



# On the Asymptotic Growth of Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate Groups of Modular Forms Over Cyclotomic Extensions

Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes

*Abstract.* We study the asymptotic behaviour of the Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate group of a modular form  $f$  over the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  under the assumption that  $f$  is non-ordinary at  $p$ . In particular, we give upper bounds of these groups in terms of Iwasawa invariants of Selmer groups defined using  $p$ -adic Hodge Theory. These bounds have the same form as the formulae of Kobayashi, Kurihara, and Sprung for supersingular elliptic curves.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p$  be an odd prime, let  $f$  be a normalised new cuspidal modular eigenform of weight  $k \geq 2$ , and let  $p$  an odd prime that does not divide the level of  $f$ . For notational simplicity, we assume in this introduction that all the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  lie in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We let  $V_f$  be the cohomological  $p$ -adic Galois representation attached to  $f$  (so the determinant of  $V_f$  is  $\chi^{1-k}$  times a finite-order character). Then  $V_f$  has Hodge–Tate weights  $\{0, 1 - k\}$ , where our convention<sup>1</sup> is that the Hodge–Tate weight of the cyclotomic character is 1. Let  $T_f$  be the canonical  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice in  $V_f$  defined by Kato [Kat04, 8.3].

Let  $K_{\infty}$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and write  $K_n$  for the unique sub-extension of degree  $p^n$ . Our aim is to study the asymptotic behaviour of the Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate groups  $\text{III}(K_n, T_f(j))$  (with  $j \in [1, k - 1]$ ), whose definition we recall below.

When  $k = 2$ , the form  $f$  corresponds to an isogeny class of elliptic curves, and we can choose a curve  $\mathcal{E}$  in this isogeny class such that  $T_f(1) = T_p(\mathcal{E})$ , where the latter is the  $p$ -adic Tate module of  $\mathcal{E}$ . In this case it can be shown that the group  $\text{III}(K_n, T_f(1))$  is the quotient of the classical  $p$ -primary Shafarevich–Tate group  $\text{III}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E})$  by its

---

Received by the editors October 26, 2015; revised September 12, 2016.

Published electronically December 6, 2016.

The authors are grateful to acknowledge support from the following grants: NSERC Discovery Grants Program 05710 (Lei); Royal Society University Research Fellowship (Loeffler); Leverhulme Research Fellowship (Zerbes).

AMS subject classification: 11R18, 11F11, 11R23, 11F85.

Keywords: cyclotomic extension, Shafarevich–Tate group, Bloch–Kato Selmer group, modular form, non-ordinary prime,  $p$ -adic Hodge theory.

<sup>1</sup>This is usual in  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, but the opposite convention appears to be common in papers on modularity lifting.

maximal divisible subgroup; hence if the latter group is finite (which is a well-known conjecture), the two groups are equal.

**The Ordinary Case**

The behaviour of the Selmer and Shafarevich–Tate groups over the cyclotomic extension depends sharply on whether  $\mathcal{E}$  has ordinary or supersingular reduction at  $p$ . If  $\mathcal{E}$  is ordinary, then the  $p$ -Selmer group

$$\text{Sel}_p(K_\infty, \mathcal{E}) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E})$$

of  $A$  over  $K_\infty$  is cotorsion over the Iwasawa algebra  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K_\infty/\mathbb{Q})]]$ , by a theorem of Kato [Kat04, Theorem 17.4]. By Mazur’s control theorem [Maz72], this implies that if the groups  $\text{III}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E})$  are finite for all  $n$ , then we must have

$$\text{len}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E}) = \mu p^n + \lambda n + O(1)$$

for some Iwasawa invariants  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  associated with  $\text{Sel}_p(\mathcal{E}/K_\infty)$ .

**The Supersingular Case**

The case of supersingular elliptic curves with  $a_p(\mathcal{E}) = 0$  has been studied by Kurihara [Kur02] and Kobayashi [Kob03]. Suppose that  $\text{III}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E})$  is finite for all  $n$  and write  $s_n(\mathcal{E}) = \text{len}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}_p(K_n, \mathcal{E})$ . They showed that for  $n$  sufficiently large,

$$s_n(\mathcal{E}) - s_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}) = q_n + \lambda_\pm + \mu_\pm(p^n - p^{n-1}) - r_\infty(\mathcal{E}),$$

where  $q_n$  is an explicit sum of powers of  $p$ ,  $r_\infty(\mathcal{E})$  is the rank of  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $K_\infty$ ,  $\lambda_\pm$ , and  $\mu_\pm$  are the Iwasawa invariants of some cotorsion signed Selmer groups, and the sign  $\pm$  depends on the parity of  $n$ .

For supersingular elliptic curves with  $a_p(\mathcal{E}) \neq 0$  (which can only occur when  $p = 2$  or  $3$ ), Sprung [Spr13] proved a similar formula:

$$s_n(\mathcal{E}) - s_{n-1}(\mathcal{E}) = q_n^* + \lambda_* + \mu_*(p^n - p^{n-1}) - r_\infty(\mathcal{E}),$$

for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $q_n^*$  is again an explicit sum of powers of  $p$ ,  $\star \in \{\#, b\}$ ,  $\lambda_*$  and  $\mu_*$  are Iwasawa invariants of some cotorsion Selmer groups defined in [Spr12] and the choice of  $\star$  depends on the “modesty algorithm”. An analytic version of this formula has been generalised to arbitrary weight 2 modular forms in [Spr15].

**Higher Weights**

The main result of this article is that a similar formula for modular forms of higher weight would give us an upper bound on the growth of the Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate groups. Suppose that  $\text{ord}_p(a_p(f)) > \frac{k-1}{2p}$  and  $3 \leq k \leq p$ , where  $a_p(f)$  is the  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of the modular form  $f$ . We will see below that the Selmer coranks

$$r_n(f) = \text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{Sel}(K_n, T_f(j))$$

stabilise for  $n \gg 0$ , and we define  $r_\infty(f)$  to be the limiting value (see Proposition 5.4). We define

$$s_n(f) = \text{len}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{III}_p(K_n, T_f(j))$$

(which is finite by definition). We prove the inequality (see Theorem 5.5 for the precise statement)

$$s_n(f) - s_{n-1}(f) \leq q_n^* + \lambda_* + \mu_*(p^n - p^{n-1}) + \kappa - r_\infty(f),$$

for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $q_n^*$  is once again a sum of powers of  $p$  that depends on  $k$  and the parity of  $n$ ,  $\lambda_*$  and  $\mu_*$  are the Iwasawa invariants of the Selmer groups defined in [LLZ10] for some choice of basis of the Wach module of  $T_f$ ,  $\kappa$  is some integer that depends on the image of some Coleman maps that we shall review in §3 of this article and the choice of  $*$  is given by an explicit algorithm (similar to the “modesty algorithm” of Sprung).

The fact that we have an inequality is a result of the growth of the logarithmic matrix contributed from the twists of  $T_f(i)$  for  $i \neq j$ . In the appendix to this paper, we relate the defect of this inequality to the Tamagawa numbers of  $T_f(j)$  using the method developed by Perrin–Riou in [PR03].

## 2 Background from $p$ -adic Hodge Theory

We recall the necessary notation and definitions from  $p$ -adic analysis and  $p$ -adic Hodge theory. For more details, see [LLZ11, §1.3]. We fix (for the duration of this article) a finite extension  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$ , which will be the coefficient field for all the representations we will consider.

### 2.1 Iwasawa Algebras and Distribution Algebras

Let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})$ . This group is isomorphic to a direct product  $\Delta \times \Gamma_1$ , where  $\Delta$  is a finite group of order  $p-1$  and  $\Gamma_1 = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))$ . We choose a topological generator  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma_1$ , which determines an isomorphism  $\Gamma_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ . We also fix a finite extension  $E$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}$  that will be our field of coefficients (*i.e.*, we will consider representations of Galois groups on  $E$ -vector spaces).

We write  $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]]$ , the Iwasawa algebra of  $\Gamma$ . The subalgebra  $\mathcal{O}[[\Gamma_1]]$  can be identified with the formal power series ring  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ , via the isomorphism sending  $\gamma_1$  to  $1+X$ ; this extends to an isomorphism

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[\Delta][[X]].$$

For a character  $\eta$  of  $\Delta$  and a  $\Lambda$ -module  $M$ ,  $M^\eta$  denotes its  $\eta$ -isotypic component, which is regarded as an  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ -module. For  $n \geq 1$ , we write  $\Gamma_n$  for the subgroup  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))$  and  $\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}[\Gamma/\Gamma_n]$ . Note that

$$\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}[\Delta][[X]]/(\omega_{n-1}(X)),$$

where  $\omega_{n-1}(X)$  denotes the polynomial  $(1+X)^{p^{n-1}} - 1$ .

We can consider  $\Lambda$  as a subring of the ring  $\mathcal{H}$  of locally analytic  $E$ -valued distributions on  $\Gamma$ . The isomorphism (2.1) extends to an identification between  $\mathcal{H}$  and the subring of power series  $F \in E[\Delta][[X]]$ , which converge on the open unit disc  $|X| < 1$ .

### 2.2 Power Series Rings

Let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ = \mathcal{O}[[\pi]]$ , where  $\pi$  is a formal variable. We equip this ring with a  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi$ , defined by  $\pi \mapsto (1 + \pi)^p - 1$ , and with an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear action of  $\Gamma$  defined by  $\pi \mapsto (1 + \pi)^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1$  for  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ , where  $\chi$  denotes the  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character.

The Frobenius  $\varphi$  has a left inverse  $\psi$ , satisfying

$$(\varphi \circ \psi)(f)(\pi) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\zeta: \zeta^p=1} f(\zeta(1 + \pi) - 1).$$

The map  $\psi$  is not a morphism of rings, but it is  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear, and commutes with the action of  $\Gamma$ .

We write  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+[1/p] \subset E[[\pi]]$ , and

$$\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ = \{F(\pi) \in E[[\pi]] : F \text{ converges on the open unit disc}\},$$

so there are natural inclusions

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+.$$

The actions of  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , and  $\Gamma$  extend to these larger rings (via the same formulae as before). We shall write  $q = \varphi(\pi)/\pi \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$  and  $t = \log(1 + \pi) \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ .

### 2.3 The Mellin Transform

The action of  $\Gamma$  on  $1 + \pi \in (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$  extends to an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}: \Lambda &\xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \\ 1 &\mapsto 1 + \pi, \end{aligned}$$

called the *Mellin transform*. This can be further extended to an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$ -modules

$$\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{\cong} (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0},$$

which we denote by the same symbol.

**Theorem 2.1** *For every  $n \geq 1$ , the Mellin transform induces an isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules*

$$\Lambda_n \cong (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} / \varphi^n(\pi) (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}.$$

**Proof** If  $\mu \in \omega_{n-1}(X)\Lambda$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}(\mu) \in \varphi^n(\pi) (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ , by [LLZ10, Theorem 5.4]. However,  $\varphi^n(\pi)$  is a monic polynomial in  $\pi$ , so if an element of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$  is divisible by  $\varphi^n(\pi)$  in  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , it is divisible by the same element in  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ . Hence, the Mellin transform induces a map  $\Lambda_n \rightarrow (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} / \varphi^n(\pi) (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}$ , and this map is surjective, because the Mellin transform itself is surjective. Since both sides are free  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules of the same rank, namely  $(p-1)p^n$ , it follows that the map must in fact be an isomorphism. ■

We write  $\partial$  for the differential operator  $(1 + \pi) \frac{d}{d\pi}$  on  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$  and  $\text{Tw}$  for the ring automorphism of  $\mathcal{H}$  defined by  $\sigma \mapsto \chi(\sigma)\sigma$  for  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . Then one has the compatibility relation  $\mathfrak{M} \circ \text{Tw} = \partial \circ \mathfrak{M}$ .

Let  $u = \chi(\gamma)$  be the image of our topological generator  $\gamma$  under the cyclotomic character, so that  $\text{Tw}$  maps  $X$  to  $u(1 + X) - 1$ . If  $m \geq 0$  is an integer, we define  $\omega_{n,m}(X) = \omega_n(u^{-m}(1 + X) - 1)$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_{n,m} = \prod_{i=0}^m \omega_{n,i}$ . By exactly the same argument as Theorem 2.1, this gives the following isomorphism of  $\Lambda$ -modules:

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda_{n,m} := \Lambda / \tilde{\omega}_{n-1,m} \Lambda \cong (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} / \varphi^n(\pi^{m+1})(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0}.$$

We will need below the following technical result, regarding the interaction between Mellin transforms and the Iwasawa invariants of power series. We recall the *Weierstrass preparation theorem*, which states that any  $F \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  can be factorized uniquely as

$$F(X) = \varpi^{\mu(F)} \cdot (X^{\lambda(F)} + \varpi G(X)) \cdot u(X),$$

where  $\varpi$  is a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\lambda(F)$  and  $\mu(F)$  are non-negative integers,  $G \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  is a polynomial of degree  $< \lambda(F)$ , and  $u \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]^\times$ . The quantities  $\lambda(F)$  and  $\mu(F)$  are called the *Iwasawa invariants* of  $F$ .

It is clear that, for  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}$  with  $\text{ord}_p(x) > 0$ , we have the lower bound

$$(2.3) \quad \text{ord}_p F(x) \geq \min\left(\frac{\mu+1}{e}, \frac{\mu}{e} + \lambda \text{ord}_p(x)\right),$$

where  $e = 1/\text{ord}_p(\varpi)$  is the absolute ramification degree of  $F$ . Moreover, if  $\text{ord}_p(x)$  is sufficiently small (depending on  $F$ ), this lower bound is an equality (it suffices to take  $\text{ord}_p(x) < 1/(e\lambda)$ ).

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $f \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , and let  $g$  be the unique element of  $\Lambda(\Gamma_1)$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}(g) = (1 + \pi)\varphi(f)$ . Then the  $\lambda$ - and  $\mu$ -invariants of  $f$  (as an element of  $\mathcal{O}[[\pi]]$ ) coincide with those of  $g$  (as an element of  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ ).*

**Proof** This is a consequence of [LZ13, Proposition 7.2], which shows that for any  $f \in \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}(g) = (1 + \pi)\varphi(f)$ , and any real  $s$  with  $0 < s < 1$ , we have  $v_s(f) = v_s(g)$ , where

$$v_s(f) := \inf\{\text{ord}_p f(x) : \text{ord}_p(x) \geq s\}.$$

When  $f \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  and  $s$  is sufficiently small,  $v_s(f)$  is determined by the Iwasawa invariants of  $f$ ; from the inequality (2.3) and the discussion following, we have  $v_s(f) = \frac{1}{e}\mu(f) + \lambda(f)s$  for any  $s < \frac{1}{e\lambda(f)}$ . So the cited proposition implies the equalities  $\lambda(f) = \lambda(g)$  and  $\mu(f) = \mu(g)$ . ■

### 2.4 Crystalline Representations and Wach Modules

Fontaine has defined a certain topological  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$ , equipped with an action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , a filtration  $\text{Fil}^\bullet$ , and a Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi$ .

For any  $p$ -adic representation  $V$  of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , we define the *crystalline Dieudonné module* of  $V$  by  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ . The space  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  inherits a filtration and a Frobenius endomorphism from those of  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}}$ . It is known that  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \leq$

$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ , and we say  $V$  is *crystalline* if equality holds. If in fact  $V$  is an  $E$ -linear representation, then  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is naturally an  $E$ -vector space (and its filtration and Frobenius are  $E$ -linear).

**Definition 2.3** Let  $a \leq b$  be integers. A *Wach module* over  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$  with weights in  $[a, b]$  is a finite free  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module  $N$ , equipped with an action of  $\Gamma$  and a Frobenius

$$\varphi: N[1/\pi] \rightarrow N[1/\varphi(\pi)],$$

compatible with those of  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- $\Gamma$  acts trivially on  $N/\pi N$ ;
- $\varphi(\pi^b N) \subseteq \pi^b N$ ;
- if  $\varphi^*(\pi^b N)$  is the  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -submodule of  $\pi^b N$  generated by  $\varphi(\pi^b N)$ , then the quotient  $\pi^b N/\varphi^*(\pi^b N)$  is killed by  $q^{b-a}$ .

In [Ber04, Definition III.4.1] it is shown how to attach to every crystalline  $E$ -linear representation  $V$  of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  a Wach module  $\mathbb{N}(V)$  over  $\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , in such a way that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{N}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{B}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+[1/t] \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_E \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+[1/t].$$

Moreover, the definition of Wach modules also makes sense integrally, *i.e.*, over  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , and we can associate with each  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice  $T$  in  $V$  that is stable under  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  an integral Wach module  $\mathbb{N}(T) \subset \mathbb{N}(V)$  (Lemme II.1.3 of *op.cit.*).

**Definition 2.4** We say  $V$  satisfies the *Fontaine–Laffaille condition* if it is crystalline and has Hodge–Tate weights in  $[a, a + (p - 1)]$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $V$  satisfies the Fontaine–Laffaille condition, and  $V$  is irreducible of dimension  $\geq 2$ , then one has a particularly convenient parametrisation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable lattices in  $V$ . We say a  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice  $M \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is a *strongly divisible lattice* if the equality

$$\varphi(M \cap \text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)) \subset p^i M$$

holds for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there is a bijection  $T \mapsto \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$  between  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable lattices in  $V$ , and strongly divisible lattices in  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ , given by defining  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$  to be the image of  $\mathbb{N}(T)$  in  $\mathbb{N}(V)/\pi\mathbb{N}(V) \cong \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ ; cf. [Ber04, Propositions V.2.1 & V.2.3].

We shall need the following technical result.

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $T$  be a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice in a crystalline  $E$ -linear representation  $V$ . Then  $(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T))^{\psi=0}$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module of rank  $d = \dim_E V$ . Moreover, if  $\{n_1, \dots, n_d\}$  is an  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -basis of  $\mathbb{N}(T)$  that satisfies the condition

$$(\gamma - 1)n_i \in \pi^2\mathbb{N}(T)$$

for all  $i$ , then  $\{(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_i) : i = 1, \dots, d\}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -module basis of  $(\varphi^*\mathbb{N}(T))^{\psi=0}$ .

**Proof** This is shown in the course of the proof of [LLZ10, Theorem 3.5]. The condition on the basis modulo  $\pi^2$  is the conclusion of Lemma 3.9 in *op. cit.* ■

### 2.5 Iwasawa Cohomology and the Fontaine Isomorphism

If  $V$  is an  $E$ -linear  $p$ -adic representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , and  $T \subset V$  is a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattice, then we define *Iwasawa cohomology* groups by

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) = \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$$

(where the inverse limit is with respect to the corestriction maps). These groups are finitely-generated  $\Lambda$ -modules, zero unless  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . If  $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T/pT) = 0$ , which is the case in our applications below, then  $H_{\text{Iw}}^2$  is zero, and  $H_{\text{Iw}}^1$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module of rank equal to the  $\mathcal{O}$ -rank of  $T$ .

The following theorem is the starting-point for our study of Iwasawa cohomology.

**Theorem 2.6** (Fontaine–Berger) *If  $V$  is crystalline with all Hodge–Tate weights  $\geq 0$ , and  $V$  has no non-zero quotient on which  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  acts trivially, then there is a canonical  $\Lambda$ -module isomorphism*

$$h_T^1: \mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1} \longrightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T).$$

See [CC99, §II.1], where it is shown that (for any  $T$ ) there is an isomorphism  $\mathbb{D}(T)^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$ , where  $\mathbb{D}(T)$  is the  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -module of  $T$ ; and [Ber03, §A], where it is shown that  $\mathbb{N}(T)^{\psi=1} = \mathbb{D}(T)^{\psi=1}$  under the above hypotheses.

## 3 Wach Modules and Coleman Maps

### 3.1 Review on the Definition of Coleman Maps

Let  $f = \sum a_n q^n$  be a normalised new cuspidal modular eigenform of weight  $k \geq 3$  (note that the case  $k = 2$  can be dealt with using the method of Sprung in [Spr13]), nebentypus  $\varepsilon$  and level  $N$  with  $(p, N) = 1$ . We take  $E$  to be the completion of the smallest number field containing all the coefficients of  $f$  at some fixed prime above  $p$ . We assume that  $f$  is non-ordinary at  $p$  and that  $k \leq p$ . We write  $T_f$  for the  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  associated with  $f$  as defined by Kato [Kat04, 8.3]. It is crystalline, with Hodge–Tate weights 0 and  $1 - k$ . We fix an integer  $j \in [1, k - 1]$  and write  $T = T_f(j)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = T_f(k - 1)$ . Note that  $T = \mathcal{T}(j - k + 1)$ .

The representation  $T/\varpi T$  (where  $\varpi$  is a uniformiser of  $\mathcal{O}$ ) is irreducible as a representation of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , so, in particular, we have

$$H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T/\varpi T) = 0.$$

Both  $T_f$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -lattices in crystalline representations of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ , so we can consider their Wach modules and Dieudonné modules. By [Ber04, Proposition III.2.1], there are inclusions of  $\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}) &\subset \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}), \\ \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T_f) &\subset \mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+} \mathbb{N}(T_f), \end{aligned}$$

where the elementary divisors of the inclusions are given by 1 and  $(t/\pi)^{k-1}$  in both cases.

**Lemma 3.1** *There exists an  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  such that  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the Frobenius action on  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ .*

**Proof** The Fontaine–Laffaille condition of [FL82] implies that for all integers  $i$

- (a)  $\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  is a direct summand of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ ;
- (b)  $\varphi(\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})) \subset p^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ ;
- (c)  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \sum_i p^{-i} \varphi(\text{Fil}^i \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}))$ .

The Hodge–Tate weights of  $\mathcal{T}$  are 0 and  $k - 1$ , so  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  is of rank 1, say  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1$  and (b) says that  $\mathbf{v}_2 := \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ . Furthermore, (a) tells us that there exists some  $\mathbf{v}' \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  such that

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 \oplus \mathcal{O} \cdot \mathbf{v}'.$$

By (c), we have

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O} \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) + p^{k-1} \varphi(\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})).$$

Combing the last two equations gives

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{O} \cdot \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1) \oplus \mathcal{O} \cdot p^{k-1} \varphi(\mathbf{v}').$$

Let  $D$  be the  $\mathcal{O}$ -lattice generated by  $\widehat{A} - \mathbf{v}_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_2$ . Note that (3.1) implies that

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbf{v}' \in D + \mathcal{O} \cdot p^{k-1} \varphi(\mathbf{v}').$$

As  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \varphi(\mathbf{v}_1)$  and

$$\varphi^2 - \frac{a_p}{p^{k-1}} \varphi + \frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p^{k-1}} = 0$$

on  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ , we have  $p^{k-1} \varphi(\mathbf{v}_2) = a_p \mathbf{v}_2 - \varepsilon(p) \mathbf{v}_1$ . In particular, this implies that  $p^{k-1} \varphi(D) \subset D$ . Hence, we may iterate the inclusion (3.2) to deduce that

$$\mathbf{v}' \in D + \mathcal{O} \cdot (p^{k-1} \varphi)^n(\mathbf{v}')$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . However, as  $f$  is non-ordinary at  $p$ ,  $p^{k-1} \varphi$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -operator on  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  with strictly positive slope. This implies that  $(p^{k-1} \varphi)^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which forces that  $\mathbf{v}' \in D$ . Hence,  $D = \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ , as required. ■

We fix an  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ , as given by Lemma 3.1. Since  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})/\pi\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})$ , this basis can be lifted to a basis  $\mathbf{n}_1, \mathbf{n}_2$  of  $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})$  as an  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ -module. There is a change of basis matrix  $M \in M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig}, \mathbb{Q}_p}^+)$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathbf{n}_1 \quad \mathbf{n}_2) = (\mathbf{v}_1 \quad \mathbf{v}_2) M$$

and  $M \equiv I_2 \pmod{\pi}$ , where  $I_2$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. We write

$$\begin{aligned} v_i &= \mathbf{v}_i \cdot t^{k-j-1} e_{-k+j+1}, & n_i &= \mathbf{n}_i \cdot \pi^{k-j-1} e_{-k+j+1}, \\ v_{f,i} &= \mathbf{v}_i \cdot t^{k-1} e_{1-k}, & n_{f,i} &= \mathbf{n}_i \cdot \pi^{k-1} e_{1-k} \end{aligned}$$

for the corresponding bases of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{N}(T)$ ,  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T_f)$ , and  $\mathbb{N}(T_f)$  respectively. Here  $e_r$  denotes a basis of the Tate motive  $\mathcal{O}(\chi^r)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By [Ber04, proof of Proposition V.2.3] and [Lei15, Proposition 4.2], we can choose our bases so that

$$(3.4) \quad M \equiv I_2 \pmod{\pi^{k-1}}$$

and that the matrices of  $\varphi$  with respect to  $v_{1,f}, v_{2,f}$  and  $n_{1,f}, n_{2,f}$  are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon(p) \\ p^{k-1} & a_p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\varepsilon(p) \\ (\delta q)^{k-1} & a_p \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, where  $\delta = p/(q - \pi^{p-1}) \in (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\times}$ . Then the matrices of  $\varphi$  with respect to  $v_1, v_2$  and  $n_1, n_2$  are given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\varepsilon(p)}{p^{k-1}} \\ 1 & \frac{a_p}{p^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\varepsilon(p)}{q^{k-1}} \\ \delta^{k-1} & \frac{a_p}{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 3.2** We define the logarithmic matrix  $M_{\text{log}}$  (with respect to the chosen bases) to be  $\mathfrak{M}^{-1}((1 + \pi)A\varphi(M))$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $n_1, n_2$  be the basis of  $\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})$  chosen above. Then  $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1)$  and  $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_2)$  form a  $\Lambda$ -basis of  $(\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}))^{\psi=0}$ .

**Proof** Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be a topological generator. Then (3.3) tells us that

$$(\gamma \cdot n_1 \quad \gamma \cdot n_2) = (v_1 \quad v_2) \gamma(M).$$

This gives the equation

$$(\gamma \cdot n_1 \quad \gamma \cdot n_2) = (n_1 \quad n_2) M^{-1} \cdot \gamma(M).$$

Hence, for both  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $(1 - \gamma)n_i \in \pi^{k-1}\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})$  thanks to (3.4). As we assume that  $k \geq 3$ , we have, in particular,

$$(1 - \gamma)n_i \in \pi^2\mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}),$$

which is the condition required in Theorem 2.5<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, our result follows. ■

Recall from [LLZ10, Remark 3.4] that for all  $z \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})^{\psi=1}$ , we have  $(1 - \varphi)z \in (\varphi^* \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T}))^{\psi=0}$ . The latter is free of rank 2 over  $\Lambda$ , with basis  $(1 + \pi)\varphi(n_1), (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_2)$  as given by Theorem 3.3. This allows us to define the Coleman maps (again, with respect to our chosen bases) as follows.

**Definition 3.4** For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we define the  $\Lambda$ -homomorphisms  $\text{Col}_i: \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})^{\psi=1} \rightarrow \Lambda$  given by the relation

$$(1 - \varphi)z = \sum_{i=1}^2 \text{Col}_i(z) \cdot (1 + \pi)\varphi(n_i) = (v_1 \quad v_2) \cdot M_{\text{log}} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Col}_1(z) \\ \text{Col}_2(z) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $h_{\mathcal{T}}^1: \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})^{\psi=1} \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T})$  be the  $\Lambda$ -isomorphism given by Theorem 2.6. By an abuse of notation, we shall write  $\text{Col}_1, \text{Col}_2$  for the compositions  $\text{Col}_1 \circ (h_{\mathcal{T}}^1)^{-1}$  and  $\text{Col}_2 \circ (h_{\mathcal{T}}^1)^{-1}$  as well.

<sup>2</sup>This is the only place where we use the assumption that  $k \geq 3$ .

### 3.2 A Finite Projection of the Coleman Maps

**Definition 3.5** For each  $n \geq 1$ , we define  $H_n = \varphi^{n-1}(P^{-1}) \cdots \varphi(P^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathfrak{M}^{-1}((1 + \pi)H_n)$ .

**Remark 3.6** Note that  $H_n \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_n \in \Lambda$ ; and  $H_1 = \mathcal{H}_1 = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.7** We have the congruence

$$M_{1\log} \equiv A^n \cdot \mathcal{H}_n \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X)\mathcal{H}}.$$

**Proof** From (3.3), we have the relation  $MP = A\varphi(M)$ , which we can rewrite as  $M = A\varphi(M)P^{-1}$ . On iteration, we have

$$M = A^{n-1}\varphi^{n-1}(M)\varphi^{n-2}(P^{-1}) \cdots \varphi(P^{-1})P^{-1}.$$

By (3.4), we have  $\varphi^{n-1}(M) = 1 \pmod{\varphi^{n-1}(\pi^{k-1})}$ , so this implies that

$$M \equiv A^{n-1}\varphi^{n-2}(P^{-1}) \cdots \varphi(P^{-1})P^{-1} \pmod{\varphi^{n-1}(\pi^{k-1})}.$$

This implies that

$$\varphi(M) \equiv A^{n-1} \cdot H_n \pmod{\varphi^n(\pi^{k-1})}.$$

Hence, we have the result by (2.2). ■

**Lemma 3.8** For all  $n \geq 1$  and  $z \in \mathbb{N}(\mathcal{T})^{\psi=1}$ ,  $(1 \otimes \varphi^{-n}) \circ (1 - \varphi)z$  is congruent to an element in  $\Lambda_{n,k-2} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  modulo  $\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X)\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ .

**Proof** By Lemma 3.7 and the equation in Definition 3.4, we have the congruence

$$(1 - \varphi)z \equiv (v_1 \ v_2) \cdot A^n \cdot \mathcal{H}_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Col}_1(z) \\ \text{Col}_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X)\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})}.$$

If we apply  $(1 \otimes \varphi^{-n})$  to both sides, we obtain

$$(1 \otimes \varphi^{-n}) \circ (1 - \varphi)z \equiv (v_1 \ v_2) \cdot \mathcal{H}_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Col}_1(z) \\ \text{Col}_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X)\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})}.$$

As  $\mathcal{H}_n$ ,  $\text{Col}_1(z)$  and  $\text{Col}_2(z)$  are all defined over  $\Lambda$ , we see that  $(1 \otimes \varphi^{-n}) \circ (1 - \varphi)z$  is indeed congruent to an element in  $\Lambda_{n,p-2} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$ . ■

This allows us to give the following definition.

**Definition 3.9** For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Col}}_n: H_{1w}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}) &\longrightarrow \Lambda_{n,k-2} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) \\ z &\longmapsto (1 \otimes \varphi^{-n}) \circ (1 - \varphi) \circ (h_{\mathcal{T}}^1)^{-1}(z) \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X)}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $h_{\mathcal{T}}^1$  is an isomorphism by Theorem 2.6. Therefore, Lemma 3.8 tells us that the map  $\underline{\text{Col}}_n$  is well defined.

For an integer  $m$ , we define the twisting map

$$\text{Tw}_m := \text{Tw}^{-m} \otimes t^{-m} e_m: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}(m)).$$

Consider the twisting map  $\text{Tw}^{k-j-1}: \sigma \mapsto \chi^{k-j-1}(\sigma)\sigma$  on  $\Lambda$ . Since  $k - j - 1 \leq k - 1$ ,  $\text{Tw}^{k-j-1}(\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}(X))$  is divisible by  $\omega_{n-1}(X)$ . Hence,  $\text{Tw}^{k-j-1}$  induces a natural map  $\Lambda_{n,k-2} \rightarrow \Lambda_n$ . Therefore, we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}: H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) &\longrightarrow \Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T) \\ z &\longmapsto \text{Tw}_{-k+j+1} \circ \underline{\text{Col}}_n(z \cdot e_{k-j-1}) \pmod{\omega_{n-1}(X)}, \end{aligned}$$

on identifying  $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \cdot e_{k-j-1}$  with  $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T})$ .

**Lemma 3.10** *The map  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}$  defines a  $\Lambda_n$ -homomorphism from  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  to  $\Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$ .*

**Proof** We note that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}$  is a  $\Lambda$ -homomorphism, since both  $\underline{\text{Col}}_n$  and

$$x \mapsto \text{Tw}^{k-j-1} \circ (x \cdot e_{k-j-1})$$

are  $\Lambda$ -linear. The fact that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}$  factors through  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  follows from the equation  $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)_{\Gamma_n} = H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  (because of the vanishing of  $H_{\text{Iw}}^2(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T)$ ). ■

We have the explicit formula

$$(3.5) \quad \underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}(z) \equiv (v_1 \quad v_2) \cdot \text{Tw}^{k-1-j} \left( \mathcal{H}_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Col}_1(z \cdot e_{k-1-j}) \\ \text{Col}_2(z \cdot e_{k-1-j}) \end{pmatrix} \right) \pmod{\omega_{n-1}(X) \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)},$$

by Lemma 3.7 and the expansion of  $1 - \varphi$  as given in Definition 3.4.

We now modify the definition of  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}$  to define a map that lands in  $\Lambda_n$ . For any  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ , we define  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}: H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T) \rightarrow \Lambda_n$  to be the composition of  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n}$  and the linear functional on  $\Lambda_n \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T) \rightarrow \Lambda_n$  given by  $a \cdot v_1 + b \cdot v_2 \mapsto a + ub$ . More explicitly, (3.5) tells us that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$  is given by

$$(3.6) \quad \underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}(z) \equiv (1 \quad u) \cdot \text{Tw}^{k-1-j} \left( \mathcal{H}_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \text{Col}_1(z \cdot e_{k-1-j}) \\ \text{Col}_2(z \cdot e_{k-1-j}) \end{pmatrix} \right) \pmod{\omega_{n-1}(X) \Lambda}.$$

Note that Lemma 3.10 tells us that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$  is  $\Lambda_n$ -linear.

### 3.3 Analysis of Bloch–Kato Subgroups Via Coleman Maps

If  $F$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , we write  $H_f^1(F, T) \subset H^1(F, T)$  for the usual Bloch–Kato subgroup from [BK90] and  $H_{f_f}^1(F, T)$  denotes the quotient  $H^1(F, T)/H_f^1(F, T)$ . The goal of this section is to study  $H_{f_f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  via the map  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{T}^*$  be the  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear dual of  $\mathcal{T}$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , we define the pairing

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n: H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}) \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}^*(1)) &\longrightarrow \Lambda_n \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_n} [x, y^\sigma]_n \sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_n$  is the standard cup-product pairing

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}) \times H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

On taking inverse limits, this induces a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}) \times H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}^*(1)) \longrightarrow \Lambda.$$

It is semi-linear over  $\Lambda$  with respect to the involution on  $\Lambda$  (which we denote by  $\tilde{\tau}$ ) in the following sense:

$$\langle \sigma x, y \rangle = \sigma \langle x, y \rangle, \quad \langle x, \sigma y \rangle = \sigma^{\tilde{\tau}} \langle x, y \rangle$$

We can extend the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by semi-linearity to

$$(\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}} H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T})) \times (\mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{O}} H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}^*(1))) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

which is again denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by an abuse of notation.

Recall that in [PR94], Perrin-Riou defined the big exponential map

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{T}^*(1),1}: (\mathbb{B}_{\text{rig},\mathbb{Q}_p}^+)^{\psi=0} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}^*(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}^*(1)).$$

By [LLZ11, proof of Proposition 4.8], for all  $z \in H_{Iw}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T})$ ,

$$(\mathfrak{M}^{-1} \otimes 1)(1 - \varphi)z = \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle z, \Omega_{\mathcal{T}^*(1),1}((1 + \pi) \otimes \mathbf{v}'_i) \rangle \mathbf{v}_i,$$

where  $\mathbf{v}'_1, \mathbf{v}'_2$  is the dual basis of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}^*(1))$  to  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$  with respect to the natural pairing

$$[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}) \times \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T}^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Col}_n(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle z, \Omega_{\mathcal{T}^*(1),1}((1 + \pi) \otimes \mathbf{v}'_i) \rangle \varphi^{-n}(\mathbf{v}_i) \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \langle z, \Omega_{\mathcal{T}^*(1),1}((1 + \pi) \otimes (p\varphi)^n(\mathbf{v}'_i)) \rangle \mathbf{v}_i \pmod{\tilde{\omega}_{n-1,k-2}} \end{aligned}$$

as the dual of  $\varphi^{-1}$  with respect to  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is  $p\varphi$ . This description allows us to make the following choice of  $u$  to describe the kernel of  $\text{Col}_{T,n,u}$ .

**Proposition 3.11** *There exists  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  such that  $\ker(\text{Col}_{T,n,u}) = H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$ .*

**Proof** Write

$$v' = (\mathbf{v}'_1 + u\mathbf{v}'_2) \cdot t^{-k+j+1} e_{k-j-1} \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T^*(1))$$

and let  $z \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$ . If  $\theta$  is a Dirichlet character of conductor  $p^m > 1$ , we have the interpolation formula of Perrin-Riou [PR94, §3.2.3] (see also [Leil1, §3.2])

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\theta(\text{Col}_{T,n,u}(z))}{(-1)^{k-j-1}(k-j-1)!} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_m} \frac{\theta^{-1}(\sigma)}{\tau(\theta)} \left[ \exp_{T,m}^*(z^\sigma), p^n \varphi^{n-m}(v') \right],$$

where  $\exp_{T,m}^*: H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^m}), T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^m}) \otimes \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$  is the Bloch-Kato dual exponential map and  $\tau(\theta)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\theta$ . There is a similar formula when  $\theta$  is the trivial character on replacing  $\varphi^{-m}$  by  $(1 - (\varphi^{-1}/p))(1 - \varphi)^{-1}$ . We note that here  $\exp_{T,m}^*(z)$  is the shorthand for  $\exp_{T,m}^* \circ \text{cor}_{n/m}(z)$ , where  $\text{cor}_{n/m}$  denotes the corestriction map  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^m}), T)$ . Recall that  $\exp_{T,n}^*$  factors

through  $H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$ . Therefore, we see that  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  is contained in  $\ker(\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u})$ .

We choose  $u$  so that  $\varphi^{n-m}(v')$ ,  $1 \leq m \leq n$  and  $\varphi^n(1 - (\varphi^{-1})/p)(1 - \varphi)^{-1}(v')$  are not contained inside  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$ . We note that such  $u$  exists, since all maps are surjective on  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  and  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)$  is of dimension one. Let  $v''$  be any  $\mathcal{O}$ -basis of  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$ . In particular, for each  $m \geq 1$ , there exists a non-zero constant  $c_m \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\varphi^{n-m}(v') \equiv c_m v''$  and  $\varphi^n(1 - \frac{\varphi^{-1}}{p})(1 - \varphi)^{-1}(v') \equiv c_0 v''$  modulo  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$ .

Suppose that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}(z) = 0$ . From (3.7), we deduce that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma/\Gamma_n} \theta^{-1}(\sigma) [\exp_{T,n}^*(z^\sigma), v''] = 0$$

for all characters  $\theta$  on  $\Gamma/\Gamma_n$ . By the independence of the characters, this implies that  $[\exp_{T,n}^*(z^\sigma), v''] = 0$  for all  $\sigma$ . In particular,  $z$  is contained in the kernel of  $\exp_{T,n}^*$ , which is  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$ . ■

**Corollary 3.12** For any  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  that satisfies the condition of Proposition 3.11,  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$  induces an injection of  $\Lambda_n$ -modules,  $H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_n$ , whose cokernel is finite.

**Proof** The injectivity follows from Proposition 3.11. By [BK90, Theorem 4.1],  $H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V)/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ . Hence, by duality  $H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ . Therefore, the finiteness of the cokernel follows from the fact that the two sides have the same  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank. ■

We remark that our map  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$  does depend on the choice of  $u$ , but it does not affect our calculations later; see the proof of Proposition 4.11.

## 4 Results on $p$ -adic Valuations

### 4.1 Review of Kobayashi Rank

Given an  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $N$ , we will write  $\text{len}(N)$  for the  $\mathcal{O}$ -length of  $N$ . We fix a family of primitive  $p^n$ -th root of unity  $\zeta_{p^n}$  and write  $\epsilon_n = \zeta_{p^n} - 1$ .

**Definition 4.1** Let  $N = (N_n)$  be an inverse system of finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules with transition maps  $\pi_n: N_n \rightarrow N_{n-1}$ . If  $\pi_n$  has finite kernel and cokernel, the Kobayashi rank  $\nabla N_n$  is defined as

$$\nabla N_n := \text{len}(\ker \pi_n) - \text{len}(\text{coker } \pi_n) + \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} N_{n-1}.$$

If  $L$  is an  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ -module, we define  $\nabla_n L$  to be  $\nabla(L/\omega_n(X)L)$ , with the connecting map given by the natural projection  $L/\omega_n(X)L \rightarrow L/\omega_{n-1}(X)L$ , if its kernel and cokernel are finite.

**Lemma 4.2** Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  be a non-zero element. Let  $N$  be the inverse limit defined by  $N_n = \mathcal{O}[[X]]/(F, \omega_n)$ , where the connecting maps are the natural projections.

- (i) Suppose that  $F(\epsilon_n) \neq 0$ ; then  $\nabla N_n$  is defined and is equal to  $\text{ord}_{\epsilon_n} F(\epsilon_n)$ .
- (ii) When  $n$  is sufficiently large,  $\nabla N_n$  is defined. Furthermore,

$$\nabla N_n = e \times \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n} F(\epsilon_n) = e\lambda(F) + (p^n - p^{n-1})\mu(F),$$

where  $e$  is the ramification index of  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\lambda(F)$ ,  $\mu(F)$  are the Iwasawa invariants as defined in §2.3.

- (iii) If  $L$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ -module, then  $\nabla_n L$  is defined for  $n \gg 0$  and its value is given by

$$\lambda(L) + (p^n - p^{n-1})\mu(L),$$

where  $\lambda(L)$  and  $\mu(L)$  are the  $\lambda$ - and  $\mu$ -invariants of a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $L$ .

**Proof** This follows from the same proof as [Kob03, Lemma 10.5]. ■

We write  $p^r$  for the size of the residue field of  $E$ . The following lemma allows us to relate the growth in the size of a tower of finite  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules and Kobayashi ranks.

**Lemma 4.3** Suppose that  $N = (N_n)$  is an inverse limit of finite  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules such that  $|N_n| = p^{s_n}$  for some integer  $s_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $r\nabla N_n = s_n - s_{n-1}$ .

**Proof** Since  $N_{n-1}$  is finite, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla N_n &= \text{len}(\ker \pi_n) - \text{len}(\text{coker } \pi_n) \\ &= (\text{len}(N_n) - \text{len}(\text{Im } \pi_n)) - (\text{len}(N_{n-1}) - \text{len}(\text{Im } \pi_n)) \\ &= \text{len}(N_n) - \text{len}(N_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In general, if  $L$  is a finite  $\mathcal{O}$ -module, then  $|L| = p^{r\text{len}(L)}$ . Hence the result. ■

Finally, we prove a lemma on  $p$ -adic valuations that will be needed later.

**Lemma 4.4** Let  $F \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  be non-zero. Then for all sufficiently large integers  $n$  we have

$$\text{ord}_p F(\epsilon_n) = \text{ord}_p \mathfrak{M}(F)(\epsilon_{n+1}).$$

Moreover, for  $n \gg 0$  we also have

$$\text{ord}_p F(\epsilon_n) = \text{ord}_p \text{Tw}(F)(\epsilon_n).$$

**Proof** We can write  $\mathfrak{M}(F) = (1 + \pi)\varphi(G)$  for some  $G \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^+$ . By Proposition 2.2,  $F$  and  $G$  have the same Iwasawa invariants, so  $\text{ord}_p F(\epsilon_n) = \text{ord}_p G(\epsilon_n)$  for  $n \gg 0$ . This implies the first part of the lemma, since  $(1 + \pi)\varphi(G)(\epsilon_{n+1}) = \zeta_{p^{n+1}}G(\epsilon_n)$ . The second part of the lemma follows from the fact that  $\text{Tw}$  preserves  $\mu$ - and  $\lambda$ -invariants. ■

**4.2 Calculations on Evaluation Matrices**

From now on, we will write  $v = \text{ord}_p(a_p)$ , where  $a_p$  is the  $p$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ . Following [Spr13, §4.1], given any  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ , we write

$$\text{ord}_p(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{ord}_p(a) & \text{ord}_p(b) \\ \text{ord}_p(c) & \text{ord}_p(d) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.5** *Let  $1 \leq i \leq n - 2$ ; then*

$$\text{ord}_p(\varphi^i(P^{-1})(\epsilon_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \frac{k-1}{p^{n-i-1}} & \infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof** Recall that

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\varepsilon(p)}{q^{k-1}} \\ \delta^{k-1} & \frac{a_p}{q^{k-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

so its inverse is given by

$$P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_p}{\delta^{k-1}\varepsilon(p)} & \frac{1}{\delta^{k-1}} \\ -\frac{q^{k-1}}{\varepsilon(p)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, our result follows from the fact that  $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ ,  $\varepsilon(p) \in \mathcal{O}^\times$  and  $\varphi^i(q)$  is equal to the  $p^{i+1}$ -cyclotomic polynomial, so  $\varphi^i(q)(\epsilon_n) = (\zeta_{p^{n-i-1}} - 1)/(\zeta_{p^{n-i}} - 1)$  whose  $p$ -adic valuation is  $1/p^{n-i-1}$ . ■

**Proposition 4.6** *Assume that  $2v > \frac{k-1}{p}$ . For all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\text{ord}_p(H_n(\epsilon_n)) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} v + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} & \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty & \infty \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} & v + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty & \infty \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof** By Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\text{ord}_p(H_n(\epsilon_n)) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \infty & \infty \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \frac{k-1}{p} & \infty \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \frac{k-1}{p^{n-1}} & \infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular,

$$(4.1) \quad \text{ord}_p(H_{n+1}(\epsilon_{n+1})) = \text{ord}_p(H_n(\epsilon_n)) \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \frac{k-1}{p^n} & \infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{ord}_p(H_1(\epsilon_1)) = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ \infty & \infty \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ord}_p(H_2(\epsilon_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k-1}{p} & v \\ \infty & \infty \end{pmatrix},$$

since  $2v > \frac{k-1}{p}$  by our assumption.

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_p(H_{2\ell-1}(\epsilon_{2\ell-1})) &= \left( \begin{array}{c} v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \\ \infty \end{array} \right), \\ \text{ord}_p(H_{2\ell}(\epsilon_{2\ell})) &= \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \\ \infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some integer  $\ell \geq 1$ . By (4.1), we have first of all

$$\text{ord}_p(H_{2\ell+1}(\epsilon_{2\ell+1})) = \left( \begin{array}{c} v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \\ \infty \end{array} \right),$$

because  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} < \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}}$ . On applying (4.1) again, we have

$$\text{ord}_p(H_{2\ell+2}(\epsilon_{2\ell+2})) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \\ \infty \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \\ \infty \end{array} \right)$$

thanks to our assumption that  $2v > \frac{k-1}{p}$ , which implies that

$$2v + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} > \sum_{i=1}^{\ell+1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}}.$$

Therefore, our result follows by induction. ■

For  $i = 1, 2$ , we fix two elements  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  with  $\mu_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  being its  $\mu$ - and  $\lambda$ -invariants.

**Corollary 4.7** Under the condition that  $2v > \frac{k-1}{p}$ , for  $n \gg 0$  we have the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,1} \cdot F_1(\epsilon_n)) &= \begin{cases} \lambda_1 + (p^n - p^{n-1}) \left( \frac{\mu_1}{e} + v + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \right) & n \text{ odd,} \\ \lambda_1 + (p^n - p^{n-1}) \left( \frac{\mu_1}{e} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} \right) & n \text{ even,} \end{cases} \\ \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,2} \cdot F_2(\epsilon_n)) &= \begin{cases} \lambda_2 + (p^n - p^{n-1}) \left( \frac{\mu_2}{e} + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \right) & n \text{ odd,} \\ \lambda_2 + (p^n - p^{n-1}) \left( \frac{\mu_2}{e} + v + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} \right) & n \text{ even.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** By Lemma 4.4,  $\text{ord}_p \mathcal{H}_{n+1}(\epsilon_n) = \text{ord}_p H_n(\epsilon_n)$ . Hence, our result follows by combining Proposition 4.6 with Lemma 4.2(ii). ■

**Corollary 4.8** Suppose that  $2v > \frac{k-1}{p}$ . For  $n \gg 0$  and  $n$  odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,1} \cdot F_1(\epsilon_n)) < \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,2} \cdot F_2(\epsilon_n)) & \text{ if } \frac{\mu_1}{e} + v + \frac{k-1}{p+1} \leq \frac{\mu_2}{e}, \\ \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,1} \cdot F_1(\epsilon_n)) > \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,2} \cdot F_2(\epsilon_n)) & \text{ if } \frac{\mu_1}{e} + v + \frac{k-1}{p+1} > \frac{\mu_2}{e}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \gg 0$  and  $n$  even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,1} \cdot F_1(\epsilon_n)) &< \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,2} \cdot F_2(\epsilon_n)) && \text{if } \frac{\mu_1}{e} < \frac{\mu_2}{e} + \nu + \frac{k-1}{p+1}, \\ \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,1} \cdot F_1(\epsilon_n)) &> \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,2} \cdot F_2(\epsilon_n)) && \text{if } \frac{\mu_1}{e} \geq \frac{\mu_2}{e} + \nu + \frac{k-1}{p+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i-1}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \frac{k-1}{p^{2i}} > 0$$

and that both sequences are strictly increasing and tend to  $\frac{k-1}{p+1}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we have the result. ■

### 4.3 Some Global Iwasawa Modules

For  $n \geq 0$  let us write  $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})$ .

**Definition 4.9** (cf. [Kat04, §12.2]) For  $m \geq 0$ , we define

$$\mathbb{H}^m(T) := \varprojlim_n H_{\text{ét}}^m(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{K_n}[1/p], j_* T),$$

where the inverse limit is respect to the corestriction maps, and  $j$  is the inclusion map  $\text{Spec } K_n \hookrightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{K_n}[1/p]$ .

By [Kat04, 12.4(3)], the modules  $\mathbb{H}^m(T)$  are finitely-generated over  $\Lambda$ , and are zero unless  $m \in \{1, 2\}$ ; and  $\mathbb{H}^1(T)$  is free of rank 1 over  $\Lambda$ . We fix an element  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{H}^1(T)$  so that  $\mathbb{H}^1(T) = \Lambda \cdot \mathbf{z}$ . Tensoring with the basis vector  $e_{k-1-j}$  of  $\mathcal{O}(k-1-j)$  gives a bijection

$$\mathbb{H}^1(T) \cong \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{T}),$$

and (in a slight abuse of notation) we will write  $\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})$  for the image of  $\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{k-1-j}$  under  $\text{Col}_i$  composed with the localization map  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T})$ .

**Definition 4.10** For  $i = 1, 2$  and  $\eta$  a Dirichlet character modulo  $p$ . Let  $\mu_i^\eta$  be the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})^\eta$ . For each  $n \geq 1$ , we define an integer  $\tau(n, \eta) \in \{1, 2\}$  by

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \frac{\mu_1^\eta}{e} + \nu + \frac{k-1}{p+1} \leq \frac{\mu_2^\eta}{e} \text{ and } n \text{ odd or } \frac{\mu_1^\eta}{e} < \frac{\mu_2^\eta}{e} + \nu + \frac{k-1}{p+1} \text{ and } n \text{ even,} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we write  $q_n^* = \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n}((\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,\tau(n,\eta)}(\epsilon_n))$ .

Note in particular that  $q_n^*$  is a sum of some powers of  $p$ , together with possibly  $\nu$ , as given by Proposition 4.6. Furthermore, Corollary 4.8 tells us that

$$(4.2) \quad \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 (\mathcal{H}_{n+1})_{1,i} \cdot \text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})^\eta(\epsilon_n) \right) = q_n^* + \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n} \text{Col}_{\tau(n,\eta)}(\mathbf{z})^\eta(\epsilon_n).$$

### 4.4 Analysis of Some Local Iwasawa Modules

For  $n \geq 1$ , we define

$$\mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) = \text{coker}(\mathbb{H}^1(T)_{\Gamma_n} \rightarrow H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)),$$

which gives an inverse limit with the connecting maps given by the corestriction maps. We would like to study  $\nabla \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  for a fixed Dirichlet character  $\eta$  modulo  $p$ .

**Proposition 4.11** *Suppose that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_1(\mathbf{z})^\eta$  and  $\underline{\text{Col}}_2(\mathbf{z})^\eta$  are non-zero. For  $n \gg 0$ ,  $\nabla \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  is defined, and its value is bounded above by*

$$\nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}^\eta \leq eq_n^* + \nabla_n(\mathcal{O}[[X]]/\text{Col}_{\tau(n,\eta)}(\mathbf{z})^\eta).$$

**Proof** Recall from Corollary 3.12, we have the injection

$$\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}: H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), T) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_{n+1}.$$

On taking  $\Gamma_n$ -coinvariants, the *same* map (not  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n,u}$ ) induces an injection

$$\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}: H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \hookrightarrow \Lambda_n,$$

which admits the same description as (3.6). We write  $\text{coker}_{n+1}$  and  $\text{coker}_n$  for the cokernels of these two maps, respectively. Then we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), T) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}} & \Lambda_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{/f}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) & \xrightarrow{\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}} & \Lambda_n & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}_n \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are all natural projections. This gives

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}})) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{n+1}/(\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_n/(\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}_n \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Recall from Corollary 3.12 that  $\text{coker}_{n+1}$  is finite (in particular,  $\text{coker}_n$  too). Hence, on taking  $\eta$ -isotypic components,  $\nabla \text{coker}_{n+1}^\eta$  (with respect to  $\pi$ ) is defined. In fact, it is given by  $\text{len}(\ker \pi^\eta)$ , which is  $\geq 0$ .

Furthermore, recall that we assume  $\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})^\eta \neq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Proposition 4.6 tells us that the second row of  $\mathcal{H}_{n+1}(\epsilon_n)$  is 0. So, the formulae (3.6) and (4.2) imply that  $\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})(\epsilon_n) \neq 0$  when  $n \gg 0$ . Hence,  $\nabla(\Lambda_{n+1}/(\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})))^\eta = \nabla_n(\mathcal{O}[[X]]/\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})^\eta)$  is defined. Its value is given by

$$eq_n^* + \nabla_n(\mathcal{O}[[X]]/\text{Col}_{\tau(n,\eta)}(\mathbf{z})^\eta),$$

thanks to Lemma 4.2.

Therefore, the fact that the Kobayashi rank  $\nabla$  respects short exact sequences ([Kob03, Lemma 10.4]) tells us that  $\nabla \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  is defined and its value is equal to

$$\nabla_n(\mathcal{O}[[X]]/\underline{\text{Col}}_{T,n+1,u}(\mathbf{z})^\eta) - \text{len}(\ker \pi^\eta).$$

Hence, we have the result. ■

This can be considered as a weakened version of the modesty proposition [Spr13, Proposition 3.10]. In the  $k = 2$  case, equality holds because the projection  $\pi$  turns out to be an injection (see [Kob03, Lemma 10.7] and [Spr13, Lemma 4.12]).

## 5 Selmer Groups and Shafarevich–Tate Groups

### 5.1 Signed Selmer Groups

Let  $T^\vee$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $T$ . As in [LLZ10], the Coleman maps allow us to define the Selmer groups

$$\text{Sel}_i(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})) = \ker\left(\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})) \rightarrow \frac{H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), T^\vee)}{\ker(\text{Col}_i)^\perp}\right)$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Here  $\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}))$  is the Bloch–Kato Selmer group from [BK90]. We shall write  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) = \text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\vee$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}_i$  be the Pontryagin dual of  $\text{Sel}_i(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ . We subsequently assume that for any Dirichlet character  $\eta$  that factors through  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ , both  $\mathcal{X}_1^\eta$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2^\eta$  are  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ -torsion. Note that this is the case if either  $k \geq 3$  or  $a_p = 0$  by [LLZ10, Theorem 6.5]. In particular,  $\nabla_n \mathcal{X}_i^\eta$  are defined for  $n \gg 0$  by Lemma 4.2(iii).

We have the Poitou–Tate exact sequence (see for example [LLZ10, (61)])

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{H}^1(T) \longrightarrow \text{Im Col}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is  $\mathbb{H}^2(T)$  and can be realized as the Pontryagin dual of the zero Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_0(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}))$ , which is defined to be

$$\ker\left(H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), T^\vee) \longrightarrow \prod_v H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})_v, T^\vee)\right),$$

where  $v$  runs through all places of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a torsion  $\Lambda$ -module by [Kat04, Theorem 12.4] and hence  $\nabla_n \mathcal{X}_0^\eta$  is defined for  $n \gg 0$  by Lemma 4.2(iii). Note that (5.1) gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Im Col}_i}{(\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z}))} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_i \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence, our assumption that  $\mathcal{X}_i^\eta$  be torsion implies that  $\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})^\eta \neq 0$ . In particular, Proposition 4.11 applies.

Recall from [LLZ11, §5] that  $\text{Im Col}_i^\eta$  is pseudo-isomorphic to

$$\prod_m (X - \chi(\gamma)^m + 1) \mathcal{O}[[X]],$$

where  $m$  runs through some subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, k - 2\}$  depending on  $i$  and  $\eta$ . Let us write  $\kappa_i(\eta)$  for the cardinality of this subset and write  $\kappa(n, \eta) = \kappa_{\tau(n, \eta)}(\eta)$ . We have the following generalization of [Spr13, Proposition 3.11].

**Proposition 5.1** *For  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\eta$  any Dirichlet character modulo  $p$  and  $n \gg 0$ ,*

$$\nabla_n \mathcal{X}_i^\eta = \nabla_n(\Lambda/\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z}))^\eta + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_0^\eta - e\kappa_i(\eta).$$

**Proof** The following sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i)}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \right)^\eta \rightarrow \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \right)^\eta \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}[[X]]}{\prod_m (X - \chi(\gamma)^m + 1)\mathcal{O}[[X]]} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, where  $G$  is some finite subgroup. In particular,  $\nabla_n G = 0$  for  $n \gg 0$ . We can work out the Kobayashi rank of the second last term using Lemma 4.2(ii). Recall from [Kob03, Lemma 10.4] that Kobayashi ranks respect exact sequences; therefore,

$$\nabla_n \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i)}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \right)^\eta + e\kappa_i(\eta) = \nabla_n \left( \frac{\Lambda}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \right)^\eta.$$

Furthermore, from (5.1), we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i)}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$\nabla_n \left( \frac{\text{Im}(\text{Col}_i)}{\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})} \right)^\eta + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_0^\eta = \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_i^\eta.$$

Combining the two equations gives our result. ■

**Remark 5.2** Let  $\mu_0^\eta$  be the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\mathcal{X}_0^\eta$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $\tilde{\mu}_i^\eta$  be the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\mathcal{X}_i^\eta$ . Then Proposition 5.1 implies that  $\tilde{\mu}_i^\eta = \mu_i^\eta - \mu_0^\eta$ . In particular,  $\mu_1^\eta - \mu_2^\eta = \tilde{\mu}_1^\eta - \tilde{\mu}_2^\eta$ . Therefore, we can replace  $\mu_1^\eta$  and  $\mu_2^\eta$  by  $\tilde{\mu}_1^\eta$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_2^\eta$ , respectively in Definition 4.10. In other words, we can define  $\tau(n, \eta)$  using the  $\mu$ -invariants of the dual Selmer groups  $\mathcal{X}_i$ , instead of  $\text{Col}_i(\mathbf{z})$ .

**Corollary 5.3** For  $n \gg 0$ ,  $\nabla \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  is defined. Furthermore, its value is bounded above by

$$e q_n^* + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\tau(n, \eta)}^\eta + e\kappa(n, \eta).$$

**Proof** Let

$$\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) = \text{coker}(H^1(G_{n, S}, T) \rightarrow H^1_f(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T))$$

and  $\mathcal{X}_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) = \text{Sel}_0(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\vee$ . As a consequence of the Poitou–Tate exact sequence, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})) \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. [Kob03, (10.35)]). But Proposition 10.6 in *op. cit.* says that

- $\nabla \mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  is defined for  $n \gg 0$  and is equal to  $\nabla \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$ ;
- $\nabla \mathcal{X}_0(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta = \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_0^\eta$ .

Therefore,

$$\nabla \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta = \nabla \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_0^\eta,$$

and our result follows from Propositions 4.11 and 5.1. ■

### 5.2 Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate Groups

Let  $L$  be a number field. We recall that the Bloch–Kato–Shafarevich–Tate group of  $T^\vee$  over  $L$  is defined to be

$$(5.2) \quad \text{III}(L, T^\vee) = \frac{\text{Sel}(T^\vee/L)}{\text{Sel}(T^\vee/L)_{\text{div}}},$$

where  $(*)_{\text{div}}$  denotes the maximal divisible subgroup of  $*$ . (See e.g., [BK90, Remark 5.15.2]). If  $f$  corresponds to an elliptic curve  $\mathcal{E}$  and the  $p$ -primary part of the classical Shafarevich–Tate group  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite, then the two definitions of ( $p$ -primary) Shafarevich–Tate groups agree.

**Proposition 5.4** *There exist integers  $n_0^\eta, r_\infty^\eta \geq 0$  such that*

$$\text{corank}_{\mathcal{O}} \text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta = r_\infty^\eta$$

for all  $n \geq n_0^\eta$ .

**Proof** By Corollary 5.3,  $\nabla\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta$  is defined for  $n \gg 0$ . In particular, the kernel and cokernel of the connecting map

$$\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\vee \longrightarrow \text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\vee$$

are finite for  $n \gg 0$ . In particular,  $\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))$  and  $\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))$  must have the same  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -corank. ■

This implies that  $\text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))_{\text{div}}^\eta \cong (E/\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r_\infty^\eta}$  (as  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules) for  $n \gg 0$ . Combined this with (5.2), we obtain the following short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow (E/\mathcal{O})^{\oplus r_\infty^\eta} \longrightarrow \text{Sel}(T^\vee/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta \longrightarrow \text{III}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), T^\vee)^\eta \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, on taking Pontryagin duals, we deduce that

$$\nabla\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta = r_\infty^\eta + \nabla\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), T^\vee)^\eta.$$

From Corollary 5.3, we deduce that

$$\nabla\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), T^\vee)^\eta \leq eq_n^* + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\tau(n,\eta)}^\eta + e\kappa(n, \eta) - r_\infty^\eta.$$

Therefore, we obtain the following theorem on applying Lemma 4.3.

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $\#\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}), T^\vee)^\eta = p^{s_n^\eta}$ . For  $n \gg 0$ ,*

$$s_{n+1}^\eta - s_n^\eta \leq r \left( eq_n^* + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\tau(n,\eta)}^\eta + e\kappa(n, \eta) - r_\infty^\eta \right),$$

where  $r$  is the integer so that the residue field of  $E$  has cardinality  $p^r$ .

Using Lemma 4.2, we can rewrite this formula as

$$s_{n+1}^\eta - s_n^\eta \leq d \left( q_n^* + \lambda_{\tau(n,\eta)} + (p^n - p^{n-1}) \frac{\mu_{\tau(n,\eta)}}{e} + \kappa(n, \eta) - \frac{r_\infty^\eta}{e} \right),$$

where  $d = [E:\mathbb{Q}_p]$ .

### A Growth of Tamagawa Numbers Over Cyclotomic Extensions

We let  $T = T_f(j)$  and  $\mathcal{T} = T_f(k-1)$  be the representations studied in the main part of the article. In particular, we assume all the previous hypotheses on  $T$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are satisfied throughout. Furthermore, we assume that the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$  are not integral powers of  $p$ . For notational simplicity, we will assume that the coefficient field  $E$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  throughout.

Recall the Perrin–Riou  $p$ -adic regulator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}: H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(\mathcal{T})$$

defined by  $\mathfrak{M}^{-1} \circ (1-\varphi) \circ (h_{\mathcal{T}}^1)^{-1}$ , which is the map used to define the Coleman maps in Definition 3.4. We have the following interpolation formula.

**Proposition A.1** *Let  $n \geq 1$ . For any  $z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T})$ ,  $i \geq 0$  and a Dirichlet character  $\delta$  of conductor  $p^n$ , we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(z)(\chi^i \delta) = \begin{cases} i!(1-\varphi)(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})^{-1}(\exp^*(z_{0,-i})) \cdot t^{-i} e_i & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{i!p^n}{\tau(\delta)} \varphi^n(\exp^*(\tilde{e}_\delta \cdot z_{n,-i})) \cdot t^{-i} e_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau(\delta)$  is the Gauss sum of  $\delta$ ,  $z_{n,-i}$  is the projection of  $z$  in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}(-i))$  and  $\tilde{e}_\delta$  is the element  $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})/\mathbb{Q}_p)} \delta^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma$ .

**Proof** This is a slight reformulation of [LZ14, Theorem B.5], since we have the equation

$$\varphi(t^{-i} e_i) = p^{-i} \cdot t^{-i} e_i. \quad \blacksquare$$

**Corollary A.2** *Let  $z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathcal{T})$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(z)(\chi^i \delta) = 0$  if and only if  $\tilde{e}_\delta \cdot z_{n,-i} \in \tilde{e}_\delta \cdot H_f^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathcal{T}(-i))$ .*

**Proof** This is because our assumption on the eigenvalues of  $\varphi$  implies that  $(1-\varphi)(1-p^{-1}\varphi^{-1})^{-1}$  and  $\varphi^n$  are both invertible.  $\blacksquare$

We write  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})$  and  $\Delta_K = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ . For each character  $\delta$  on  $\Delta_K$ , we write  $p^{n_\delta}$  for its conductor. Let  $K_p$  be the completion of  $K$  at the unique place above  $p$  (which may be identified with  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ ). We fix a basis  $v$  for  $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)$  and its dual  $v'$  in  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T^*(1))/\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T^*(1))$ . We have the definition of the Tamagawa number as defined by Bloch–Kato [BK90]:

$$\text{Tam}(T/K) = [H_f^1(K_p, T) : \mathcal{O}_{K_p} \cdot v] L_p(T, 1),$$

where  $L_p(T, 1)$  is the Euler factor of the complex  $L$ -function  $L_p(T, 1)$  at  $p$  and we identify  $\mathcal{O}_{K_p} v$  with its image under the Bloch–Kato exponential map. We may decompose the Tamagawa number into isotypic components, namely

$$\text{Tam}(T/K) = \prod_{\eta} \text{Tam}(T/K)^\eta,$$

where the product runs through all the Dirichlet characters modulo  $p$  and  $\text{Tam}(T/K)^\eta$  is given by

$$[H_f^1(K_p, T)^\eta : (\mathcal{O}_{K_p} \cdot v)^\eta] L_p(T(\eta), 1),$$

which we may identify with  $\text{Tam}(T(\eta)/K^\Delta)$ .

**Lemma A.3** *Let  $d_K$  be the discriminant of  $K$ . Then we have the formula*

$$\text{Tam}(T/K) = |d_K|_p^{-1} [\mathcal{O}_{K_p} \cdot v : H^1_{/f}(K_p, T)] L_p(T, 1),$$

where we identify  $H^1_{/f}(K_p, T)$  with its image under the Bloch–Kato dual exponential map.

**Proof** This follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (K_p \otimes \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T)) \times (K_p \otimes \frac{\mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T^*(1))}{\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{D}_{\text{cris}}(T^*(1))}) & \longrightarrow & K_p \\ \uparrow \text{exp}^* & & \downarrow \text{Tr}_{K_p/\mathbb{Q}_p} \\ (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes H^1_{/f}(K_p, T)) \times (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes H^1_{/f}(K_p, T^*(1))) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_p. \end{array} \quad \blacksquare$$

Take  $\mathbf{z}$  to be a  $\Lambda$ -generator of  $\mathbb{H}^1(T)$  as in the main part of the article. This gives a  $\Lambda$ -basis  $\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{k-j-1}$  of  $\mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{T})$ . We shall write  $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})$  for  $\text{Tw}_{-k+j+1} \circ \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{z} \cdot e_{k-j-1})$  and

$$\tilde{v}_K = \otimes_{\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_K} (\varphi^{n_\delta} (1 - \delta(p)\varphi)(1 - p^{-1}\delta(p)\varphi^{-1})^{-1} v).$$

**Theorem A.4** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) \neq 0$  for all  $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_K$ . Then,*

$$\otimes_{\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_K} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) \sim_p \frac{\text{Tam}(T/K)}{L_p(T, 1)} \prod_{\delta} [e_\delta H^1_{/f}(K_p, T) : e_\delta \mathbf{z}_K] \tilde{v}_K.$$

Here, we write  $a \sim_p b$  if  $a$  and  $b$  have the same  $p$ -adic valuation.

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{z}_K$  be the projection of  $\mathbf{z}$  in  $H^1(K_p, T)$ . For each character of  $\Delta_K$ , we write  $e_\delta = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_K} \delta^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma$  and let  $K_\delta$  for the subfield of  $K$  defined by the kernel of  $\delta$ . Our assumption means that  $e_\delta \cdot \mathbf{z}_K \notin e_\delta \cdot H^1_{/f}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$  for all  $\delta$  by Corollary A.2. Note that  $\sum e_\delta = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ . On applying Proposition A.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes_{\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_K} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) &\sim_p \prod_{\delta} \left[ \frac{e_\delta}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] v : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \frac{p^{n_\delta}}{\tau(\delta)} \text{exp}^*(\mathbf{z}_K) \right] \tilde{v}_K \\ &\sim_p \prod_{\delta} p^{n_\delta} \left[ e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \frac{\tau(\delta)}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \right] \\ &\quad \times [e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] v : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \text{exp}^*(\mathbf{z}_K)] \tilde{v}_K. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the factor  $(k - j - 1)!$  does not appear because of the Fontaine–Laffaille condition. Now, [Gil79, Proposition 1] tells us that

$$\left[ e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \frac{\tau(\delta)}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \right] = [K:K_\delta] \left[ e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \frac{\tau(\delta)}{[K_\delta:\mathbb{Q}]} : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \right] = 1.$$

Therefore, we deduce from the conductor-discriminant formula that

$$\otimes_{\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_K} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) \sim_p |d_K|_p^{-1} \prod_{\delta} [e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] v : e_\delta \mathcal{O}[\Delta_K] \text{exp}^*(\mathbf{z}_K)] \tilde{v}_K.$$

Combining this with Lemma A.3 gives us the result. ■

**Remark A.5** There is in fact a similar formula without assuming the non-vanishing of  $\mathbb{I}_{\text{arith}}(T)(\delta)$ . It would involve Perrin-Riou's  $p$ -adic height. See [PR03, p.180].

**Corollary A.6** Let  $\eta$  be a Dirichlet character modulo  $p$ . Under the conditions of Theorem A.4, we have

$$\nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\text{loc}}^\eta + b_{n+1}^\eta - b_n^\eta = q_n^* + \nabla_n(\mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]/\text{Col}_{\tau(n,\eta)}(\mathbf{z})^\eta) + p^{n-1}(p-1)n(k-j-1)$$

for  $n \gg 0$ , where  $\tau(n, \eta)$  is as defined in Definition 4.10 and  $b_i^\eta$  denotes the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $\text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^i}))^\eta$  for  $i = n, n + 1$ .

**Proof** Let  $\Delta_{n+1}$  be the set of Dirichlet characters of conductor  $p^{n+1}$  whose  $\Delta$ -component is  $\eta$ . Its cardinality is given by  $p^{n-1}(p-1)$ . By Theorem A.4, we have

$$\bigotimes_{\delta \in \Delta_{n+1}} \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) \sim_p \frac{\text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta}{\text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\eta} \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_{n+1}} [e_\delta H_{/f}^1(K_p, T) : e_\delta \mathbf{z}_K] \varphi^{n+1}(v)^{\otimes |\Delta_{n+1}|}.$$

This gives

$$(A.1) \quad \bigotimes_{\delta \in \Delta_{n+1}} \varphi^{-n-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_T(\mathbf{z})(\delta) \sim_p \frac{\text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^{n+1}}))^\eta}{\text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\eta} \prod_{\delta \in \Delta_{n+1}} [e_\delta H_{/f}^1(K_p, T) : e_\delta \mathbf{z}_K] v^{\otimes |\Delta_{n+1}|}.$$

Note that  $\varphi^{-n-1} \circ \text{Tw}_{-k+j+1} = p^{(n+1)(k-j-1)} \text{Tw}_{-k+j+1} \circ \varphi^{-n-1}$ . The terms appearing on the left-hand side are therefore simply  $p^{(n+1)(k-j-1)} \underline{\text{Col}}_{T, n+1}(\mathbf{z})(\delta)$ . Therefore, the  $p$ -adic valuation of the left-hand side of (A.1) is given by

$$p^{n-1}(p-1)(n+1)(k-j-1) + q_n^* + \text{ord}_{\epsilon_n} \text{Col}_{\tau(n,\eta)}(\mathbf{z})^\eta(\epsilon_n)$$

thanks to (4.2). Hence, we have the result. ■

The proof of our Proposition 4.11 implies that the defect of our inequality in Theorem 5.5 is in fact given by the length of  $\ker \pi^\eta$ , where  $\pi$  is some projection map. We see here that we may in fact relate this defect to the Tamagawa numbers, namely,

$$\text{len}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \ker \pi^\eta = b_{n+1}^\eta - b_n^\eta - p^{n-1}(p-1)n(k-j-1).$$

Let  $t_n^\eta$  be the integer  $s_n^\eta + b_n^\eta$ , which is the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $\#\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}), T^\vee)^\eta \times \text{Tam}(T/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n}))^\eta$ . The Bloch–Kato conjecture predicts that this quantity should be related to the leading coefficient of the complex  $L$  function of  $T$  at 1. Theorem 5.5 tells us that we have the equality

$$t_{n+1}^\eta - t_n^\eta = q_n^* + \nabla_n \mathcal{X}_{\tau(n,\eta)}^\eta + \kappa(n, \eta) - r_\infty^\eta + p^{n-1}(p-1)n(k-j-1)$$

for  $n \gg 0$ .

**Acknowledgment** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for many useful comments and suggestions, which improved the paper substantially.

## References

- [Ber03] L. Berger, *Bloch and Kato's exponential map: three explicit formulas*. Doc. Math. Extra Vol. 3(2003), 99–129.
- [Ber04] ———, *Limites de représentations cristallines*. Compos. Math. 140(2004), no. 6, 1473–1498. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X04000879>
- [BK90] S. Bloch and K. Kato, *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives*. In: The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, Progr. Math., 86, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 333–400.
- [CC99] F. Cherbonnier and P. Colmez, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations  $p$ -adiques d'un corps local*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 12(1999), no. 1, 241–268. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-99-00281-7>
- [FL82] J.-M. Fontaine and G. Laffaille, *Construction de représentations  $p$ -adiques*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 15(1982), no. 4, 547–608.
- [Gil79] R. Gillard, *Unités cyclotomiques, unités semi-locales et  $Z_1$* . Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 29(1979), no. 1, xiv, 49–79.
- [Kat04] K. Kato,  *$p$ -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*. Astérisque 295(2004), ix, 117–290.
- [Kob03] S. Kobayashi, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*. Invent. Math. 152(2003), no. 1, 1–36. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00222-002-0265-4>
- [Kur02] M. Kurihara, *On the Tate–Shafarevich groups over cyclotomic fields of an elliptic curve with supersingular reduction. I*. Invent. Math. 149(2002), no. 1, 195–224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002220100206>
- [Lei11] A. Lei, *Iwasawa theory for modular forms at supersingular primes*. Compos. Math. 147(2011), no. 3, 803–838. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X10005130>
- [Lei15] ———, *Bounds on the Tamagawa numbers of a crystalline representation over towers of cyclotomic extensions*. Tohoku Math. J., to appear.
- [LLZ10] A. Lei, D. Loeffler, and S. L. Zerbes, *Wach modules and Iwasawa theory for modular forms*. Asian J. Math. 14(2010), no. 4, 475–528. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4310/AJM.2010.v14.n4.a2>
- [LLZ11] ———, *Coleman maps and the  $p$ -adic regulator*. Algebra Number Theory 5(2011), no. 8, 1095–1131. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/ant.2011.5.1095>
- [LZ13] D. Loeffler and S. L. Zerbes, *Wach modules and critical slope  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 679(2013), 181–206. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle.2012.012>
- [LZ14] ———, *Iwasawa theory and  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions over  $Z_p^2$ -extensions*. Int. J. Number Theory 10(2014), no. 8, 2045–2096. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S1793042114500699>
- [Maz72] B. Mazur, *Rational points of abelian varieties with values in towers of number fields*. Invent. Math. 18(1972), no. 3–4, 183–266. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01389815>
- [PR94] B. Perrin-Riou, *Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations  $p$ -adiques sur un corps local*. Invent. Math. 115(1994), no. 1, 81–161. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01231755>
- [PR03] ———, *Arithmétique des courbes elliptiques à réduction supersingulière en  $p$* . Experiment. Math. 12(2003), no. 2, 155–186. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10586458.2003.10504490>
- [Spr12] F. E. I. Sprung, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes: a pair of main conjectures*. J. Number Theory 132(2012), no. 7, 1483–1506. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2011.11.003>
- [Spr13] ———, *The Šafarevič–Tate group in cyclotomic  $Z_p$ -extensions at supersingular primes. J.* Reine Angew. Math. 681(2013), 199–218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crelle-2012-0031>
- [Spr15] ———, *On pairs of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions for weight two modular forms*. arxiv:1601.00010

Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada G1V 0A6

e-mail: [antonio.lei@mat.ulaval.ca](mailto:antonio.lei@mat.ulaval.ca)

Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

e-mail: [d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk)

Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

e-mail: [s.zerbes@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:s.zerbes@ucl.ac.uk)