# THE MATHEMATICAL GAZETTE

### CORRESPONDENCE

### To the Editor of the Mathematical Gazette.

DEAR SIR.

# Simple Subtraction.

I think the admirable report on Mathematics in Primary Schools might be improved by mentioning an explanation of subtraction which I learnt from a boy who was 6 years old. 67

> -2938

In a sum such as

using bundles of matches (tied in tens) he had been taught to borrow ten, so that he had 5 tens and 17 units. He then found the 8 and was taught to go on 2 from 5. I tried to persuade him to take 3 (i.e. 1+2) from the 6. Suddenly he said "Oh! I see, you take away the 1 and the 2 at the same time.

Soon after Dr. Ballard's Teaching the Essentials of Arithmetic came out, I told him of the above. He wrote me a most enthusiastic letter, saying that it was the best explanation he had ever seen and he should always use it in future

Yours, etc., A. W. SIDDONS

## To the Editor of the Mathematical Gazette.

### Query.

DEAR SIR,—The alleged inequality

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{x_1}{x_2 + x_3} + \frac{x_2}{x_3 + x_4} + \ldots + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n + x_1} + \frac{x_n}{x_1 + x_2} \ge \frac{1}{2}n,$$

where  $x_r > 0$ , r = 1 to n, given by H. S. Shapiro (American Math. Monthly, Oct. 1954) is true when n=3 and n=4.

The following example, due to Professor Lighthill, shows that it is not true in general :

When n = 20, take  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{20}$  to be (in that order)  $1 + 5\epsilon$ ,  $6\epsilon$ ,  $1 + 4\epsilon$ ,  $5\epsilon$ ,  $1+3\epsilon, 4\epsilon, 1+2\epsilon, 3\epsilon, 1+\epsilon, 2\epsilon, 1+2\epsilon, \epsilon, 1+3\epsilon, 2\epsilon, 1+4\epsilon, 3\epsilon, 1+5\epsilon, 4\epsilon, 1+6\epsilon, 5\epsilon, 1+6\epsilon,$ where  $\epsilon$  is small and positive; then it is easy to see that

$$f(x_1, ..., x_n) = 10 - \epsilon^2 + O(\epsilon^3).$$

Are there simple examples which show that the inequality is untrue (i) if *n* is odd, (ii) if 4 < n < 20?

Are there values of n greater than 4 for which the inequality is true?

Yours etc., C. V. DURELL

266