Black Hole Growth and Host Galaxy Morphology
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Abstract. We use data from large surveys of the local universe (SDSS+Galaxy Zoo) to show that the galaxy–black hole connection is linked to host morphology at a fundamental level. The fraction of early-type galaxies with actively growing black holes, and therefore the AGN duty cycle, declines significantly with increasing black hole mass. Late-type galaxies exhibit the opposite trend: the fraction of actively growing black holes increases with black hole mass.
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1. Introduction

In order to probe the connection between black hole growth and galaxy evolution, we require an accurate picture of which galaxies host AGN and how they compare to their normal (non-active) counterparts. We combine data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR7 (Abazajian et al. 2009) with morphological classifications provided by citizen scientists taking part in the Galaxy Zoo project† (Lintott et al. 2008) to investigate the extent to which black hole growth in early and late-type galaxies is different.

† See http://www.galaxyzoo.org/
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2. Two Modes of Black Hole Growth in the Local Universe

In Figure 1, we present the color-mass diagram of a sample of local \((0.02 < z < 0.05)\) galaxies (contour lines, from Schawinski et al. 2010). The shaded contours represent the fraction of galaxies that host AGN. The AGN are obscured, narrow-line Seyferts identified using emission line ratio diagnostic diagrams (e.g., Baldwin et al. 1981; Kewley et al. 2001). The panels in Figure 1 split the normal and AGN host galaxy population by morphology, thereby revealing important differences between the two.

In the early-type galaxy population, it is preferentially low-mass \((\sim 10^9 M_\odot)\) objects with intermediate (or “green valley”) galaxy colors that host AGN. A detailed analysis of their stellar populations shows that these are post-starburst objects migrating at fixed mass from the blue cloud to the low-mass end of the red sequence (Schawinski et al. 2007).

The late-type galaxy population presents a different picture. While late-type AGN host galaxies also feature intermediate or green host galaxy colors, they are significantly more massive \((\sim 10^{10} M_\odot)\) than early-type host galaxies. The extra mass is contained in a massive stellar disk; the median black hole masses of late-type AGN hosts are comparable to those of the early-type hosts. These massive stellar disks are likely to be very stable.
Figure 2. Which black holes in the local universe are growing? The answer depends strongly on host galaxy morphology. We show the fraction of galaxies that host luminous AGN selected by emission-line diagrams as a function of the host black hole mass measured via the $M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma$ relation (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000; Tremaine et al. 2002). The fraction of early-type galaxies with actively growing black holes, and therefore the AGN duty cycle, declines significantly with increasing black hole mass. Late-type galaxies exhibit the opposite trend: the fraction of actively growing black holes increases with black hole mass over the same range before possibly dropping at the highest black hole masses (figure from Schawinski et al. 2010).

which, combined with the lack of blue objects at similar stellar masses to form plausible progenitors, suggests that their green host galaxy colors are unlikely to be due to some recent quenching of star formation.

The fact that the median black hole masses of early and late-type host galaxies are comparable can mask further differences. If instead we calculate the fraction of AGN host galaxies as a function of black hole mass within each morphology class (shown in Figure 2), the difference between the black hole growth in early and late-type galaxies is further underlined. Amongst early-type galaxies, the AGN fraction decreases with increasing black hole mass, while the opposite is the case for late-types.
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