Results: Of 2,493 evaluated patients, 1,320 met the inclusion criteria. Among them, 44% of courses were initiated in the emergency department, 37% of patients had ≥1 risk factor for healthcare-associated infections, and 50% of patients had ≥2 SIRS criteria or required vasopressor support. The most common admission diagnoses were skin and soft-tissue infection (SSTI, 40%; 68% nonpurulent) and pneumonia (27%; 46% without healthcare risk factors). Clinical cultures recovered MRSA from 8% of patients. Empiric therapy was not justified in 342 patients (26%; 57% were clinically stable). Continued therapy was unjustified in 46% of the 320 patients who received >4 days of anti-MRSA therapy. Of all days of anti-MRSA therapy, 23% were unjustified: 65% of these were due to unjustified empiric therapy. Site-specific variations in unjustified empiric therapy better correlated with the proportion of unjustified DOT than did unjustified continuation of therapy (Pearson correlation coefficients [PCC], 0.75 and 0.54, respectively) (Fig. 1). Facility-specific proportions of unjustified DOT modestly correlated with anti-MRSA DOT (PCC, 0.45; n = 27) (Fig. 2) but not the anti-MRSA standardized antimicrobial administration ratio (PCC, 0.15; n = 21). Conclusions: In this multicenter MUE, 26% of all days of anti-MRSA therapy lacked justification; this rate correlated with total facility-specific antimicrobial administration ratio (PCC, 0.15; n = 21). Unnecessary empiric therapy, largely in the ED and for nonpurulent SSTIs and pneumonia without risk factors, was the principal contributor to unjustified DOT.

Funding: None

Disclosures: None

Doi:10.1017/ice.2020.635

Presentation Type: Poster Presentation
Appropriateness of C. difficile Testing With Clinical Support Tool Versus Mandatory Infectious Diseases Attending Approval
Sonya Kothadia, Rush University Medical Center; Samantha Blank, Rush University Medical Center; Tania Campagnoli, Rush University Medical Center; Mhd Hashem Rajabibik, Rush University Medical Center; Tiffany Wiksten, Rush University Medical Center; Alison Weston, Rush University Medical Center; Ruth Knuiksta, Rush University Medical Center; Mary Hayden, Rush University Medical Center; Manya Gupta, Rush University Medical Center; Brian Stein, Rush University Medical Center; John Segreti, Rush University Medical Center; Michael Lin, Rush University Medical Center

Background: In an effort to reduce inappropriate testing of hospital-onset *Clostridioides difficile* infection (HO-CDI), we sequentially implemented 2 strategies: an electronic health record-based clinical decision support tool that alerted ordering physicians about potentially inappropriate testing without a hard stop (intervention period 1), replaced by mandatory infectious diseases attending physician approval for any HO-CDI test order (intervention period 2). We analyzed appropriate HO-CDI testing rates of both intervention periods. Methods: We performed a retrospective study of patients 18 years or older who had an HO-CDI test (performed after hospital day 3) during 3 different periods: baseline (no intervention, September 2014–February 2015), intervention 1 (clinical decision support tool only, April 2015–September 2015), and intervention 2 (ID approval only, December 2017–September 2018). From each of the 3 periods, we randomly selected 150 patients who received HO-CDI testing (450 patients total). We restricted the study to the general medicine, bone marrow transplant, medical intensive care, and neurosurgical intensive care units. We assessed each HO-CDI test for appropriateness (see Table 1 for criteria), and we compared rates of appropriateness using the χ² test or Kruskall-Wallis test, where appropriate. Results: In our cohort of 450 patients, the median age was 61 years, and the median hospital length of stay was 20 days. The median hospital day that HO-CDI testing was performed differed among the 3 groups: 12 days at baseline, 10 days during intervention 1, and 8.5 days during intervention 2 (P < .001). Appropriateness of HO-CDI testing increased from the baseline with both interventions, but mandatory ID approval was associated with the highest rate of testing appropriateness (Fig. 1). Reasons for inappropriate ordering did not differ among the periods, with <3 documented stools being the most common criterion for inappropriateness. During intervention 2, among the 33 inappropriate tests, 8 (24%) occurred where no approval from an ID attending was recorded. HO-CDI test positivity rates during the 3 time periods were 12%, 11%, and 21%, respectively (P = .03). Conclusions: We found that both the clinical decision support tool and mandatory ID attending physician approval interventions improved appropriateness of HO-CDI testing. Mandatory ID attending physician approval leading to the highest appropriateness rate. Even with mandatory ID attending physician approval, some tests continued to be ordered inappropriately per retrospective chart analysis.

Table 1. Table: Reasons for inappropriate HO-CDI Testing During Each Intervention Period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Intervention 1 (Clinical Decision Support Tool)</th>
<th>Intervention 2 (ID attending approval)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;3 documented stools, n/N (%)</td>
<td>62/83 (75)</td>
<td>41/63 (65)</td>
<td>21/32 (65)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No diarrhea stools charted in prior 24 hours, n/N (%)</td>
<td>9/74 (12)</td>
<td>2/60 (3)</td>
<td>1/30 (3)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative test in prior 24 hours, n/N (%)</td>
<td>38/83 (46)</td>
<td>34/63 (54)</td>
<td>15/33 (45)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: HO-CDI= Hospital-onset *Clostridioides difficile* infection. P-value tests the null hypothesis that the proportions are equal across the three time periods. Rate differences were calculated using the Kruskall-Wallis Test.

*Baseline was defined as liquid, loose, or soft stools.

*Criteria included: lactulose, magnesium citrate, polyethylene glycol, sodium phosphate enema, oral mineral oil, sorbitol 30% solution, glycine rectal suppository and solution, semisucrose, and lactulose solutions.
Appropriate initiation of UTI treatment among nursing home residents is a frequent indication. Although there is no gold standard for antibiotic initiation classified as appropriate by each of the criteria, ranging from 8% for the cystitis consensus, to 27% for Loeb, to 33% for the UTI SBAR tool, to 51% for Crnich and Drinka (Fig. 1). We calculated the percentage of residents for whom initiating UTI treatment was appropriate by these criteria. Results: Of 248 residents for whom UTI treatment was initiated in the nursing home, the median age was 79 years [IQR, 19], 63% were female, and 35% were admitted for postacute care. There was substantial variability in the percentage of residents with antibiotic initiation classified as appropriate by each of the criteria, beyond clinical decision-support tools.

Conclusion: Appropriate initiation of UTI treatment among nursing home residents remained low regardless of criteria used. At best only half of antibiotic treatment met published prescribing appropriateness of antibiotic prescribing among NH residents.

Methods: In 2017, the CDC Emerging Infections Program (EIP) performed a prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antibiotic use in 161 nursing homes from 10 states: California, Colorado, Connecticut, Georgia, Maryland, Minnesota, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, and Tennessee. EIP staff reviewed resident medical records to collect demographic and clinical information, infection signs, symptoms, and diagnostic testing documented on the day an antibiotic was initiated and 6 days prior. We applied 4 criteria to determine whether initiation of treatment for UTI was supported: (1) the Loeb minimum clinical criteria (Loeb); (2) the Suspected UTI Situation, Background, Assessment, and Recommendation tool (UTI SBAR tool); (3) adaptation of Infectious Diseases Society of America UTI treatment guidelines for nursing home residents (Crnich & Drinka); and (4) diagnostic criteria for uncomplicated cystitis (cystitis consensus) (Fig. 1). We calculated the percentage of residents for whom initiating UTI treatment was appropriate by these criteria.

Background: Antibiotics are among the most commonly prescribed drugs in nursing homes; urinary tract infections (UTIs) are a frequent indication. Although there is no gold standard for the diagnosis of UTIs, various criteria have been developed to inform and standardize nursing home prescribing decisions, with the goal of reducing unnecessary antibiotic prescribing. Using different published criteria designed to guide decisions on initiating treatment of UTIs (ie, symptomatic, catheter-associated, and uncomplicated cystitis), our objective was to assess the