The effect of an incremental increase in exercise on appetite, eating behaviour and energy balance in lean men and women feeding ad libitum
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The effects of incremental exercise on appetite, energy intake (EI), expenditure (EE) and balance (EB) in lean men and women were examined. Six men (age 29·7 (SD 5·9) years, weight 75·2 (SD 15·3) kg, height 1·75 (SD 0·11) m) and six women (age 24·7 (SD 5·9) years, weight 66·7 (SD 9·10) kg, height 1·70 (SD 0·09) m) were each studied three times during a 16 d protocol, corresponding to no additional exercise (Nex), moderate-intensity exercise (Mex; 1·5–2·0 MJ/d) and high-intensity exercise (Hex; 3·0–4·0 MJ/d) regimens. Subjects were fed to EB during days 1–2, and during days 3–16 they fed ad libitum from a medium-fat diet of constant composition. Daily EE, assessed using the doubly labelled water method, was 9·2, 11·6 and 13·7 MJ/d (P<0·001; SED 0·45) for the women and 12·2, 14·0 and 16·7 MJ/d (P=0·007; SED 1·11) for the men on the Nex, Mex and Hex treatments, respectively. EI was 8·3, 8·6 and 9·9 MJ/d (P=0·118; SED 0·72) for the women and 10·6, 11·6 and 12·0 MJ/d (P=0·031; SED 0·47) for the men, respectively. On average, subjects compensated for about 30 % of the exercise-induced energy deficit. However, the degree of compensation varied considerably among individuals. The present study captured the initial compensation in EI for exercise-induced energy deficits. Total compensation would take a matter of weeks.


A low level of physical activity, typical of Western society, is deemed conducive to weight gain1–3. In addition, it is believed by some that increases in physical activity will promote weight loss4. However, individuals are unlikely to continue to lose weight over prolonged periods if they elevate daily energy expenditure (EE) by increasing physical activity (for example, Sum et al.5). It is intuitively obvious that energy intake (EI) will eventually begin to track EE, and body weight will stabilise. However, the exact manner in which changes in levels of physical activity influence feeding behaviour over periods long enough to affect energy balance (EB) is not clearly understood. There is a large body of literature on the effect of training programmes on body weight and composition in athletes5–10. Likewise, a number of important studies have examined the effects of training programmes on weight loss in obese subjects (for example, Schoeller et al.11 and Saris12). Fewer studies have examined the relationship between changes in EE and feeding behaviour in normally sedentary, non-obese subjects who do not have a pre-conceived goal of weight reduction or a training programme. The reviews of King et al. of the effects of exercise regimens on appetite and EI show that in short- to medium-term intervention studies (often no longer than 2–5 d), 19 % report an increase in EI after exercise; 65 % show no change and 16 % show a decrease13–15. Longer-term studies that measure body composition suggest some fat mass is lost but lean body mass tends to be preserved in response to exercise16. The present study was designed as one of a series using methodologies that allow comparison with previous studies6,17–27. In two previous studies we found that women compensated their EI over 7 d by 25–30 % for about zero, 1·5 and 3·0 MJ/d of mandatory, exercise-induced EE26 but men did not27. The present study extended these protocols, using the same exercise prescription, to a 14 d exercise intervention in men and women. EB was assessed with greater precision; total daily EE was estimated using doubly labelled water (instead of heart rate monitoring as in our previous studies), and EI was quantified by providing subjects with a diet of constant measurable composition as used in previous studies.

Abbreviations: EB, energy balance; EE, energy expenditure; EI, energy intake; Hex, high-intensity exercise; HRM, heart rate monitor; Mex, moderate-intensity exercise; Nex, no additional exercise.
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feeding behaviour studies(28,29). The present study was designed to continually monitor these variables, together with subjective appetite, in the same relatively sedentary men and women exposed to no, moderate or high levels of exercise-induced EE under ‘pseudo free-living’ conditions.

Materials and methods

Subject recruitment

Six men and six women were recruited by advertisement. Subjects were non-smokers, aged between 18 and 40 years, in good health (no chronic health complaints, not taking any medication, with the exception of oral contraceptives in women), not consuming any type of specialised diet, had a sedentary to moderately active lifestyle and were not highly restrained eaters. They were not informed that the true purpose of the study was to specifically assess feeding responses to physical activity. Instead, emphasis was placed on N excretion and metabolism. Subjects were asked not to monitor their weight during the course of the study. All subjects were interviewed and informed of procedures involved in the study before signing a consent form. The study was approved by the Grampian Health Ethics Committee.

Experimental design

Each subject was studied three times, using a randomised cross-over design, with no additional exercise (Nex), moderate exercise (Mex) and high exercise (Hex) treatment periods. Each experimental treatment period lasted 16 d, during which time they were resident in, but not confined to, the Human Nutrition Unit of the Rowett Research Institute. They were allowed to come and go from the unit to maintain their usual day-to-day activities. During days 1–2, subjects were fed a maintenance diet. They took part in the exercise intervention during days 3–16. Treatment order was randomised and balanced across subjects. The three treatments were:

(i) Nex, where subjects were asked to maintain their usual activity routines (control); (ii) Mex, in which subjects completed two 40 min sessions per d in order to expend 28.6 kJ/kg body weight (giving 2.0 MJ/d for a 70 kg subject); (iii) Hex, during which subjects completed three 40 min sessions per d in order to expend 57.1 kJ/kg body weight (giving 4.0 MJ/d for a 70 kg subject).

Subjects exercised primarily on a bicycle ergometer (Tunturi E850; Tunturi, Turku, Finland) although some subjects used a treadmill (Powerjog G100; Sports Engineering Ltd, Bryncoch, Mid Glamorgan, UK). Each study period was separated by at least 1 week, although this varied considerably between subjects.

The intensity at which subjects exercised was estimated individually by means of a submaximal fitness test and was scaled to the individual’s body weight. This test was used to individually calibrate heart rate monitors (HRM; POLAR sports tester; Polar Electro, Vantaa, Finland). The HRM were used to measure the energy cost of exercise during each exercise session, using the modified heart rate flex (HR-FLEX) method(30). Subjects only wore the HRM during exercise sessions to check compliance to the protocol. Average total daily EE was measured in each subject using the doubly labelled water method (see below).

The purpose of the maintenance diet on days 1–2 was to standardise energy and macronutrient intakes before each exercise intervention. The energy content of the diet was calculated at 1.6 × RMR and provided 13 % protein, 40 % fat and 47 % carbohydrate. From days 3–16, subjects were given continuous ad libitum access to a 3 d rotating menu of a similar composition and energy density (see below). Subjects also had ad libitum access to water, decaffeinated tea, fruit squash or coffee with a non-nutritive sweetener (Canderal®; Merisant UK Ltd, High Wycombe, Bucks, UK) and were given a 200 g semi-skimmed milk allowance per d. They were not allowed to drink any alcohol during the whole period. These diets have been described previously(28,29).

Subjects recorded their motivation to eat during waking hours (days 1–16; see below).

At the beginning of the study, subjects completed the three factor eating inventory (TFEI)(31) and the Dutch eating behaviour questionnaire (DEBQ)(32). The DEBQ and TFEI showed that women’s restraint was, on average, 2.9 (SD 0.6) and 7.0 (SD 4.0), respectively. Corresponding values for the men were 2.5 (SD 1.07) and 8.3 (SD 5.2). Neither group of men or women was classified as restrained eaters. It was not practicable to standardise the exercise treatments with reference to the menstrual cycle.

Measurement of baseline anthropometry and resting metabolic rate

Height and weight were measured as previously described(26). O2 consumption and CO2 production were measured using a ventilated hood system (Deltatrac II, MBM-200; Datex Instrumentarium Corporation, Helsinki, Finland) in fasting subjects during the morning. Subjects rested for at least 30 min after arriving at the Human Nutrition Unit of the Rowett Research Institute, and measurements were made at approximate thermoneutrality. RMR was calculated using the equations of Elia & Livesey(33). Skinfold thickness was measured on the right-hand side of the body, using skinfold callipers (Holtain Ltd, Crymych, Dyfed, UK), following the methods of Durnin & Womersley(34) and percentage body fat estimated using the Siri equation(35).

Formulation and preparation of the diets

The composition of each dish in terms of energy, fat, carbohydrate, protein and NSP was calculated from McCance and Widdowson’s The Composition of Foods, 5th edition and supplements(36). The ad libitum diet was formulated so that every food item on the menu comprised 40 % fat, 47 % carbohydrate and 13 % protein as a proportion of energy and contained 550 kJ/100 g wet weight of food (excluding drinks). This was done so that food intake directly paralleled EI. The food was prepared daily by the dietetic research assistant in the metabolic kitchen.

Presentation of the diets and measurement of food intake

Subjects were resident in the Human Nutrition Unit of the Rowett Research Institute for the duration of the study. Foods from the menu were available in excess from a labelled refrigerator and freezer. Food was presented to the subjects in the
Doubly labelled water technique

Subjects were dosed with doubly labelled water on the morning of day 3. A pre-dose urine sample along with two further background samples collected on the maintenance days were used for assessment of baseline (pre-dose) isotopic enrichments of the subject’s body water pools. The dose levels were: 0.15 g/kg body weight of a 99.9% 2H2O–H2O mixture and 1.5 g/kg body weight of a 10.0% H2 18O–H2O mixture. This was made up as a single dose that was sealed and autoclaved the day before dosing. Subjects then collected urine samples at 4, 5 and 6 h after dosing to enable plateaus to be individually measured using the ‘slope intercept’ method(37).

During days 4–16 inclusive, subjects continued to collect urine samples, which were immediately frozen at −20°C. Samples were collected at the same time each day and the exact time of collection was recorded. Urine samples were collected for a multi-point analysis.

Stable-isotope analysis was conducted using gas isotope ratio MS. Isotopic enrichment of the post-dose urine samples was analysed, relative to the original background amounts. However, in the present study the times of the doses were so close together, the true background was never reached after the first dose. Therefore to calculate pool sizes and flux rates, the original background was used. Pool sizes and flux rates were calculated as described by Coward(38).

Calibration of heart rate monitors and estimation of energy expenditure from heart rate

Total exercise EE from HR was calculated using the modified heart rate flex (HR-FLEX) method of Ceesay et al. (30), as described previously(27). Submaximal calibration procedures were conducted before each treatment period on the same morning as the RMR measurement. A regression line of HR vs. EE was established for each subject by simultaneously measuring HR, breath-by-breath V O2 and V CO2 (Vmax29 metabolic cart; Sensor Medics, Yorba Linda, CA, USA) at incremental workloads on a bicycle ergometer (Tunturi E850). EE was estimated from V O2 and V CO2 values(33,39), and the individual relationships between HR and EE established. Subjects wore HRM during exercise sessions and the energy cost of each exercise session was estimated from the recording of heart rate.

Data derived from the calibration procedure were also used to predict each subject’s maximum O2 uptake (predicted V O2max), by extrapolation of the regression of V O2 against HR to the subject’s calculated maximum heart rate of 220 – age. The V O2 which coincides with maximal HR was assumed to be the maximum O2 uptake (V O2max) and was expressed in ml per min and kg body weight(40).

Psychometric assessment of hunger and appetite

Throughout each measurement day (between 09.00 and 21.00 hours) subjects rated hourly motivation to eat and mood using an electronic appetite rating system, based on visual analogue scales as described previously(26).

Statistical analysis

EE and heart rate were analysed by ANOVA with exercise treatment and day as factors and subject and treatment order as blocking factors. In addition, pre- and post-treatment RMR was analysed by ANOVA with exercise treatment as a factor and subject and treatment order as blocking factors. Males and females were analysed separately, and in combination by including a term for sex in the between-subject stratum of ANOVA of the combined data.

Food, energy and nutrient intake were analysed by ANOVA with exercise treatment and day as factors and subject and treatment order as blocking factors. Changes in body weight from day 3 to day 17 were analysed by ANOVA to test for treatment effects. For each treatment, t tests were used to test for significant changes in weight (relative to zero) over the period.

Changes in EI, expenditure and balance were also summarised by simple linear regression calculating the slope, P value and t value, over time (d), as men and women separately and combined. This process necessarily assumed that total daily EE was constant on a daily basis, since the doubly labelled water technique provides only an average daily value from the 14 d measurement period.

The hourly visual analogue ratings were analysed using ANOVA by calculating a mean rating for each 24 h period with diet and day as a factor and subject and treatment order as a blocking factor.

All analysis was performed using the GENSTAT 5 statistical program (Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothampstead Experimental Station, Harpenden, Herts, UK).

Results

Subject characteristics, as measured at baseline, are presented in Table 1.

Energy balance

Average daily EE (estimated using doubly labelled water) increased across treatments for both the women (P<0.001) and men (P=0.001). For women the mean energy cost of exercise (inclusive of basal expenditure, as assessed by HRM) was 2.0 and 3.8 MJ/d on the Mex and Hex treatments respectively; for men it was 2.8 and 4.9 MJ/d, respectively.
Mean heart rate, averaged over all exercise sessions, was significantly elevated by the exercise treatments, giving values of 136 and 149 beats per min for the women ($P=0.015$; SED 3.8) and 126 and 141 beats per min for the men, on the Nex and Hex treatments respectively ($P=0.004$; SED 3.0).

Table 2 summarises mean daily food, energy and macronutrient intakes, EE and RMR, and EI for the six men and women on each treatment, for days 3–16. Non-exercise EE (calculated from total EE – exercise EE) was not affected by the exercise intervention (Table 2); consequently total daily EE, both absolute and as a multiple of RMR, increased with increasing exercise.

There was no significant treatment effect on RMR, nor any difference within each treatment between days 3 and 16, either expressed in absolute terms (kJ/d) or as a proportion of fat-free mass (kJ/kg fat-free mass per d).

Average daily EI did not change significantly as exercise increased in the women ($P=0.118$) but it did in the men ($P=0.031$; SED 0.47).

EI, regressed over the 14 d of each exercise treatment for men (Fig. 1 (a)) and women (Fig. 1 (b)) separately or combined, showed no evidence of a change over time. Mean slopes (combined across sexes) were $0.07 (t=-2.18; P=0.08), -0.06 (t=-0.64; P=0.55)$ and $0.05 (t=0.83; P=0.44)$ on the Nex, Mex and Hex treatments, respectively.

Macronutrient intakes followed a similar non-significant pattern to EI for the women and a similar significant trend in the men, since the diets were of a fixed composition.

Table 1. Subject characteristics at baseline (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n = 6)</th>
<th>Men (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>9.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR (MJ/d)</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean daily EB, measured from EI minus EE, was significantly affected by treatment. Since intake did not change over time (see above) and expenditure was averaged over 14 d there were no treatment-day effects on EB. Cumulative EB was, on average $-12.6$, $-42.0$ and $-53.2$ MJ (SED 19.3) for the women and $-22.4$, $-33.6$ and $-65.8$ MJ (SED 13.2) for the men, on the Nex, Mex and Hex treatments respectively. There were no sex × treatment interactions. Cumulative EB was significantly different from zero on the Hex treatments for the women ($P=0.007$; $t=-4.34$) and men ($P=0.023$; $t=3.23$); in addition the women’s Mex treatment was significantly different from zero ($-42.0$ MJ; $P=0.014$; $t=-3.68$). EB was not significantly different from zero on the Nex treatment for either women or men.

Body weight

There were no significant differences in body weight (day 17 minus day 3) between the Nex, Mex and Hex treatments of the study. None of the changes in body weight were significantly different from zero.

Aerobic fitness

There was a significant treatment effect on predicted $V_{O2max}$ (ml $O_2$/kg per min) in the women ($P=0.029$; SED 2.6). Predicted maximal aerobic power increased from 30.00 (Nex) to 38.67 ml $O_2$/kg per min on the Hex treatment. For the men the increase was not significant, going from 40.70 to 46.56 ($P=0.072$).

Body composition

There was no significant change in fat-free mass, fat mass or percentage body fat across the three treatments for the women or the men.

Motivation to eat and mood

There were no significant treatment effects for hunger, fullness, desire to eat, prospective consumption, thirst or preoccupation with thoughts of food for the women. Similarly, the men only rated thirst as being significantly elevated during the Mex treatment ($P=0.017$; SED 4), with average scores of 30, 43 and 37 on the Nex, Mex and Hex treatments respectively.

Table 2. Mean daily energy intake, expenditure, and their components together with energy balance for women and men during the intervention period of three separate treatments: no exercise (Nex), moderate level of exercise (Mex) and high level of exercise (Hex)* (Mean values and standard errors of difference)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n = 6)</th>
<th>Men (n = 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nex</td>
<td>Mex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total daily energy expenditure (MJ/d)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise energy expenditure (MJ/d)</td>
<td>About 0.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR (MJ/d)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and drink eaten (kg/d)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake (MJ/d)</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein intake (MJ/d)</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate intake (MJ/d)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat intake (MJ/d)</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy balance (MJ/d)</td>
<td>$-0.9$</td>
<td>$-3.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight change (kg/14 d)</td>
<td>$-0.98$</td>
<td>$-0.67$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note that weight of food and drink includes non-energy drinks such as water and beverages such as tea.
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Discussion

The effect of the exercise intervention on total daily energy expenditure

As in our two previous 7 d studies, a graded increase in exercise EE markedly elevated total daily EE, resulting in a negative EB, which was roughly proportional to the energy cost of exercise. Subjects in our previous studies tended to expend more than the required prescription at the outset of the study, and daily EE declined during the course of the study by about 0.3–0.6 MJ/d on the Hex regimen and 0.3–0.4 MJ/d on the Mex regimen(26,27). It was not possible to ascertain whether this occurred in the present study since daily EE was measured as an average per subject over each 14 d treatment period. With this caveat in mind, there was little evidence that sustained increases in EE through exercise lead to any decrease in non-exercise EE as there was no significant difference in total daily EE minus the energy cost of exercise. As discussed by Westerterp, in the longer term increased EE due to the physical activity of training does not appear to be compensated by a decrease in leisure-time activity(30). Indeed, training regimens can facilitate greater levels of leisure-time EE(31).

It is important to note that the present study was conducted in relatively young, lean, sedentary adults who were obliged to exercise to a specific level and knew the EE due to exercise was being monitored. The level of EE when subjects were exercising on the Mex treatment was raised towards that measured in athletes in training; while on the Hex treatment it was elevated towards the upper range of activity level sustainable for prolonged periods(12). Subjects found the exercise regimens arduous, and while they markedly elevated their EE on both the Mex and Hex regimens, we think it unlikely that they would have been as compliant with this protocol if they had not been monitored. Indeed, few subjects continued to exercise at this level once the study was completed. Persuading the general public to increase habitual physical activity to the extent that it has a significant effect on total daily EE and physical fitness remains a distant public health challenge(1,2).

Changes in energy and nutrient intake

The average compensation of 30% of the increased energy cost of exercise in the present study is greater than the zero compensation seen in 65% of short-term studies reviewed by King et al. (14). Evidence from cross-sectional studies suggests a greater correspondence between EE and voluntary EI in habitually active subjects. The present study and our previous studies therefore appear to capture the first stages of a change in intake to match a markedly elevated EE.

What is remarkable about these data is the degree to which subjects tolerated a marked negative EB over periods of 14 d; this requires some consideration.

The study design may have limited the subjects’ ability to compensate food intake in the medium term. Examination of the dietary record data showed that the increase in EI was due to a specific increase in fluid intake on going from the Nex treatment to the Hex treatment (values not reported here). The priority given to increasing fluid intake in the short to medium term may have contributed to the lack of EI compensation. Water balance is more tightly defended than is EB(43,44).

The fixed composition of the available diet restricted food and macronutrient choice. Consumption of high-fat foods has been shown to offset exercise-induced EE(45,46). The availability of high-fat, and energy-dense, foods provides an opportunity to increase EI without increasing the weight of food consumed(47). In free-living conditions, it is possible that individuals seek out high-fat, energy-dense foods as a reward for exercising(13).

Energy balance

The average estimates of EB using EI – EE were apparently confirmed by changes in body weight in the men but not the women. It is noteworthy that fairly large and significant changes in fitness only occurred in the women. During weight loss there is a tendency for lean body mass to be preserved if weight is lost during exercise, relative to when weight is lost without exercise(16). In the present study the changes in body weight, which did not exceed 1 kg in the women and 1.2 kg in the men, on average, on any of the treatments, were within the measurement error of body composition techniques used to assess change in fat and fat-free mass(48–50). Forbes observes from an analysis of fourteen studies that it is within this range of small change in body weight during exercise regimens that ‘...individuals whose
weight did not change usually would be expected to have a modest increase in lean body mass, and thus a comparable loss of body fat in response to exercise\(^{30,39}\). Such changes in body composition in the women in the present study may have confounded estimates of EB from weight per se. The nature of this confound would have been that a negative EB may have occurred that was not attended by a corresponding significant loss of body weight in the women. This is also supported by a recent analysis of several studies by M Elia (personal communication). The reason this effect is likely to have occurred in the women and not the men is that the women were less fit than the men at the outset of the study and so a similar exercise prescription per kg body weight would have presented a greater training challenge to the women.

Advantages and limitations of the present study

Specific advantages of the present study were that EI, EE, and body weight were independently assessed as precisely as current techniques allow, and that the energy cost of exercise was also quantified, as were subjective indices of motivation to eat and mood. However, the sample size was limited to six lean men and six lean women and the duration of the study was limited to the reasonable time for assessment of EE on each treatment. The small sample size may be a particular issue in relation to the generalisability of the data. Other subjects may behave differently. There are considerable limitations in predicting EE from HR\(^{30,39}\) and aerobic power from submaximal fitness tests\(^{40}\). The use of the relationship between HR and \(V_{O2}\) to predict exercise EE would appear justified under the conditions of the present study because subjects were assessed by HRM under the same conditions (i.e. cycling) used to calibrate the HRM.

There are also a number of assumptions inherent in using the relationship between heart rate and \(V_{O2}\) to predict \(V_{O2,max}\). These tests assume consistent mechanical efficiency of exercise\(^{39}\). Mechanical efficiency on a cycle ergometer can show a 4–5% variation\(^{39}\). It may take several days for subjects to become maximally efficient on the cycle ergometer, and apparent, small rises in predicted \(V_{O2,max}\) may well reflect a combination of increased fitness and increased mechanical efficiency on the ergometer.

Measurements of isotope dilution spaces were not repeated at the end of each exercise treatment period. Any changes in body composition that may have occurred therefore could not be evaluated.

Conclusions

The present study captured the first stages of compensation of EI for a marked graded elevation of exercise-induced EE. Compensation was on average weak (about 30%). Since short-term studies generally suggest no compensation and cross-sectional studies suggest greater intakes in active v. sedentary individuals, we conclude that EI only begins to track EE over the course of 1–2 weeks in lean men and women. The increased fluid intake in response to exercise suggests to us that part of the apparent delay in compensation of EI for elevations of EE could result from the necessity to defend water balance in preference to EB. Some subjects appeared to be less compliant with the \textit{ad libitum} diet than were others. When these five subjects were excluded, EI increased by about 56% of the energy cost of exercise across treatments. The extent of compensation remained highly variable. Studies are needed with larger numbers of subjects to fully elucidate the basis for inter-individual variability in compensation of EI for exercise-induced energy deficits.
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