Effect of inulin on the human gut microbiota: stimulation of *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*
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Prebiotics are food ingredients that improve health by modulating the colonic microbiota. The bifidogenic effect of the prebiotic inulin is well established; however, it remains unclear which species of *Bifidobacterium* are stimulated in *vivo* and whether bacterial groups other than lactic acid bacteria are affected by inulin consumption. Changes in the faecal microbiota composition were examined by real-time PCR in twelve human volunteers after ingestion of inulin (10 g/d) for a 16-d period in comparison with a control period without any supplement intake. The prevalence of most bacterial groups examined did not change after inulin intake, although the low G + C % Gram-positive species *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* exhibited a significant increase (10·3 % for control period v. 14·5 % during inulin intake, *P*=0·019). The composition of the genus *Bifidobacterium* was studied in four of the volunteers by clone library analysis. Between three and five *Bifidobacterium* spp. were found in each volunteer. *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* and *Bifidobacterium longum* were present in all volunteers, and *Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum*, *Bifidobacterium animals*, *Bifidobacterium bifidum* and *Bifidobacterium dentium* were also detected. Real-time PCR was employed to quantify the four most prevalent *Bifidobacterium* spp., *B. adolescentis*, *B. longum*, *B. pseudocatenulatum* and *B. bifidum*, in ten volunteers carrying detectable levels of bifidobacteria. *B. adolescentis* showed the strongest response to inulin consumption, increasing from 0·89 to 3·9 % of the total microbiota (*P*=0·001). *B. bifidum* was increased from 0·22 to 0·63 % (*P*<0·001) for the five volunteers for whom this species was present.

**Prebiotic: Inulin: Microbiota: Bifidobacterium**

The microbial community resident in the human colon is a highly complex consortium of many different bacterial species. The application of molecular tools targeting the 16S rRNA gene has revealed that the numerically dominant groups are low G + C % Gram-positive bacteria and Gram-negative *Bacteroidetes*; however, many phylotypes remain uncultured and consequently poorly characterised(1). The microbiota plays an important role in host health through various mechanisms, such as protection against pathogenic bacteria and provision of nutrients, and its composition can be modulated by dietary means, which can lead to either more health-promoting or more detrimental consequences for the host(1). One way of modulating the gut microbiota is through the consumption of prebiotics, non-digestible food ingredients that pass through the upper gut and are selectively fermented by colonic bacteria. This leads to specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gut microbiota that confers benefits upon host well-being and health(2,3). The dietary fructan inulin and its breakdown product fructo-oligosaccharide are particularly well-studied prebiotics, and evidence supporting their health-promoting effects, mostly in animal models, is accumulating rapidly(3,4). A direct consequence of inulin ingestion is stimulation of lactic acid bacteria (lactobacilli and bifidobacteria) within the gut microbiota(2). While the bifidogenic effect of inulin is well demonstrated, it is less clear whether this is a characteristic of the whole genus, or whether certain *Bifidobacterium* spp. are selectively stimulated. Several *Bifidobacterium* spp. are commonly found in the adult human colon(5–8). The degradation of fructo-oligosaccharides seems to be widespread among bifidobacterial strains in pure culture, while fewer strains are able to utilise inulin(9). In *vivo*, however, cross-feeding of fructo-oligosaccharides and fructose from primary inulin degraders might lead to a stimulation of other bifidobacteria(9).

Possible effects of inulin on other members of the gut microbiota are less well studied; however, it is increasingly recognised that prebiotics are likely to lead to further microbial changes other than bifidogenesis(10). For example, an increase in the concentration of butyrate (butyrogenic effect) has been found in animal models(10); however, lactic acid bacteria do not produce butyrate as a fermentation product. This butyrogenic effect could be due to several mechanisms. Several butyrate-producing bacteria can utilise inulin *in vitro*(11,12) and are therefore likely to be stimulated directly in the gut. Metabolic
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cross-feeding of the inulin breakdown product fructose and the fermentation product lactate from bifidobacteria to butyrate producers has been demonstrated in co-culture experiments\(^{(13,14)}\), and conversion of lactate into butyrate and propionate has been shown in fermentor studies\(^{(15)}\). A decrease in pH due to the increased formation of fermentation acids could also lead to changes in the microbiota, as demonstrated in vitro\(^{(16)}\). It is likely that all these mechanisms contribute to the butyrogenic effect of inulin in vivo, and they may also influence each other, as was demonstrated for the interdependence of pH, lactate production and lactate utilisation in vitro\(^{(17)}\).

Despite the composition of the human gut microbiota being quite similar between healthy individuals on a broad scale, there are individual differences at a species and strain level\(^{(18)}\). Consequently, metabolic capabilities of the microbiota might vary between people, as has been shown for the conversion of lactate to either butyrate or propionate in vitro\(^{(15)}\). The level of stimulation of bifidobacteria after ingestion of inulin as determined in faecal samples also shows a big variation between volunteers\(^{(2,19)}\), as do the baseline levels of this bacterial group. Numbers vary by several logs between individuals and in some people they are undetectable\(^{(19,20)}\). Therefore, other bacterial groups might replace bifidobacteria as the main consumers of inulin in those individuals.

The aim of the present study was to investigate changes in the major groups of the human gut microbiota in response to the intake of 10 g/d inulin over a 16-d period to improve the understanding of the effects of inulin on the gut community as a whole. In addition, the composition of the genus Bifidobacterium was investigated to establish which species were stimulated by inulin in vivo.

### Experimental methods

#### Study design

The present study was designed to assess the influence of cabbage-processing methods and prebiotic ingestion on glucosinolate breakdown in human volunteers and the full details, including volunteer designations (A–L), have been published previously\(^{(19)}\). Briefly, twelve healthy adult volunteers were randomly split into two groups and either consumed 5 g inulin–oligosaccharide (Beneo\(^{TM}\); DKSH/Orafti Great Britain Ltd, Kent, UK) twice daily for 21 d (inulin period) or did not consume a supplement for 21 d (control period) in a balanced crossover study design. Faecal samples were taken at the outset of the present study and at day 16 of both periods. DNA was extracted from the faecal samples as described before\(^{(19)}\). The present study was approved by the NHS Trust Grampian Research Ethics Committee.

#### Faecal fermentation product and pH analysis

For fermentation product analysis, approximately 0.5 g of faeces were mixed with 1.5 ml of sterile demineralised water and centrifuged at 13 000 g for 10 min. One millilitre of the supernatant was analysed by GC following conversion to \(\tau\)-butyldimethylsilyl derivatives\(^{(21)}\). The lower limit of reliable detection of each product was taken as 0.2 mM. For the determination of faecal pH, approximately 0.5 g of faeces was centrifuged for 10 min at 17 000 g and the pH was measured in the supernatant with a microelectrode.

### Quantitative real-time PCR

Primers for quantification of specific bacterial groups and the butyryl-CoA CoA-transferase gene are given in Table 1. Primers designed in the present study for Roseburia spp. and Eubacterium hallii were initially selected based on a sequence alignment of twenty-nine clostridial cluster XIVa (Erec) bacteria, two cluster IV and three cluster XVI strains. The specificity of the primers was checked with the Probe Match function of the Ribosome Database Project II\(^{(22)}\). Primer and probe sequences taken from the literature were checked with Probe Match and sequence alignments and modified accordingly. Group-specific primer sets were validated by testing their amplification of either genomic DNA or the amplified 16S rRNA gene of a panel of fifteen cluster XIVa, seven cluster IV, one cluster XVI, three Bacteroides strains and Bifidobacterium adolescentis as shown in Table 2, using the real-time PCR conditions described in the next paragraph. Primers for clostridial cluster XIVa, E. hallii (Ehal), clostralid cluster IV (Clep), Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (Fprau) and Bacteroides spp. (Bact) amplified all the strains in their target groups and none of the strains in other groups. Two different primer sets for Roseburia spp./Eubacterium rectale were used, as we could not identify any of the 16S rRNA gene that was specific for all known species of the Roseburial/E. rectale cluster. Primer set Rrec1 targets the same region as fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) probe Rrec584\(^{(23)}\), and did not amplify Roseburia inulinivorans (Table 2), while primer set Rrec2 amplified all known Roseburia spp., E. rectale and Butyribrio fibrisolvens 16/4 and also led to low-level amplification of isolate A2-232 (Table 2). The primer set used for the amplification of cluster IV ruminococci (Rum) also amplified Eubacterium siraeum (Table 2). It should be noted that for primer sets Rrec2 and Rum, the group-specific primer was used together with a primer detecting a wider range of bacteria (i.e. clostridial cluster XIVa and IV, respectively); however, this did not seem to compromise the specificity or robustness of the assays. Bifidobacterium spp.-specific PCR conditions were validated with 16S rRNA gene ampliﬁcations of two strains of Bifidobacterium adolescentes (DSM 20083\(^T\); L2-32\(^{13}\)), two strains of Bifidobacterium longum (DSM 20 219\(^T\), DSM 20 088), Bifidobacterium pseudocatenulatum DSM 20438\(^T\), Bifidobacterium bifidum DSM 20 456\(^T\), Bifidobacterium angulatum DSM 20 098\(^T\) and Bifidobacterium breve DSM 20 213\(^T\). For B. adolescentes, one of the primers used was speciﬁc for all bifidobacteria, as clone library analysis revealed that some B. adolescentes clones would not be recognised by species-speciﬁc primer Bi-ADO1\(^{15}\).

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of faecal DNA was performed as described previously\(^{(19)}\) with the following modifications: all samples and standards were examined in duplicate per PCR run. The annealing temperature was 60 °C for all primer sets apart from Erec (55 °C) and CoAT (53 °C). For primer sets leading to amplicons over 150 bp, a 30-s extension step at 72 °C was included. For CoA-transferase primers, data acquisition was performed at this step as described previously\(^{(24)}\). For B. bifidum-speciﬁc primers, data acquisition was also performed at 72 °C, as baseline ﬂuorescence was high at 60 °C presumably due to hairpin formation. Ampliﬁed 16S rRNA genes from the following bacteria served as standard templates: Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron DSM 2079\(^T\) for universal primers and Bacteroides spp. primers; Roseburia...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target group (abbreviation)</th>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>Primer sequence</th>
<th>Amplicon*</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All eubacteria</td>
<td>UniF</td>
<td>GTGSTGACAYGYYGTCGTCA</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>Fuller et al. (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UniR</td>
<td>ACGTCTCCMCNCTTCTTC</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Fuller et al. (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides spp. (Bact)</td>
<td>Bac303F</td>
<td>GAAGGTTCCCACATTG</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>Liu et al. (49), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bfr-Fmrrev</td>
<td>CGKACTTGGCTGCTCCAG</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rintilla et al. (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridial cluster XIVa (Erec)</td>
<td>Erec482F</td>
<td>CCGTACCTGACTAAGAGC</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>Rintilla et al. (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburia spp. and E. rectale (Rrec1)</td>
<td>Rrec630mR</td>
<td>GCCGTCGCACTCTACTGAC</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>The present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Erec870R‡</td>
<td>AGTTTYATTCCTGGAACG</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>Rintilla et al. (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. hallii (Ehal)</td>
<td>EhalF</td>
<td>GGATATGCTGGCAAGTAGC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hold et al. (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EhalR</td>
<td>GACGCGGAAGCTTACGG</td>
<td></td>
<td>The present study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridial cluster IV (Clep)</td>
<td>Clep866mF</td>
<td>TTAAAACATATAATGATCCTACCTGG</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>Lay et al. (49), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clep1240mR</td>
<td>ACCTTCCCCTGTGTTCAAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sghir et al. (50), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii (Fp Mauri)</td>
<td>FPR-2F</td>
<td>GGAGGAAAGATGCTGCG</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>Wang et al. (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster IV Ruminococcus spp. (Rum)</td>
<td>Rfib730F</td>
<td>GCGGGYTCGTGCTTT</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>Suau et al. (52), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clep866mR§</td>
<td>CCAAGTTGATWACTTATTGTGTTA</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>Harmens et al. (53), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyryl-CoA CoA-transferase gene (CoAT)</td>
<td>BCaATcrF</td>
<td>GCIAAGATTTCACTGGAATWSITGCGAYATG</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>Lay et al. (49), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium adolescentis (Bad)</td>
<td>Bit184F</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGGTGTAAATGCGCGGAT</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium longum (Bion)</td>
<td>BiADO-2</td>
<td>CGAAGGGTCTGCCAGT</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Bartsch et al. (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BionF</td>
<td>CAGTTGATCAGGTCTT</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>Matsuki et al. (54), modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium catenulatum group (Bcat)</td>
<td>BiCATg-1</td>
<td>CGGATGCTCCGACTCTT</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>Malinen et al. (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BiCATg-2</td>
<td>CGAAAGTCTGCTCAGAT</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>Matsuki et al. (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium bifidum (Bbif)</td>
<td>BiBIF-1</td>
<td>CCACATGATCGCATGATTTG</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>Matsuki et al. (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BiBIF-2</td>
<td>CGAAGGCTTCCTCAGAA</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>Matsuki et al. (54)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Product size (bp) is based on Roseburia hominis A2-183 for universal primers and on the bacterial strains used as standards for specific primers.
† Primers Rrec630F and RrecRi630F are used together at 250 nM each.
‡ Primer is specific for cluster XIVa.
§ Primer is specific for cluster IV.
‖ Primer is specific for bifidobacteria.
hominis A2-183 (DSM 16839T) for universal, cluster XIVa and Roseburia spp. primers; E. hallii L2-7 (DSM 17630) for E. hallii primers; F. prausnitzii A2-165 (DSM 17677) for cluster IV and F. prausnitzii primers; Ruminococcus flavefaciens N17(25) for cluster IV Ruminococcus spp. primers and B. adolescentis DSM 20083T, B. longum DSM 20219T, B. pseudocatenulatum DSM 20438T and B. bifidum DSM 20456 for Bifidobacterium spp.-specific assays. The percentage of 16S rRNA gene copy number of a specific group or species relative to gene copy numbers obtained with the universal primers was determined using the efficiency-corrected ΔCt method(26). At least three independent standard curves were used to calculate the PCR efficiency $E = 10^{-1/slope}$. Quantities for bacterial groups were calculated from mean Ct values of two independent PCR runs using the following formula: $E \times \Delta$Ct. As the two independent PCR runs led to highly reproducible results (CV of 10% or less), Bifidobacterium spp.-specific quantities were determined from single assays. The normalised value was obtained by dividing specific group quantities through universal quantities, which were multiplied by 100 to obtain the percentage of specific gene of universal gene copies. For E. hallii, CoA-transferase primers and Bifidobacterium spp., 5 ng per reaction was amplified, and for all other primer sets, 0.5 ng per reaction was amplified. Values for E. hallii, CoA-transferase and Bifidobacterium spp. were corrected to account for the different dilution of template DNA.

Clone library construction and phylogenetic analysis

For the investigation of which bifidobacterial species were present, four volunteers, the two with the highest increase in Bifidobacterium spp. upon inulin consumption (volunteers I and L, both from group 2, treatment order control, inulin) and two volunteers with an intermediate increase (volunteers A and H, one from each group) were selected for clone library analysis. Faecal DNA was amplified with primers Bif164F(27) (GGGTGGTAATGCCGGATG) and g-Bifid-R(28) (GGTGTT-ACTCCTCGGATGATCTCA) using BioTaq DNA polymerase (Bioline Ltd, London, UK). The amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min, followed by twenty cycles of denaturation (30 s, 94°C), annealing (30 s, 55°C) and extension (30 s, 72°C) and a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified with a PCR clean-up kit (QIAquick; Qiagen Ltd, Crawley, UK or Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System; Promega, Southampton, UK), cloned into vector pGEM®-T Easy (Promega) and transformed into XL1-Blue MRF® Supercompetent cells (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Randomly chosen clones were amplified using vector primers and sequenced with primer g-Bifid-R on a capillary sequencer (CEQ8000 Genetic Analyser; Beckman Coulter, High Wycombe, UK).

Sequence analysis was performed on the in-house RRI/BioSS Beowulf cluster, running openMosix (http://bioinformatics.rri.sari.ac.uk). The sequences were base called with Phred(29,30),

### Table 2. Validation of 16S rRNA primers for real-time PCR analysis of gut microbiota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial strain (reference)</th>
<th>Erec</th>
<th>Rrec1</th>
<th>Rrec2</th>
<th>Ethal</th>
<th>Clep</th>
<th>Fprau</th>
<th>Rum</th>
<th>Bact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clostridial cluster XIVa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium rectale A1-86(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburia faecis M72T (DSM 16840T)(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburia hominis A2-183 (DSM 16839T)(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburia intestinalis L1-82 (DSM 14610T)(12,42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roseburia inulinivorans A2-194 (DSM 16841T)(12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyribrio fibrisolvens 16/4(56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate A2-232(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerostipes caecae L1-82 (DSM 14662T)(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coprococcus sp. L2-50(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium hallii L2-7 (DSM 17630)(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium hallii SM61(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate SSC/2(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate GM2/1(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate M62(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus obeum A2-162(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clostridial cluster IV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecalibacterium prausnitzii A2-165 (DSM 17677)(11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. prausnitzii L2-6(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. prausnitzii M21(55)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium siraeum 70/3(58)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus albus SY3(59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus bromii L2-63(57)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus flavefaciens N17(25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium cylindroideum T2-87(56,57)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides ovatus V975(56)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron VPI-5482 (DSM 2079)(61)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides vulgatus (DSM 1447)(61)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium adolescentis (DSM 20083)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bact, Bacteroides spp.; Clep, clostridial cluster IV; Ethal, Eubacterium hallii; Erec, clostridial cluster XIVa; Fprau, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii; Rrec, Roseburia/ E. rectae group; Rum, cluster IV Ruminococcus spp.

† Amplification approximately 100-fold lower than that for positive strains.

* +, Real-time PCR quantification using standard curves, as described by Fuller et al.16 of 1·5 ng genomic DNA or 107 16S rRNA copies of the respective strain resulted in over 105 or 106 gene copies, respectively; –, real-time PCR quantification resulted in less than 102 or 103 gene copies, respectively.
vector sequences trimmed off with Lucy\textsuperscript{(31)} and aligned with MUSCLE\textsuperscript{(32)} and two iterations of Clustal W\textsuperscript{(33)}. Alignments were manually corrected using Jalview\textsuperscript{(34)} and the sequences shorter than 400 nucleotides were deleted. A distance matrix was created with Phylip\textsuperscript{(35)} and operational taxonomic units at 99 % were obtained with Dotur\textsuperscript{(36)}. Any operational taxonomic units with less than four sequences were manually inspected for alignment errors and removed from the analysis if they were chimeras or of low sequence quality. The operational taxonomic units were assigned to different \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. by basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) analysis\textsuperscript{(37)}. The sequences have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers EU421962–EU422224 and EU422226–EU422945.

Statistical analysis

The percentage of bacteria and SCFA concentrations from twelve volunteers and the percentage of \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. from ten volunteers were analysed with ANOVA, with volunteer as random effect and treatment (baseline, control, inulin), group (whether inulin was given before or after the control period) and their interaction as treatment effects. The effect of inulin was assessed by means of contrasts where the baseline and the control period were compared against the inulin period. Two of the \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. were detected in only five of the ten volunteers, and in addition to the parametric ANOVA described earlier, these strains were analysed by Friedman’s non-parametric ANOVA. Results were similar for both approaches; so for consistency the ANOVA results are presented for these strains. For four volunteers, clone library data were obtained. The clone count per species was expressed as a percentage of the total clone count. When a strain was present in all four volunteers, these percentage data were analysed by ANOVA as described earlier, except that group effects were not assessed. Relationships between SCFA and bacteria were investigated using linear regression where a volunteer-specific intercept was allowed for. Data are presented as mean (SEM), where the latter is based on variation between volunteers. All analyses were performed with GenStat 10th Edition Release 10.1 (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, UK).

Results

Changes in microbiota composition, fermentation acids and pH upon inulin ingestion

It was shown previously for the samples analysed here that the proportion of bifidobacteria was significantly ($P < 0.001$) increased after inulin consumption (see Fuller et al.\textsuperscript{(19)} and Fig. 1); however, both the baseline abundance and the magnitude of the response to inulin were very different between individuals. We therefore investigated in the present study whether other bacterial groups were affected by ingestion of inulin. The real-time PCR primers for different phylogenetic groups of the faecal microbiota as well as primers against a gene involved in butyrate metabolism in the majority of known butyrate producers from the human gut\textsuperscript{(24)} were used. Across all volunteers, a significant change upon inulin consumption was only found for \textit{F. prausnitzii} ($P=0.019$, Fig. 1); however, the response to inulin showed a tendency to be dependent on treatment order ($P=0.057$). All the volunteers in group 2 (treatment order control, then inulin) showed an increase between the control and inulin periods, whereas in group 1, where inulin was given before the control period, \textit{F. prausnitzii} had a tendency to remain high during the control period (data not shown).

Faecal SCFA and lactate were also determined, but there were no statistically significant effects of inulin (Fig. 2), except in the case of lactate, which was slightly increased during the inulin supplementation ($P=0.041$). Similarly, the faecal pH did not differ significantly between treatment periods (baseline 6.96 (SEM 0.15), control 6.95 (SEM 0.13), inulin 6.91 (SEM 0.11)).

The percentage of \textit{Roseburia/E. rectale} spp. showed a significant positive correlation with butyrate concentration ($P<0.001$, linear regression, with 64 % of the variance accounted), while the proportion of the \textit{F. prausnitzii} group,

---

**Fig. 1.** Microbiota composition of faecal samples from twelve human volunteers before, (■) $n$ 12) and after 16 d of control period (■: $n$ 12) or after 16 d of inulin supplementation (□: $n$ 11) based on real-time PCR. Details on bacterial groups detected are given in Tables 1 and 2. The data for \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. (Bif) were taken from Fuller et al.\textsuperscript{(19)}. *P* values reflect the effect of inulin, obtained from comparing baseline and control periods against the inulin period using contrasts, from ANOVA with volunteer as random effect and treatment, treatment order and their interaction as fixed effects. Absence of *P* value means not significant ($P>0.10$). Error bars reflect SEM (based on variation between volunteers).
which also produces butyrate, showed a trend towards a positive correlation with butyrate levels ($P = 0.087$, linear regression, with 44 % of the variance accounted). The levels of the butyryl-CoA CoA-transferase gene significantly correlated with cluster XIVa bacteria (Erec, $P < 0.001$, linear regression, with 70 % of the variance accounted; Rrec2, $P < 0.001$, linear regression, with 59 % of the variance accounted), while no significant correlation was found for the Roseburia/E. rectale group Rrec1, F. prausnitzii or butyrylta. Butyrate concentration, however, was negatively correlated with pH ($P = 0.001$, linear regression with 60 % of the variance accounted).

Fig. 2. Main bacterial fermentation products of faecal samples from twelve human volunteers before [ ]: $n = 12$ and after 16 d of control period (●): $n = 12$ or after 16 d of inulin supplementation (■: $n = 11$). * $P$ value reflects the effect of inulin, obtained from comparing baseline and control periods against the inulin period using contrasts, from ANOVA with volunteer as random effect and treatment, treatment order and their interaction as fixed effects. Absence of $P$ value means not significant ($P > 0.10$). Error bars reflect SEM (based on variation between volunteers).

Discussion

The bifidogenic effect of the prebiotic inulin in man is well documented$^{(2,3)}$. In the present study, we used the real-time PCR to analyse the effect of inulin ingestion on a wider range of human faecal bacteria. The human gut microbiota is dominated by low G + C % Gram-positive bacteria mainly belonging to clostridial clusters XIVa and IV$^{(38)}$ and Gram-negative bacteria related to Bacteroidetes, while other members such as lactic acid bacteria and proteobacteria are present in lower numbers$^{(1)}$. The real-time PCR primers used in the present study cover the three main bacterial groups as well as several subgroups within clostridial cluster XIVa and IV and a functional gene for butyrate synthesis that is shared between different groups$^{(24)}$. The data for all volunteers showed a significant increase for the cluster IV species F. prausnitzii. There was a tendency for a group effect, which may have been caused by a residual effect of inulin supplementation, although the faecal sample from the control period was collected 16 d after inulin supplementation was stopped. Furthermore, volunteers in group 2 consumed two cabbage test meals before the inulin treatment period$^{(19)}$. Samples for microbiota analysis were taken 17 d after the test meals, however, and it is therefore unlikely that they had a significant effect on the levels of F. prausnitzii. Finally, the observed group effect may have arisen by
chance. Kleessen et al. (39) did not find a significant change in *F. prausnitzii* in human volunteers consuming bakery products containing inulin. Therefore, the effect seen in the present study will have to be confirmed in other human intervention studies to clarify whether the *F. prausnitzii* group is indeed stimulated by inulin; however, it is conceivable that this is the case, as several strains of *F. prausnitzii* have been shown to utilise inulin in pure culture (11). *F. prausnitzii* is a butyrate producer and inulin has been reported to be butyrogenic in animal models (27). An increase in butyrate production upon inulin ingestion could not, however, be detected in the present study. This was also true for other studies with similar levels of daily ingestion of inulin, and it must be recognised that SCFA changes in the upper colon may not be detected in the faeces as the majority of fermentation acids formed is taken up by the colon (46). Positive correlation between *F. prausnitzii* and butyrate levels was weak, whereas the levels of *Roseburia* *E. rectale* bacteria showed a significant positive correlation with butyrate, as also observed in a recent study with obese volunteers (41). While this group did not increase upon inulin ingestion as a mean of all volunteers, two volunteers showed a strong increase (data not shown). This could have been caused by a fluctuation in the microbiota unrelated to inulin. It is possible, however, that only a subgroup of people reacts to inulin intake by an increase in *Roseburia* *E. rectale* levels, possibly based on which strain/species of the *Roseburia* *E. rectale* group is indeed stimulated by inulin, or this increase may be due to a cross-feeding effect from primary inulin degraders, as has been shown in *vitro* for *Roseburia intestinalis* and *R. hominis* (13,14).

A significant decrease in cluster XIVa (Erec) bacteria upon inulin ingestion was found in other studies (39,43) by fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation, but individual members of this group, such as *Roseburia E. rectale* spp., were not investigated. We did not find a significant change in cluster XIVa bacteria in the present study. Interestingly, the butyryl-CoA transferase gene levels correlated significantly with cluster XIVa bacteria, but not with either the *Roseburia E. rectale* group (Rrec1), *F. prausnitzii* or butyrate levels. This indicates that cluster XIVa contains many bacteria outside the *Roseburia E. rectale* cluster carrying this pathway for butyrate formation that may be less metabolically active and thus may not contribute much to butyrate production in the colon.

It was observed that the spread within volunteers was similar to that between volunteers. This was about 1·8·% (as percentage of total bacteria) for the bifidobacteria, 3·3·% for Rrec1, 5·1·% for *F. prausnitzii* and up to 13·4·% for *Bacteroidetes*.

*Bifidobacterium*-specific clone libraries were constructed from the faecal samples of four volunteers to identify the main species present. Between three and five different *Bifidobacterium* spp. were found in each of the volunteers, similar to other studies based on multiplex PCR and PCR temperature gradient gel electrophoresis which found that a carriage of three to four species was most common in adults (5,8). The real-time PCR detection led to similar results, with the majority of volunteers carrying at least three of the four species analysed (data not shown). The bifidobacterial composition, examined by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis, was found to be stable over a 4-week period (6). We also found that most species detected were present in each of the three samples for each volunteer with both the methodological approaches used here. Volunteer L carried five different *Bifidobacterium* species that were still detected even after a drastic increase in *B. adolescentis* after inulin consumption (data not shown). Volunteer H, on the other hand, had a less stable species composition, and the stability of the microbiota might therefore differ between individuals.

![Fig. 3. Individual bifidobacterial species 16S rRNA genes as percentage of all bacterial 16S rRNA genes of faecal samples from ten human volunteers before (n = 12) and after 16 d of control period (n = 12) or after 16 d of inulin supplementation (n = 11) based on real-time PCR. *P* values reflect the effect of inulin, obtained from comparing baseline and control periods against the inulin period using contrasts, from ANOVA with volunteer as random effect and treatment, treatment order and their interaction as fixed effects. Absence of *P* value means not significant (*P* > 0·10). Error bars reflect SEM (based on variation between volunteers).](https://www.cambridge.org/core/fig/32e770c3-0579-4608-a080-fb6b4441f9e0)}
B. adolescentis and B. longum were the most prevalent species in the present study, followed by B. pseudocatenulatum and B. bifidum. These species were also found to be common in other studies utilising PCR-based methods\(^{(5,7,8)}\). B. dentium, detected in only one of the four volunteers using the clone library approach, was also found to be less prevalent in other investigations\(^{(5,7,8)}\). B. animalis, found here in three of the four volunteers, albeit at low numbers, is commonly used as a probiotic\(^{(44)}\) and may therefore originate from the consumption of probiotic food products. Alternatively, it may form part of the indigenous microbiota as recently demonstrated in elderly subjects\(^{(45)}\). Overall, the prevalence and species composition of bifidobacteria found in the present study are in good agreement with those from previous studies.

The ability of Bifidobacterium strains to grow on fructo-oligosaccharides and inulin has been investigated \textit{in vitro}\(^{(9)}\). Of the fifty-five strains tested, all could grow on fructo-oligosaccharides but only eight on inulin. The study did not include all the species found here, but for \textit{B. adolescentis} and \textit{B. bifidum} both inulin degraders and non-degraders were found. Therefore, this trait does not seem to be unique to certain \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. and rather a strain-dependent characteristic. However, as growth on fructo-oligosaccharides was demonstrated \textit{in vitro} for all strains tested, cross-feeding of oligosaccharides from the primary inulin degraders to other bifidobacteria is likely\(^{(9)}\). The present results indicate that \textit{B. adolescentis} competes best for inulin \textit{in vivo}, be it directly or by cross-feeding. This is particularly evident in volunteer L, who carried very low levels of five different \textit{Bifidobacterium} spp. both in the baseline and control sample (data not shown), but showed a major boost of \textit{B. adolescentis} after the inulin period. \textit{B. pseudocatenulatum} has also been reported to be prevalent in man\(^{(5,7,9)}\). We did detect it in six volunteers, but it did not show an increase upon inulin ingestion.

In conclusion, the present study indicates that \textit{B. adolescentis} plays a major role in the response to inulin \textit{in vivo}. Furthermore, a significant stimulation was found for \textit{F. prausnitzii}, confirming that ingestion of prebiotics is likely to lead to microbiota changes beyond the lactic acid bacteria\(^{(10)}\). Since inter-individual variation may have a major influence, studies involving larger numbers of volunteers together with more detailed analysis of the microbiota will be necessary to further define those bacteria that respond to inulin.
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