Use of added sugars in packaged foods sold in Brazil
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Abstract

Objective: Studies have shown that the consumption of added sugars may be associated with harmful health effects. The present study investigated the presence and types of added sugars in packaged foods. Design: Cross-sectional analysis of the presence and types of added sugars in the ingredients lists of packaged foods sold in a major Brazilian supermarket. The nomenclature of ingredients classified as added sugars and their frequency were identified. Data were organised and analysed through descriptive statistics: absolute and relative frequencies of the presence of added sugars categorised by food groups were calculated, and ingredients were analysed by text mining using R statistical environment. Setting: A supermarket in Florianópolis, a metropolis in southern Brazil. Subjects: Packaged food products (n 4539) classified into eight groups. Results: Of the 4539 products, 71 % had some type of added sugar. The group with the highest frequency of added sugars was ‘products in which carbohydrates and fats are the main energy source’ (93 %). Food groups containing predominantly salty foods had a high frequency of added sugars, such as ‘meats and eggs’ (61 %). In total, 179 different terms for added sugars were identified, of which sugar, maltodextrin and glucose syrup were the most frequent. Conclusions: Most of the packaged foods sold in Brazil contain added sugars, which may hamper adherence to the recommendation of limiting added sugars intake. The data may be useful for monitoring tendencies in the use of added sugars in packaged foods and as supplementary information to support the improvement of food label regulations.
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Added sugars are sugars and syrups that are added to foods or beverages during processing or preparation. These include ingredients such as refined sugar, high-fructose corn syrup, honey and fruit juice concentrate, among others. The term ‘added sugars’ does not include naturally occurring sugars in foods, such as lactose in milk and sucrose and/or fructose in fruits and vegetables¹–³.

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses provide substantial evidence that a high intake of added sugars is associated with an increased risk of several diseases, specifically dental caries⁴, obesity⁵ and CVD⁶. Consequently, the WHO recommends a low intake of added sugars throughout the life course as well as limiting consumption to less than 5–10 % of total daily energy intake⁷.

As packaged foods seem to be the main source of added sugars in many countries⁸–¹³, food labelling is a tool for consumers to make informed choices regarding the intake of added sugars¹⁴. Although some places such as Chile¹⁵, Australia and New Zealand¹⁶ and the European Union¹⁷ require a declaration of total sugars on nutrition labels, only the USA² and Canada¹⁸ require that the amount of added sugars be specified. On the other hand, in places where the quantitative declaration of sugars on food labels is not mandatory (e.g. Brazil), the identification of added sugars is possible only through the...
Methods

Study design

The present cross-sectional study investigated all retail packaged food products available in a large Brazilian supermarket. The supermarket was chosen purposely and belongs to one of the ten largest Brazilian chain stores, according to the Brazilian Supermarket Association, with twenty-seven stores throughout the country. Most of the products sold in this supermarket are of well-known food and beverage brands and represent products sold in other large supermarket chain stores throughout the country. This same study design and data gathering method have been used in previous studies.

A survey was performed by mapping all aisles in the supermarket; data collectors were responsible for mapping every product in assigned aisles. All packaged food products available in the supermarket that met criteria established by the Brazilian and Mercosur regulation on food labelling (no. 360/2003) were included in the audit.

Data collection

Data were gathered over a 3-month period (October to December 2013) in the city of Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, southern Brazil. Information on product name and type, nutritional values (energy, carbohydrates, protein, total fat, saturated fat, trans fat, fibre and sodium contents per serving) and serving sizes were obtained in-store from labels of all available products. These data were then fed into an electronic version of the data collection form developed by Kliemann et al. The electronic form was created using Epi Collect Plus software and was installed on tablet computers. All food labels were photographed in-store to record the ingredients lists, which were later transcribed to the electronic form outside the supermarket.

Data management

Collected data were transferred directly from the tablet computers to an online database at the end of each collection day. The database was exported to a Microsoft Excel® 2010 spreadsheet. Each food product was coded with a number and, later, each image in the database was renamed to the corresponding food product number.

Identification of added sugars in packaged foods

All ingredients listed on the label of each product were transcribed from the photographic records to the Microsoft Excel® 2010 spreadsheet. For quality control purposes, transcribed data were checked by three researchers.

For the identification of added sugars in packaged foods, it was first necessary to identify the terms that refer to these components in the ingredients list. For this, all ingredients that appeared in the database were listed and those that referred to added sugars were identified with the aid of scientific literature and food regulations.

Packaged foods were classified into eight food groups according to similarities in nutritional composition, as defined by a Brazilian and Mercosur resolution. To identify the frequency of foods that contained added sugars in each food group, a cluster analysis was performed using a text mining technique in R statistical environment.

Data were organised and analysed using descriptive statistics, with absolute and relative frequencies of added sugars according to food group, to investigate the use of added sugars in packaged foods sold in Brazil. The \( \chi^2 \) test \((P<0.05)\) was used to compare the presence of added sugars among the groups defined by legislation. The statistical software package Stata version 11.0 was employed.

Results

Ingredients lists of 4539 packaged foods available for sale during the data collection period were analysed, of which 70.8\% \((n=3214)\) had at least one type of added sugar. Characteristics and distribution of products with added sugars according to food group are shown in Table 1.
A significant difference was observed between groups regarding the frequency of foods with added sugars (P < 0.01). The group ‘products in which carbohydrates and fats are the main energy sources’ had a frequency of added sugars 2.4 times (145%) higher than the group ‘oils, fats and nuts’, which had the lowest frequency.

In seven of the eight food groups, added sugars were present in more than 50% of the products. Even in the group with the lowest frequency of added sugars (oils, fats and nuts), 38% of the products had at least one type of these components.

In total, 179 different terms for added sugars were identified in the packaged foods. When analysing the terms, variations in types of sweet spreads and juices were included (e.g. ‘apple juice’ was identified as a term and ‘grape juice’ as another term) and variations in terms referring to ingredients’ quality and/or purity were not considered (e.g. ‘organic’ in ‘organic sugar’; Table 2).

These terms appeared 7093 times in the 3214 food products containing added sugars, representing an average of 2.2 types of added sugars per product. The most common types of added sugars in ingredients lists were sugar, maltodextrin and glucose syrup.

**Discussion**

To the authors’ best knowledge, the present study is the first one that systematically analysed the presence and types of added sugars in packaged foods available for sale in South America. In our study, more than 70% of the analysed foods had at least one type of added sugar in their composition. Such proportion was similar to those identified in studies in the USA (68-0%) Canada (63-5%) and China (72-0%), indicating that added sugars are largely used in packaged foods throughout the world.

In total, 179 different terms for added sugars were identified in the present study. It should be noted that consumers may have trouble in identifying some denominations, such as maltodextrin, dextrose, corn glucose and fructose. In Canada, 152 different types of free sugars were identified in packaged foods marketed in supermarkets under names that might be difficult to understand by the population.

In the scientific literature, there are few studies that evaluate the understanding of terms referring to added sugars and/or free sugars by consumers. In a study with 445 adults in the UK, only 4% of participants correctly classified ten or more ingredients from a list of thirteen items as added sugars or artificial sweeteners. Half of the participants failed to classify terms difficult to understand, such as isoglucose or invert sugar.

Contrary to what has been observed in studies in the USA, where corn syrup is the main type of added sugar in processed foods, the present study identified refined sugar as the most frequent ingredient, accounting for 40% of the total number observed. Brazil is the world’s largest sugar producer and the third largest consumer, which may explain the extensive use of this ingredient in the evaluated packaged foods sold in the Brazilian supermarket.

Maltodextrin was the second most prevalent added sugar (8.3%) in the analysed Brazilian products. Chemically, maltodextrin is classified as an oligosaccharide. Its use in packaged foods is indicated as a substitute for sugars and fats, acting mainly as a bulking agent.

The analysis per food group showed that the group ‘products in which carbohydrates and fats are the main energy sources’ had the highest frequency of products with added sugars. As expected, almost all products in this group (93%), which includes sweet biscuits, cakes, jams, and chocolate, had added sugars. Noteworthy, this group also had the largest number of packaged foods available for sale, 38% of the total number of products, characterising the high availability of foods with added sugars for the population.
Added sugars in packaged foods in Brazil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of added sugar</th>
<th>Examples of terms*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sugars</td>
<td>Sugar, vanilla sugar, caramelised sugar, crystal sugar, invert crystal sugar, demerara sugar, invert sugar, liquid sugar, invert liquid sugar, brown sugar, invert brown sugar, refined sugar, sucrose, dextrose, corn dextrose, glucose, corn glucose, glucose powder, fructose, lactose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltodextrin</td>
<td>Maltodextrin, corn starch maltodextrin, potato maltodextrin, corn maltodextrin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey and cane syrup</td>
<td>Honey, royal jelly, molasses, cane syrup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrups</td>
<td>Sugar syrup, high-fructose syrup, caramel syrup, glucose syrup, glucose–fructose syrup, guarana syrup, corn syrup, corn syrup with high-fructose content, high-fructose corn syrup, glucose syrup solids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet spreads and jams†</td>
<td>Sweet spreads and jams made of fruits and/or vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit or vegetable juices, pulps, preserves, sauces and dried fruits†</td>
<td>Fruit and/or vegetable juices, fruit and/or vegetable juice concentrates, whole fruit juices, fruit and/or vegetable pulps, mashed fruits and/or vegetables, fruit sauces, dried and/or dehydrated fruits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>Sweetened condensed milk, marshmallow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In total, 179 different terms for added sugars were identified, excluding quality and/or purity variations (e.g. 'organic sugar' or '100 % fruit juice from concentrate').
†Different fruit flavours not specified here were included (e.g. 'orange juice', 'watermelon juice', etc.). Therefore, there are fewer examples in the table than the total number of terms identified.

In addition, there was a high frequency of packaged foods with added sugars in groups of predominantly salty foods: 'gravies, sauces, ready-made seasonings, broths, soups and ready-to-eat dishes' (61 %); 'meats and eggs' (60 %); and 'canned vegetables' (58 %). In these products, sugars may have been used as a preservative, acid stabiliser, colorant or flavour enhancer. The use of sugars in salty products may lead consumers to eat added sugars without knowing. As these products do not have a characteristic sweet taste, the presence of sugar probably would not be expected or perceived.

In Brazil, as well as in other countries where the declaration of sugar content is not mandatory, the ingredients list remains the only means of estimating the presence, but not the quantity, of added sugars in packaged foods. However, according to the present study results, the diversity of terms used for added sugars may hamper their identification and consequently the adoption of the WHO recommendation to limit sugar consumption.

These issues could be minimised with a quantitative statement of added sugars on the nutrition information label of packaged foods, which is especially important because the WHO provides quantitative recommendations for the intake of added sugars. In the USA and Canada, a footnote must be included in nutrition labels to indicate whether the food has 'a lot' or 'a little' of sugar according to the %DV and ingredients defined as added sugars are grouped into a single category in the ingredients list under the term 'sugars'. Such information may assist consumers in identifying the presence and types of added sugars in packaged foods.

Considering the results of the present study, we suggest that Brazilian legislation on nutrition labelling should be revised. Specifically, quantitative declaration of added sugars in packaged foods must be mandatory, as already occurs in other countries. We also suggest that regulations concerning the presentation of added sugars in the ingredients list should be modified to ensure that (i) the terms used to refer to added sugars are standardised and (ii) added sugars are grouped in the ingredients list, as proposed and implemented in Canada.

Regarding our recommendation for a quantitative declaration of added sugars on food labels, we acknowledge the challenge of quantifying sugars according to their origin, either naturally occurring or added, as no analytical methods are currently available for this measurement. However, manufacturers know the amounts of sugar-based ingredients added to their products and could easily state this information on nutrition information labels. Such initiative could promote a reduction in the consumption of added sugars by consumers and perhaps encourage manufacturers to modify product formulations.

Studies have shown that reformulation of products by manufacturers may involve the use of low-calorie sweeteners as substitutes for added sugars. However, frequent consumption of low-calorie sweeteners has been associated with adverse health effects. Therefore, from a health perspective, the substitution of sugars with low-calorie sweeteners may not be advantageous. For this reason, the Pan-American Health Organization’s Nutrient Profile Model declares that the use of low-calorie sweeteners should be limited to promote the development of products with better nutritional profiles.

To summarise, the present study contributes to the literature by showing the magnitude of the presence of added sugars in packaged foods commercialised in Brazil. We highlight the major importance of food labelling in allowing consumers to make informed food choices and the lack of studies on added sugars in Brazil. As future directions, we believe that data gathered during our study can be used for monitoring the nutritional quality of packaged foods marketed in the country.
Study limitations and strengths

As limitations of the present study, we highlight the difficulty in classifying certain packaged foods not covered by Regulation No. 359/2003, such as hydroponic and lyophilised vegetables, which were not common in Brazil when this regulation was implemented\(^{(30)}\). In addition, the limitations that arise from gathering data from only one supermarket must be considered. As the study was conducted in a large supermarket in southern Brazil, data may not reflect the profiles of products sold throughout the country. As frequently occurs, our data may underestimate the frequency of foods containing added sugars in low-income regions of the country, as markets in low-income areas tend to offer foods with worse nutritional profiles. Nevertheless, we state that care was taken during the selection of the supermarket to ensure that our database consisted of products of well-known brands that are found in other parts of the country.

To the best of our knowledge, our survey is the fifth in Brazil investigating all food product labels in a single supermarket; the other studies were conducted in the same supermarket chain as that of the present study\(^{(24–27,43–49)}\).

Conclusion

Most of the analysed packaged foods (71%) had added sugars in their composition, of which refined sugar was the most prevalent ingredient. Although added sugars were more frequent in sweet foods, they were also observed with a high frequency in salty foods, which could lead consumers to increase added sugars consumption without knowing.

There were 179 different terms for added sugars in ingredients lists, which may hamper their identification on food labels by consumers. For this reason, the amount of added sugars should be stated on nutrition information labels. Moreover, we recommend that laws should be established to standardise the classification of ingredients that contain added sugars and to group sugar-based ingredients under a category with the generic name of ‘sugar’ to facilitate identification. In addition, the promotion of knowledge on terms that refer to added sugars by organisations such as councils and associations of health professionals could be a strategy to assist consumers in identifying these sugars in packaged foods.

Thus, considering the recommendations of limiting the intake of added sugars by government agencies, data on the presence and types of these sugars in packaged foods should be available to researchers, health professionals and consumers. These results may also serve as a basis for future comparisons of trends in the use and types of added sugars in packaged foods.
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