Physical fitness and shapes of subcortical brain structures in children
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Abstract

A few studies have recently reported that higher cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with higher volumes of subcortical brain structures in children. It is, however, unknown how different fitness measures relate to shapes of subcortical brain nuclei. We aimed to examine the association of the main health-related physical fitness components with shapes of subcortical brain structures in a sample of forty-four Spanish children aged 9–7 (SD: 0.2) years from the NUtraceuticals for a HEALthier life project. Cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and speed agility were assessed using valid and reliable tests (ALPHA-fitness test battery). Shape of the subcortical brain structures was assessed by MRI, and its relationship with fitness was examined after controlling for a set of potential confounders using a partial correlation permutation approach. Our results showed that all physical fitness components studied were significantly related to the shapes of subcortical brain nuclei. These associations were both positive and negative, indicating that a higher level of fitness in childhood is related to both expansions and contractions in certain regions of the accumbens, amygdala, caudate, hippocampus, pallidum, putamen and thalamus. Cardiorespiratory fitness was mainly associated with expansions, whereas handgrip was mostly associated with contractions in the structures studied. Future randomised-controlled trials will confirm or contrast our findings, demonstrating whether changes in fitness modify the shapes of brain structures and the extent to which those changes influence cognitive function.
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Physical fitness in childhood and adolescence is currently considered a strong predictor of health at these ages(1) and later in life(2). Physical fitness is usually defined as a set of attributes that people have or achieve that relates to the ability to perform physical activity. This set of attributes refers to the components of physical fitness, which are cardiopulmonary fitness, muscular strength, speed agility and flexibility(3). Although all of these components are consistently shown to be associated with several health outcomes (such as lower total and central adiposity, lower levels of traditional and emerging CVD risk factors, better bone health, less fatigue and better quality of life in cancer survivors, and better mental health, particularly depression, anxiety, mood status and self-esteem)(3,4), information about their relationship with cognition and brain is scarce. In depth understanding of the influence cognitive function.
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on brain structures seems to be very specific. In agreement with animal studies, this effect could mainly take place in brain regions in charge of executive control processes (which include scheduling, planning, working or relational memory, multitasking and dealing with ambiguity)\(^\text{100}\). In spite of the major contributions made by these pioneering studies, many questions remain to be answered in this novel and emerging field.

As mentioned above, previous studies in children have focused on volumetric measurements of the subcortical regions of the brain (i.e. hippocampus and basal ganglia)\(^\text{77-90}\). However, although useful, the volume of a subcortical nucleus is a somewhat crude way of summarising the features of these nuclei and evaluate the potential effects of variables such fitness components. Volume analysis provides information on global changes in the structure, but cannot provide information on regional or local enlargements/contractions. Shape analysis is a sensitive method to detect small changes in brain morphology. Shape is considered as an index of neural development\(^\text{11}\), it is related to cognitive performance\(^\text{12-15}\) and its study in relation to fitness would provide new insights into this field. Similarly, as previous studies have focused only on cardiorespiratory fitness, there is a need for better understanding how other physical fitness components such as muscular strength or speed agility relate to brain structures. The present study aimed to examine the association of the main health-related physical fitness components (i.e. cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and speed agility) with the shapes of subcortical brain structures in a sample of pre-adolescents. As previous studies in children have shown that better cardiorespiratory fitness is associated with larger volumes of subcortical brain regions\(^\text{77-90}\), we hypothesised that better cardiorespiratory fitness and perhaps other fitness components also might be associated with the shape of the subcortical nuclei. On the other hand, as this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first study examining the potential link between fitness and shapes of subcortical brains structures either in children or in adults, our study is at the same time explorative and hypothesis generating.

**Methods**

**Study design and sample**

This study is a part of a European project called NUHEAL (NUtraceuticals for a HEALther life; registration no. NCT01180933). The detailed study design, subject recruitment and inclusion criteria and outcome measurements have been described previously\(^\text{16-18}\). In brief, pregnant women attending antenatal care clinics for ultrasound examination between gestation weeks 12 and 20 were approached by study personnel and invited to participate. A total of 315 women from Granada (Spain), Munich (Germany) and Pecs (Hungary) agreed to participate in this study. We do not have data on women who did not agree to participate in the study, and therefore non-response analyses were not conducted. The NUHEAL project is a randomised-controlled trial in which healthy pregnant women were randomly allocated to one of the following four treatment groups: (a) fish oil, (b) folic acid, (c) fish oil + folic acid and (d) placebo. The four groups received their respective supplemenations during the second half of pregnancy with the aim to assess the effect of folate and fish oil intake during pregnancy on infant outcomes. Out of the 315 women who agreed to participate in the study, 243 provided maternal blood samples at delivery, and cord blood samples were obtained from 220 cases. The reasons for dropping out from the study have been reported elsewhere, and it has also been reported that dropouts were distributed equally among the study groups\(^\text{16,18}\).

The present study focused on the cross-sectional outcomes obtained from the Spanish cohort (155 participants with valid data at delivery). These newborns were followed-up to 9–10 years of age, when the children underwent physical fitness and structural MRI examinations. Owing to practical and funding reasons, these two examinations took place within a separate median period of 11-5 months. However, we controlled for this gap in all the statistical analyses. The analyses for the present study were carried out at a cross-sectional level. As there are fundamental differences in the brain structure depending on which hand is dominant, left-handed and right-handed individuals should not be analysed together. In our study, two children were left-handed, and were therefore excluded from the analyses, as is standard in the literature\(^\text{77-90}\). In addition, collected images were visually inspected for quality, and a total of six children were left-handed, and were therefore excluded from the analyses, as is standard in the literature\(^\text{77-90}\). The supplementation during pregnancy with fish oil and folic acid (separately or in combination) had no effect \((\text{SD} 0.2)\) years had valid data for all physical fitness tests and MRI and were included in the final analyses. These forty-four children came from the original four study groups with a relatively homogeneous distribution among them: fish oil \((n 10)\), folic acid \((n 8)\), fish oil + folic acid \((n 10)\) and placebo \((n 16)\). The supplementation during pregnancy with fish oil and folic acid (separately or in combination) had no effect on the children’s brain measures or fitness; therefore, there was no need to control for the effect of the study groups in our analyses. In addition, we tested whether the forty-four participants in the present study differed from the original sample \((n 155)\) in a number of key variables: age of the mother at gestational week 20, BMI of the mother at delivery, birth weight of the participant and weight or BMI at ages 1,5, 4,0, 6,5, 8,5 and 9,5 years (all \(P\geq0.5\)). This suggests that the present study sample is representative of the original study sample.

This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the local Ethics Committee of San Cecilio University Clinical Hospital of Granada (Spain). Written (or verbal) informed consent was obtained from all the children’s mothers.

**Socio-economic status and birth weight assessment**

The Hollingshead Scale (1975) was used to determine the socio-economic status of the children by creating a score from a set of variables: self-reported marital status, self-reported maternal and paternal occupation and self-reported maternal and paternal educational level\(^\text{19,20}\). Weight at birth was also recorded.
**Anthropometric assessment**

Weight was measured in underwear and without shoes using an electronic scale (Type SECA 861; Seca GmbH & Co. KG) to the nearest 0-1 kg, and height was measured barefoot by using the Frankfort horizontal plane with a telescopic height-measuring instrument (Type SECA 225; Seca GmbH & Co. KG) to the nearest 0-1 cm. BMI was calculated as body weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres.

**Physical fitness assessment**

The field-based fitness tests used to assess the different health-related physical fitness components studied have been used in previous European projects, i.e. the HELENA (Healthy Lifestyle in Europe by Nutrition in Adolescence) and the ALPHA (Assessing Levels of Physical Activity and fitness at population level) projects. Detailed data on fitness protocols, operational manual, training workshops and standardised instructions to participants have been published elsewhere (21,22). In brief, cardiorespiratory fitness was assessed by the 20-m shuttle-run test, and VO2max (ml/kg per min) was estimated using the equation reported by Léger et al. (23).

Muscular fitness was assessed by means of the handgrip strength (24–26) and standing long-jump (25,27) tests. Speed agility was assessed by the 4×10-m shuttle-run test (28). All the tests were performed twice and the best score was retained, except for the 20-m shuttle-run test, which was performed only once. These physical fitness tests have been shown to be valid, reliable and related with health in young people (5,21,29,30).

**Images acquisition and processing**

High-resolution (0.94×0.94×1 mm) T1-weighted structural brain images were acquired for all participants using a three-dimensional (3D) Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo Imaging protocol with 160 contiguous axial slices, collected in ascending fashion parallel to the anterior and posterior commissures (echo time = 3.77 ms, repetition time = 8-17 ms, field of view = 240×240 mm, acquisition matrix = 256×256 mm, slice thickness = 1 mm and flip angle = 8°). All images were collected using a 3T head-only Philips Achieva scanner (© Koninklijke Philips N.V) equipped with a eight-channel phased-array head coil.

Total intracranial volume (TIV) was obtained as the sum of GM, WM and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). TIV is commonly used to control for local volume variability associated with participants’ size, weight and sex (31,32). We used the DARTEL algorithm implemented in SPM8 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/ spm/) for the segmentation of GM, WM and CSF (33). Segmentation of subcortical nuclei and shape analysis were carried out using FSL/FIRST software (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FIRST) (34). FIRST is a model-based registration tool that uses a set of fifteen subcortical structures outlined by experts on T1-weighted images obtained from 356 subjects ranging from 4-72 years, which allow the assessment of changes in typical developing brains. Subcortical nuclei volumes were adjusted using a regression approach: subcortical volume = raw subcortical volume − b (TIV−average TIV), b being the slope of the regression of the subcortical volume on TIV (35).

**Shape analysis.** Shape analysis is based on the individual meshes composed by a large number of vertices and triangles. The number of triangles and vertices is the same for each nuclei, allowing the within- and between-subjects comparison of each vertex. These comparisons are possible because all meshes are aligned to the Montreal Neurological Institute space and pose (rotation and translation) is removed (36). Our vertex-wise analysis followed methods described previously (11,15,37). To assess local changes in each nuclei, we used the radial distance of each vertex to the medial curve of the nuclei (Fig. 1). The medial curve can be thought of as the centroid curve of the nuclei boundary in each section (37) or, similarly, as the skeleton of the 3D structure. Note that the radial distances related each vertex spatial location to the core line of the structure, are objective measures of local changes associated with factors affecting the structure shape. It is important to bear in mind that, although we use throughout the text shape terms such as expansions/contractions or similar terms commonly used in this field (e.g. enlarged/shortened), our study is cross-sectional, and therefore the results cannot be interpreted as changes, but just as the shape of the nuclei at the moment of assessment.

**Statistical analysis**

Correlations between hemispheres were tested in order to decide whether to analyse them separately or together using the average or the sum of them. For the analysis of the relationships of the fitness variables (cardiorespiratory fitness, two tests of muscular strength and speed agility) with the shape of each subcortical nuclei (fourteen nuclei: left and right accumbens, amygdala, caudate, hippocampus, pallidum, putamen and thalamus), we implemented a partial correlation permutation approach. Thus, the correlation between the radial distance of each vertex and each fitness variable was computed after controlling the variance associated with the following set of potential confounders: age at first evaluation visit (i.e. when the MRI scan was estimated using the equation reported by Léger et al. (23).
was performed – namely, MRI age), the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and TIV. In order to account for multiple comparisons, we used a t-max approach\(^{[38-40]}\). In short, permutation tests avoid strong assumptions on the distributional features of the variables and compute the empirical distribution of the contrast statistic, by assuming that the outcome–predictor pairs were observed by accident\(^{[41]}\). In each simulation run, the largest partial correlation of the whole set of vertices of the nucleus (more than 640) is used to build the empirical distribution of the statistic. Finally, the \(P\) value for each vertex is computed as the fraction between the number of accidental partial correlation equal to or greater than that observed in the study and the total number of permutations (10,000 in the present study). We considered significance only when four or more vertices were simultaneously significant at the corrected \(P\) level. Results are displayed as colour-coded significance maps. We used dark grey colours to indicate a negative outcome-predictor association (i.e. the higher is the value of the predictor the larger are radial distances), mid-light grey colours to indicate non-significant association. We checked for potential outliers in our analytical sample, as defined by a value beyond 2.5 \(\text{sd}\) and, we identified no single value over this threshold in the predictors or outcomes. Analyses were run only for subjects with complete valid data on the main predictors (fitness) and outcomes (MRI variables), that is, no imputation method was used.

Results

The sample was aged 9.7 (so 0-2) years at first assessment (MRI evaluation) and was similarly distributed with regard to sex (i.e. 45-5% girls). Characteristics of the study sample are shown in Table 1. Volumes (mm\(^3\)) of the subcortical nuclei and the correlations between hemispheres for each nucleus are displayed in Table 2. Correlations between hemispheres were not very high (although significant) for some of the nuclei, particularly between the two hemispheres of the accumbens, amygdala, hippocampus and pallidum (correlation coefficient ranging from 0.5 to 0.6). On the basis of this, we decided to perform the rest of the analyses separately for the left and right hemispheres.

Table 3 (also Fig. 2–5) shows the results from the vertex-wise permutation tests for each fitness variable as predictor, radial distances (brain nuclei shapes) as the outcome and the following set of covariates as potential confounders: age at first evaluation visit (i.e. when the MRI scan was performed – namely, MRI age), the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and TIV. We observed that fitness variables were significantly related to the shape (expansions/contractions) of all subcortical brain structures studied except for the right accumbens and right caudate. Out of the fitness components studied, cardiorespiratory fitness was the one showing more significant and positive associations with shapes of subcortical brain structures; particularly, higher cardiorespiratory fitness was associated with expansions in six out of the fourteen structures studied, whereas muscular strength or speed agility were associated with expansion only in two or less of the structures studied. On the other hand, muscular strength as measured by handgrip strength test was mainly related with contractions (i.e. reduced radial distances) in five of the subcortical brain structures studied, while with expansions only in two structures. Muscular strength, as measured by standing long jump, and speed agility were associated with fewer structures (two and four, respectively) and indicating equally expansions and contractions.

Fig. 2–5 graphically show how fitness variables relate to shape of the subcortical nuclei indicating, by means of a colour-coded significance map, the parts of each brain structure that are significantly related to fitness and the direction of that association (positive/negative = expansions/contractions). Fig. 2 shows that cardiorespiratory fitness was related to the right putamen, hippocampus and amygdala as well as the left putamen, pallidum and accumbens. All these associations were

<p>| Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the study sample (Mean values and standard deviations) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All (n 44)</th>
<th>Boys (n 24)</th>
<th>Girls (n 20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>sd</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td><strong>sd</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic status†</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>145.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>145.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m(^2))</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiorespiratory fitness: 20 m shuttle run test (VO(_{2\text{max}}) (ml/kg per min))</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper-limbs muscular strength: handgrip (kg)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower-limbs muscular strength: standing long jump (cm)</td>
<td>123.5</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>132.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed agility: 4 × 10 m shuttle run test (s)‡</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grey matter volume (mm(^3))</td>
<td>690.7</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>716.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter volume (mm(^3))</td>
<td>463.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>480.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrospinal fluid (mm(^3))</td>
<td>270.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>279.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intracranial volume (mm(^3))</td>
<td>1424.4</td>
<td>112.8</td>
<td>1476.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The age of children presented in the table is that during the first evaluation visit, that is, the day of the MRI assessment.
† Socio-economic status of the child is a score index based on the Hollingshead Scale (1975), which includes parental marital status, education and occupation; it ranged from 2 to 6.6, with higher values indicating higher socio-economic status.
‡ The lower the score in the 4 × 10-m shuttle-run test (i.e. less seconds to cover a fixed distance), the higher the performance (i.e. the faster and more agile the child is).
Discussion

This study used a novel approach (shape analysis) to examine brain structure in relation to physical fitness in children, contributing to the current knowledge in this field. Overall, our positive (expansions), except for the right hippocampus and left accumbens, in which we found both expansions and contractions. Fig. 3 shows that higher handgrip strength was related to expansions in the left caudate head and right anterior thalamus, but was also related to contractions in the right putamen, hippocampus (right and left), left amygdala and left accumbens. Fig. 4 shows that higher muscular strength as measured by standing long jump was related to expansions in the left pallidum and contractions in the right thalamus. Fig. 5 shows that speed agility was negatively related to the right putamen and pallidum, which due to the fact that this test is expressed inversely (i.e. lower score means higher performance), indicating that higher performance in speed agility is related to expansions in these subcortical brain nuclei. Better performance in speed agility was, however, related to contractions in the right caudate. Finally, in order to graphically represent which are the strongest associations found in our figures, but showing only those vertices (k) includes both positive/negative (data presented in this order) significant correlations. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.

Discussion

This study used a novel approach (shape analysis) to examine brain structure in relation to physical fitness in children, contributing to the current knowledge in this field. Overall, our

Table 2. Pearson’s correlations between hemisphere volumes (mm³) of subcortical brain structures
(Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Left Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Right Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Left-right correlation (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accumbens</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>3817</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>3913</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>3602</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>3643</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallidum</td>
<td>1622</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>4940</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>5087</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>7728</td>
<td>691</td>
<td>7623</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>0.841</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r, Linear correlation.

Table 3. Correlations between fitness variables and subcortical brain shape

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleus</th>
<th>Peak part (r)</th>
<th>Cardiorespiratory fitness: VO₂max</th>
<th>Strength: handgrip</th>
<th>Strength: standing long jump</th>
<th>Speed agility: 4 × 10-m shuttle-run†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>k</td>
<td>Expansions</td>
<td>Contractions</td>
<td>k</td>
<td>Expansions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumbens</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>13/4</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallidum</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>13/0</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>14/0</td>
<td>0.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>10/0</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NS indicates non-significant correlation, otherwise the correlation coefficients shown were significant at P < 0.05. Data shown are from the permutation tests for shape analysis, showing significant partial correlations between radial distances and fitness variables. Positive correlations indicate that higher fitness is associated with expansions (larger radial distance) and negative correlations indicate that higher fitness is associated with contractions (shorter radial distance) in the subcortical nuclei studied. Number of significant vertices (k) includes both positive/negative (data presented in this order) significant correlations. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.

† The lower the score in the 4 × 10-m shuttle-run test (i.e. less seconds to cover a fixed distance), the higher the performance (i.e. the faster and more agile the child is).
results suggest that all the main health-related physical fitness components (i.e. cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and speed agility) are significantly related to the shapes of subcortical brain nuclei in childhood. These associations were mainly positive for most of the fitness tests, indicating that a higher level of fitness in childhood is related to expansions in certain parts of the accumbens, amygdala, caudate, hippocampus, pallidum, putamen and thalamus. However, higher fitness was also significantly related to contractions in other parts of these subcortical nuclei, suggesting that fitness might have an effect on brain structures by shaping them in a certain way, and not necessarily by increasing the whole volume of such brain structures. These results highlight that shape rather than volume may be a more sensitive measure of the impact of fitness variables on developing brains\(^\text{(15)}\).

The association between fitness and brain structure shape was examined using correlation coefficients (\(r\) statistics), which are considered an effect size measure itself\(^\text{(42)}\). The correlation coefficients obtained inform us that the associations between fitness and subcortical brain structure shapes are of low-to-medium magnitude. In addition, it is important to highlight that, although significant associations were found between fitness and certain regions of the subcortical nuclei studied, other large regions were not associated, suggesting that fitness might be only a modest contributor to brain shaping, with many other genetic and environmental factors influencing brain morphology. On the other hand, it is important to bear in mind that the subcortical regions associated with fitness in the

---

**Fig. 2.** Mappings of significant subcortical nuclei expansions/contractions related to cardiorespiratory fitness (VO\(_{2\text{max}}\)). The colour bar indicates the significance-corrected \(P\) values, with indicating significant negative associations between predictor and outcome, indicating significant positive associations and indicating no association. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.

**Fig. 3.** Mappings of significant subcortical nuclei expansions/contraction related to handgrip strength. The colour bar indicates the significance-corrected \(P\) values, with indicating significant negative associations between predictor and outcome, indicating significant positive associations and indicating no association. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.
indicating significant associations between predictors and outcomes, the lower the performance (i.e., the faster and more agile the child is).

Fig. 4. Mappings of significant subcortical nuclei expansions/contraction related to lower-body muscular strength as measured by the standing long jump. Only the most significant sides of the nuclei are displayed. The colour bar indicates the significance-corrected P values, with indicating significant negative associations between predictor and outcome, indicating significant positive associations and indicating no association. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between speed agility performance and enlargements of subcortical nuclei. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.

Fig. 5. Mappings of significant subcortical nuclei expansions/contraction related to speed agility. Only the most significant sides of the nuclei are displayed. The colour bar indicates the significance-corrected P values, with indicating significant negative associations between predictor and outcome, indicating significant positive associations and indicating no association. The lower the score in the 4 x 10-m shuttle-run test (i.e., less seconds to cover a fixed distance), the higher the performance (i.e., the faster and more agile the child is). Consequently, negative associations actually mean positive associations between speed agility performance and enlargements of subcortical nuclei. All the analyses were controlled for age at first evaluation visit, the time difference between MRI and fitness assessments, sex, socio-economic status of the family, birth weight, BMI and total intracranial volume.

These authors observed that higher cardiorespiratory fitness level was associated with higher volume of the hippocampus(7) and basal ganglia, particularly higher putamen and pallidum volumes(8,9). The contribution of our study to the current knowledge base in the field is therefore 2-fold: (1) the inclusion of shape analysis of subcortical brain structures in children and (2) the inclusions of other components of physical fitness such as muscular strength and speed agility as it is unknown whether they could be related to structural measures of brain in children.

We observed that children with higher cardiorespiratory fitness had enlarged regions in the left amygdala, left hippocampus, left and right putamen and right pallidum. These results are in line with previous studies that have reported higher volumes in three (i.e., hippocampus, putamen and pallidum) out of these four brain nuclei in children with a high cardiorespiratory fitness level compared with peers with lower cardiorespiratory fitness levels(7–9). Previous studies in rodents have consistently shown a number of mechanisms that could explain these findings, with special focus on the hippocampus(43). Aerobic exercise (a major determinant of cardiorespiratory fitness) increases cell proliferation and survival in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus and increases hippocampal levels of growth factors in the brain, such as the brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) and vascular endothelial-derived growth factor, which are involved in neuronal survival, synaptic development and angiogenesis(44). Similarly, animal models have demonstrated that aerobic exercise increases the production and secretion of BDNF in the striatum, which includes the caudate and putamen, associated with cardiorespiratory fitness(45,46). Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses in humans have confirmed that doing aerobic exercise regularly has a positive effect on BDNF levels(47,48).

Thus far, we have not found any study examining the association of muscular strength or speed agility with brain structure in any age group. There is, however, emerging evidence suggesting that resistance training (which leads to better muscular strength) could have a beneficial effect on functional plasticity in old women, as measured by functional MRI, particularly in the anterior portion of the left middle temporal gyrus and the left anterior insula extending into the lateral orbital frontal cortex(49). Recent reviews in humans have concluded that low or high resistance exercise increases levels of IGF-1 (yet not of BDNF), which could at least partially mediate the positive effects of exercise on brain functioning mentioned above(47,50).

Voelcker-Rehage & Niemann(4) conducted a comprehensive review on the structural and functional brain changes related to physical activity across the lifespan and classified both aerobic exercise and resistance training as metabolic exercise, arguing that both have important metabolic adaptations. They also grouped other activities as coordinative exercise, which comprises fine and gross motor body coordination such as balance, eye–hand coordination, leg–arm coordination as well as spatial orientation. These authors concluded that, with a few exceptions, all published results support that metabolic exercise modulates structural brain plasticity. They also concluded that coordinative exercise might influence brain structure in a different manner than present study, although they seem small, include hundreds of thousands of neurons, fibres and connections, and the effect of these differences in shape on brain functioning is unknown. Whether the associations between fitness and shapes of subcortical brain structures are causal or not will be confirmed or contrasted in future randomised-controlled trials.

We have not found previous studies analysing the shapes of subcortical brain nuclei in young people in relation to physical fitness, which precludes us from drawing out direct comparisons between our results and those from other studies. Previous literature in youth has focused on volumetric analysis of subcortical brain structures and on cardiorespiratory fitness(7–9).
metabolic exercise, because of its higher cognitive demands and similarly enriched environments in animal studies. Unfortunately, the information currently available about coordinative exercise and brain is very limited. Our speed agility test involves a mixture of metabolic and coordinative exercise, whereas sprinting is an anaerobic physiological effort with a marked impact on metabolism; these tests also include special orientation (changes in directions) and picking–dropping objects (sponges), which would fit in the definition of coordinative exercise. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study directly comparing how cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and speed agility relate to brain structure and our data support that these three components are associated with brain shaping in children, although the highest number of significant and positive associations was found for cardiorespiratory fitness, suggesting that it is the strongest predictor of brain structure in young people.

Our findings concerning muscular strength might seem contradictory, as associations with shape of brain structures were mainly positive (i.e. expansions) when muscular strength was assessed by the standing long-jump test, whereas the associations were mainly negative (i.e. contractions) when muscular strength was assessed by the handgrip strength test. This however, could have an explanation. Muscular fitness or strength can be assessed using body weight-dependent or body weight-independent tests. The first type includes any activity in which the person has to lift, hold or carry his/her own body weight, for example, hanging from a bar or tree branch, standing long-jump test, etc. Examples of body weight-independent tests include situations in which the person’s body weight per se has no influence on the performance, that is, weight lifting, carrying a suitcase or moving a heavy object, handgrip strength test, etc. Consequently, we used standing long jump as an indicator of body weight-dependent test, whereas the handgrip strength was used as an indicator of body weight-independent test. Overweight–obese children or adolescents have higher levels of fat mass but also higher levels of muscle mass\(^{(53-52)}\), which make them have higher strength as measured by body weight-independent tests, but lower strength when using body weight-dependent tests (e.g. they jump less due to their high body weight)\(^{(53)}\). We have previously reported that these two ways of assessing muscular strength lead to completely different associations with health outcomes such as cardiometabolic risk factors in young people, and our results might suggest that this is also the case for associations with brains measures\(^{(54)}\).

We attempted to eliminate this potential effect by additionally adjusting our models for BMI, yet we cannot ensure that all the potential confounding effects have been removed.

**Limitations and strengths**

A major limitation of the present study is the relatively small sample size included (n = 44), although it is similar to that from previous studies on this topic conducted in children (i.e. n ranging from 32 to 55)\(^{(7,8)}\). Owing to this small sample size, and therefore small statistical power (and thus high β error), some potentially significant associations might not have been detected. On the other hand, given this small statistical power, an association becomes significant only when the effect size is relatively large. Our correlation coefficients, an effect size measure regardless of the P values, ranged between 0·3 and 0·5, which is a decent strength of association if considered that the shape of a brain structure is the result of multiple genetic and environmental factors, with fitness being only one of them modestly contributing to it with a small portion of the total variance explained.

As previously mentioned, because of the cross-sectional nature of this study, it is not possible to draw causal relationships. Moreover, this study was conducted in a sample of pre-adolescent children, and we cannot know the extent to which these results apply to other age groups. In addition, the fact that fitness and MRI were not assessed exactly at the same time, but with a time gap of 11·5 months, should be knowledge as a limitation. However, we believe it is unlikely that this could have influenced our results/conclusions to a large extent because of several reasons: (a) all the statistical analyses conducted in this study were adjusted for the time difference between assessments to diminish this potential source of error; (b) this time gap was very homogeneous among the participants, being less than 1 month the difference between the 25th and the 75th percentile (i.e. 11·1 and 12·0 months, respectively), suggesting that any residual error not corrected by the statistical adjustment applied would be similar across the participants; (c) longitudinal studies on fitness have shown small and non-significant (P>0·05) changes in 1 year of follow-up in 10-year-old children (i.e. 1–2 ml/kg per min VO\(_{2\text{max}}\) cardiorespiratory fitness\(^{(50)}\); and (d) fitness has shown to track well across childhood, with this tracking being particularly high in the short follow-up period (i.e. 1-year follow-up)\(^{(55)}\) and in the pre-pubertal phase (less physiological changes compared with puberty), which suggests that the fittest participants when measured in our study would also be the fittest participants of the sample if measured 1 year earlier or later.

On the other hand, this study should be acknowledged for the use of highly reliable and valid tests for assessing the main health-related fitness components. The tests used are based on three recent systematic reviews and methodological studies conducted under the umbrella of the European ALPHA project (www.thealphaproject.net)\(^{(2,3,21,29)}\). Another major strength of this study is the use of a shape analysis approach, which provides new insights into the field of fitness and brain morphology in childhood.

**Conclusions**

Our results support that cardiorespiratory fitness, muscular strength and speed agility (the main health-related fitness components) are significantly associated with the shapes of subcortical brain nuclei. Higher fitness was associated with expansions in certain parts of these brain nuclei, but also with contractions in other parts of these nuclei, suggesting that fitness could potentially influence the brain by shaping certain nuclei and not necessarily by increasing their volume. Our results support that cardiorespiratory fitness is the fitness component associated with expansions in more subcortical brain structures – that is, six out of fourteen structures studied.
Future exercise-based randomised controlled trials will confirm or contrast the present observational evidence. In addition, further research is needed to fully understand the consequences that changes in shapes of subcortical brain structures have on brain functioning.
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