Association of dietary fibre intake and gut microbiota in adults
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Abstract
Increasing evidence indicates that gut microbiota may influence colorectal cancer risk. Diet, particularly fibre intake, may modify gut microbiota composition, which may affect cancer risk. We investigated the relationship between dietary fibre intake and gut microbiota in adults. Using 16S rRNA gene sequencing, we assessed gut microbiota in faecal samples from 151 adults in two independent study populations: National Cancer Institute (NCI), n 75, and New York University (NYU), n 76. We calculated energy-adjusted fibre intake based on FFQ. For each study population with adjustment for age, sex, race, BMI and smoking, we evaluated the relationship between fibre intake and gut microbiota community composition and taxon abundance. Total fibre intake was significantly associated with overall microbial community composition in NYU (P = 0.008) but not in NCI (P = 0.81). In a meta-analysis of both study populations, higher fibre intake tended to be associated with genera of class Clostridia, including higher abundance of SMB53 (fold change (FC) = 1.04, P = 0.04), Lachnospira (FC = 1.03, P = 0.05) and Faecalibacterium (FC = 1.03, P = 0.06), and lower abundance of Actinomyces (FC = 0.95, P = 0.002), Odoribacter (FC = 0.95, P = 0.05) and Oscillospira (FC = 0.96, P = 0.06). A species-level meta-analysis showed that higher fibre intake was marginally associated with greater abundance of Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (FC = 1.03, P = 0.07) and lower abundance of Eubacterium dolichum (FC = 0.96, P = 0.04) and Bacteroides uniformis (FC = 0.97, P = 0.05). Thus, dietary fibre intake may impact gut microbiota composition, particularly in Clostridia, and may favour putatively beneficial bacteria such as F. prausnitzii. These findings warrant further understanding of diet-microbiota relationships for future development of colorectal cancer prevention strategies.

Key words: Gut microbiome; Dietary fibre intake: Cross-sectional studies; Epidemiology

The human gastrointestinal tract hosts an estimated 100 trillion bacteria, which play a role in key physiological activities, including gastrointestinal immune system maturation and fermentation of nutrients into beneficial metabolites1. Disruption of this symbiotic relationship between human host and gut microbiota has been implicated in the development of the metabolic syndrome, as well as intestinal pathology, including inflammatory bowel disease and colorectal cancer2–4. A growing number of epidemiological studies have provided increasing evidence that perturbation of microbial community composition in the gut exists in colorectal cancer, with alterations of microbial taxa abundance in cancer cases compared with healthy controls5–8.

Colorectal cancer risk has been attributed to dietary habits, with Western-style diets – low in fibre and high in red meat and fat – associated with higher risk for colorectal cancer9,10. Fibre intake, in particular, has remained an appealing modifiable dietary factor, given its postulated beneficial biological effects. Fibre speeds colonic transit and may decrease exposure of colonic epithelial cells to ingested carcinogens. In addition, fibre undergoes fermentation by the microbiota to yield SCFA end products, such as butyrate, which is not only essential for colon energy metabolism and epithelial proliferation, but in mouse models also exhibits tumour-suppressive activity through histone deacetylase inhibition11. Consequently, there has been growing interest to understand the impact of dietary fibre on gut microbiota composition, which may ultimately reduce cancer risk. A diet low in fibre – the ‘fibre gap’ – has been associated with a depletion in human gut microbiota diversity, and potentially beneficial
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metabolites\(^{(4)}\). Furthermore, although short-term dietary intervention trials have demonstrated that different amounts of fibre intake can significantly alter microbiota composition in a span of a few weeks\(^{(12-14)}\), there remain fewer studies evaluating the effect of long-term dietary habits of fibre intake on the gut microbiota in humans\(^{(15)}\).

We investigated the association between long-term dietary fibre intake habits and gut microbiota composition in faecal samples of generally healthy adults from two independent study populations: controls from a hospital-based case–control study of colorectal cancer and gut microbiome\(^{(6)}\) and polyp-free adults from a cross-sectional colonoscopy study\(^{(16)}\). We excluded consent, reported no long-term antibiotic treatment and comorbidities such as history of familial adenomatous polyposis, and with most recent colonoscopy, prior surgical anastomosis, prior history of colorectal cancer, missing colonoscopy reports, history of inflammatory bowel disease, prior surgical anastomosis, prior history of colorectal cancer, history of familial adenomatous polyposis, and with most recent colonoscopy report >3 years before stool sample collection.

In both studies, participants provided written informed consent, reported no long-term antibiotic treatment and completed diet and demographic questionnaires. We excluded subjects with <1000 microbial sequence reads, missing or extreme energetic intake (≤2092 or >16 736 kJ/d) and with a history of other cancers, for a final sample size of 151 (n 75 in NCI, n 76 in NYU). The NYU study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of NYU School of Medicine, and the NCI study was approved by the IRB of NYU School of Medicine and the NCI.

### Methods

#### Study population

We assessed faecal samples of adults from two independent study populations: controls from a National Cancer Institute (NCI) hospital-based case–control study, hereafter referred to as NCI\(^{(6)}\), and polyp-free adults from a cross-sectional colonoscopy study at New York University (NYU), called the NYU Human Microbiome and Colorectal Tumor study, hereafter referred to as NYU\(^{(16)}\).

NCI enrolled participants from three Washington, DC area hospitals from 1985 to 1989\(^{(17,18)}\). We included seventy-five control subjects who were awaiting elective surgery for non-oncological, non-gastrointestinal conditions, and reported no antibiotic intake during the year before recruitment. Participants provided 2-d faecal samples that were freeze-dried, and samples with at least 100 mg of lyophilised faecal material available were included for analyses.

NYU enrolled participants from Kips Bay Endoscopy Center in New York City from 2012 to 2014. We included seventy-six polyp-free participants from this study. We excluded subjects with missing colonoscopy reports, history of inflammatory bowel disease, prior surgical anastomosis, prior history of colorectal cancer, history of familial adenomatous polyposis, and with most recent colonoscopy report >3 years before stool sample collection.

In both studies, participants provided written informed consent, reported no long-term antibiotic treatment and completed diet and demographic questionnaires. We excluded subjects with <1000 microbial sequence reads, missing or extreme energetic intake (≤2092 or >16 736 kJ/d) and with a history of other cancers, for a final sample size of 151 (n 75 in NCI, n 76 in NYU). The NYU study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of NYU School of Medicine, and the NCI study was approved by the IRB of NYU School of Medicine and the NCI.

#### Demographic information and dietary fibre assessment

Information on age, sex, height, weight, race and cigarette smoking status was collected by means of a questionnaire at stool collection. BMI was calculated by dividing weight in kg by squared height in m, and was then categorised as underweight or normal weight (<25 kg/m\(^2\)), overweight (25 ≤ BMI < 30 kg/m\(^2\)) or obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m\(^2\)), based on WHO definition\(^{(19)}\). Cigarette smoking status was defined as never, current or former smoker.

Usual dietary intake was calculated from self-administered FFQ, which queried intake frequency and portion size of food types. Nutrient values per portion were multiplied by daily frequency of intake and summed across all relevant food items. Nutrient data were standardised by total energy intake\(^{(20)}\).

Study-specific quartiles of total fibre intake were used (NCI: <11·3, 11·3–14·3, 14·4–16·6, ≥16·7 g/d; NYU: <19·8, 19·8–23·7, 23·8–31·6, ≥31·7 g/d). In addition, study-specific quartiles of fibre from different dietary sources were assessed: fibre from fruits and vegetables (NCI: <6·5, 6·5–8·7, 8·8–11·0, ≥11·1 g/d; NYU: <11·1, 11·1–14·9, 15·0–21·2, ≥21·3 g/d), fibre from grains (NCI: <2·7, 2·7–3·2, 3·3–4·8, ≥4·9 g/d; NYU: <9·1, 9·1–12·6, 12·7–16·6, ≥16·7 g/d) and fibre from beans (NCI: <0·9, 0·9–1·3, 1·4–2·1, ≥2·2 g/d; NYU: <1·8, 1·8–2·7, 2·8–4·4, ≥4·5 g/d).

#### Faecal sample processing

In NCI, faecal samples were collected by participants at home over a 2-d period, before hospitalisation and treatment, and stored in a plastic container in a styrofoam chest containing dry ice. Faecal samples were shipped to a USDA laboratory, lyophilised and stored at a minimum of −40°C in sealed, air-tight containers. Sample aliquots were shipped to NYU for microbiome assay. In NYU, faecal samples were collected by participants onto two sections of Beckman Coulter Hemoccult II SENSA\(^{(16)}\) cards (Beckman Coulter) at home. Samples were shipped to NYU and stored immediately at −80°C.

#### Microbiota assay

In both NCI and NYU, DNA was extracted from faecal samples using the Mo Bio PowerSoil DNA Isolation Kit (Mo Bio) with bead-beating, as previously reported\(^{(16)}\). In NCI, 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene amplicons covering variable regions V3–V4 were generated using the 347F-5′GGAGGAGCACGATRGGGAAAT\(^{-3′}\) and 805R 5′-CTACGGGCTACATATCC-3′ primer pair\(^{(6,21)}\). Amplicons were sequenced with the 454 Roche FLX Titanium pyrosequencing system, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. In NYU, 16S rRNA gene amplicons covering the V4 region were generated using the F515-5′GTGCGCACGCMCGCGGTAA-3′ and R806-5′GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3′ primer pair\(^{(6,21)}\). Amplicons were sequenced with the Illumina MiSeq platform.

#### Sequence data processing

Because two different sequencing platforms were used, we processed the sequence data separately. Sequences were demultiplexed, and poor-quality sequences excluded, using the default parameters of Quantitative Insights into Microbial Ecology (QIIME) script split_libraries.py (for NCI) or split_libraries_fastq.py (for NYU)\(^{(23)}\). Filtered sequence reads were clustered into de novo operational taxonomic units (OTU) at 97% identity, and representative sequence reads for each OTU were assigned taxonomy based on fully sequenced microbial genomes (Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG)/Greengenes database).
Chimeric sequences were removed with Chimeri- aSlayer(24). Blinded quality control specimens in all sequencing batches showed good reproducibility: high intraclass correlation coefficients for the Shannon diversity index and abundances of bacterial phyla and genera have been previously reported(6,16,25).

**Statistical analysis**

**α-Diversity.** We evaluated the association between quartiles of fibre intake and within-subject microbial diversity (α-diversity) indices of Shannon diversity and evenness(26). In both studies, these indices were calculated in 100 iterations of rarefied OTU tables of 1000 sequence reads per sample. We modelled the Shannon index and evenness as outcomes in linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, race, categorical BMI and cigarette smoking status.

**β-Diversity.** We assessed the relationship of overall gut microbiota composition and quartiles of dietary fibre intake using weighted (quantitative) and unweighted (qualitative) phylogenetic UniFrac distance matrices(27). Permutational multivariate ANOVA (PERMANOVA) of both weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances was used to evaluate whether fibre intake is associated with overall microbial community composition, after adjusting first for age, sex, race, categorical BMI and cigarette smoking status (adonis function, `vegan` package in R)(28). Principal coordinate analysis plots were generated using the first two principal coordinates, and labelled according to quartile of fibre intake.

**Differential abundance testing.** We assessed the relationship between higher quartiles of total fibre intake and specific taxa abundance using negative binomial generalised linear models, in the `DESeq2` package in R(25). Models were adjusted for age, sex, race, categorical BMI and cigarette smoking status.

Nominal P values and false discovery rate (FDR) adjusted q-values were calculated(29). DESeq2 default outlier replacement, independent filtering of low-count taxa and filtering of count outliers were turned off. Taxa models with maximum Cook’s distance >10 were removed before P value adjustment for the FDR(30).

To identify similar taxa associations in both NCI and NYU, we then performed a taxonomy-based meta-analysis to evaluate for genera and species with higher or lower abundance by fibre intake concomitantly in each of the two study populations. In addition, we performed sub-analyses to examine associations between taxon abundance and higher intake of fibre from specific sources, such as fruit and vegetables, grains and beans. We calculated nominal meta-analysis P values based on Z-score methods(31).

All trends were tested using the median values of each quartile of fibre intake. All analyses were performed using R, version 3.3.2.

**Results**

**Subject characteristics**

A total of 151 adult subjects were included for analysis: n 75 in NCI and n 76 in NYU. Subject characteristics are reported in Table 1. Among these participants, 73·3% in NCI and 51·3% in NYU were male, and 82·7% in NCI and 85·5% in NYU were white. Median age in both study groups generally increased with higher fibre intake, although the trends were not statistically significant.

**Global diversity**

PERMANOVA analyses of between-sample UniFrac distances demonstrated that fibre intake was significantly associated with overall microbial community composition in NYU (weighted

### Table 1. Participant demographic characteristics (Quartiles (Q) and medians)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NCI (n 75)</th>
<th></th>
<th>NYU (n 76)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1†</td>
<td>Q2‡</td>
<td>Q3‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>61·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31·6</td>
<td>21·1</td>
<td>50·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>68·4</td>
<td>78·9</td>
<td>50·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>89·5</td>
<td>89·5</td>
<td>77·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>10·5</td>
<td>10·5</td>
<td>22·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>57·9</td>
<td>68·4</td>
<td>33·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>36·8</td>
<td>15·8</td>
<td>38·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>5·3</td>
<td>15·8</td>
<td>27·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>26·3</td>
<td>36·8</td>
<td>61·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Former/current</td>
<td>73·7</td>
<td>63·2</td>
<td>38·9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCI, National Cancer Institute; NYU, New York University.
† NCI quartiles of fibre intake: Q1: <11·3 g; Q2: 11·3–14·3 g; Q3: 14·4–16·6 g; Q4: ≥16·7 g.
‡ NYU quartiles of fibre intake: Q1: <19·6 g; Q2: 19·6–23·7 g; Q3: 23·8–31·6 g; Q4: ≥31·7 g.
¶ P values are calculated from Kruskal–Wallis test (continuous variables) or χ² test (categorical variables).
Fig. 1. $\alpha$- and $\beta$-diversity in relation to quartiles of total fibre intake (a) Shannon diversity index and (b) evenness index by quartiles of total fibre intake are shown in 151 healthy adult subjects from two independent study populations (National Cancer Institute (NCI) = 75, New York University (NYU) = 76). These indices were calculated in 100 iterations of rarefied operational taxonomic units tables of 1000 sequence reads per sample. Fibre 1, fibre 2, fibre 3 and fibre 4 represent increasing quartiles of fibre intake. Shannon index and evenness were modelled as outcomes in linear regression, adjusting for age, sex, race, categorical BMI, and cigarette smoking status. $P$ values of fibre variable in regression analysis are reported in the figure. (c) Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) plots, based on weighted UniFrac phylogenetic distances, showed a difference between lowest and highest fibre intake in NYU. This relationship was not observed in NCI. PCoA plots were generated using the first two principal coordinates (PC): PC1 and PC2. $P$ values reported in the figure are based on permutational multivariate ANOVA of weighted UniFrac distances evaluating the association between fibre intake and overall microbial community composition, after adjusting for age, sex, race, categorical BMI, and cigarette smoking status.
UniFrac $P=0.008$; unweighted UniFrac $P=0.01$ but not NCI (weighted UniFrac $P=0.81$; unweighted UniFrac $P=0.75$) after adjusting for covariates of age, sex, race, BMI and cigarette smoking (Fig. 1(c)). In contrast, in both NCI and NYU, total fibre intake was not significantly associated with microbial community diversity as measured by the Shannon diversity index or evenness (Fig. 1(a)).

### Taxon abundance

We found fourteen genera with the same direction of association with fibre intake in NCI and NYU, out of twenty-nine total genera that overlapped (i.e. were detected) in both studies. Higher total fibre intake was associated with lower abundance of genera *Actinomyces* (fold change $FC=0.95$, $P=0.002$) and *Bacteroides uniformis* (fold change $FC=0.97$, $P=0.048$) of class Bacteroidia, and was marginally associated with lower abundance of *Oscillospira* ($FC=0.96$, $P=0.045$) of class Clostridia. Higher total fibre intake also tended to be associated with higher abundance of selected genera of class Clostridia: *SMB53* ($FC=1.04$, $P=0.036$), *Lachnospira* ($FC=1.03$, $P=0.049$), *Faecalibacterium* ($FC=1.03$, $P=0.059$) and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* ($FC=1.03$, $P=0.070$) (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

At the species level, we found eight species with the same direction of association with fibre intake in the two study populations, out of seventeen total species observed overlapping in both studies. A meta-analysis at this taxonomic level showed a marginal association between higher total fibre intake and higher abundance of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* ($FC=1.03$, $P=0.07$), and lower abundance of *Eubacterium dolichum* ($FC=0.96$, $P=0.04$) and *Bacteroides uniformis* ($FC=0.97$, $P=0.05$) (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

In a sub-analysis to identify associations between taxon abundance and fibre intake from specific dietary sources, we found that higher fibre intake from fruit and vegetables was associated with lower abundance of genera *Actinomyces* ($FC=0.97$, $P=0.007$), *Odoribacter* ($FC=0.96$, $P=0.04$) and *Oscillospira* ($FC=0.99$, $P=0.06$). Higher abundance of genus *Faecalibacterium* was most significant specifically with higher fibre intake from beans ($FC=1.11$, $P=0.01$). At the species level, higher fibre intake from beans was associated with higher abundance of *F. prausnitzii* ($FC=1.11$, $P=0.01$) and lower abundance of *B. uniformis* ($FC=0.87$, $P=0.08$). A heat map representing colour-coded FC of select genera and species, by total fibre and fibre from specific sources (fruits/vegetables, grains and beans), is presented in Fig. 3. Among fibre from different food sources, there was a minimal association between fibre from grains and these specific genera and species.

Although we observed similar associations with taxon abundance and fibre intake in both the NCI and NYU studies, we also noted some inconsistent associations (online Supplementary Table S1). For example, at the phylum level, higher total fibre intake was associated with higher abundance of Proteobacteria in NCI ($P=0.03$) but not in NYU ($P=0.53$). Higher total fibre intake was also marginally associated with higher abundance of phylum Bacteroidetes in NYU ($P=0.07$), but not in NCI ($P=0.47$).

Full results of the meta-analyses examining the association between taxon abundance at each level and dietary fibre intake (total fibre and fibre from different food sources) are reported in online Supplementary Tables S1–S4.

![Fig. 2. Forest plot of fold change of select genera (G) and species (S) in both the New York University and National Cancer Institute study populations with a significant or marginally significant association with higher total fibre intake, based on meta-analysis of the two study populations. Meta-analysis nominal $P$ values were calculated based on Z-score methods. C, class.](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/fig-2-forest-plot-of-fold-change-of-select-genera-g-and-species-s-in-both-the-new-york-university-and-national-cancer-institute-study-populations-with-a-significant-or-marginal/5e8213f6ada6c3e75a6cfa5f7d3e25f4)
Discussion

In the present study, we examined the association of usual dietary fibre intakes with gut microbiota composition in adults from two independent study populations. In a taxonomy-based meta-analysis, we found that higher total fibre intake was associated with specific taxon abundances, including higher abundance of select genera of Clostridia class. Some of these fibre and taxon abundance associations were consistent with specific fibre food sources, such as fruit and vegetables, grains and beans.

The usual dietary intake of participants measured in our study provides additional insight into the potential effect of longer-term dietary patterns on gut microbiota composition, compared with controlled dietary intervention studies. Although Wu et al. demonstrated in a controlled feeding study that certain dietary modifications altered microbiome composition in humans, they did not significantly alter the overall types of microbial communities characterising individuals; the overall structure may be better correlated with long-term diet. Sonnenburg et al. also reported that chronic low-fibre diets in mice may drive changes in microbial diversity. Thus, our study associations derived from long-term dietary habits are more likely to represent microbial composition in an uncontrolled, real-world setting.

In our study, we noted that higher fibre intake was associated with higher abundance of select genera of Clostridia class. This finding is notable given the particular role of Clostridium spp. in colonicis metabolism through production of SCFA, including acetate, propionate and butyrate, via fermentation. Although

Table 2. Meta-analysis of the association between total fibre intake and genera and species in National Cancer Institute (NCI) and New York University (NYU) study populations* (Mean values and 95% confidence intervals)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phylum; class; order; family; genus; species</th>
<th>NCI</th>
<th>NYU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Base mean</td>
<td>FC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genus level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Actinobacteria; Actinomycetaceae; Actinomyces | 2.16 | 0.884 | 0.003 | 0.75 | 0.969 | 0.130
| Actinobacteria; Actinomycetaceae; Actinomyces | 84.01 | 1.001 | 0.973 | 90.68 | 1.004 | 0.850
| Actinobacteria; Coriobacteriaceae; Anaerobacteriaceae; Eggerthella | 6.18 | 0.985 | NA | 2.09 | 0.990 | 0.631
| Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; [Odonibacteraceae]; Odoribacter | 0.68 | 0.995 | 0.916 | 10.88 | 0.946 | 0.004
| Firmicutes; Bacillales; Streptococcaceae; Streptococcus | 55.61 | 0.957 | 0.299 | 57.84 | 0.985 | 0.443
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Clostridiales; SMBS5 | 0.62 | 1.035 | 0.443 | 0.88 | 1.045 | 0.029
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Lachnospira | 31.63 | 1.042 | 0.279 | 163.91 | 1.026 | 0.091
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Faecalibacterium | 230.42 | 1.030 | 0.367 | 549.73 | 1.025 | 0.078
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Oscillatoria | 22.58 | 0.973 | 0.386 | 85.60 | 0.983 | 0.079
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Anaerostipes | 5.92 | 1.007 | 0.859 | 10.66 | 1.018 | 0.287
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Dorea | 104.47 | 1.022 | 0.418 | 65.24 | 1.004 | 0.666
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Veillonellaceae; Faecalibacterium | 18.58 | 1.009 | 0.832 | 34.43 | 1.012 | 0.558
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Veillonellaceae; Dialister | 16.99 | 0.984 | 0.713 | 25.15 | 0.994 | 0.780
| Proteobacteria; Betaproteobacteria; Burkholderiales; Alcaligenaceae; Sutterella | 4.32 | 1.000 | 0.849 | 85.39 | 1.008 | 0.653

Species level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species name</th>
<th>NCI</th>
<th>NYU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Actinobacteria; Actinobacteria; Firmicutes; Bifidobacteriales; Bifidobacterium; adolescentis | 38.42 | 1.007 | 0.898
| Actinobacteria; Coriobacteriaceae; Coriobacteriaceae; Eggerthella; lenta | 6.14 | 0.984 | NA
| Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; Bacteroidaceae; Bacteroides; uniformis | 32.05 | 0.948 | 0.202
| Bacteroidetes; Bacteroidia; Bacteroidales; Bacteroidaceae; Bacteroides; ovatus | 5.59 | 0.987 | 0.790
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Faecalibacterium; prausnitzii | 230.05 | 1.032 | 0.354
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Ruminococcaceae; Faecalibacterium; prausnitzii | 24.12 | 0.966 | 0.489
| Firmicutes; Clostridia; Clostridiales; Lachnospiraceae; Dorea; formicicigens | 1.92 | 1.033 | 0.447
| Firmicutes; Erysipelotrichi; Erysipelotrichiaceae; Erysipelotrichaceae; [Eubacterium]; dolichum | 7.70 | 0.933 | 0.198

* Taxa shown were associated with fibre intake in the same direction in both NCI and NYU. Relationship between higher quartiles of total fibre intake and differential taxon abundance was evaluated using negative binomial generalised linear models in the DESeq2 package in R. Models were adjusted for age, sex, race, categorical BMI and cigarette smoking status. Meta-analysis was conducted using Z-score methods.
butyrate is the least abundant SCFA produced, it serves as the preferred energy source for colonocytes and has garnered attention for its involvement in cell signalling, maintenance of intestinal barrier function and modulation of immune cell chemotaxis and adhesion\(^3\). Butyrate exerts an anti-inflammatory effect through inhibition of inflammatory cytokines, particularly NF-κB\(^3\). Furthermore, mouse models have shown that butyrate inhibits histone deacetylases and consequently inhibits gene expression and causes tumour suppression\(^4\), carrying implications for colorectal cancer treatment.

Within the Clostridia class, we noted a marginal association between higher fibre intake and higher abundance of species \textit{F. prausnitzii}. The fibre and \textit{F. prausnitzii} relationship has also been observed in a cross-sectional cohort of middle-age and older adults in Spain, in which greater adherence to a Mediterranean diet, rich in higher fibre content foods such as fruits, vegetables and whole grains, correlated with higher levels of \textit{F. prausnitzii}, as well as \textit{Clostridium} cluster XVL\(^5\). Faecalibacterium \textit{prausnitzii}, one of the most abundant species found in the gut and a key producer of butyrate, has been associated with anti-inflammatory activity\(^5,31,43\). Sokol \textit{et al.}\(^43\) suggested that it exerts its anti-inflammatory effects on colitis mouse models, partly owing to associated metabolites blocking pro-inflammatory shifts found within stool samples of mice with colon tumours, specifically with enrichment of taxa affiliated with members of \textit{Odoribacter}. Along similar lines, Thomas \textit{et al.}\(^46\) examined human tissue samples collected during colonoscopy from rectal cancer cases and non-cancer controls, and noted higher abundance of \textit{Odoribacter} in the cancer cases. Kasai \textit{et al.}\(^47\) noted higher proportions of several genera, including \textit{Actinomyces}, in faecal samples from human subjects with colorectal carcinoma. Furthermore, higher abundance of \textit{Actinomyces} has been reported in both colorectal adenoma and carcinoma cases\(^4,47\). Whether or not enrichment or depletion of these specific microbes reflects cause or consequence of colon tumour development remains uncertain. Nonetheless, the opposite relationship observed between abundance of these microbes and higher fibre intake in our tumour-free adult population compared with cancer cases in prior studies is notable, and merits further examination of diet, microbiota and disease associations.

Similarly, we previously reported an association between lower abundance of \textit{Clostridia} and both colorectal carcinoma and adenoma\(^6,10\). In a case–control study of colorectal cancer cases and controls, stool samples from colorectal cancer cases were characterised by depletion of phylum Firmicutes, predominantly of class \textit{Clostridia}, relative to controls\(^6\). In addition, we noted a depleted abundance of members of \textit{Clostridia} class in stool samples of colorectal adenoma cases, compared with controls\(^6\). These findings suggest a potential relationship between this shift in \textit{Clostridia} composition and both precancerous and cancerous events. Thus, our finding that higher dietary fibre intake is associated with a higher abundance of \textit{select genera} of \textit{Clostridia} class encourages future investigation of specific dietary strategies and their potential protective role against colorectal cancer risk.

Our results are consistent with our previous report of dietary fibre intake and gut microbiota relationships in the NCI study\(^7\). Our prior analysis of these data showed higher relative abundance of \textit{Clostridia} class with higher fibre intake from fruit and vegetable intake, as well as higher relative abundance of phylum \textit{Actinobacteria} with higher fibre from bean intake. In the present meta-analysis, we confirmed a higher abundance of \textit{select genera} of \textit{Clostridia} class with fibre from fruit and vegetables, and a higher abundance of phylum \textit{Actinobacteria} with fibre from bean intake (online Supplementary Tables S2 and S4). Differences in reported findings between these two studies may be attributed to different statistical methods. Whereas our prior study categorised taxon abundance as a binary variable, in the present study we analysed taxon abundance as a continuous variable in the DESeq2 model, which may increase statistical power.

We note the use of different sequencing platforms for the NCI and NYU studies. As described previously, amplicons in NCI were sequenced using the 454 Roche FLX Titanium
pyrosequencing system, whereas the Illumina MiSeq platform was used in NYU. Certain differences in read lengths and error rates have been reported among these two sequencing platforms\(^{(49)}\). Nonetheless, comparison of these two platforms in gut microbiome samples by Allali et al.\(^{(50)}\) has demonstrated that, although there may be some differences in abundance of specific taxa, similar microbiome compositional profiles were still obtained, and similar biological conclusions could therefore be drawn across both platforms. In addition, we maintained a similar system of sequence data processing using the QIIME pipeline across both of our studies, to ensure consistency in analysis.

Several potential limitations also need to be considered. Measurement error is an inherent limitation in self-reported dietary assessment. Given that the NCI and NYU studies were independent investigations conducted during different time periods, dietary questionnaires utilised differed between studies, which probably contributed to noted differences in quantity of fibre intake in the two cohorts. For this reason, we used study-specific quartile trends of higher fibre intake to evaluate relationships with global microbial diversity and specific taxon abundance. Subjects in both study cohorts were mostly white, and thus our findings may not be generalisable to more racially diverse populations. The cross-sectional design of both studies limits assessment of the temporality of the diet–microbiota relationship. Furthermore, we lacked data in our study cohorts regarding faecal metabolites. Nonetheless, strengths of this study include the relatively large sample size, excellent quality control of microbiome assays in both studies and adjustment for potential confounders. Furthermore, our study hypothesis was tested in two independent study populations, and our meta-analysis findings identified similar taxon abundance associations across both populations.

In summary, we found that fibre intake was associated with gut microbiota composition in generally healthy adults. Fibre from specific food sources may also yield differential effects on gut microbiota. In our analysis, greater fibre intake, particularly from beans, tended to be associated with greater abundance of putatively beneficial bacteria, such as *F. prausnitzii*, which has demonstrated anti-inflammatory activity. Fibre intake has generally been acknowledged as beneficial in relation to human diseases, such as cancer, and recommendations for sufficient intake have been promoted by the United States Department of Agriculture\(^{(51)}\). However, there remains a substantial gap in understanding the complex interplay between specific dietary fibre sources, gut microbiota and disease state. Consequently, further investigation is warranted to study microbiota-targeted dietary interventions in both a therapeutic and preventive disease setting. Given the mounting evidence that microbial dysbiosis may affect human health and contribute to the development of colorectal cancer, it is imperative to better elucidate the association of diet–microbiota inter-relationships and their potential impact on colorectal cancer risk. A deeper understanding of diet and the gut microbiome may lay the groundwork needed for future study of diet–microbiota-based colorectal cancer prevention strategies.
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