## ON PURIFIABLE SUBSOCLES OF A PRIMARY ABELIAN GROUP

## JOHN IRWIN AND JAMES SWANEK

**Introduction.** In this paper we shall investigate an interesting connection between the structure of G/S and G, where S is a purifiable subsocle of G. The results are interesting in the light of a counterexample by Dieudonné [3, p. 142] who exhibits a primary abelian group G, where G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups, but G is not a direct sum of cyclic groups. Surprisingly, the assumption of the purifiability of S allows G to inherit the structure of G/S. In particular, we show that if G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups and H is a direct summand of G which is of course a direct sum of cyclic groups. It is also shown that if G/Sis a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and H is a direct summand of G, and is also a direct sum of torsion-complete groups. Using some homological machinery, we show that if G/S is totally projective and S supports a  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure subgroup H where  $\alpha$  is an appropriately chosen ordinal, then G is totally projective and H is a direct summand of G, and is also totally projective. Consequently, if G/S is a direct sum of countable groups and S supports a  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure subgroup H, where  $\alpha$  is an appropriate ordinal, then G is a direct sum of countable groups and H is a direct summand of G, and is also a direct sum of countable groups.

All groups will be assumed to be additively written primary abelian groups for some prime p. We shall follow the notation and terminology of Fuchs [3]. All references to topological concepts will be relative to the p-adic topology on a primary group G which has the base  $\{p^nG\}$  at 0. Let  $\operatorname{ht}(x)$  denote the generalized p-height of x, that is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $x \notin p^{\alpha+1}G$ , where  $p^{\alpha+1}G = p(p^{\alpha}G)$  and  $p^{\alpha}G = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} p^{\beta}G$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.

Definition 1. The subgroup H is  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure in G if and only if the exact sequence  $H \mapsto G \twoheadrightarrow G/H$  is in  $p^{\alpha}\text{Ext}(G/H, H)$ , where  $\alpha$  is an ordinal.

Note that  $p^{\omega}$ -purity is the same as the classical concept of purity for p-primary abelian groups. See [14].

Definition 2. The subsocle S supports the subgroup H if and only if H[p] = S.

Theorem 1 below will serve as a pattern and will motivate this paper. It is interesting in that its proof involves an application of the Kulikov criterion.

Received November 10, 1969 and in revised form, November 24, 1970.

Definition 3. The subsocle S satisfies the Kulikov criterion in the group G if and only if S can be expressed as the union of an ascending sequence of subgroups of bounded height.

Recall that Kulikov has shown that a p-group G is a direct sum of cyclic groups if and only if its socle G[p] satisfies the Kulikov criterion.

Theorem 1. If G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups and S is a subsocle which supports a pure subgroup H, then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups and H is a summand of G.

Proof. Notice that  $H/S \simeq pH$  is a subgroup of G/S, and so pH is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Consequently, H is a direct sum of cyclic groups. See [2] for results relating  $p^nG$  and G. To complete the proof, it is sufficient to show that G/H is a direct sum of cyclic groups. We show that (G/H)[p] satisfies the Kulikov criterion. Consider the map  $\pi\colon G/S \to G/H$ . Using the purity of H, notice that G[p]/S maps under  $\pi$  onto the socle of G/H. Since G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups, any subsocle of G/S satisfies the Kulikov criterion in G/S. Consequently, G[p]/S satisfies the Kulikov criterion in G/S. Using the purity of H, it can be shown that  $\pi(G[p]/S) = (G/H)[p]$  satisfies the Kulikov criterion in G/H. Consequently, G/H is a direct sum of cyclic groups.

It is possible to extend the above result, as Theorem 2 and its corollaries will indicate. First, we consider a definition.

Definition 4. The subsocle S is purifiable in G if and only if there is a pure subgroup H where H[p] = S.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a p-primary group and S a subsocle which supports a pure subgroup H. If G[p]/S is purifiable in G/S, then H is a direct summand of G.

We need the following three lemmas to prove the above theorem.

Lemma 3. Let G be a p-primary group and S a subsocle of G. If S supports a pure subgroup H, then

- (i)  $(G/S)[p] = (H/S)[p] \oplus G[p]/S$ ,
- (ii)  $\pi: G/S \to G/H$  is height-preserving on G[p]/S,
- (iii) If  $h + S \in (H/S)[p]$  and  $k + S \in G[p]/S$ , then

$$ht(h + k + S) = min\{ht(h + S), ht(k + S)\}.$$

**Proof.** (i) To see that  $(H/S)[p] \cap G[p]/S = 0$ , it is sufficient to notice that  $H \cap G[p] = S$ . Suppose that  $x + S \in (G/S)[p]$ . Map x + S onto x + H. By [9, p. 15, Lemma 1], there is a  $y \in G[p]$  such that x + H = y + H and  $x - y = h \in H$ . Hence x + S = (h + S) + (y + S) and so

$$(G/S)[p] = (H/S)[p] \oplus G[p]/S.$$

(ii) Suppose that  $x + S \in G[p]/S$  and  $x + H = p^n z + H$ . Using the purity of H, we can assume that  $p^n z \in G[p]$  and  $p^n z \notin S$ . Consequently,

$$x - p^n z \in H[p] = S$$

and so  $x + S = p^n z + S$ . Therefore,  $\pi: G/S \to G/H$  is height-preserving on G[p]/S.

(iii) follows from (ii).

LEMMA 4. Let G be a p-primary group with pure subgroups H and K, where  $G[p] = H[p] \oplus K[p]$ . If  $ht(h + k) = min\{ht(h), ht(k)\}$  for all  $h \in H[p]$  and  $k \in K[p]$ , then  $G = H \oplus K$ .

*Proof.* By [9, p. 20, Lemma 7],  $H \oplus K$  is a pure subgroup of G. Since  $(H \oplus K)[p] = G[p]$ , we have  $G = H \oplus K$  by [9, p. 24, Lemma 12].

Finally, we need the following lemma of Hill and Megibben [6].

LEMMA 5. Let G be a p-primary group containing subgroups H and K, where H is neat in G. Then (H + K)[p] = H[p] + K[p] if and only if  $H \cap K$  is neat in K.

*Proof of Theorem* 2. By hypothesis, G[p]/S supports a pure subgroup K/S. By Lemmas 3 and 4,  $G/S = H/S \oplus K/S$  and so G = H + K. Since H is pure in G and (H + K)[p] = H[p] + K[p], then by Lemma 5,  $H \cap K$  is neat in K. Now  $H \cap K = S$ , and consequently S must be pure in K. Thus, S is a summand of K and so  $G = H + K = H + (S \oplus K') = H \oplus K'$ .

COROLLARY 6. Let G satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2; then  $G = H \oplus (G/H)$  and  $G/S = H/S \oplus G/H \simeq pH \oplus (G/H)$ .

COROLLARY 7. If G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups and S supports H pure in G, then G is a direct sum of cyclic groups and H is a summand of G.

*Proof.* Notice that every subsocle of a direct sum of cyclic groups is purifiable.

Definition 5. The group G is pure-complete if and only if every subsocle of G is purifiable.

Definition 6. The reduced p-group G is quasi-closed if and only if the closure of any pure subgroup is a pure subgroup.

COROLLARY 8. If G/S is quasi-closed and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is quasi-closed and H is a summand of G which is quasi-closed.

*Proof.* Quasi-closed groups are pure complete and summands of quasi-closed groups are quasi-closed. Also, pG quasi-closed implies that G is quasi-closed. See [6] for additional properties of quasi-closed groups.

COROLLARY 9. If G/S is pure complete and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is pure complete and H is a summand of G.

*Proof.* G[p]/S supports a pure subgroup K/S. By Lemmas 3 and 4,  $G/S = H/S \oplus K/S$ . Note that if  $G = A \oplus B$  and G is pure complete, then  $G/B[p] \simeq A \oplus pB$  is pure complete. Consequently, (G/S)/(K/S)[p] is pure complete. But  $(G/S)/(K/S)[p] = (G/S)/(G[p]/S) \simeq G/G[p] \simeq pG$ . Now G is

pure complete if and only if  $p^nG$  is pure complete for some integer n. Consequently, G is pure complete.

COROLLARY 10. If G/S is pure complete, S supports a pure subgroup H, and G/S has an unbounded direct sum of cyclic groups summand, then G has an unbounded direct sum of cyclic groups summand and H is a summand of G.

*Proof.* O'Neill has proved in [15] that if  $G = H \oplus K$  and G has an unbounded direct sum of cyclic groups summand, then either H or K has such a summand. If pH has an unbounded direct sum of cyclic groups summand, then H has such a summand.

Definition 7. A group G is essentially indecomposable if and only if whenever  $G = H \oplus K$ , either H or K is bounded.

COROLLARY 11. If G/S is pure complete, essentially indecomposable, and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is essentially indecomposable and H is a summand of G.

Proof. Apply Corollary 6.

COROLLARY 12. If G/S is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and H is a summand of G which is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups.

*Proof.* We use the following result which follows from a theorem by Hill [4]. If G is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and  $G[p] = S \oplus T$ , where  $\operatorname{ht}(s+t) = \min\{\operatorname{ht}(s), \operatorname{ht}(t)\}$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $t \in T$ , then S and T support summands of G which are direct sums of torsion-complete groups. By Hill's result, G[p]/S supports a summand K/S in G/S which is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups.

Hill [4] and Warfield [18] have shown that a summand of a direct sum of torsion-complete groups is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups. Note that if pH is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups, then H is such a direct sum. Consequently, applying Corollary 6 we see that G is a direct sum of torsion-complete groups and H is a summand of G.

Definition 8. The group G is semi-complete if and only if G is the direct sum of a torsion-complete group and a direct sum of cyclic groups.

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 12, if G/S is semi-complete and S supports a pure subgroup H, then G is semi-complete and H is a summand of G. The condition that S supports a pure subgroup H is essential. Dieudonné [3, p. 142] has constructed an example where G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups, but G is not such a direct sum. It is also easy to see that G[p]/S is not always a purifiable subsocle of G/S. Consider the pure resolution  $K \mapsto G \twoheadrightarrow H$ , where H is a p-group which is not a direct sum of cyclic groups and G is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Let S = K[p]. If G[p]/S were purifiable in G/S,

then by Theorem 2, K would be a summand of G. But this contradicts the fact that H is not a direct sum of cyclic groups.

Using the concept of large subgroup introduced by Pierce [16], we can relate the G/S problem to the class of thick groups and the class of thin groups.

Definition 9. The subgroup L is a large subgroup of G if and only if L is fully invariant and L + B = G for every basic subgroup B of G.

Definition 10. The group G is thick if and only if for every map  $f: G \to \sum Z(p^n)$ , the kernel contains a large subgroup of G.

LEMMA 13. If L is a large subgroup of G and S is a subsocle of G, then (L + S)/S contains a large subgroup of G/S.

*Proof.* Pierce [16] has shown that a subgroup H contains a large subgroup if and only if for each integer k there is an integer  $n_k$  where  $(p^{n_k}G)[p^k] \subseteq H$ . Let k and  $n_k$  be the appropriate integers for L in G. For (L+S)/S in G/S, let  $N_k = n_{k+1}$  for each integer k. It is easy to see that  $(p^{N_k}(G/S))[p^k] \subseteq (L+S)/S$ . Consequently, (L+S)/S contains a large subgroup of G/S.

THEOREM 14. G is thick if and only if G/S is thick.

*Proof.* Let  $f: G/S \to \sum Z(p^n)$  be a map with kernel K/S. Consider the composite map

$$G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/S \xrightarrow{f} \sum Z(p^n).$$

G thick implies that  $K \supseteq L$ , where L is large in G. The subgroup K/S contains (L+S)/S which contains a large subgroup of G/S. Consequently, G/S is thick. The converse follows from Lemma 13 and the following relation:

$$G[p]/S \mapsto G/S \twoheadrightarrow G/G[p] \simeq pG.$$

Definition 11. The group G is thin if and only if for every map  $f: \overline{B} \to G$ , where  $\overline{B}$  is the torsion completion of  $\sum Z(p^n)$ , the kernel of f contains a large subgroup of  $\overline{B}$ .

LEMMA 15. The group G/S is thin if and only if G is thin.

*Proof.* Richman [17] proved that extensions of thin groups by thin groups are thin groups. Applying this to the exact sequence  $S \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/S$  proves the lemma one way. The converse is proved by considering the exact sequence

$$G[p]/S \mapsto G/S \twoheadrightarrow G/G[p] \simeq pG.$$

Using basic homological techniques, we can gain a further insight into the relationship of the structure of G/S to the structure of G.

Definition 12. The group G is cotorsion if and only if G is a reduced group and any extension of G by a torsion-free group splits.

Definition 13. The group G is a p-adic module if and only if G is a module over the ring  $R_p$  which is the set of all rational numbers of the form a/b, where b is prime to p.

LEMMA 16. Let G be a p-adic module. If G/S is cotorsion, then G is cotorsion.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that Hom(Q, G) = 0 = Ext(Q, G), where Q is the set of rational numbers. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(Q, S) \to \operatorname{Hom}(Q, G) \to \operatorname{Hom}(Q, G/S) \to \operatorname{Ext}(Q, S) \to \operatorname{Ext}(Q, G) \to \operatorname{Ext}(Q, G/S) \to 0.$$

Since S and G/S are cotorsion, the lemma follows.

Definition 14. The group G is algebraically compact if and only if G is a direct summand of every group which contains G as a pure subgroup.

Definition 15. The subgroup Pext(A, B) of Ext(A, B) consists of all pure extensions of B by A. In fact, Pext(A, B) is the elements of infinite height of Ext(A, B). See [3].

*Note.* It is well known that a reduced group G is algebraically compact if and only if G is cotorsion and Pext(Q/Z, G) = 0.

Lemma 17. Let G be a p-adic module without elements of infinite height. If G/S is algebraically compact, then G is algebraically compact.

*Proof.* We must show that  $\operatorname{Hom}(Q,G)=0=\operatorname{Ext}(Q,G)$  and  $\operatorname{Pext}(Q/Z,G)=0$ . Since G is necessarily cotorsion (by Lemma 16), the first two conditions follow. It is easy to see that  $G \simeq \operatorname{Ext}(Q/Z,G)$  and consequently  $\operatorname{Pext}(Q/Z,G)=0$  since G has no elements of infinite height. Thus, G is algebraically compact.

LEMMA 18. Let G be a p-primary group without elements of infinite height and S a closed subsocle of G. G is torsion-complete if and only if G/S is torsion-complete.

*Proof.* A p-primary group G is torsion-complete if and only if

$$\operatorname{Pext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G) = 0.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G/S).$$

Now  $\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}),S)\simeq S$  and the torsion subgroup of  $\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}),G)$  is isomorphic to G. Now  $G^1=0$  and  $\operatorname{Pext}(Z(p^{\infty}),G/S)=0$  imply that

$$Pext(Z(p^{\infty}), G) = 0.$$

That is, G/S torsion-complete implies that G is torsion-complete.

Conversely,  $\operatorname{Pext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G) = 0$  implies  $\operatorname{Pext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G/S) = 0$ ; otherwise, since  $\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G)/S \simeq \operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G/S)$ , we could construct a p-divisible subgroup of  $\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G)$ , but  $\operatorname{Ext}(Z(p^{\infty}), G)$  is p-reduced.

Note that it is necessary that S be closed. Consider the standard  $\bar{B}$  and let S be the socle of a basic subgroup of  $\bar{B}$ ; then clearly  $\bar{B}/S$  is not torsion-complete.

We can generalize the concept of a direct sum of cyclic groups by considering the class of projective and totally projective groups. First we list some fundamental results of Nunke [13].

Definition 16. The group G is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective if and only if  $p^{\alpha}\text{Ext}(G, C) = 0$  for all groups C.

Definition 17. The functor  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is hereditary if and only if each  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure subgroup of a  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective group is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective.

THEOREM 19 [14, especially p. 163, Theorem 6.3]. If H is  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure in G, then the following sequences are exact, where C is any abelian group:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, H) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, G) \to \operatorname{Hom}(C, G/H) \to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(C, H)$$
$$\to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(C, G) \to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(C, G/H)$$

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(G/H, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(G, C) \to \operatorname{Hom}(H, C) \to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(G/H, C) \\ \to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(G, C) \to p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(H, C).$$

If, in addition,  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is hereditary, then the right-hand maps are epic.

THEOREM 20 [13, p. 211, Theorem 4.4]. Let  $\beta \leq \alpha < \beta + \omega$ , where  $\beta = 0$  or is a limit ordinal. Then  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is hereditary if and only if  $\beta = 0$  or is the limit of a countable ascending sequence of ordinals.

THEOREM 21 [13, p. 194, Proposition 2.5]. If A is a p-group such that  $A/p^{\mathfrak{g}}A$  is  $p^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -projective and  $p^{\mathfrak{g}}A$  is  $p^{\mathfrak{g}}$ -projective, then A is  $p^{\mathfrak{g}+\gamma}$ -projective.

THEOREM 22 [13, p. 200, Proposition 3.1]. If B is  $p^{\alpha+1}$ -pure in the  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective p-group A, then B is a direct summand of A, hence B and A/B are  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective.

THEOREM 23 [13, p. 199, Theorem 2.12]. A p-group is a direct sum of countable reduced groups if and only if it is totally projective and has length  $\leq \Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is the first uncountable ordinal.

Note that a p-group G is  $p^{\omega}$ -projective if and only if G is a direct sum of cyclic groups. Also,  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is hereditary for countable ordinals.

Theorem 24. If G/S is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective, S supports H which is  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure in G, and  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is hereditary, then G is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$(D_{1}) E_{1}: H \xrightarrow{i} G \xrightarrow{\pi} \frac{G}{H}$$

$$f \downarrow g \downarrow \parallel$$

$$E_{2}: \frac{H}{S} \xrightarrow{j} \frac{G}{S} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{G}{H}$$

Note that  $E_2 \equiv fE_1$  are equivalent exact sequences and thus  $E_1 \in p^{\alpha} \text{Ext}(G/H, H)$  implies  $E_2 \in p^{\alpha} \text{Ext}(G/H, H/S)$  since f(E + E') = fE + fE', where E + E' is

the Baer sum of two extensions. By Theorem 19, we obtain the exact sequences in the following diagram  $(D_2)$ .

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{H}, C\right) \overset{p*}{\to} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{S}, C\right) \overset{j*}{\to} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{H}{S}, C\right) \overset{\partial_1}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{H}, C\right) \overset{p*}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{S}, C\right) \overset{j*}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{H}{S}, C\right) \to 0$$

$$(D_2) \qquad 1^* \downarrow \qquad g^* \downarrow \qquad f^* \downarrow \qquad 1^* \downarrow \qquad g^* \downarrow \qquad f^* \downarrow \qquad 0 \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{H}, C\right) \overset{i*}{\to} \operatorname{Hom}(G, C) \overset{i^*}{\to} \operatorname{Hom}(H, C) \overset{\partial_2}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{H}, C\right) \overset{\pi^*}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}(G, C) \overset{i^*}{\to} p^a \operatorname{Ext}(H, C) \to 0$$

where  $\partial_1$  and  $\partial_2$  are the connecting homomorphisms and  $1^*$  is the identity map. By the naturality of the maps, diagram  $(D_2)$  is commutative. G/S being  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective implies that H/S is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective by considering diagram  $(D_2)$ .  $H/S \simeq pH$  being  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective implies that H is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective by Theorem 21. By diagram chasing we see that G is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective.

If  $p^{\alpha}$ Ext is not hereditary or if S does not support a  $p^{\alpha}$ -pure subgroup, we obtain the following weaker result.

LEMMA 25. If G/S is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective, then G is  $p^{\alpha+1}$ -projective, where  $\alpha \geq \omega$ .

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$G[p]/S \xrightarrow{i} G/S \xrightarrow{\pi} G/G[p] \simeq pG$$

which induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{G[p]}, C\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G}{S}, C\right) \xrightarrow{i^*} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G[p]}{S}, C\right) \xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{G[p]}, C\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{S}, C\right) \xrightarrow{i^*} \operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G[p]}{S}, C\right) \to 0.$$

Now

$$\frac{p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(G/G[p],C)}{p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(G/G[p],C)\cap\partial(\operatorname{Hom}(G[p]/S,C))} \simeq \pi^{*}(p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}(G/G[p],C))$$

and

$$\pi^* \left( p^{\alpha} \operatorname{Ext} \left( \frac{G}{G[p]}, C \right) \right) \subseteq p^{\alpha} \operatorname{Ext} \left( \frac{G}{S}, C \right) = 0,$$

since G/S is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective. Thus

$$p^{\alpha}\operatorname{Ext}\left(\frac{G}{G[p]}, C\right) \subseteq \partial\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(\frac{G[p]}{S}, C\right)\right) \simeq \sum_{i} Z_{i}(p)$$

since  $\operatorname{Hom}(G[p]/S, C) \simeq \prod C[p]$  which is bounded of order p. Consequently,  $p^{\alpha+1}\operatorname{Ext}(G/G[p], C) = 0$  or pG is  $p^{\alpha+1}$ -projective and by Theorem 21, G is then  $p^{\alpha+1}$ -projective.

Note that the above lemmas cannot in general be sharpened. Dieudonné has constructed an example of a p-primary group G without elements of infinite height where G/S is a direct sum of cyclic groups, but G is not a direct sum of

cyclic groups. In homological terms, G/S is  $p^{\omega}$ -projective, and consequently G is  $p^{\omega+1}$ -projective, but G is not  $p^{\omega}$ -projective.

Definition 18. The group G is totally projective if and only if  $G/p^{\alpha}G$  is  $p^{\alpha}$ -projective for all ordinals  $\alpha$ .

Definition 19. The length of a p-primary reduced group G is the least ordinal  $\lambda$ , where  $p^{\lambda}G = 0$ .

LEMMA 26. If G/S is totally projective and S supports a  $p^{\lambda+1}$ -pure subgroup H, where  $\lambda$  is the length of G/S, then H is a direct summand of G, and G is totally projective.

**Proof.** Now H/S is  $p^{\lambda+1}$ -pure in G/S which is  $p^{\lambda}$ -projective and so by Theorem 22, H/S is a summand of G/S. Consequently, G/H is totally projective and since  $H/S \simeq pH$ , H is totally projective. Consider the exact sequence  $H \rightarrowtail G \twoheadrightarrow G/H$ . Now H is  $p^{\lambda}$ -pure in G and G/H is  $p^{\lambda}$ -projective. Thus, the preceding exact sequence splits and H is a summand of G and G is totally projective.

COROLLARY 27. If G/S is a direct sum of countable reduced p-groups and S supports a  $p^{\lambda+1}$ -pure subgroup H, where  $\lambda$  is the length of G/S, then H is a summand of G, and G is a direct sum of countable reduced p-groups.

Proof. Use Theorem 23 and Lemma 26.

## References

- 1. P. Crawley and B. Jónsson, Refinements for infinite direct decompositions of algebraic systems, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 797-855.
- 2. D. Cutler, Quasi-isomorphism for infinite abelian p-groups, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 25-45.
- L. Fuchs, Abelian groups (Publishing House of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, 1958).
- 4. P. D. Hill, The isomorphic refinement theorem for direct sums of closed groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 913-919.
- 5. P. D. Hill and C. K. Megibben, On primary groups with countable basic subgroups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1966), 49-59.
- 6. —— Quasi-closed primary groups, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 16 (1965), 271-274.
- 7. J. Irwin and F. Richman, Direct sums of countable groups and related concepts, J. Algebra 2 (1965), 443-450.
- J. Irwin, F. Richman, and E. Walker, Countable direct sums of closed groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 763-766.
- 9. I. Kaplansky, Infinite abelian groups (Univ. Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1954).
- 10. T. Koyama and J. Irwin, On topological methods in abelian groups, Studies on Abelian Groups, Symposium, Montpellier, 1967, pp. 207-222 (Springer, Berlin, 1968).
- 11. C. Megibben, Large subgroups and small homomorphisms, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 153-160.
- 12. R. J. Nunke, On the structure of Tor. II, Pacific J. Math. 22 (1967), 453-464.
- 13. Homology and direct sums of countable abelian groups, Math. Z. 101 (1967), 182-212.
- 14. —— Purity and subfunctors of the identity, Topics in Abelian Groups, Proc. Sympos., New Mexico State Univ., 1962, pp. 121–171 (Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, Illinois, 1963).

- 15. J. O'Neill, On direct products of abelian groups, Ph.D. Dissertation, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, 1967.
- R. S. Pierce, Homomorphisms of primary abelian groups, Topics in Abelian Groups, Proc. Sympos., New Mexico State Univ., 1962, pp. 215-310 (Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, Illinois, 1963).
- 17. F. Richman, Thin abelian p-groups, Pacific J. Math. 27 (1968), 599-606.
- 18. R. Warfield, Complete abelian groups and direct sum decompositions, Ph.D. Dissertation, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1967.

Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan; Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, Michigan