forall n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $n = 2^m$. Let

$$k' = \frac{\left(\sum_{i \leq n} a_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2}.$$

Then $1 \leq k' \leq n$. Let k be the largest integer of the form 2^s with $k \leq k'$, so that $2k \geq k'$. Note that

$$\left(k \sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sum_{i \leq n} a_i \leq \left(2 \sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $l = n/k$ (an integer). We must show that $l \leq M_1$. Let

$$y(m) = a_{(m-1)l+1} + \dots + a_{ml}, \quad m = 1, \dots, k,$$

so that $y(1) \geq \dots \geq y(k)$. Let $y_1 = y \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and let y_2, \dots, y_k be the elements of \mathbf{R}^k

obtained from y by cyclic permutation. Let x_i be the element (y_i, \dots, y_i) of $(\mathbf{R}^k)^l = \mathbf{R}^n$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_i\| &= y(1)(a_1 + \dots + a_i) + y(2)(a_{l+1} + \dots + a_{2l}) \\ &\quad + \dots + y(k)[a_{(k-1)l+1} + \dots + a_{kl}] = \sum_{j \leq k} y(j)^2 = \|y\|_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Also $\left(\sum_{i \leq k} x_i^2\right)^{1/2} = \|y\|_2(1, \dots, 1)$.

Since $d(a, 1)$ is q -concave for some $q < \infty$, by the Proposition there exist z_1, \dots, z_k such that $|z_i| = x_i$ (hence $\|z_i\| = \|y\|_2^2$) and

$$\mu_1(z_1, \dots, z_k) \leq \|y\|_2 M_2 \sum_{i \leq n} a_i.$$

Since $(\sum \|z_i\|^2)^{1/2} \leq \pi_{2,1}(d(a, 1))\mu_1(z_1, \dots, z_k)$, we have

$$\|y\|_2 \leq M_3 \left(\sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

By (2) of Lemma 2, $\sqrt{l}(a_1^2 + \dots + a_l^2) \leq M_4 y(1)^2$, and by (3) of Lemma 2

$$l(a_{(m-1)l+1}^2 + \dots + a_{ml}^2) \leq M_5 y(m)^2 \quad (m = 2, \dots, k).$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{l} \sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2 \leq M_6 \|y\|_2^2 \leq M_7 \sum_{i \leq n} a_i^2,$$

and so $l \leq M_1$. ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Dr. Jameson for his generous help in rewriting the original version and many valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

1. G. J. O. Jameson, *Summing and nuclear norms in Banach space theory* (Cambridge University Press, 1987).
2. J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II* (Springer-Verlag, 1977).
3. G. Pisier, *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
4. S. Reisner, A factorization theorem in Banach lattices and its application to Lorentz spaces, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **31** (1981), 239–255.
5. M. Talagrand, An isoperimetric inequality on the cube and the Kintchine-Kahane inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1989), 905–909.
6. M. Talagrand, Cotype of operators from $C(K)$, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF LANCASTER
 LANCASTER LA1 4YF
 ENGLAND