## VANISHING THEOREMS FOR HYPERSURFACES IN THE UNIT SPHERE

## **HEZI LIN**

School of Mathematics and Computer Science & FJKLMAA, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350108, China e-mail: lhz1@fjnu.edu.cn

(Received 9 February 2017; accepted 29 November 2017; first published online 28 January 2018)

**Abstract.** Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a complete hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . When  $M^n$  is compact, we show that  $M^n$  is a homology sphere if the squared norm of its traceless second fundamental form is less than  $\frac{2(n-1)}{n}$ . When  $M^n$  is non-compact, we show that there are no non-trivial  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms,  $1 \le p \le n-1$ , on  $M^n$  under pointwise condition. We also show the non-existence of  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on  $M^n$  provided that  $M^n$  is minimal and  $\frac{n-1}{n}$ -stable. This implies that  $M^n$  has only one end. Finally, we prove that there exists an explicit positive constant C such that if the total curvature of  $M^n$  is less than C, then there are no non-trivial  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms on  $M^n$  for all  $1 \le p \le n-1$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20, 53C42.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $M^n$  be a complete hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold  $N^{n+1}$ . Fix a point  $x \in M$  and a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1}\}$  of  $N^{n+1}$  such that  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  are tangent fields at x. In the following, we shall use the following convention on the ranges of indices:  $1 \le i, j, k, \ldots \le n$ . The second fundamental form A is defined by  $\langle AX, Y \rangle = \langle \overline{\nabla}_X Y, e_{n+1} \rangle$  for any tangent fields X, Y. Here,  $\overline{\nabla}$  is the Riemannian connection of  $N^{n+1}$ . Denote by  $h_{ij} = \langle Ae_i, e_j \rangle$ , then  $|A|^2 = \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2$ , and the mean curvature vector H is defined by  $H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i h_{ii} e_{n+1}$ . The traceless second fundamental form  $\phi$  is defined by

$$\phi(X, Y) = \langle AX, Y \rangle - \langle X, Y \rangle H.$$

It is easy to see that

$$|\phi|^2 = |A|^2 - n|H|^2,$$

which measures how much the immersion deviates from being totally umbilical. For  $0 < \delta \le 1$ , a minimal hypersurface  $M^n$  in the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  is called  $\delta$ -stable if

$$\delta \int_{M} (n+|A|^2) f^2) dv \leq \int_{M} |\nabla f|^2 dv, \ \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(M).$$

When  $\delta = 1$ , M is also said to be stable.

We recall that the classification of stable constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is completely known. It is well-known that there is no stable complete minimal surface in  $\mathbb{S}^3$  (this can be proved by Theorem 4 in [13]) and Theorem 5.1.1 in [16]). In

[6], Frensel proved that there is no weakly stable complete non-compact surface with constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{S}^3$ . For the higher dimensional case, very little is known about complete non-compact stable hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , n > 2.

In [2], Cao-Shen-Zhu showed that a complete immersed stable minimal hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with  $n \geq 3$  must have only one end. This result was generalized by Li–Wang [15], they proved that if a complete minimal hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  has finite index, then the dimension of the space of  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on  $M^n$  is finite, and  $M^n$  must have finitely many ends. In [19], Yun proved that for a complete-oriented minimal hypersurface  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  with n > 3, if the  $L^n$ -norm of its second fundamental form is less than an explicit constant, then there are no non-trivial  $L^2$  harmonic 1forms on  $M^n$ , which implies that  $M^n$  has only one end. Fu-Xu [8] proved that if an oriented complete submanifold  $M^n$  ( $n \ge 3$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$  has finite total curvature and finite total mean curvature, then the space of  $L^2$  harmonic 1-form on  $M^n$  has finite dimension and M<sup>n</sup> has finitely many ends. Recently, Cavalcante–Mirandola–Vitório [4] proved vanishing and finiteness theorems for  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on a complete noncompact submanifold in a Hadamard manifold with finite total curvature, without any additional hypothesis on the mean curvature. Later, Zhu-Fang [20] obtained a generalized version of Cavalcante-Mirandola-Vitorio's results for submanifolds in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ . On the other hand, for the case of  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms of higher order, Tanno [17] proved that if  $M^n$  is a complete-oriented stable minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $n \le 4$ , then there exist no non-trivial  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms on  $M^n$  for all  $0 \le p \le n$ . In [11, 12], the author proved vanishing and finiteness theorems for  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms, 0 , on submanifolds of Euclidean space, under pointwise or integral conditions.

In this paper, we investigate vanishing theorems for harmonic p-forms on complete submanifold of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . We denote the space of all  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms on a Riemannian manifold  $M^n$  by  $H^p(L^2(M))$ . These spaces have a (reduced)  $L^2$ -cohomology interpretation. For more results concerning  $L^2$  harmonic p-forms on complete non-compact manifolds, one can consult [3].

Our main results in this paper are stated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a compact hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Assume that

$$|\phi|^2 < \frac{2(n-1)}{n}.$$

Then, the Betti number  $\beta_p(M) = 0$  for all  $1 \le p \le n-1$ , and M is a homology sphere.

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a complete non-compact hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Assume that

$$|\phi|^2 \le \frac{2p(n-p)}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^2$$

for  $1 \le p \le n-1$ . Then, every harmonic p-form  $\omega$  on M with  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^{2\beta} dv = 0$ ,  $\beta > 1 - K_p$ , vanishes identically. In particular,  $H^p(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$ .

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a complete non-compact  $\frac{n-1}{n}$ -stable minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Then,  $H^1(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$ , and M has only one end.

THEOREM 1.4. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a complete non-compact hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Then, there exists a positive constant C such that if

$$\int_{M} |\phi|^{n} dv < C,$$

then every harmonic p-form  $\omega$ ,  $1 \le p \le n-1$ , on M with  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^2 dv = 0$  vanishes identically. In particular,  $H^p(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$  for all  $1 \le p \le n-1$ .

REMARK 1.1. Zhu–Fang [20] and Zhu [21] proved vanishing theorems for  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms or 2-forms on submanifolds of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+m}$ . Theorem 1.2 can be seen as generalizations of their results.

**2. Estimates for the Weitzenböck curvature operator.** Let  $M^n$  be an n-dimensional complete hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , and let  $\Delta$  be the Hodge Laplace–Beltrami operator of  $M^n$  acting on the space of differential p-forms. Given two p-forms  $\omega$  and  $\theta$ , we define a pointwise inner product

$$\langle \omega, \theta \rangle = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_p = 1}^n \omega(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_p}) \theta(e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_p}).$$

Note that we omit the normalizing factor 1/p!. Denote by  $R_{ij}$  and  $R_{ijkl}$  the components of the Ricci tensor and the curvature tensor of  $M^n$ , respectively, then the Weitzenböck formula [18] gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\omega|^2 = |\nabla\omega|^2 + \langle \theta^k \wedge i_{e_j} R(e_k, e_j)\omega, \omega \rangle 
= |\nabla\omega|^2 + pW(\omega),$$
(2.1)

where

$$W(\omega) = R_{ij}\omega^{ii_2...i_p}\omega^{i}_{i_2...i_p} - \frac{p-1}{2}R_{ijkl}\omega^{iji_3...i_p}\omega^{kl}_{i_3...i_p}.$$
 (2.2)

Here, repeated indices are contracted and summed£ $\zeta$  and the indices  $1 \le i_1, i_2, ..., i_n \le n$  are distinct with each other in the following discussion.

To estimate  $W(\omega)$ , noting that  $M^n$  has flat normal bundle, we can choose an orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}$  such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . Then, the Gauss equation implies that

$$R_{ijkl} = (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \lambda_i\lambda_j(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}).$$

Substituting into (2.2) yields

$$\begin{split} W(\omega) &= (n-1)\delta_{ij}\omega^{ii_2...i_p}\omega^{i}_{i_2...i_p} - \frac{p-1}{2}(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})\omega^{iji_3...i_p}\omega^{kl}_{i_3...i_p} \\ &+ \lambda_i\lambda_k(\delta_{kk}\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jk})\omega^{ii_2...i_p}\omega^{j}_{i_2...i_p} - \frac{p-1}{2}\lambda_i\lambda_j(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk})\omega^{iji_3...i_p}\omega^{kl}_{i_3...i_p} \\ &= (n-p)|\omega|^2 + nH\lambda_i\omega^{ii_2...i_p}\omega^{i}_{i_2...i_p} - \lambda_i^2\omega^{ii_2...i_p}\omega^{i}_{i_2...i_p} - (p-1)\lambda_i\lambda_j\omega^{iji_3...i_p}\omega^{ij}_{i_3...i_p} \end{split}$$

$$= (n-p)|\omega|^{2} + \frac{nH}{p}(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{i_{2}} + \lambda_{i_{3}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})\omega^{ii_{2}\dots i_{p}}\omega^{i}_{i_{2}\dots i_{p}}$$

$$- \frac{1}{p}(\lambda_{i} + \lambda_{j} + \lambda_{i_{3}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})^{2}\omega^{iji_{3}\dots i_{p}}\omega^{ij}_{i_{3}\dots i_{p}}$$

$$= (n-p)|\omega|^{2} + \frac{1}{p}[nH(\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}}) - (\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})^{2}]\omega^{i_{1}i_{2}\dots i_{p}}\omega^{i_{1}i_{2}\dots i_{p}}$$

$$\geq (n-p)|\omega|^{2} + \frac{1}{p}\inf_{i_{1},\dots,i_{p}}[(\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})(\lambda_{i_{p+1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})]|\omega|^{2}. \tag{2.3}$$

By a direct computation, we have

$$(\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})(\lambda_{i_{p+1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{n}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [(\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{n}})^{2} - (\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})^{2} - (\lambda_{i_{p+1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{n}})^{2}]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} (n^{2}|H|^{2} - \max\{p, n - p\}|A|^{2}).$$
(2.4)

Substituting (2.4) into (2.3), and combining with (2.1) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\omega|^{2} \ge |\nabla\omega|^{2} + p(n-p)|\omega|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}|H|^{2} - \max\{p, n-p\}|A|^{2}\right)|\omega|^{2}$$

$$= |\nabla\omega|^{2} + p(n-p)|\omega|^{2} - \frac{n}{2}|\phi|^{2}|\omega|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^{2}|\omega|^{2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Using Kato's inequality [1], it follows from (2.5) that

$$|\omega|\Delta|\omega| \ge K_p |\nabla|\omega||^2 + p(n-p)|\omega|^2 - \frac{n}{2}|\phi|^2|\omega|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^2|\omega|^2, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $K_p = \frac{1}{n-p}$  if  $1 \le p \le n/2$ , and  $K_p = \frac{1}{p}$  if  $n/2 \le p \le n-1$ .

**3. Proof of Theorems 1.1–1.3.** By using the relation (2.5) for harmonic p-forms, we have the following general vanishing theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a compact hypersurface of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Assume that

$$|\phi|^2 \le \frac{2p(n-p)}{n} + \frac{1}{n}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^2$$
 (3.1)

for  $1 \le p \le n-1$ . Then, every harmonic p-form  $\omega$  on M is parallel. Assume further that the inequality (3.1) is strict at a point, then the Betti number  $\beta_p(M) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Given a harmonic p-form  $\omega$  on M. By (2.5) and the hypothesis (3.1), we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\omega|^2 \ge |\nabla \omega|^2 + \left[p(n-p) + \frac{1}{2}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^2| - \frac{n}{2}|\phi|^2\right]|\omega|^2 \ge 0.$$
 (3.2)

By the compactness of M and the maximum principle,  $|\omega| = \text{constant}$ . Hence, (3.2) implies that  $|\nabla \omega| = 0$ , which means that  $\omega$  is parallel. If (3.1) is strict at some point  $x_0 \in M$ , it follows from (3.2) that  $\omega(x_0) = 0$ . Since  $\omega$  is parallel,  $\omega = 0$  on M.

Since  $\min_{1 \le p \le n-1} \frac{2p(n-p)}{n} = \frac{2(n-1)}{n}$ , the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $\omega$  be a harmonic p-form satisfying  $\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{B_{x_0}(r)}|\omega|^{2\beta}dv=0, \beta>1-K_p$ . It follows from (2.6) and the hypothesis that

$$|\omega|\Delta|\omega| \ge K_p |\nabla|\omega||^2 + \left[ p(n-p) + \frac{1}{2} \min\{p, n-p\} |A|^2 - \frac{n}{2} |\phi|^2 \right] |\omega|^2$$

$$\ge K_p |\nabla|\omega||^2. \tag{3.3}$$

Following a calculation in [7], for any  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega|^{\alpha} \triangle |\omega|^{\alpha} &= |\omega|^{\alpha} \left[ \alpha (\alpha - 1) |\omega|^{\alpha - 2} |\nabla |\omega||^{2} + \alpha |\omega|^{\alpha - 1} \triangle |\omega| \right] \\ &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} |\nabla |\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} + \alpha |\omega|^{2\alpha - 2} |\omega| \triangle |\omega| \\ &\geq \left( 1 - \frac{1 - K_{p}}{\alpha} \right) |\nabla |\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.4)

Let  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ . Multiplying both sides of (3.4) by  $\eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha}$ , q > 0, and integrating over M, we find

$$\begin{split} & \left[ 2(q+1) - \frac{1 - K_p}{\alpha} \right] \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv \\ & \leq -2 \int_M \eta |\omega|^{(2q+1)\alpha} \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega|^{\alpha} \rangle dv \\ & \leq \epsilon \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_M |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} |\nabla \eta|^2 dv \end{split}$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , which gives

$$\left[2(q+1) - \frac{1 - K_p}{\alpha} - \epsilon\right] \int_{\mathcal{M}} \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv \le \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} |\nabla\eta|^2 dv. \tag{3.5}$$

Let  $\beta=2(q+1)\alpha$ . Since  $\beta>1-K_p$ , we can choose  $\epsilon>0$  small enough such that  $2(q+1)-\frac{1-K_p}{\alpha}-\epsilon>0$ . Hence, it follows from (3.5) that

$$\int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla |\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} dv \le C \int_{M} |\omega|^{\beta} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dv \tag{3.6}$$

for some constant C > 0.

Fix a point  $x_0 \in M$  and let  $\rho(x)$  be the geodesic distance on M from  $x_0$  to x. Let us choose  $\eta_r \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$  satisfying

$$\eta_r(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho(x) \le r, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2r < \rho(x) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla \eta_r|(x) \le \frac{2}{r}$$
 if  $r < \rho(x) \le 2r$ 

for r > 0. Substituting  $\eta = \eta_r$  into (3.6) yields

$$\int_{B_{x_0}(R)} |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv \leq \frac{4C}{R^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(2R)} |\omega|^{\beta} dv.$$

Letting  $R \to \infty$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{M} |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} dv \le 0,$$

which gives  $|\omega| = \text{constant}$ . Substituting this fact into (3.3), we find that  $\omega = 0$ .

To prove Theorem 1.3, we first consider the following vanishing theorem for harmonic *p*-forms of general degrees.

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a complete non-compact minimal hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Assume that  $\lambda_1(\triangle + \frac{p(n-p)}{n}|A|^2) \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n-1$ . Then, every harmonic p-form  $\omega$  on M with  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^{2\beta} dv = 0$ ,  $1 - \sqrt{K_p} < \beta < 1 + \sqrt{K_p}$ , vanishes identically. In particular,  $H^p(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in H^p(L^2(M))$  with  $1 \le p \le n-1$ . It follows from the assumption H=0 that

$$\lambda_{i_1} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_n} = -(\lambda_{i_{n+1}} + \cdots + \lambda_{i_n}).$$

Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$|A|^{2} = (\lambda_{i_{1}})^{2} + \dots + (\lambda_{i_{p}})^{2} + \left[ (\lambda_{i_{p+1}})^{2} + \dots + (\lambda_{i_{n}})^{2} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{p} (\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})^{2} + \frac{1}{n-p} (\lambda_{i_{p+1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{n}})^{2}$$

$$= \frac{n}{p(n-p)} (\lambda_{i_{1}} + \dots + \lambda_{i_{p}})^{2}.$$

Thus,

$$(\lambda_{i_1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i_p})(\lambda_{i_{p+1}}+\cdots+\lambda_{i_n})=-(\lambda_{i_1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i_p})^2\geq -\frac{p(n-p)}{n}|A|^2.$$

Substituting into (2.3) and combining (2.5), we conclude that

$$|\omega|\Delta|\omega| + \frac{p(n-p)}{n}|A|^2|\omega|^2 \ge K_p|\nabla|\omega||^2 + p(n-p)|\omega|^2$$

for all  $1 \le p \le n-2$ . For any  $\alpha > 0$ , we compute

$$|\omega|^{\alpha} \triangle |\omega|^{\alpha} = |\omega|^{\alpha} \left[ \alpha(\alpha - 1)|\omega|^{\alpha - 2} |\nabla|\omega||^{2} + \alpha|\omega|^{\alpha - 1} \triangle |\omega| \right]$$

$$\geq \left( 1 - \frac{1 - K_{p}}{\alpha} \right) |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} - \frac{\alpha p(n - p)}{n} |A|^{2} |\omega|^{2\alpha} + \alpha p(n - p) |\omega|^{2\alpha}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ . Multiplying both sides of (3.7) by  $\eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha}$ , q > 0, and integrating over M, we get

$$\left[1 - \frac{1 - K_P}{\alpha}\right] \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha} |^2 dv + \alpha p(n-p) \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv 
\leq \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{(2q+1)\alpha} \Delta |\omega|^{\alpha} dv + \frac{\alpha p(n-p)}{n} \int_M \eta^2 |A|^2 |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv$$

$$\begin{split} &= - (2q+1) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} dv - 2 \int_{M} \eta |\omega|^{(2q+1)\alpha} \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega|^{\alpha} \rangle dv \\ &+ \frac{\alpha p(n-p)}{n} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |A|^{2} |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv, \end{split}$$

which gives

$$\left[2(q+1) - \frac{1-K_p}{\alpha}\right] \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv + \alpha p(n-p) \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv 
\leq -2 \int_M \eta |\omega|^{(2q+1)\alpha} \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega|^{\alpha} \rangle dv + \frac{\alpha p(n-p)}{n} \int_M \eta^2 |A|^2 |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv.$$
(3.8)

On the other hand, the variational principle for  $\lambda_1(\triangle + \frac{p(n-p)}{n}|A|^2) \ge 0$  asserts the validity of the following inequality

$$\frac{p(n-p)}{n} \int_{M} |A|^2 f^2 dv \le \int_{M} |\nabla f|^2 dv, \quad \forall f \in C_0^{\infty}(M). \tag{3.9}$$

By choosing  $f = \eta |\omega|^{(1+q)\alpha}$  in (3.9), we have

$$\frac{p(n-p)}{n} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |A|^{2} |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv \leq (1+q)^{2} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} dv + \int_{M} |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} |\nabla\eta|^{2} dv + 2(1+q) \int_{M} \eta |\omega|^{(1+2q)\alpha} \langle \nabla\eta, \nabla|\omega|^{\alpha} \rangle dv. \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.8) yields

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ 2(q+1)\alpha - (1-K_p) - (1+q)^2 \alpha^2 \right] \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2q\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv$$

$$\leq 2[(1+q)\alpha - 1] \int_M \eta |\omega|^{(2q+1)\alpha} \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega|^{\alpha} \rangle dv + \alpha \int_M |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} |\nabla \eta|^2 dv$$

$$- \alpha p(n-p) \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2(1+q)\alpha} dv. \tag{3.11}$$

Take  $\beta = (1+q)\alpha$ . Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, it follows from (3.11) that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ 2\beta - (1 - K_p) - \beta^2 - |\beta - 1|\epsilon \right] \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2\beta - 2\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv 
\leq \left( \alpha + \frac{|\beta - 1|}{\epsilon} \right) \int_M |\omega|^{2\beta} |\nabla \eta|^2 dv - \alpha p(n - p) \int_M \eta^2 |\omega|^{2\beta} dv$$
(3.12)

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $1 - \sqrt{K_p} < \beta < 1 + \sqrt{K_p}$ , we choose sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $2\beta - (1 - K_p) - \beta^2 - |\beta - 1|\epsilon > 0$ . Hence, it follows from (3.12) that

$$C_{1} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2\beta - 2\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^{2} dv \leq C_{2} \int_{M} |\omega|^{2\beta} |\nabla\eta|^{2} dv - \alpha p(n - p) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2\beta} dv \quad (3.13)$$

for some constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ .

Let  $\eta_r \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$  be the cut-off function defined as before. Substituting  $\eta = \eta_r$  into (3.13) yields

$$\begin{split} C_1 \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^{2\beta - 2\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv &\leq C_1 \int_{M} \eta^2 |\omega|^{2\beta - 2\alpha} |\nabla|\omega|^{\alpha}|^2 dv \\ &\leq \frac{4C_2}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(2r)} |\omega|^{2\beta} dv - \alpha p(n-p) \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^{2\beta} dv. \end{split}$$

Since  $\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{B_{x_0}(r)}|\omega|^{2\beta}dv=0$ , letting  $r\to\infty$  in the above inequality, we have  $\omega=0$ .

Let us recall that an end E of a complete manifold M is non-parabolic if E admits a positive Green's function with Neumann boundary condition. To discuss the number of ends of complete submanifolds, we recall the following basic lemma.

LEMMA 3.1 [14]. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold. Let  $\mathcal{H}^0_D(M)$  be the space of bounded harmonic functions with finite Dirichlet integral and denote by  $H^1(L^2(M))$  the space of  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on M. Then, the number of non-parabolic ends of M is bounded from above by  $\dim \mathcal{H}^0_D(M) \leq \dim H^1(L^2(M)) + 1$ .

By using Theorem 3.2 together with Lemma 3.1, we now give the proof of Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Since  $\frac{n-1}{n}$ -stability implies  $\lambda_1(\triangle + \frac{n-1}{n}|A|^2) \ge 0$ , by Theorem 3.2, we have  $H^1(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$ . It also follows from  $\frac{n-1}{n}$ -stability that  $\lambda_1(M) \ge n-1$ , which implies that each end E of M satisfies a Sobolev type inequality of the form as

$$\int_E f^2 dv \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \int_E |df|^2 dv, \ \forall f \in C_0^\infty(M).$$

Since M is minimal, by Proposition 2.1 of [5], each end of M has infinite volume. Hence, according to Corollary 4 in [15], each end of M is non-parabolic. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, M must have only one end.

**4. Proofs of Theorem 1.4.** Obviously, through the composition of isometric immersions

$$M^n \to \mathbb{S}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$$

 $M^n$  can be considered as a submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ . Denote by  $\bar{H}$  the mean curvature vector of  $M^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+2}$ , then we have

$$|\bar{H}|^2 = |H|^2 + 1. \tag{4.1}$$

It is known that the following Sobolev inequality due to Hoffman and Spruck [9]

$$\left(\int_{M} |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le c(n) \int_{M} (|\nabla f|^{2} + |\bar{H}|^{2} f^{2}) dv, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$$
(4.2)

holds on  $M^n$  for some c(n) > 0. Substituting (4.1) into (4.2) yields

$$\left(\int_{M} |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \le c(n) \int_{M} |\nabla f|^{2} dv + c(n) \int_{M} (1 + |H|^{2}) f^{2} dv \tag{4.3}$$

for any  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ .

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 1.4. It is obvious that Theorem 1.4 can be deduced immediately from the following result.

THEOREM 4.1. Let  $M^n$ ,  $n \ge 3$ , be a complete non-compact hypersurface of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Assume that

$$\left(\int_{M} |\phi|^{n} dv\right)^{\frac{2}{n}} < \frac{2(1+K_{p})}{nc(n)} \tag{4.4}$$

for  $1 \le p \le n-1$ . Then, every harmonic p-form  $\omega$  on M with  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^2 dv = 0$  vanishes identically. In particular,  $H^p(L^2(M)) = \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Given a harmonic *p*-form  $\omega$  satisfying  $\liminf_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{B_{x_0}(r)}|\omega|^2dv=0$ . Let  $\eta\in C_0^\infty(M)$  be a smooth function on  $M^n$  with compact support. Multiplying (2.6) by  $\eta^2$  and integrating over  $M^n$ , we obtain

$$\int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega| \Delta |\omega| dv \ge K_{p} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\nabla|\omega||^{2} dv + p(n-p) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv - \frac{n}{2} \int_{M} |\phi|^{2} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv 
+ \frac{1}{2} \min\{p, n-p\} \int_{M} |A|^{2} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv.$$
(4.5)

Integrating by parts and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we deduce that

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega| \Delta |\omega| dv &= -2 \int_{M} \eta |\omega| \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega| \rangle dv - \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\nabla |\omega||^{2} dv \\ &\leq (b-1) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\nabla |\omega||^{2} dv + \frac{1}{b} \int_{M} |\omega|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dv \end{split} \tag{4.6}$$

for all b > 0. Using (4.3) together with the Hölder and Cauchy–Schwarz inequalities, we have

$$\int_{M} |\phi|^{2} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv \leq \left( \int_{\sup p(\eta)} |\phi|^{n} dv \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left( \int_{M} |\eta| \omega ||^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\
\leq c(n) \left( \int_{\sup p(\eta)} |\phi|^{n} dv \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \int_{M} \left[ |\nabla(\eta| \omega)|^{2} + (1 + |H|^{2}) \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} \right] dv \\
= E(\eta) \int_{M} \left[ \eta^{2} |\nabla| \omega ||^{2} + |\omega|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} + (1 + |H|^{2}) \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} \right] dv \\
+ 2E(\eta) \int_{M} \eta |\omega| \langle \nabla \eta, \nabla |\omega| \rangle dv \\
\leq E(\eta) (1 + \gamma) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\nabla| \omega ||^{2} dv + E(\eta) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\gamma} \right) \int_{M} |\omega|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dv \\
+ E(\eta) \int_{M} (1 + |H|^{2}) \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv. \tag{4.7}$$

for all  $\gamma > 0$ , where  $E(\eta) = c(n)(\int_{\text{Supp}(\eta)} |\phi|^n dv)^{\frac{2}{n}}$ . Substituting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.5), we conclude that

$$C \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\nabla |\omega||^{2} dv \leq D \int_{M} |\omega|^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dv + \frac{n}{2} E(\eta) \int_{M} (1 + |H|^{2}) \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv - \frac{1}{2} \min\{p, n - p\} \int_{M} |A|^{2} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv - p(n - p) \int_{M} \eta^{2} |\omega|^{2} dv,$$

$$(4.8)$$

where

$$C = 1 + K_p - b - \frac{n}{2}E(\eta)(1+\gamma)$$
 and  $D = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{n(1+\gamma)}{2\gamma}E(\eta)$ . (4.9)

It follows from (4.4) that

$$E(\eta) = c(n) \left( \int_{\text{Supp}(\eta)} |\phi|^n dv \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} < \frac{2(1 + K_p)}{n}, \tag{4.10}$$

which implies that  $1 + K_p - \frac{nE(\eta)}{2} > 0$ . Hence, we can choose  $\gamma$  and b small enough such that

$$C = 1 + K_p - b - \frac{n}{2}E(\eta)(1 + \gamma) > 0.$$

Fix a point  $x_0 \in M$  and let  $\rho(x)$  be the geodesic distance on M from  $x_0$  to x. Let us choose  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$  satisfying

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \rho(x) \le r, \\ 0 & \text{if } 2r < \rho(x) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\nabla \eta|(x) \le \frac{2}{r}$$
 if  $r < \rho(x) \le 2r$ 

for r > 0. By (4.8), we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq C \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} \eta^2 |\nabla |\omega||^2 dv \\ &\leq D \int_{M} |\omega|^2 |\nabla \eta|^2 dv + \frac{n}{2} E(\eta) \int_{M} (1 + |H|^2) \eta^2 |\omega|^2 dv \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \min\{p, n - p\} \int_{M} |A|^2 \eta^2 |\omega|^2 dv - p(n - p) \int_{M} \eta^2 |\omega|^2 dv \\ &\leq \frac{4D}{r^2} \int_{M} |\omega|^2 dv + \int_{M} \left[ \frac{n}{2} E(\eta) |H|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \min\{p, n - p\} |A|^2 \right] \eta^2 |\omega|^2 dv \\ &+ \left[ \frac{n}{2} E(\eta) - p(n - p) \right] \int_{M} \eta^2 |\omega|^2 dv. \end{split} \tag{4.11}$$

It follows from (4.10) that

$$\frac{n}{2}E(\eta)|H|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\min\{p, n-p\}|A|^2 \le \frac{1}{2}\big(E(\eta) - \min\{p, n-p\}\big)|A|^2 \le 0,$$

and

$$\frac{n}{2}E(\eta) - p(n-p) < 0.$$

Letting  $r \to \infty$  in (4.11), and noting that  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_{x_0}(r)} |\omega|^2 dv = 0$ , we have  $\omega = 0$ .  $\square$ 

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This work was supported by the NSFC under Grant nos. 11326045 and 11401099.

## REFERENCES

- 1. D. M. J. Calderbank, P. Gauduchon and M. Herzlich, Refined Kato inequalities and conformal weights in Riemannian geometry, *J. Funct. Anal.* 173(1) (2000), 214–255.
- **2.** H. D. Cao, Y. Shen and S. H. Zhu, The structure of stable minimal hypersurfaces in  $R^{n+1}$ , Math. Res. Lett. **4**(5) (1997), 637–644.
- **3.** G. Carron,  $L^2$  harmonic forms on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications*, vol. 40 (Australian National University, 2002), 49–59.
- **4.** M. P. Cavalcante, H. Mirandola and F. Vitório,  $L^2$ -harmonic 1-forms on submanifolds with finite total curvature, *J. Geom. Anal.* **24** (2014), 205–222.
- **5.** X. Cheng, L. F. Cheung and D. T. Zhou, The structure of weakly stable constant mean curvature hypersurfaces, *Tohoku Math. J.* **60**(1) (2008), 101–121.
- **6.** K. Frensel, Stable complete surfaces with constant mean curvature, *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **27**(2) (1996), 129–144.
- 7. H. P. Fu and Z. Q. Li, The structure of complete manifolds with weighted Poincaré inequalities and minimal hypersurfaces, *Int. J. Math.* 21 (2010), 1–8.
- **8.** H. P. Fu and H. W. Xu, Total curvature and  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on complete submanifolds in space forms, *Geom. Dedicata.* **144** (2010), 129–140.
- **9.** D. Hoffman and J. Spruck, Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* **27** (1974), 715–727.
- **10.** P. Li, On the Sobolev constant and the *p*-spectrum of a compact Riemannian manifold, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Super.* **13**(4) (1980), 451–468.
- 11. H. Z. Lin, Vanishing theorems for  $L^2$  harmonic forms on complete submanifolds in Euclidean space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **425**(2) (2015), 774–787.
- 12. H. Z. Lin, On the structure of submanifolds in Euclidean space with flat normal bundle, *Results Math.* 68 (2015), 313–329.
- 13. F. Lopez and A. Ros, Complete minimal surfaces with index one and stable constant mean curvature surfaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 64 (1989), 34–43.
- **14.** P. Li and L. F. Tam, Harmonic functions and the structure of complete manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **35**(2) (1992), 359–383.
- 15. P. Li and J. P. Wang, Minimal hypersurfaces with finite index, *Math. Res. Lett.* 9(1) (2002), 95–103.
  - 16. J. Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, Ann. Math. 88 (1968), 62-105.
- 17. S. Tanno,  $L^2$  harmonic forms and stablity of minimal hypersurfaces, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* 48 (1996), 761–768.
- **18.** H. H. Wu, The Bochner technique in differential geometry, *Math. Rep.* **3**(i–xii) (1988), 289–538.
- **19.** G. Yun, Total scalar curvature and  $L^2$  harmonic 1-forms on a minimal hypersurface in Euclidean space, *Geom. Dedicata.* **89** (2002), 135–141.
- **20.** P. Zhu and S. W. Fang, A gap theorem on submanifolds with finite total curvature in spheres, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **413** (2014), 195–201.
- **21.** P. Zhu, Gap theorems on hypersurfaces in spheres, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **430**(2) (2015), 742–754.