This is a “preproof” accepted article for Weed Science. This version may be subject to change in the production process, *and does not include access to supplementary material*.
DOI: 10.1017/wet.2024.19

Investigation of management practices to optimize cereal rye cover crop-based weed mitigation in Canadian sweet corn production

Hayley L. Brackenridge[1]*, Jichul Bae[2], Marie-Josée Simard[3], François J. Tardif[4], Kerry Bosveld[5] and Robert E. Nurse[6]

[1]Master of Science, University of Guelph, Department of Plant Agriculture, Guelph, ON, Canada;
[2]Research Scientist, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC), Agassiz Research and Development Centre, Agassiz, BC, Canada;
[3]Research Scientist, AAFC, St. Jean-sur-Richelieu Research and Development Centre, St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, QC, Canada;
[4]Professor, University of Guelph, Department of Plant Agriculture, Guelph, ON, Canada;
[5]Research Assistant, AAFC, Harrow Research and Development Centre, Harrow, ON, Canada; and
[6]Reserach Scientist, AAFC, Harrow Research and Development Centre, Harrow, ON, Canada.

*H.L. Brackenridge current affiliation: Crop Profiles Coordinator, AAFC, Swift Current Research and Development Centre, Swift Current, SK, Canada.

Author for correspondence: Robert E. Nurse, Research Scientist, AAFC, Harrow Research and Development Centre, 2585 Essex County Rd. 20, Harrow, ON, N0R 1G0., (Email: Robert.Nurse@agr.gc.ca)

Cover Cropping in Sweet Corn

Nomenclature: sweet corn; *Zea mays* L.; cereal rye; *Secale cereale* L.

Abstract

Fall sown cereal rye has gained popularity as a cover crop in vegetable production due to its weed-suppressive capabilities. However, previous research has shown that replacing preemergence and/or postemergence herbicide applications with roller-crimped rye has variable success at controlling weeds and maintaining vegetable cash crop yields. The objective of this research was to test roller-crimped rye in sweet corn production to determine whether it can provide season-long weed control and maintain sweet corn yield. Two rye cultivars (early vs. standard maturity) were compared at three seeding rates (150, 300, and 600 seeds m^{-2}) for their effect on weed control and sweet corn yield. The trial was conducted at Harrow, ON, and St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, QC, from 2019 to 2021 and at Agassiz, BC, in 2019 and 2021. Results suggest that, although the early maturing cultivar allowed for earlier roller crimping in some locations, it was inferior at weed control and resulted in lower sweet corn yield than local standard cultivars. The average rye biomass was lower than the current literature recommendations, and the resulting level of weed control was not high enough to prevent sweet corn yield loss in cover crop treatments. Weed control provided by roller-crimped rye peaked between crimping and eight weeks after crimping and was highest in the standard cultivars sown at 300 and 600 seeds m^{-2}. Preliminary testing of supplemental postemergence weed control showed evidence for sweet corn yields comparable to the weed-free no-cover crop check. However, more research is needed. Overall, it was found that with the cultivars and seeding rates tested, roller-crimped rye is not a suitable stand-alone weed control option in sweet corn. Given the benefits of cover crops, further research should evaluate its potential as a component of an integrated weed management program.

Keywords: Roller Crimper, IPM, IWM, Weed Control

Introduction

Fall-sown cereal rye is an effective cover crop for controlling weeds (Mirsky et al. 2013; Reberg-Horton et al. 2012). Rye suppresses weeds through light and soil resource competition, allelopathy, and alteration of the soil microclimate (Mirsky et al. 2013; Niemeyer and Perez 1995; Reberg-Horton et al. 2012). Due to its competitive nature, rye must be terminated before cash crop planting to avoid yield loss. When the terminated rye vegetation is left as a residue on the soil surface, it alters the soil microclimate and light availability, which may sustain weed suppression throughout the cash crop growing season (Teasdale and Mohler 2000).

Roller crimping is a cover crop termination method that severs or creases the aboveground vegetation, eventually leading to the death and decomposition of the remaining surface mulch. Rye is effectively terminated with a roller-crimper when it is performed during its reproductive stage, between late anthesis and early milk (Ashford and Reeves 2003; Carr et al. 2013; Keene et al. 2017; Mirsky et al. 2009; Wayman et al. 2014). In Canada, this stage typically occurs between late May and early June; however, the optimal timing of roller crimping varies depending on environmental conditions and rye cultivars, making it difficult to provide generalized recommendations.

Variability between rye cultivars and growing environments also affects the degree of weed control provided by the cover crop. Rye cultivars differ in their biomass accumulation and ground coverage, variables that are correlated with weed control (Mirsky et al. 2013; Reberg-Horton et al. 2012; Teasdale 1996; Wallace et al. 2017). Some earlier flowering rye cultivars have greater aboveground biomass and weed suppression capacity than later ones when terminated with a roller-crimper in early May (Wells et al. 2016). Additionally, increasing the seeding rate can increase rye biomass and ground cover production, improving weed control potential (Ateh and Doll 1996; Boyd et al. 2009; Brennan and Boyd 2012; Ryan et al. 2011). Environmental variations differentially affect the magnitude of biomass accumulation and ground coverage of different rye cultivars, causing discrepancies in the literature regarding recommendations for seeding rate and cultivar selection. Regional variations in the success of weed control by different rye cultivars further complicate management recommendations for cover crop-based production systems.

The level of weed control provided by roller-crimped rye has varying consequences on cash crop yield, depending on the cropping system in which it is used. Roller crimping rye in soybeans [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] produced yields comparable to using herbicides in conventional soybean production (Davis 2010; Mischler et al. 2010; Wells et al. 2016). Conversely, transplanted tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.), zucchini (*Cucurbita pepo* L.), and bell peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.) experienced 41 to 92% yield reduction in a rolled rye cover crop, despite 96% weed control eight to ten weeks after roller crimping (Leavitt et al. 2011). The authors hypothesize that the yield losses observed in these vegetables may have been due to the crimped rye causing a reduced number of soil growing degree days (SGDD), nutrient immobilization, allelopathy, and/or an increase in insect-related mortality (Leavitt et al. 2011). More research is needed to verify these hypotheses.

Roller crimping for weed control in sweet corn is particularly desirable because of its high value, limited herbicide registrations compared to field corn, and increasing consumer demand for chemical-free production. Sweet corn emergence and marketable yield decreased in flail mowed, soil incorporated, and herbicide-desiccated rye cover crops, independent of weed control (Burgos and Talbert 1996; Cline and Silvernail 2002; Malik et al. 2008), but increased in hairy vetch (*Vicia villosa* Roth)-rye cover crop mixtures terminated by roller crimping, mowing, or contact herbicide (Carrera et al. 2004). It is unclear whether sweet corn yield reduction in pure rye cover crops was related to the termination method and whether roller crimping a pure rye cover would produce sweet corn yield similar to the hairy vetch-rye mixture. Therefore, continued research is needed to develop best practices for roller crimping rye that maintain sweet corn yield.

The timing compatibility between sweet corn planting and rye crimping restricts the success of roller-crimped rye for weed control in sweet corn. In Canada, sweet corn planting begins in late April to early May and ends before the crop insurance date in mid to late June, depending on the climatic region (Agricorp 2021; La Financière agricole du Québec2016). Sweet corn is continuously sown in intervals during this planting window to maximize the duration of the harvest season. Roller crimping rye before sweet corn planting delays planting until late May to early June, shortening the production season by approximately four weeks. Earlier flowering rye cultivars have the potential to partially alleviate this timing incompatibility by allowing earlier rye termination. Additionally, earlier flowering cultivars have been shown to have greater biomass production and weed control than later flowering rye cultivars when terminated with a roller-crimper in early May (Wells et al. 2016). As a result, earlier flowering rye cultivars may

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

require lower seeding rates to achieve desired levels of weed control. Optimal rye cover crop management can be cultivar-, cash crop-, and environment-specific; therefore, more research is needed to test the use of earlier flowering rye cultivars for weed control in sweet corn on a regional basis so that recommendations for cultivar selection seeding rate, and termination timing can be determined.

Optimizing rye cover crop-based weed management for sweet corn production in Canada requires consideration of rye cultivar selection, seeding rate, termination timing, and sweet corn planting timing. These factors influence the resultant level of weed control and sweet corn yield. Currently, the optimal termination timing of standard rye cultivars restricts sweet corn planting, which may shorten the production season and limit seasonal yield potential. Earlier flowering rye cultivars may allow for earlier roller crimping, minimizing the loss of production time and improving weed control. Therefore, this research aimed to investigate the use of the earlier flowering cereal rye cultivar, Elbon, for roller crimping in sweet corn to improve timing compatibility and weed control. It was hypothesized that 1) the earlier flowering rye cultivar, Elbon, will allow for earlier roller crimping than a local standard cultivar, and 2) a lower seeding rate of Elbon will provide a similar level of weed control to a local standard cultivar sown at a higher seeding rate.

Materials and Methods

Sites description

Field trials were conducted in 2019 and 2021 at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (AAFC) Research and Development Centre located at Agassiz, British Columbia, Canada (49.24° N , -121.77° W), and in 2019 to 2021 at the AAFC Research and Development Centres located at Harrow, Ontario, Canada (42.03° N, -82.90° W) and St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, Quebec, Canada (45.30° N, -73.29° W). Each year, trials were located on different fields of each farm. At Agassiz, trials were conducted on a field with a silt loam soil (Eluviated Eutric Brunisol, Canadian System of Soil Classification; Cryochrept, U.S. Soil Taxonomy; 26% to 36% sand, 52% to 59% silt, 12% to 15% clay, depending on the field location) with a pH of 6.3 to 6.4 and an organic matter content of 5.1% to 5.2%. At Harrow, trials were conducted on a loamy sand soil (Brunisolic Grey-Brown Luvisol, Canadian System of Soil Classification; Hapludalf, U.S. Soil Taxonomy; 72% to 77% sand, 19% to 25% silt, 3% to 4% clay, depending on the field

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

location) with a pH of 5.7 to 6.5 and an organic matter content of 1.9% to 2.3%. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, trials were conducted on clay loam (Orthic Humic Gleysol, Canadian System of Soil Classification; Aquoll, U.S. Soil Taxonomy; 29% to 43% sand, 29% to 35% silt, 28% to 35% clay, depending on the field location) with a pH of 6.7 to 6.9 and an organic matter content of 2.8% to 3.7%.

Experimental design

In 2019, the experimental design was a randomized complete block with a factorial of two rye cultivars (a local standard vs Elbon) and three seeding rates (150, 300, and 600 seeds m^{-2}), in addition to weedy and weed-free no rye control plots, for a total of eight treatments. Plots were three by eight m, and data were collected from one to two crop rows from plot edges to avoid edge effect. Hazlet was used as the local standard rye cultivar at Harrow and Agassiz, and Gauthier was used at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu. The experimental treatments were replicated four times. In 2020 and 2021 at Harrow, an additional treatment of weediness (ambient weeds vs herbicide-controlled weed-free) was added as a factorial for all combinations of rye cultivar and seeding rate for fourteen treatments. Similarly, in 2020 at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, a hand-weeded weed-free treatment was added for both cultivars at the highest seeding rate for ten treatments. In 2021, at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, the Elbon cultivar treatments were discarded, and only the standard cultivar was planted at three seeding rates, with the additional weed-free treatment at the highest seeding rate. These were compared to weedy and weed-free no-rye plots for a total of six treatments.

Cover crop planting and management

In the fall of 2018, 2019, and 2020, trial areas were prepared for cover crop planting. At Agassiz and Harrow, fields were sprayed with glyphosate at 1.8 kg ae ha^{-1} and cultivated. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, fields were worked with a rotary power harrow in 2018, 2019, and 2020, with additional disk harrowing in 2019. Rye was seed drilled in fifteen- to eighteen-cm rows to a depth of 25 to 30 mm in three by eight-m plots at three different rates: 150, 300, and 600 seeds m^{-2} (Table 1). At Agassiz, pre-plant fertilizers 34-0-0 (N-P-K), 0-0-22, Gro-Power 0-0-10, and zinc chelate were applied at 90, 35, 30, and 7 kg $ha^{-1,}$ respectively, in 2018 and 34-0-0, 0-0-22, and Gro-Power 0-0-10 were applied at 220, 90, and 80 kg ha^{-1} respectively in 2020, based on soil testing. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, 46-0-0 was applied in the planter at 30 kg N ha^{-1}. No fertilizer was applied to the rye planting at Harrow.

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

In the spring of 2019, 2020, and 2021, rye cover crops were terminated with a roller-crimper when most plots for one rye cultivar by seeding rate combination were between 50% anthesis (50% anthers emerged) and early milk stage (grain development halfway up the lemma/palea) across more than half of the plot (Table 1). Roller crimping was done by plot, traveling in the same direction as the rye rows. The roller crimpers used were traditional three-m wide, rear tractor-mounted roller crimpers filled with water (I & J Manufacturing, Gordonville PA, USA). The tractor traveled at a ground speed of 4.0 to 7.5 km h^{-1}, depending on the field conditions.

Within six days of roller crimping (weather depending), the entire trial was seeded to sweet corn in the same direction as rye planting and crimping in 76-cm rows to a depth of 38 mm at a rate of 66,666 to 70,000 seeds ha^{-1} using a no-till planter with trash cleaners to allow planting through the crimped rye (Table 1). Corn hybrid Awesome was grown at Harrow and St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, while hybrid Krispy King was grown at Agassiz. Sweet corn was seeded with 11-52-0 in the planter applied at 350 kg ha^{-1} at Agassiz, 10-20-30 in the planter applied at 350 kg ha^{-1} at Harrow, and a custom mix of 12.2-14.6-14.6 in the planter applied at 412 kg ha^{-1} at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu. At Agassiz, plots were broadcasted without incorporation before sweet corn planting with 34-0-0, 0-0-22, Gro-Power 0-0-10, and 0-0-62 at 450, 100, 30, and 75 kg ha^{-1} respectively in 2019 and 46-0-0, Gro-Power 0-0-10, 0-0-62, and 18-18-18 at 140, 50, 80, and 50 kg ha^{-1} respectively in 2021, based on soil testing. In 2019 at Harrow, 46-0-0 was broadcasted before sweet corn planting at a rate of 413 kg N ha^{-1} without incorporation in rye plots and with incorporation in no rye plots. In 2020 and 2021 at Harrow, plots were side-dressed with 28% UAN at 190 kg N ha^{-1} at the four- to six-leaf stage of sweet corn. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, plots were side-dressed with 27-0-0 at 200 kg N ha^{-1} at the four- to six-leaf stage of sweet corn each year.

Throughout the season, weed-free treatments were hand-weeded except at Harrow in 2020 and 2021. In 2020 and 2021 at Harrow, weed-free no rye plots received 1.8 kg ae ha^{-1} of glyphosate at roller crimping and all weed-free plots received 0.025 kg ai ha^{-1} of nicosulfuron, 0.1 kg ai ha^{-1} of mesotrione, and 0.28 kg ai ha^{-1} atrazine in a mixture with Agral 90 adjuvant at the four- to six-leaf stage of sweet corn. All herbicides were applied using Hypro Ultra Low Drift 120-02 nozzles spaced 50 cm apart and 50 cm above the targeted weeds at 125 kpa pressure with 204 L ha^{-1} water.

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Additional field management, including insecticide application and irrigation, were performed as necessary. At Agassiz in 2021, total rainfall amount was 32.5 mm in June, 11.9 mm below the 30-year average for June, and zero mm in July, 64.3 mm below the 30-year average for July (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2021); therefore, sweet corn was irrigated periodically from July 29th until maturity for a total of 30 hours using sprinklers on an irrigation reel. At Harrow in June 2020, total rainfall amount was 53.4 mm, 19.9 mm below the 30-year average for June (Environment and Climate Change Canada 2021), therefore, sweet corn was irrigated on July 7th using a stationary irrigation gun and booster pump for six hours. To prevent insect damage at Harrow, deltamethrin (Decis 5EC, Bayer Crop Science Canada) and chlorantraniliprole (Coragen, FMC Canada) were applied each year. Deltamethrin was applied at 15 g ai ha^{-1} between the VT and R1 stages of sweet corn and chlorantraniliprole was applied at 75 g ai ha^{-1} one week later.

Data collection

Rye phenology and biomass

In the spring, once the spike of the early rye cultivar emerged from the boot, plots were monitored daily and the date of first flowering/anthesis and 50% anthesis (50% of anthers emerged from 50% of heads) were recorded. At 50% anthesis, above ground rye biomass was harvested from two one-m rows per plot in 2019 and 2020, and two 0.5-m rows per plot in 2021. Within-plot samples were pooled and oven-dried at 75 to 80 C for at least two weeks until their weight stabilized to obtain dry biomass weight.

Weed assessment

In early spring, two permanent 0.5 by 0.5 m quadrats were established in representative areas of each plot for repeated weed assessment. At 50% anthesis, a pre-roller crimping (PRE) weed assessment was conducted on the five most prominent dicot species and five most prominent monocot species. If monocot weeds were not identifiable at this time, they were pooled. For each quadrat, these prominent weeds were identified, counted, and their approximate growth stage recorded. The assessment was repeated at 4 weeks after rye termination (WAT) in the same permanent quadrats on the same prominent weeds. At 8 WAT, weeds in the permanent quadrats were hand harvested and pooled by plot. Weeds were sorted by species, counted, and oven-dried at 75 to 80 C for at least two weeks, until their weight stabilized. The dry biomass weight of each species in a plot was recorded.

Sweet corn yield

Two weeks after sweet corn emergence, the number of sweet corn plants in the third row of each plot was counted. Sweet corn cobs were hand harvested from the third row of each plot at maturity, when silks turned brown, but the husks were still green (~16 to 22 days after first silking). All cobs with silk from the third row of each plot were picked, including those that were still green. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu in 2020, 10 randomly selected plants per plot were harvested in lieu of harvesting the third row due to racoon damage. Cobs were graded as marketable or unmarketable for each plot. Marketability was determined based on cob size, maturity, and grain fill. Mature cobs with >75% of kernels pollinated, and consistent rows were considered marketable. Cobs with disease or insect damage that were otherwise marketable were considered marketable. Small and immature cobs with <75% of kernels pollinated and/or inconsistent kernel rows were considered unmarketable. The bulk of the husk and stem were removed. The number of cobs and the total weight for each grade was recorded.

Statistical analyses

Treatment effects were assessed using a mixed model analysis in R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team 2020). Location and year were treated as random effects with replication nested within each. For variables with repeated measures (i.e., weed count), plot was also included as a random effect nested within location and year, and the time of measurement was added as a fixed effect. Generalized linear mixed effects models (GLMMs) were used to analyze count data, including weed count PRE, 4 WAT, and 8 WAT, using glmer() from the package lme4 (Bates et al. 2015) with a Poisson distribution family. Linear mixed effects models (LMMs) were used to analyze continuous data including rye biomass, marketable fresh weight, and weed biomass 8 WAT, using lmer() from the package lme4. Separate model analysis was conducted to compare rye treatments to no rye controls and to determine rye seeding rate and cultivar treatment effects for each measurement variable.

To analyze the effect of supplemental weed control in rye plots, several models were constructed to account for unequal treatment application. The effect of weediness across rye treatments was assessed for: the standard cultivar at the high seeding rate at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu in 2020 and 2021, and Harrow in 2020 and 2021; both cultivars at the high seeding rate at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu in 2020 and Harrow in 2020 and 2021; and all cultivar and seeding rate treatments at Harrow in 2020 and 2021. Similarly, weed-free cereal rye treatments were

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

compared to the weed-free no rye control using model analysis for each of the datasets detailed above.

For LMMs, model reduction was performed using step() from the package lmerTest (Kuznetsova et al. 2017) which performs automatic backward elimination of all model effects to determine significant effects calculated by F-tests. For GLMMs, the most significant model was determined by comparing Akaike Information Criteria (AIC) values of manually reduced models. Assumptions of independence, homogeneity, normality, homoscedasticity, and multicollinearity of residuals were assessed. Transformations were applied to correct model assumptions where required. Marketable cob count models were better fit with an LMM than GLMM and, for the treatment level analysis, cob count was log transformed. Weed count at the treatment level and weed biomass models were also log transformed.

The significance of treatment effects were determined by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Anova() from the package car (Fox and Weisberg 2019) which calculated a Kenward-Roger F-test for LMMs and a Wald chi-square test for GLMMs. Estimated marginalized means were calculated from linear models and back transformed where necessary using emmeans() from the package emmeans (Lenth 2020). Groupings were determined using the compact letter display function cld() from the package multcomp (Hothorn et al. 2008) with Sidak's adjustment for multiple comparisons. Treatment effects were considered significant at $p<0.05$ for all analyses.

Results and Discussion

Rye phenology

The difference in days to 50% anthesis between the standard and earlier flowering rye cultivars was variable across locations and years (Table 2). At Agassiz, the earlier flowering cultivar reached 50% anthesis 4 and 6 days earlier than the local standard cultivar in 2019 and 2021 respectively (mean=5 days). At Harrow, the earlier flowering cultivar reached 50% anthesis 1 day earlier than the local standard cultivar in 2019 and 6 days earlier in 2020 and 2021 (mean=4.3 days). At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, the earlier flowering cultivar reached 50% anthesis at the same time as the local standard in all study years.

Late anthesis to early milk stage has been shown to be the optimal termination timing of rye (Ashford and Reeves 2003; Keene et al. 2017). In the present study, roller crimping the local standard rye cultivar at this stage would delay sweet corn planting as late as early June, approximately one month later than the beginning of the planting season in May (La Financière agricole du Québec 2016; Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Rural Affairs 2021). To be eligible for crop insurance, producers must have sweet corn planted by June 24 to June 30, depending on the location (Agricorp 2021; La Financière agricole du Québec2016). As such, the window for sweet corn planting after roller crimping a standard rye cultivar at late anthesis/early milk would be two to four weeks compared to six to eight weeks without a rye cover crop.

The phenology of rye cultivars is differentially influenced by temperature, particularly between winter varieties such as Hazlet and Gauthier, and facultative varieties, such as Elbon (Bahrani et al. 2021). Elbon, the earlier flowering rye cultivar tested in the present study, allowed for roller crimping up to six days sooner than the local standard cultivar, Hazlet, at Agassiz and Harrow. The duration of sweet corn planting in these locations could therefore be extended close to one week using Elbon instead of Hazlet. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, Elbon provided no benefit to field operation timing compared to the local standard cultivar, Gauthier. It is hypothesized that the convergence of flowering timing observed at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu is due to lower winter temperatures and cultivar-specific differences in low temperature tolerance, which has been shown to influence several developmental traits correlated with days to anthesis (Bahrani et al. 2021). Verification of this hypothesis is outside of the scope of this paper. Other cultivars or methods for hastening roller crimping should be investigated to maximize the sweet corn planting window, such as applying a pre-plant herbicide to desiccate the rye before crimping.

Rye biomass

Aboveground rye dry biomass at 50% anthesis was affected by seeding rate (F=3.061, p=0.0496) but not cultivar (F=2.826, p=0.0947) or the interaction between seeding rate and cultivar (F=0.2396, p=0.7872). There were no differences in biomass between seeding rate × cultivar treatments nor seeding rates pooled across cultivars (Table 3). Aboveground biomass has been shown to greatly influence weed suppression by cover crops, with some studies suggesting at least 9,000 kg ha^{-1} is required for >90% control (Mohler and Teasdale 1993; Teasdale and Mohler 2000; Smith et al. 2011). Although some plots in the present study produced as much as 11,705 kg ha^{-1} of aboveground rye biomass, average production across all treatments was 5,525 kg ha^{-1} (Table 3).

Weed control

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

At Agassiz, dominant weed species were annual bluegrass (*Poa annua* L.), common chickweed [*Stellaria media* (L.) Vill.], shepherd's purse (*Capsella bursa-pastoris* Medik.), bluebur (*Lappula squarrosa* Dumort.), speedwell (*Veronica* spp.), redroot pigweed (*Amaranthus retroflexus* L.), and common lambsquarters (*Chenopodium album* L.). At Harrow, dominant weed species were large crabgrass [*Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop.], small crabgrass [*Digitaria ischaemum* (Schreb.) Muhl.], lambsquarters, lady's thumb (*Persicaria maculosa* Gray), common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.), eastern black nightshade (*Solanum americanum* Mill.), common chickweed, and stinkgrass [*Eragrostis cilianensis* (All.) Vignolo ex Janch.]. At St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, speedwell and shepherd's purse were dominant weed species throughout the duration of the study. Additional species were present at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, but varied by year. For example, common chickweed, lady's thumb, and marsh cudweed (*Gnaphalium uliginosum* L.) were dominant in 2019, and barnyard grass [*Echinochloa crus-galli* (L.) P.Beauv.], small crabgrass, and witchgrass (*Panicum capillare* L.) in 2020, and lambsquarters, barnyard grass, and dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale* F.H.Wigg.) in 2021.

Weed density was measured PRE, 4 WAT, and 8 WAT and was affected by the cover crop, measurement timing, and their interaction ($\chi^2_{\text{treatment}}$=22.127, p=0.0011; χ^2_{time}=7633.691, p<0.0001; $\chi^2_{\text{treatment:time}}$=1068.195, p<0.0001). Pooled across seeding rates and cultivars, rye cover crop had no effect on weed density compared to the weedy no rye control measured PRE (p=0.9842). At 4WAT, a 45% reduction in weed density was observed (p<0.0001), however, by 8 WAT, this was reduced to 26% (Table 4; p=0.1080).

Previous research observed an increase in weed density over time in roller crimped rye (Leavitt et al. 2011; Mischler et al. 2010). However, few studies compared weed control pre- and post-roller crimping (Nord et al. 2012). The results of the present study suggest that weed control by rye improves after roller crimping but depreciates over time. This is consistent with previous research which found that compared to bare soil, roller crimped rye does not persistently reduce weed density (Davis 2010; Mischler et al. 2010). More research is needed to determine the timing of peak weed control by crimped rye so that recommendations for supplemental weed control after this point can be made, if necessary.

Weed density was affected by rye seeding rate (F=7.006, p=0.0012), cultivar (F=6.819, p=0.0099), and time (F=51.765, p<0.0001), but not their interactions. Averaged across measurement timings, weed density decreased with increasing seeding rate, but this difference

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

was not significant between mid and high rates (Table 4). Additionally, weed density was higher in the earlier flowering rye cultivar than the local standard cultivars (Table 4).

Aboveground weed dry biomass was 70% lower in crimped rye, averaged across seeding rates and cultivars than the weedy no rye control (Table 3). Within rye treatments, weed biomass was affected by rye seeding rate (F=7.005, p=0.0011) and cultivar (F=5.057, p=0.0257), but not their interaction (F=0.366, p=0.6934). Weed biomass was lower in the standard cultivar than the early flowering cultivar and decreased with increasing seeding rate, however, this difference was not significant between the medium and high rates (Table 3). Weed control was calculated as a percent of the average weed biomass in weedy no rye checks averaged across replications for each location and year. Pooled across seeding rates, the early flowering cultivar resulted in an average of 19% control, whereas the standard cultivars resulted in 33% control on average. Pooled across cultivars, the 150, 300, and 600 seeds m^{-2} treatments resulted in 16%, 25%, and 38% average control, respectively.

Increased cover crop biomass has been shown to increase ground coverage, which improves weed control (Boyd et al. 2009; Brennan et al. 2009; Brennan and Smith 2005; Ryan et al. 2011). Although the differences in rye biomass between the seeding rates in the present study were not significant, they may have been enough to increase weed control by mid and high seeding rates compared to the low seeding rate. These results suggest that doubling the conventional rye seeding rate of 300 seeds m^{-2} may not be an effective strategy for improving weed control, however, testing rye seeding rates greater than 600 seeds m^{-2} is warranted given that average rye biomass was less than the literature recommendation of 9,000 kg ha^{-1}, as noted above.

Sweet corn yield

Marketable fresh weight was affected by rye cultivar (F=7.769, p=0.0062) but not seeding rate (F=1.134, p=0.3255) or their interaction (F=0.508, p=0.6026), while marketable cob count was not affected by either cultivar (F=1.026, p=0.3142), seeding rate (F=1.221, p=0.3007), or their interaction (F=0.499, p=0.6083). Without supplemental weed control, marketable fresh weight and cob count were 68% and 62% lower, respectively in rye treatments pooled across seeding rates and cultivars compared to the weed-free no rye control (Table 3). Within rye treatments, total fresh weight of marketable sweet corn cobs was higher in the standard cultivars than the early maturing cultivar pooled across seeding rates (Table 3). This

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

may have been due to the higher density and biomass of weeds observed in the early flowering cultivar compared to the standard cultivars, causing increased competition for resources.

Previous studies reported an incompatibility between corn and rye (Cline and Silvernail 2002; Malik et al. 2008), however, this may be due to incomplete weed control by rye. A paraquat-desiccated rye cover crop supplemented with postemergence atrazine + metolachlor application resulted in a sweet corn yield comparable to a weed-free no-cover crop check (Burgos and Talbert 1996). Additionally, as a large-seeded crop, rye allelochemicals should not affect sweet corn (Burgos and Talbert 2000; Putnam and DeFrank 1983). In the present study, an additional treatment of postemergence weed control was applied as a factorial of all treatments at Harrow in 2020 and 2021 via postemergence herbicide and to the high seeding rates at St. Jean-sur-Richelieu in 2020 and 2021 via hand weeding. The results of this subset of treatments suggest that independent of the level of weed control, roller-crimped rye does not influence sweet corn yield (Table 5). There were no differences in marketable sweet corn fresh weight or cob count between rye cover crop treatments with postemergence herbicide and the weed-free no rye control at Harrow in 2020 and 2021 (Table 5). Analysis of the standard cultivar at the highest seeding rate with postemergence herbicide or hand weeding compared to the weed-free no rye control at two locations and two years suggests that there may be some yield loss with the use of this rye cultivar (Table 5). Since the application and method of supplemental weed control were not consistently applied to all study years, locations, and treatments, more research is needed to verify these observations and the effectiveness of combining a roller-crimped rye cover crop with postemergence herbicide for optimal weed control and yield.

Practical Implications

This research suggests that the earlier flowering rye cultivar, Elbon, allows for earlier roller crimping in some locations but reduces weed control and sweet corn yield compared to local standard cultivars, even when sown at double the conventional rate. The best weed control was observed in the standard cultivars sown at 300 and 600 seeds m^{-2}. These results do not negate the need to investigate earlier flowering rye cultivars to hasten roller crimping and extend the sweet corn production season; therefore, other cultivars should be tested for phenology and weed suppression. Promising results were observed in treatments with supplemental postemergence weed control, but more research is needed to verify this observation and

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

determine best management practices. Combining roller-crimped rye with postemergence herbicide could create an effective integrated weed management program that reduces chemical inputs and builds soil health and stability, but on its own, roller-crimped rye is not capable of controlling weeds enough to prevent yield loss in Canadian sweet corn production.

Acknowledgements
Thanks to Elaine Lepp at AAFC's Harrow Research and Development Centre, Lydia Mayeux at AAFC's St. Jean-sur-Richelieu Research and Development Centre, and Ryan Critchley at AAFC's Agassiz Research and Development Centre for their technical support and expertise. Additional thanks to Dr. Jamie Larsen at AAFC's Harrow Research and Development Centre and Dr. Darren Robinson at the University of Guelph for their mentorship and guidance on data analysis and writing. No competing interests have been declared.

References

Agricorp (2021) Production Insurance. Government of Ontario. https://www.agricorp.com/en-ca/Programs/ProductionInsurance/Pages/Default.aspx. Accessed November 28, 2021

Ashford DL, Reeves DW (2003) Use of a mechanical roller-crimper as an alternative kill method for cover crops. Am. J. Altern. Agric. 18:37–45

Ateh CM, Doll JD (1996) Spring-planted winter rye (*Secale cereale*) as a living mulch to control weeds in soybean (*Glycine max*). Weed Technol. 10:347–353

Bahrani H, Baga M, Larsen J, Graf RJ, Laroche A, Chibbar RN (2021) The relationship between plant developmental traits and winter field survival in rye (*Secale cereale* L.) Plants 10:2455

Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, Walker S (2015) Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J Stat Soft 67

Boyd NS, Brennan EB, Smith RF, Yokota R (2009) Effect of seeding rate and planting arrangement on rye cover crop and weed growth. Agron. J. 101:47–51

Brennan EB, Boyd NS (2012) Winter cover crop seeding rate and variety affects during eight years of organic vegetables: I. Cover crop biomass production. Agron. J. 104:684–698

Brennan EB, Boyd NS, Smith RF, Foster P (2009) Seeding rate and planting arrangement effects on growth and weed suppression of a legume-oat cover crop for organic vegetable systems. Agron. J. 101:979

Brennan EB, Smith RF (2005) Winter cover crop growth and weed suppression on the central coast of California. Weed Tech. 19:1017–1024

Burgos NR, Talbert RE (1996) Weed control and sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. rugosa) response in a no-till system with cover crops. Weed Sci. 44:355–361

Burgos NR, Talbert RE (2000) Differential activity of allelochemicals from *Secale cereale* in seedling bioassays. Weed Sci. 48:302–310

Carr PM, Horsley RD, Gunderson JJ, Winch TJ, Martin GB (2013) Weed growth and crop performance following hairy vetch, rye, and wheat cover crops in a cool semiarid region. Org. Agric. 3:149–161

Carrera L, Abdul-Baki AA, Teasdale JR (2004) Cover crop management and weed suppression in no-tillage sweet corn production. HortScience 39:1262–1266

Cline GR, Silvernail AF (2002) Effects of cover crops, nitrogen, and tillage on sweet corn. HortTech 12:118–125

Davis AS (2010) Cover-crop roller–crimper contributes to weed management in no-till soybean. Weed Sci. 58:300–309

Environment and Climate Change Canada (2021) Historical Data. Government of Canada. https://climate.weather.gc.ca/historical_data/search_historic_data_e.html. Accessed November 28, 2021

Financière agricole du Québec (2016) Eligibility and Insurance Criteria. Government of Quebec. https://www.fadq.qc.ca/en/crop-insurance/eligibility-and-insurance-criteria/#c616. Accessed November 28, 2021

Fox J, Weisberg S (2019) An R Companion to Applied Regression. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage

Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P (2008) Simultaneous interference in general parametric models. Biom. J. 50:346–363

Keene CL, Curran WS, Wallace JM, Ryan MR, Mirsky SB, VanGessel MJ, Barbercheck ME (2017) Cover crop termination timing is critical in organic rotational no-till systems. Agron. J. 109:272–282

Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB (2017) lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. J Stat Soft 82

Leavitt MJ, Sheaffer CC, Wyse DL, Allan DL (2011) Rolled winter rye and hairy vetch cover crops lower weed density but reduce vegetable yields in no-tillage organic production. HortScience 46:387–395

Lenth R (2020) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means

Malik MS, Norsworthy JK, Culpepper AS, Riley MB, Bridges W (2008) Use of wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) and rye cover crops for weed suppression in sweet corn. Weed Sci. 56:588–595

Mirsky SB, Curran WS, Mortensen DA, Ryan MR, Shumway DL (2009) Control of cereal rye with a roller/crimper as influenced by cover crop phenology. Agron. J. 101:1589

Mirsky SB, Ryan MR, Teasdale JR, Curran WS, Reberg-Horton CS, Spargo JT, Wells MS, Keene CL, Moyer JW (2013) Overcoming weed management challenges in cover crop–based organic rotational no-till soybean production in the Eastern United States. Weed Technol. 27:193–203

Mischler RA, Curran WS, Duiker SW, Hyde JA (2010) Use of a rolled-rye cover crop for weed suppression in no-till soybeans. Weed Technol. 24:253–261

Mohler CL, Teasdale JR (1993) Response of weed emergence to rate of *Vicia villosa* Roth and *Secale cereale* L. residue. Weed Research 33:487–499

Niemeyer HM, Perez FJ (1995) Potential of hydroxarnic acids in the control of cereal pests, diseases, and weeds. Pages 260–270 *in* American Chemical Society. Washington, DC, USA: American Chemical Society

Nord EA, Ryan MR, Curran WS, Mortensen DA, Mirsky SB (2012) Effects of management type and timing on weed suppression in soybean no-till planted into rolled-crimped cereal rye. Weed Sci. 60:624–633

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry, and Rural Affairs (2021) Climate Zones and Planting Dates for Vegetables in Ontario. Government of Ontario. http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca/english/crops/facts/climzoneveg.htm. Accessed November 28, 2021

Putnam AR, DeFrank J (1983) Use of phytotoxic plant residues for selective weed control. Crop Prot. 2:173–181

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing

Reberg-Horton SC, Grossman JM, Kornecki TS, Meijer AD, Price AJ, Place GT, Webster TM (2012) Utilizing cover crop mulches to reduce tillage in organic systems in the southeastern USA. Renewable Agric. Food Syst. 27:41–48

Ryan MR, Curran WS, Grantham AM, Hunsberger LK, Mirsky SB, Mortensen DA, Nord EA, Wilson DO (2011) Effects of seeding rate and poultry litter on weed suppression from a rolled cereal rye cover crop. Weed Sci. 59:438–444

Smith AN, Reberg-Horton SC, Place GT, Meijer AD, Arellano C, Mueller JP (2011) Rolled rye mulch for weed suppression in organic no-tillage soybeans. Weed Sci. 59:224–231

Teasdale JR (1996) Contribution of cover crops to weed management in sustainable agricultural systems. J. Prod. Agric. 9:475–479

Teasdale JR, Mohler CL (2000) The quantitative relationship between weed emergence and the physical properties of mulches. Weed Sci. 48:385–392

Wallace J, Williams A, Liebert J, Ackroyd V, Vann R, Curran W, Keene C, VanGessel M, Ryan M, Mirsky S (2017) Cover crop-based, organic rotational no-till corn and soybean production systems in the mid-Atlantic United States. Agriculture 7:34

Wayman S, Cogger C, Benedict C, Burke I, Collins D, Bary A (2014) The influence of cover crop variety, termination timing and termination method on mulch, weed cover and soil nitrate in reduced-tillage organic systems. Renewable Agric. Food Syst. 30:450–460

Wells MS, Brinton CM, Reberg-Horton SC (2016) Weed suppression and soybean yield in a no-till cover-crop mulched system as influenced by six rye cultivars. Renewable Agric. Food Syst. 31:429–440

Table 1: Dates of field operations performed across site years.

	Agassiz, BC		Harrow, ON			St. Jean sur Richelieu, QC		
	2019	2021	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
Rye planting	September 24, 2018	October 2, 2020	October 16, 2018	October 24, 2019	October 9, 2020	September 24, 2018	September 18, 2019	September 23, 2020
Roller crimping	May 14, 2019	June 8, 2021	June 12, 2019	June 9, 2020	May 31, 2021	June 12, 2019	June 9, 2020	June 1, 2021
Sweet corn planting	May 15, 2019	June 16, 2021	June 18, 2019	June 12, 2020	June 2, 2021	June 13, 2019	June 10, 2020	June 2, 2021

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 2: Julian date and number of growing degree days (GDD; in brackets) of 50% anthesis for earlier flowering cereal rye cultivar (Early) and local standard rye cultivar (Standard) at each location and year.

Location	Standard rye cultivar			Early rye cultivar		
	2019	2020	2021	2019	2020	2021
	--------------------------------------Julian date			---		
Agassiz, BC	134 (921 GDD)	-- [a]	146 (768 GDD)	130 (872 GDD)	--	140 (707 GDD)
Harrow, ON	156 (1065 GDD)	160 (1108 GDD)	145 (1289 GDD)	155 (1047 GDD)	154 (989 GDD)	139 (1160 GDD)
St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, QC	163 (1012 GDD)	156 (1096 GDD)	147 (1220 GDD)	163 (1012 GDD)	156 (1096 GDD)	--

[a] Dash (--) indicates that cultivar was not planted that year.

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 3. Effects of standard (Std) and earlier flowering (Early) cereal rye cover crops sown at three seeding rates (150, 300, 600 seeds m^{-2}) and terminated with a roller-crimper on aboveground rye dry biomass at 50% anthesis, aboveground weed dry biomass at eight weeks after rye termination, and marketable fresh weight and cob count of a sweet corn cash crop planted into the terminated cover crop.[a,b,c]

	Rye biomass		Weed biomass		Marketable fresh weight		Marketable cob count	
Treatment	---kg ha^{-1}---						----------cobs ha^{-1}----------	
Weedy no rye	--[d]		1,806 ± 1,468	d	450 ± 950	a	6,310 ± 8,740	a
Std 150	4,803 ± 390	a	784 ± 638	bcd	1,120 ± 950	ab	12,400 ± 8,740	a
Std 300	5,612 ± 390	a	392 ± 320	ab	1,330 ± 950	ab	13,390 ± 8,740	a
Std 600	5,488 ± 390	a	329 ± 268	a	1,620 ± 950	b	15,840 ± 8,740	a
Early 150	5,358 ± 407	a	951 ± 777	cd	900 ± 950	ab	10,850 ± 8,840	a
Early 300	5,790 ± 407	a	653 ± 533	abc	1,020 ± 950	ab	15,070 ± 8,840	a
Early 600	6,017 ± 413	a	540 ± 442	abc	1,010 ± 950	ab	13,020 ± 8,840	a
Weed-Free No Rye	--		--		4,160 ± 950	c	39,180 ± 8,740	b
Contrasts	---*P*-value---							
150 v. 300	0.0959		0.0159*		--		--	
300 v. 600	0.9928		0.7381		--		--	
150 v. 600	0.0759		0.0015*		--		--	
Early v. Std	0.0991		0.0260*		0.0063*		--	
All trts v. Weedy No Rye	--		<0.0001*		0.0001*		0.0007*	

[a]Values are estimated marginalized means ± SE from unreduced models.

[b]Within columns, different letters indicate statistically different means (p<0.05) using Sidak's adjustment for multiple comparisons.

[c]Asterisk (*) indicates significant contrast (p<0.05).

[d]Dash (--) indicates no data.

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 4: Effects of standard (Std) and earlier flowering (Early) cereal rye cover crops sown at three seeding rates (150, 300, 600 seeds m^{-2}) and terminated with a roller-crimper on weed density measured before termination (PRE), 4 weeks after termination (WAT), and 8 WAT.[a,b]

	Weed density		
	PRE	4 WAT	8 WAT
Treatment	---------------------------plants m^{-2}---------------------------		
Weedy no rye	117 ± 37	93 ± 29	80 ± 25
Std 150	112 ± 35	42 ± 13	66 ± 21
Std 300	92 ± 29	26 ± 8	49 ± 15
Std 600	90 ± 28	24 ± 8	43 ± 14
Early 150	145 ± 46	63 ± 20	77 ± 25
Early 300	111 ± 35	46 ± 15	51 ± 16
Early 600	106 ± 34	35 ± 11	59 ± 19
Contrasts	----------------------------*P*-value----------------------------		
150 v. 300	0.0053*		
300 v. 600	0.9890		
150 v. 600	0.0033*		
Early v. Std	0.0102*		
All trts v. Weedy No Rye control	0.9842	<0.0001*	0.1080

[a]Values are estimated marginalized means ± SE from unreduced models.

[b]Asterisk (*) indicates significant contrast (p<0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press

Table 5. Effects of standard (Std) and earlier flowering (Early) cereal rye cover crops sown at three seeding rates (150, 300, 600 seeds m^{-2}), terminated with a roller-crimper and hand-weeded or herbicide treated on marketable fresh weight and cob count of a sweet corn cash crop planted into the terminated cover crop.[a,b,c]

Treatment	Marketable fresh weight		Marketable cob count	
	-------------kg ha^{-1}-------------		------------cobs ha^{-1}------------	
Harrow, 2020 and 2021, all trts with postemergence herbicide				
Std 150 WF	4,370 ± 800	a	42,760 ± 4,870	a
Std 300 WF	5,010 ± 800	a	49,140 ± 4,870	ab
Std 600 WF	5,340 ± 800	a	51,600 ± 4,870	ab
Early 150 WF	5,050 ± 800	a	50,780 ± 4,870	ab
Early 300 WF	5,290 ± 800	a	54,280 ± 4,870	ab
Early 600 WF	5,980 ± 800	a	57,770 ± 4,870	b
WF No Rye	6,220 ± 800	a	56,740 ± 4,870	b
Harrow 2020 and 2021, St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, 2020, 600 seeds m^{-2} trts and no rye control with postemergence herbicide or hand weeded				
Std 600 WF	3,560 ± 2,040	a	36,780 ± 16,260	a
Early 600 WF	3,920 ± 2,040	a	40,190 ± 16,260	a
WF No Rye	4,170 ± 2,040	a	41,550 ± 16,260	a
Harrow and St. Jean-sur-Richelieu, 2020 and 2021, Std 600 and no rye control with postemergence herbicide or hand weeded				
Std 600 WF	2,990 ± 2,290	a	30,800 ± 17,290	a
WF No Rye	4,100 ± 2,290	b	43,950 ± 17,290	b

[a]Values are estimated marginalized means ± SE from unreduced models.

[b]Headers separate the analyses of data, which were conducted three ways to account for inconsistent treatment application between locations and years.

[c]Within columns under each header, different letters indicate statistically different means (p<0.05) using Sidak's adjustment for multiple comparisons.

https://doi.org/10.1017/wet.2024.19 Published online by Cambridge University Press