Behavioral Intervention Trials (ORBIT) model, an optimization framework focused on intervention development and refinement. In line with this framework, three major steps were followed. First, qualitative interviews were conducted with 16 rural women who were currently or had recently been pregnant to identify barriers, facilitators, and desired resources for gestational weight management. A template analysis approach was applied to the resulting interview transcripts to identify pertinent themes. Second, themes derived from the initial interviews were used to inform the development of an online intervention prototype. Third, feedback on this prototype was sought from an additional sample of 15 rural women who were currently or had recently been pregnant. RESULTS/ ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Themes from the initial interviews highlighted numerous barriers, facilitators, and desired resources for rural gestational weight management that aligned with common social determinants of health (e.g., neighborhood and built environment, social and community context) and pregnancy-specific factors. Women also described wanting an online gestational weight management program that included a user-friendly interface, psychoeducation, tailored health recommendations, accountability, and simple behavior-logging tools. Using this feedback, an online intervention prototype was developed. Results from the feedback interviews are currently being qualitatively analyzed for themes and will be used to further refine the prototype prior to feasibility testing. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: This study used an optimization framework to develop an online intervention aimed at supporting healthy maternal weight outcomes in rural communities. Because rural women experience notable weight disparities compared to their urban peers, this intervention has the potential to promote more equitable maternal health outcomes in rural areas.

Regulatory Science

516

LinkedIn Marketing Strategies to Drive NJ ACTS Regulatory Core Engagement

Emma Barr¹, Judith Neubauer² and Celine Gelinas²
¹Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, ²Robert Wood Johnson Medical School

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: Our purpose is to promote traffic toward the NJ ACTS Regulatory Cores recently launched website and increase investigator engagement through marketing strategies on LinkedIn. Landscaping to characterize the profiles of researchers on LinkedIn was also completed to estimate the feasibility of engaging with a target population on LinkedIn. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Insight gathering was performed to analyze what percentage of researchers possessed a LinkedIn profile and actively used their accounts. A sample population consisting of 284 NJ ACTS members were analyzed to summarize the type of researchers on LinkedIn, and their likelihood of responding to LinkedIn marketing campaigns. Efforts to launch a company LinkedIn page and collect followers were completed. Different methods of promotion were evaluated, including direct vs. mass email outreach to over 600+ researchers at Rutgers. Effectiveness of our platform was measured by comparing/overlaying Regulatory website traffic with LinkedIn traffic, as well as tracking the metrics of LinkedIn posts. RESULTS/ ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Among 284 NJ ACTS members, 76% (n=215) possess a LinkedIn profile, but only 21% (n=59) are actively

interacting with material on LinkedIn, such as creating, commenting, or sharing posts. Among the NJ ACTS LinkedIn users, 27% of individuals (n=57/215) responded to a direct outreach. Retention of the created organizational page was strong, as most users who visited the Regulatory Core page were likely to become followers. Massive email outreach to 600+ researchers within RBHS did not yield a strong LinkedIn following, however it did result in strong signals of website traffic during the days after the promotion was sent. Engagement with posts on LinkedIn can also be amplified and messaging proliferated when colleagues reshare Regulatory posts on their personal feeds. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: 3/4 of academic researchers are likely to be on LinkedIn but may not be active users of the platform. The most effective outreach is through direct messaging as opposed to broader, less individualized tactics (including mass email outreach). Evidence suggests potential to utilize LinkedIn to proactively engage in regulatory-related activities.

Research Management, Operations, and Administration

517

Understanding Distinctions in the Implementation of Learning Health System (LHS)

Doug Easterling¹, Anna Perry¹ and Sabina Gesell¹ Wake Forest School of Medicine

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: The LHS concept has been promoted as a means for health systems to improve quality, safety, efficiency and equity. NAMs definition has been widely adopted, but is broad and has led to variation in how LHS is operationalized. Drawing on a taxonomy developed through a review of literature, we developed a tool that shows how LHSs are implemented in practice. METHODS/ STUDY POPULATION: The LHS Implementation Assessment Tool (LHS-IAT) will indicate which forms of work are being carried out by a health system that purports to operate a LHS. LHS-IAT is based on the LHS Consolidated Framework (LHS-CF); which was developed through a qualitative analysis of LHS literature. LHS-CF contains 38 primary elements' and 56 secondary elements' that have been associated with the LHS construct. These elements are organized into 5 bodies of work. (e.g.; translating evidence into practice) and 4 enabling conditions• (e.g.; supportive culture). LHS-IAT assesses whether a health system operating as an LHS is implementing each of the key elements in LHS-CF. The usefulness of LHS-IAT will be demonstrated by applying the tool to 5 LHSs that have been described in the literature. RESULTS/ ANTICIPATED RESULTS: LHS-IAT produces a quantitative profile for any given health system operating as a LHS; each LHS element is assessed as either emphasized; otherwise present; or absent. With this information, we create profiles for each implementation of LHS, using spider graphs. Systems that emphasize different elements will have different shapes for their spider graphs. Based on our initial coding of publications, we expect at least 4 distinct profiles within our sample, reflecting differences in emphasis on factors such as: continuous improvement practices, adoption of internally and externally tested interventions, research conducted to address patient care issues prioritized by institutional leaders, investigator-initiated research, clinician-engaged research, and engagement of patients and families. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE: The LHS-IAT will show differences in how health systems are translating the LHS concept into practice. This will allow for a shared language for those studying and/or implementing LHS. With the ability to map out an approach, health system