ABSTRACT
Objective: Self-inflicted injury is commonly seen in emergency departments (EDs). It may be a precursor to death by suicide. The objective of this study was to examine the epidemiology of self-inflicted injury presentations to EDs in the province of Alberta.

Methods: Self-inflicted injury records for the 3 fiscal years 1998/99 to 2000/01 were accessed from the Ambulatory Care Classification System, a database that captures all ED encounters in the province of Alberta. Available data for each case included demographic details, location and time of visit, diagnoses and procedures.

Results: There were 22,396 self-inflicted injury presentations to Alberta EDs during the study period. Self-inflicted injury rates were higher in females, younger patients, those on social services and those with Aboriginal treaty status. There were higher rates of return visits in the year following the self-inflicted injury than in other patient groups. Data showed regional variation. Trends could be seen in the timing of self-inflicted injury presentations by hour of day, day of week, and month of year.

Conclusions: Self-inflicted injury is common, with particularly high rates demonstrated among marginalized populations. This study provides comprehensive data on those who present with self-inflicted injuries, and can be used to guide further treatment, research and evaluation for this population.
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RÉSUMÉ
Objectif: On rencontre souvent des cas de blessures volontaires au département d’urgence (DU). Celles-ci peuvent être un précursore d’une mort par suicide. La présente étude avait comme objectif d’examiner l’épidémiologie des cas de blessures volontaires dans les DU de la province de l’Alberta.
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Introduction

Self-inflicted injuries represent a serious public health issue. A recent international review found that 3%–5% of individuals respond “Yes” to the question “Have you ever attempted suicide?” Self-inflicted injuries are commonly referred to as “suicide attempts,” presuming suicidal intent for all such acts. This is not always the case. In this paper we use the term “self-inflicted injury,” based on the clinical modification of the International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision (ICD-9-CM) heading, which also includes “self-inflicted poisoning.”

Individuals who deliberately injure themselves suffer from a wide variety of problems. Rates of psychiatric disorders, substance abuse, family and intimate partner violence, chronic illness and poor physical health, and unemployment/financial difficulties are higher than in the general population. In addition, this group has increased mortality rates not only from suicide but also from violence, injuries, and natural causes such as circulatory and respiratory system disorders.

Individuals who self-inflict injuries are predisposed to future self-harming and suicidal behaviour. Within 1 year of the index self-injury, 16% will repeat a similar act. Seven percent of individuals who harm themselves will eventually die of suicide, a rate 42 times higher than that of the general population. The variety of problems and severity of outcomes associated with self-inflicted injuries underscore their importance as a public health issue. Accurate and population-based data are necessary to direct research and programs for the self-harming population.

Large-scale studies have attempted to describe the prevalence and characteristics of self-harming behaviour. The World Health Organization/EURO Multicentre Project on Parasuicide (WHO/EURO) collected data on self-inflicted injuries from selected health care institutions in 16 European urban centres. The National Institute of Mental Health Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study collected data on self-reported suicide attempts in 5 US urban centres. Finally, a recent study collected data on hospitalizations for self-inflicted injuries in 8 states in the US, including some data on emergency visits. Although these studies have provided information regarding self-harming behaviour, none have been all-inclusive for a geographically defined population. Furthermore, such data have not been reported to date for a Canadian population.

The objective of this study was to use such a provincial administrative database to describe the prevalence of self-inflicted injuries and characteristics associated with the act in a population of over 3 million individuals.

Methods

Data source

The data for this study were obtained from the Ambulatory Care Classification System (ACCS), a database that tracks, among other information, all emergency department (ED) services provided in the province of Alberta. Since the Canadian health care system is a public, single payer system, the ACCS database captures almost every medical event resulting in emergency treatment.

Each record in ACCS contains a personal health number (unique to each person residing in the province), the service provided, the date and time of visit, diagnoses and disposition status. The personal health number is used to link health records to demographic data through the Alberta Health Care Insurance Stakeholder Registry (which includes over 99% of the population). The registry includes data on age, gender, region of residence and health care premium subsidy level (see below).

Variables presented

Diagnoses: Trained medical records nosologists code each ED encounter in each hospital according to the ICD-9-CM codes prior to electronic submission to Alberta Health and Wellness. The ACCS database contains up to 6 diagnoses.
and 4 additional injury fields for each record. Any record that contained a code of E950–E959 in one of the injury fields (either “Suicide and Self-inflicted poisoning” or “Suicide and Self-inflicted injury”) was identified as a self-inflicted injury and included in this study. The diagnostic fields were also used to identify the method used in the self-inflicted injury: any code from E950.0–E950.9 was considered a “Self-poisoning,” any code of E956 was considered a “Cutting or piercing,” any code from E951.0–E953.9, E955.0–E955.9 or E957.0–E957.9 was considered a “Firearms/hanging/jumping/gas,” and any code of E954 or E958.0–E959 was considered an “Other.”

**Date and time:** The month of year and day of week are coded according to when the emergency service was accessed. Time of visit is reduced to hour of visit (e.g., a visit at 1143h is coded as 1100h) and reflects the time of presentation to the ED (usually triage time).

**Disposition:** The disposition describes the manner in which an individual is separated/released from the emergency service facility. Disposition includes 4 categories: discharged, admitted in the same facility, transferred to another facility, and left (without being seen or against medical advice).

**Age:** The age from the registry database is the age of the individual at the end of the fiscal year. Age is grouped into 5-year intervals.

**Gender:** All individuals in the registry database are coded as male or female gender.

**Region of residence:** In this database, the province of Alberta was divided into 17 health regions. The region of residence is reported according to where the individual lived at the end of the fiscal year, not where the service was received.

**Subsidy level:** Health care in Alberta is funded by the government and financed in part through health care insurance premiums. Residents with lower incomes or receiving social services (e.g., welfare) are eligible for subsidies for these health premiums. The subsidy level can therefore be used as a proxy measure for socio-economic status. In addition, many Aboriginal individuals in Alberta have “Treaty” status based on treaties between their First Nation bands and the federal government. These treaties entitle comprehensive health care for any member of the First Nation band that signed the treaty. (For further definition of “Treaty” status, please see reference to Indian and Northern Affairs Canada.) Consequently, the subsidy level variable is divided into 4 groups: “No subsidy” — higher income individuals, “Full/Partial subsidy” — lower income individuals, “Social services” — recipients of welfare programs, and “Treaty status” — Aboriginal people with treaty status.

**Data analysis**

Data analysis for this study is descriptive only. Numbers of visits classified by the above variables are presented, along with percentages. All rates presented are “person-based” and are calculated by dividing the number of unique individuals who had at least one self-inflicted injury visit by the mid-year Alberta population for that year. Each table and graph identifies whether visits (which include repeat visits by the same person) or rates (which are person-based and do not include repeat visits by the same person) are being presented. On each graph a locally weighted polynomial regression function was used to help visualize the relative differences in frequencies and age-specific rates. The smoothing function parameter (i.e., LOWESS) is reported on each graph and indicates the proportion of observations used to smooth each data point.

These data were extracted for 3 fiscal years, comprising the period from Apr. 1, 1998, to Mar. 31, 2001. The protocol for this study was approved by the Health Research Ethics Board of the University of Alberta.

**Results**

The catchment population of this study was the slightly more than 3 million residents of the province of Alberta (Table 1). In the first year of the study (1998/1999), 104 emergency care facilities reported to the ACCS database. One hundred and two facilities reported in 1999/2000, and 103 in 2000/2001. There were 4,887,512 ED visits cap-

---

**Table 1. Self-inflicted injury visits to emergency departments (EDs) in the province of Alberta, 1998 to 2001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal year</th>
<th>Total no. of ED visits</th>
<th>Total no. of visits (and % of total ED visits)</th>
<th>No. of unique visits</th>
<th>Alberta population</th>
<th>Person-based self-inflicted injury crude rate per 100 000 persons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998/1999</td>
<td>1,550,135</td>
<td>7082 (0.46)</td>
<td>5666</td>
<td>3,001,877</td>
<td>188.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999/2000</td>
<td>1,638,652</td>
<td>7552 (0.46)</td>
<td>5902</td>
<td>3,048,335</td>
<td>193.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000/2001</td>
<td>1,698,725</td>
<td>7762 (0.46)</td>
<td>6112</td>
<td>3,099,627</td>
<td>197.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tured in this data set, of which 22,396 were coded as self-inflicted injuries. There was a small increase in the absolute number of self-inflicted injury visits over the 3-year study period (Table 1).

Age and gender showed consistent trends in self-inflicted injury behaviour over the 3-year period (see Fig. 1 for 2000/2001 rates). In the 15- to 19-year age group, the rate for females was more than twice as high as those for males. The rates for females remained higher until approximately age 65, beyond which males had slightly higher rates.

Notable differences were observed in the data with regard to timing of self-inflicted injury presentations (Fig. 2, Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). Patients under 20 years of age (children) presented less frequently for self-inflicted injury than those age 20 and over (adults). Lowest rates for self-injury in adults were seen from November to February, and for children it was during the middle of summer. Adults were most likely to present on Saturdays or Sundays, and for children it was Sundays or Mondays. Adults’ visits peaked in late morning (1000h–1159h), but were also high in the evening (2000h–2359h). For children, there was a smaller rise in the number of visits in the late morning, with rates peaking at night (2100h–0159h) (Fig. 5).

Self-inflicted injury rates appear to vary with socio-economic status (Table 2). Individuals receiving social services had rates at least 10 times higher than those who received no subsidy. Those with “Treaty” status were also at greater risk, with rates at least 7 times higher than those who received no subsidy.

Methods used to self-inflict injury varied according to gender (Table 3). Although overdoses accounted for the majority of self-inflicted injuries for both, males were more likely to use more lethal methods, such as firearms, hanging, jumping or poisoning by gas. Males were also more likely to use “Other” methods (E958), which included extremes of heat or cold, electrocution and suicidal behaviour involving a moving object or vehicle.

Overall, 64% of individuals presenting with a self-inflicted injury were discharged from the ED (Table 4). Individuals who cut/pierced themselves were most likely to be discharged, and those who used the more lethal methods mentioned above were most likely to be admitted or transferred to another facility. From 18%–19% re-injured themselves within a year, with approximately two-thirds of all individuals returning for varied problems to the ED in the following 365 days.

Finally, there was considerable variation in self-inflicted injury rates regionally through the province. Most notably, rates in the city of Edmonton were markedly higher than rates in the city of Calgary for all 3 years (data not shown).

Discussion

This province-wide, 3-year study of ED visits for self-inflicted injuries identifies that self-inflicted injuries are common and need to be recognized as a public health priority. The crude rate (person-based) reported of approximately 190 per 100,000 per year is comparable to the rates reported from the 16 centres of the WHO/EURO study.

Fig. 1. Self-inflicted injury rates by age and gender, 2000/2001

Fig. 2. Self-inflicted injury visits by month of year, 1998 to 2001
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and to the rates (visit-based) reported from 8 US states. Using comprehensive and non-biased data, this study was also able to show that many socio-demographic trends in Alberta are similar to those reported in other countries. Rates are higher among females, particularly in the 15- to 24-year age group. Rates are also higher among those in lower socio-economic brackets, particularly among those who require social service assistance. Finally, rates of suicidal behaviour are significantly higher among members of the Aboriginal community. It may be important to pay particularly close attention to these segments of the population in future research and programmatic planning.

Similar to other research, this study found that the most common method used in self-inflicted injury (in Western societies) is self-poisoning. This study also confirms that males are more likely to use methods of self-inflicted injury with a higher degree of lethality than females.

Rates of repeat visits to the ED within 365 days of the index self-inflicted injury were particularly high. Mortality studies have shown that individuals who engage in self-harming behaviour are more likely to die of violence or injuries. In addition, a service-use study in Edmonton recently showed that individuals with self-inflicted injuries more commonly return to the ED for a variety of reasons than do other populations. The 18% rate of return visits for a self-inflicted injury within 365 days of the index self-inflicted injury reported in the present study corresponds well to an average rate of 16% reported elsewhere. Discharge rates were notably high in this study, even among patients who used deadly methods such as firearms, hanging, jumping or gas. However, no data were collected on referrals for post-discharge treatment, so it is not possible to comment on the comprehensiveness of care received or on any relation to rates of repeat visits. It does suggest that if interventions aimed at prevention were prescribed, the majority would have been applied in the outpatient setting.

Several studies have reported on temporal factors associated with self-inflicted injuries, with a particular focus on month of year. Some consistent trends have been reported in data by month; rates of self-inflicted injury are higher in late spring or early summer and lower from November to January, which agrees with results from the present
study. There is less evidence regarding day of week. The WHO/EURO study\textsuperscript{28} found considerable variation among 16 centres with regard to rates by day of week, and a study in Singapore found that rates were lowest on Saturday.\textsuperscript{27} The lack of agreement between sites, including data from the present study, suggests that societal and cultural factors may be responsible for some of the temporal variation with regard to day of week. Finally, the WHO/EURO study reported that rates were highest in most centres between 2000h and midnight.\textsuperscript{26} No site reported a peak in late morning, which was found in the present study. Although the late morning peak was somewhat surprising, the trend was observed in all 3 years studied.

The collection of administrative data on health care provides enormous research opportunities, particularly for studying health events that may be difficult to measure in the general population, such as self-inflicted injuries. Possible analyses include investigations into associations between self-inflicted injuries and socio-demographic information, characteristics of treatment, trends over time, and utilization of services. However, these data can be biased if there are systematic differences in access of services by differing segments of the population. Fortunately, these biases can be eliminated if the entire population is necessarily covered by the data collection, as is the case with the Canadian health care system. Data systems such as the ACCS database used in this study provide a unique opportunity for large-scale epidemiological investigations of self-inflicted injuries in a non-biased fashion.

**Limitations**

A few limitations should be noted. First, this study only captured individuals with self-inflicted injuries who sought emergency medical treatment. The extent of self-inflicted injuries that do not receive medical treatment is unclear; however, it has been reported that as few as one-quarter of “suicide attempts” may receive medical attention.\textsuperscript{31} If so, the rates reported in the present study may be significantly under-estimating the true rate of self-inflicted injury. However, if the goal is to have complete coverage of the population, it is impractical to assess self-inflicted injuries in a manner other than to focus on those that are medically treated.\textsuperscript{18} A final limitation is that there may be visits for self-inflicted injuries that did not receive a code identifying it as a “Suicide or Self-inflicted injury” (ICD-9-CM E950–E959). It has been reported that suicide deaths often receive a cause of death of “Accidental” or “Undetermined.”\textsuperscript{32,33} A recent Canadian study using clinician ratings of hospital charts showed that 39% of deliberate self-poisoning did not receive the appropriate code identifying it as deliberate.\textsuperscript{34} Similarly, a study in Oxford using data from 1980 to 1985 found that 80% of individuals with self-inflicted injuries did not receive an ICD-9-CM code identifying it correctly.\textsuperscript{35} However, data from California found

---

**Table 2. Person-based self-inflicted injury rates per 100 000 by subsidy status and year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No subsidy</td>
<td>1119.8</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>121.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full / Partial subsidy</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>211.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social services</td>
<td>1296.0</td>
<td>1396.0</td>
<td>1398.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treaty status</td>
<td>849.1</td>
<td>958.0</td>
<td>966.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Methods section for definition of levels.

---

**Table 3. Self-inflicted injury visits by method used and gender, 1998 to 2001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method used</th>
<th>Female, no. (and %)</th>
<th>Male, no. (and %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-poisoning</td>
<td>10 745 (81.4)</td>
<td>6 498 (70.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting or piercing</td>
<td>1 187 (14.3)</td>
<td>1 315 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms / Hanging / Jumping / Gas</td>
<td>256 (1.9)</td>
<td>622 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>313 (2.4)</td>
<td>760 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All methods</td>
<td>9 195 (100.0)</td>
<td>13 201 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 4. Self-inflicted injury visits by method used and disposition status, 1998 to 2001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method used</th>
<th>Discharged</th>
<th>Left*</th>
<th>Admitted</th>
<th>Transferred</th>
<th>Died</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-poisoning</td>
<td>10 705 (62.1)</td>
<td>292 (1.7)</td>
<td>5 413 (31.4)</td>
<td>807 (4.7)</td>
<td>21 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutting or piercing</td>
<td>2 412 (75.4)</td>
<td>46 (1.4)</td>
<td>592 (18.5)</td>
<td>145 (4.5)</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearms / Hanging / Jumping / Gas</td>
<td>350 (39.4)</td>
<td>10 (1.1)</td>
<td>277 (31.2)</td>
<td>139 (15.7)</td>
<td>112 (12.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>822 (78.7)</td>
<td>11 (1.1)</td>
<td>181 (17.3)</td>
<td>22 (2.1)</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All methods</td>
<td>14 289 (63.9)</td>
<td>359 (1.6)</td>
<td>6 463 (28.9)</td>
<td>1 113 (5.0)</td>
<td>144 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Left prior to being seen by a physician or left against medical advice.
much higher rates of sensitivity (95%) for correctly identifying self-inflicted injuries through ICD-9-CM codes.\(^6\)

The extent of mis-coding in the ACCS database used for this study is unclear. Furthermore, it is difficult to ascertain whether mis-coding occurs because of error or because of social stigma associated with suicidal behaviour. Regardless, any mis-coding would tend to underestimate the true prevalence of self-inflicted injuries.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, this study documents the magnitude and epidemiology of ED visits for self-inflicted injuries in a Canadian province using a unique population-based database. From these data, it would appear that self-inflicted injuries are a major ED and public health care issue with important socio-demographic, regional and temporal variation. These results should be helpful to direct further research and institute focussed interventions for prevention.
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