The Second Cohomology of Current Algebras of General Lie Algebras

Karl-Hermann Neeb and Friedrich Wagemann

Abstract. Let A be a unital commutative associative algebra over a field of characteristic zero, \mathfrak{k} a Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{g} a vector space, considered as a trivial module of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} := A \otimes \mathfrak{k}$. In this paper, we give a description of the cohomology space $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of easily accessible data associated with A and \mathfrak{k} . We also discuss the topological situation, where A and \mathfrak{k} are locally convex algebras.

1 Introduction

Let A be a unital commutative associative algebra over a field \mathbb{K} with $2 \in \mathbb{K}^{\times}$ and let \mathfrak{k} be a \mathbb{K} -Lie algebra. Then the tensor product $\mathfrak{g} := A \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ is a Lie algebra with respect to the bracket $[a \otimes x, a' \otimes x'] := aa' \otimes [x, x']$. Let \mathfrak{g} be a vector space, considered as a trivial \mathfrak{g} -module. The main point of the present paper is to give a description of the set $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$ of cohomology classes of \mathfrak{g} -valued 2-cocycles on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} in terms of data associated to A and \mathfrak{k} which is as explicit as possible.

We consider 3-valued 2-cochains on g as linear functions $f: \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{z}$. Such a function is a 2-*cocycle* if and only if it vanishes on the subspace $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ of 2-boundaries, which is the image of the linear map

$$\partial \colon \Lambda^3(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad x \wedge y \wedge z \mapsto [x, y] \wedge z + [y, z] \wedge x + [z, x] \wedge y.$$

In view of the Jacobi identity, $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ is contained in the subspace $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ of 2-cycles, *i.e.*, the kernel of the linear map $b_{\mathfrak{g}} \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}, x \land y \mapsto [x, y]$. The quotient space $H_2(\mathfrak{g}) := Z_2(\mathfrak{g})/B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ is the *second homology space of* \mathfrak{g} .

A 2-cocycle f is a *coboundary* if it is of the form $f(x,y) = d_g\ell(x,y) := -\ell([x,y])$ for some linear map $\ell \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{z}$. We write $B^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ for the set of 2-coboundaries and $Z^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ for the set of 2-cocycles. This means that a coboundary vanishes on $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$. Conversely, if a 2-cocycle vanishes on $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$, then there exists a linear map $\alpha \colon \operatorname{im}(b_\mathfrak{g}) = [\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}] \to \mathfrak{z}$ with $f = -b_\mathfrak{g}^*\alpha$, and any linear extension ℓ of α to all of \mathfrak{g} yields $f = d_\mathfrak{g}\ell$. This leads to the following description of the second \mathfrak{z} -valued cohomology group

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z}):=Z^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})/B^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})\cong \operatorname{Lin}(H_2(\mathfrak{g}),\mathfrak{z})\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Lin}(Z_2(\mathfrak{g}),\mathfrak{z}).$$

From this picture, it is clear that we obtain a good description of $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ if we have an accessible description of the space $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ and its subspace $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$, hence of the

Received by the editors September 12, 2005; revised April 10, 2006.

AMS subject classification: Primar: 17B56; secondary: 17B65.

Keywords: current algebra, Lie algebra cohomology, Lie algebra homology, invariant bilinear form, central extension.

[©]Canadian Mathematical Society 2008.

quotient space $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$. Our goal is a description of this space and the cocycles in terms of accessible data attached to the commutative algebra A and the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} . For a \mathbb{K} -vector space V, we identify the second exterior power $\Lambda^2(V)$, resp., the second symmetric power $S^2(V)$ with the corresponding subspaces of $V \otimes V$. Accordingly, we put

$$x \wedge y := \frac{1}{2}(x \otimes y - y \otimes x) \in \Lambda^2(V)$$
 and $x \vee y := \frac{1}{2}(x \otimes y + y \otimes x) \in S^2(V)$

and obtain $V \otimes V = \Lambda^2(V) \oplus S^2(V)$. For the commutative algebra A, we have a natural decomposition $S^2(A) \cong A \vee \mathbf{1} \oplus I_A$, where $I_A \subseteq S^2(A)$ is the kernel of the multiplication map $S^2(A) \to A$. The first step, carried out in Section 2, is to show that by identifying A with $A \vee \mathbf{1} \subseteq S^2(A)$, we obtain a linear isomorphism

$$(1.1) \ P = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \left(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \oplus \left(A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \oplus \left(I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})\right),$$

restricting to a linear isomorphism

$$(1.2) Z_2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \left(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \oplus \left(A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \oplus \left(I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})\right).$$

Now each alternating map $f: \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{z}$ is represented by three maps

(1.3)
$$f_1: \Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad f_2: A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad \text{and} \quad f_3: I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \mathfrak{z},$$

determined by $f = \sum_{j=1}^{3} f_j \circ p_j$ in the sense of (1.1). Since two cocycles define the same cohomology class if and only if they coincide on the subspace $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$, any cohomology class $[f] \in H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ is represented by the triple (f_1,f_2',f_3) , where $f_2' := f_2|_{A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{t})}$. Conversely, three linear maps f_1 , f_2 and f_3 as in (1.3) define a cocycle if and only if $f := \sum_{j=1}^{3} f_j \circ p_j$ vanishes on $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. The main result of the present paper is Theorem 4.1, which makes this condition more explicit as follows:

(a) The alternating linear map $\widetilde{f_1}$: $A \times A \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})$ defined by $\widetilde{f_1}(a, b)(x, y) := f_1(a \wedge b \otimes x \vee y)$ has values in the set $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ of invariant symmetric bilinear maps and f_1 vanishes on $T_0(A) \otimes (\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{f}')$, where

$$T_0(A) := \operatorname{span} \{ ab \wedge c + bc \wedge a + ca \wedge b - abc \wedge 1 : a, b, c \in A \}$$

and $\mathfrak{k}' := [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ denotes the commutator algebra of \mathfrak{k} .

(b) For the map $\widetilde{f_2}$: $A \to \text{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$ defined by $\widetilde{f_2}(a)(x,y) := f_2(a \vee 1 \otimes x \wedge y)$, we have

$$d_{\tilde{t}}(\widetilde{f}_{2}(a))(x, y, z) = -\widetilde{f}_{2}(a)(\partial(x \wedge y \wedge z))$$
$$= \widetilde{f}_{1}(a, 1)([x, y], z)$$

for all $a \in A, x, y, z \in \mathfrak{k}$, with the Lie algebra differential $d_{\mathfrak{k}} \colon C^2(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{z}) = \operatorname{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{z}) \to Z^3(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{z})$.

(c) f_3 vanishes on $I_A \otimes (\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}')$.

Note that these conditions imply that the two maps $f_1 \oplus f_2$ and f_3 are also cocycles, whereas f_1 and f_2 are cocycles if and only if f_1 vanishes on $(A \wedge 1) \otimes (\mathfrak{t} \vee \mathfrak{t}')$, which, in view of (b), means that $\widetilde{f_2}(A)$ vanishes on $B_2(\mathfrak{t})$, *i.e.*, $\widetilde{f_2}$ has values in the space $Z^2(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{z})$ of \mathfrak{z} -valued 2-cocycles on \mathfrak{t} . Cocycles of the form $f_1 \oplus f_2$, where f_1 and f_2 are not cocycles, are called *coupled*. All coboundaries are of the form $f = f_2$ ($f_1 = f_3 = 0$), so that the cohomology class of a coupled cocycle contains only coupled cocycles.

We show that $\mathfrak g$ possesses non-zero coupled 2-cocycles if and only if the image of the universal derivation $d_A\colon A\to\Omega^1(A)$ is non-trivial and $\mathfrak f$ possesses a symmetric invariant bilinear form κ for which the 3-cocycle $\Gamma(\kappa)(x,y,z):=\kappa([x,y],z)$ is a non-zero coboundary. The map $\Gamma\colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak f)^{\mathfrak f}\to Z^3(\mathfrak f)$ is called the *Koszul map* (see [Kos50, §11]; see also [ChE48, p. 113]). Calling an invariant symmetric bilinear form $\kappa\in\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak f)^{\mathfrak f}$ exact if $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is a coboundary, this means that $\mathfrak f$ possesses exact invariant bilinear forms κ with $\Gamma(\kappa)$ non-zero. Note that this is not the case if $\mathfrak f$ is finite-dimensional semisimple, so that there are no coupled cocycles in this case.

Our approach leads us to an exact sequence of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &\to H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3} \oplus \operatorname{Lin}(A, H^2(\mathfrak{f})) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{Lin}((\Omega^1(A), d_A(A)), (Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}, B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma})) \to \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the main result of Section 5. Here $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3}$ denotes the set of alternating bilinear forms on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}' \cong A \otimes \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}'$ of the form $f_1 + f_3$, and for two pairs (X, X') and (Y, Y') of linear spaces with $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ we write

$$Lin((X, X'), (Y, Y')) := \{ f \in Lin(X, Y) : f(X') \subset Y' \}.$$

So that we have $\operatorname{Lin}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Lin}((X,\mathbf{0}),(Y,\mathbf{0}))$, and we put $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} := \operatorname{im}(\Gamma) \subseteq Z^3(\mathfrak{f})$ and $B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} := B^3(\mathfrak{f}) \cap \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$. From the exact sequence, it follows that a crucial part of the description of $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ lies in an understanding of the spaces $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}$ and $B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}$. In an appendix, we show that the map $\gamma \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to H^3(\mathfrak{f})$ induced by the Koszul map Γ is part of an exact sequence

$$\{0\} \to H^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to H^1(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^*) \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^3(\mathfrak{f}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^*) \to H^1(\mathfrak{f},\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})),$$

which implies that for the space $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})_{\operatorname{ex}}^{\mathfrak{f}} = \ker \gamma$ of exact invariant forms we have

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}_{\operatorname{ex}} &\cong H^1(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^*)/H^2(\mathfrak{f}), \\ \operatorname{im}(\gamma) &\cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}/\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}_{\operatorname{ex}} \cong Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}/B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}. \end{split}$$

In Section 6 we give examples of non-trivial coupled 2-cocycles and in Section 7 we explain how our results can be used for the analysis of continuous cocycles if $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and A and \mathfrak{f} are locally convex spaces with continuous algebra structures. Then $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{f}$ carries the structure of a locally convex Lie algebra, and we are interested in the space $H^2_c(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{F})$ of cohomology classes of continuous 2-cocycles with values in a locally convex space \mathfrak{F} modulo those coboundaries coming from continuous linear maps $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{F}$. The main difficulty in applying the algebraic results

in the topological context with an infinite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} is the possible discontinuity of a linear map $h: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{z}$ bounding an algebraically trivial 2-cocycle.

If \mathfrak{f} is a finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebra and A a topological algebra, then the continuous second cohomology space $H^2_c(\mathfrak{g},\mathbb{K})$ has been determined in [Ma02] as $Z^1_c(A,\mathbb{K}) \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathbb{K})^{\mathfrak{f}}$, where $Z^1_c(A,\mathbb{K})$ denotes the space of continuous \mathbb{K} -valued cyclic 1-cocycles on A (see [KL82] for the algebraic case). As any exact form vanishes on a semi-simple Lie algebra, there are no coupled cocycles in this case.

The main previous contributions to the investigations of $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{f}$ and arbitrary \mathfrak{f} and A are the articles by Haddi [Ha92] and Zusmanovich [Zus94]. Both offer a description of $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of (sub- or quotient) spaces. Haddi [Ha92] uses the projection $s_2 \colon H_2(\mathfrak{g}) \to H_2((\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{f}}, \partial))$ of $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$ to the homology of the quotient complex of \mathfrak{f} -coinvariants and computes kernel and cokernel of this map. The cokernel of s_2 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}'/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}']$, and the kernel is isomorphic to

$$(A \otimes \overline{H}_2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}')) \oplus D(A, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}'), \overline{H}_2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}')$$

is the kernel of the projection $H_2(\mathfrak{k}) \to H_2(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}')$ (the subspace of *essential homology*), and $D(A, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}')$ is the subspace of $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by cycles of the form $ax \wedge y + ay \wedge x$ for x or $y \in \mathfrak{k}'$ and $a \in A$, which lies in $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{k})$ (in our notation). Furthermore he uses a non-canonical splitting to identify the homology of the coinvariants $H_2((\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{k}}, \partial))$ with $(\Omega^1(A)/d_A(A) \otimes B_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}'}) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')$, where $B_{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}'}$ is the image of $\mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}'$ in the space of \mathfrak{k} -coinvariants of symmetric 2-tensors on \mathfrak{k} . He thus obtains an exact sequence

$$0 \to A \otimes \overline{H}_2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}') \oplus D(A, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}') \to H_2(\mathfrak{g})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_2} \Omega^1(A)/d_A(A) \otimes B_{\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}'} \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}') \to \mathfrak{g}'/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'] \to 0.$$

It is instructive to compare this sequence with our exact cohomology sequence described above.

Zusmanovich [Zus94] uses as an extra datum a free presentation of $\mathfrak k$ and deduces one of $\mathfrak g$. He describes the subspace of essential homology $\overline{H}_2(\mathfrak g,\mathfrak g')$ by the Hopf formula in terms of the presentation. In this way, he identifies the different terms in the exact sequence given by the 5-term exact sequence of the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the subalgebra $\mathfrak g'\subset\mathfrak g$ (using non-canonical splittings). His description yields

$$H_2(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq (A \otimes H_2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (\Omega^1(A)/d_A(A) \otimes B(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}') \otimes I_A) \oplus (S^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}') \otimes T(A)),$$

where $B(\mathfrak{f})$ is the space of \mathfrak{f} -coinvariants in $S^2(\mathfrak{f})$ and $T(A) \subset \Lambda^2(A)$ is spanned by the elements $ab \wedge c + ca \wedge b + bc \wedge a$ for $a, b, c \in A$.

The main advantage of our approach is that it does not require any auxiliary data and provides a quite explicit description of cocycles representing the different types of cohomology classes. In particular, this direct approach leads us to the interesting new class of coupled cocycles. In subsequent work, we plan to use the methods developed in [Ne02] to study global central extensions of Lie groups *G* whose Lie algebras are of

the form $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{f}$ defined by coupled Lie algebra cocycles. For algebras of the type $A = C_c^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$, *i.e.*, compactly supported smooth functions on a manifold M, this has been carried out in [MN03, Ne04].

Notation 1.1 In the following, we write elements of $g = A \otimes f$ simply as $ax := a \otimes x$ to simplify notation. Elements of A are mostly denoted a, b, c, \ldots or a, a', a'', \ldots and elements of f are denoted x, y, z, \ldots or x, x', x'', \ldots . We write f' := [f, f] for the commutator algebra of f and observe that $g' = A \otimes f'$ is the commutator algebra of g.

We also write $C^p(\mathfrak{g}) := C^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$, $Z^p(\mathfrak{g}) := Z^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$, $B^p(\mathfrak{g}) := B^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$, and $H^p(\mathfrak{g}) := H^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ for the spaces of Lie algebra p-cochains, cocycles, coboundaries and cohomology classes with values in the trivial module \mathbb{K} . We write $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})$ for the space of \mathfrak{z} -valued symmetric bilinear maps on \mathfrak{f} and put $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}) := \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathbb{K})$. Accordingly, we write $\operatorname{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})$ for the set of \mathfrak{z} -valued alternating bilinear maps.

2 Several Approaches to the Universal Differential Module of A

In this section, we review different constructions of the universal differential module $\Omega^1(A)$. The relationship between these constructions will play a crucial role in the following.

An important object attached to the algebra A is its universal differential module $\Omega^1(A)$. This is an A-module with a derivation $d_A \colon A \to \Omega^1(A)$ which is universal in the sense that for any other A-module M and any derivation $D \colon A \to M$, there exists a unique module morphism $\alpha \colon \Omega^1(A) \to M$ of A-modules with $D = \alpha \circ d_A$. From its universal property, it is easy to derive that the universal differential module is unique up to isomorphism, but there are many realizations, looking at first sight quite different.

Let $\mu_A: A \otimes A \to A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ denote the multiplication of A. Then μ_A is an algebra morphism, so that $J_A:=\ker \mu_A$ is an ideal of the commutative algebra $A \otimes A$. From the A-module structure on $A \otimes A$ given by $a.(b \otimes c):=ab \otimes c$ we thus derive an A-module structure on the quotient space J_A/J_A^2 , which also is a (non-unital) commutative algebra. Let [x] denote the image of $x \in J_A$ in J_A/J_A^2 . Then

$$D: A \to J_A/J_A^2$$
, $a \mapsto [\mathbf{1} \otimes a - a \otimes \mathbf{1}]$

is a derivation, and it is not hard to verify that $(J_A/J_A^2, D)$ has the universal property of $(\Omega^1(A), d_A)$ (see [Bou90, Ch. III, §10.11]). We obviously have the direct decomposition $A \otimes A = (A \otimes \mathbf{1}) \oplus J_A$, where the projection onto the subspace J_A is given by

$$p: A \otimes A \rightarrow J_A$$
, $a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1$.

This implies that

$$J_A = \operatorname{span}\{a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 \colon a, b \in A\} = (A \otimes 1). \operatorname{span}\{1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 \colon b \in A\},\$$

and thus

(2.1)
$$J_A^2 = \operatorname{span}\{(a \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes b - b \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes c - c \otimes \mathbf{1}) \colon a, b, c \in A\}$$
$$= \operatorname{span}\{a \otimes bc - ab \otimes c - ac \otimes b + abc \otimes \mathbf{1} \colon a, b, c \in A\}.$$

Another way to construct $\Omega^1(A)$ is by observing that each linear map $D: A \to M$ leads to a linear map $\widetilde{D}: A \otimes A \to M, a \otimes b \mapsto aDb$, and that D is a derivation if and only if

$$\ker \widetilde{D} \supseteq \{\mathbf{1} \otimes ab - a \otimes b - b \otimes a \colon a, b \in A\},\$$

which implies that $\ker \widetilde{D}$ contains the A-submodule

$$B_1(A) := \operatorname{span}\{c \otimes ab - ca \otimes b - cb \otimes a \colon a, b, c \in A\}$$
$$= \operatorname{span}\{ab \otimes c + ac \otimes b - a \otimes bc \colon a, b, c \in A\},\$$

of $A \otimes A$. The quotient $HH_1(A) := (A \otimes A)/B_1(A)$ is called the *first Hochschild homology space of A*. From the preceding discussion, it follows that the map

$$HH_1(A) \to \Omega^1(A), \quad [a \otimes b] \mapsto ad_A(b)$$

is an isomorphism of A-modules because the map $D: A \to HH_1(A)$, $a \mapsto [\mathbf{1} \otimes a]$ is a derivation with the universal property [Lo98, Proposition 1.1.10]. The link between the description of $\Omega^1(A)$ as $HH_1(A)$ and J_A/J_A^2 is given by the commutative diagram

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{p} J_A$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

$$HH_1(A) \xrightarrow{\phi} J_A/J_A^2$$

with the isomorphism $\phi([a \otimes b]) = aD(b) = [a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1]$. Note that the commutativity of the diagram implies that

$$(2.2) J_A^2 = p(B_1(A)).$$

Let

$$T(A) := \operatorname{span} \{ ab \wedge c + bc \wedge a + ca \wedge b \in \Lambda^2(A) \colon a, b, c \in A \}$$

denote the image of the subspace $B_1(A) \subseteq A \otimes A$ under the quotient map $A \otimes A \to \Lambda^2(A)$, $a \otimes b \mapsto a \wedge b$. In view of $ad_A(b) + bd_A(a) = d_A(ab)$, the image of the subspace of symmetric tensors in $\Omega^1(A)$, which we identify with $S^2(A)$, coincides with $d_A(A)$. So equation (2.2) immediately shows that the map

$$\Lambda^2(A)/T(A) \cong (A \otimes A)/(S^2(A) + B_1(A)) \to \Omega^1(A)/d_A(A), \quad [a \wedge b] \mapsto [ad_A(b)]$$

induces a linear isomorphism. It is well known that the first cyclic homology space

$$HC_1(A) := \Omega^1(A)/d_A(A) \cong HH_1(A)/[1 \otimes A] = \Lambda^2(A)/T(A)$$

is of central importance for Lie algebra 2-cocycles on Lie algebras of the form $A \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ (see [KL82]).

Alternating bilinear maps $f: A \times A \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ for which the corresponding map $\Lambda^2(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}$ vanishes on T(A) are called *cyclic* 1-*cocycles*. This means that

$$f(a, bc) + f(b, ca) + f(c, ab) = 0$$
 for $a, b, c \in A$.

From the above it follows that the space $Z^1(A, \mathfrak{z})$ of \mathfrak{z} -valued cyclic 1-cocycles can be identified with

$$Lin(HC_1(A), \mathfrak{z}) \cong \{L \in Lin(\Omega^1(A), \mathfrak{z}) : d_A(A) \subseteq \ker L\}.$$

We define two trilinear maps:

$$T: A^3 \to \Lambda^2(A), \quad (a, b, c) \mapsto \sum_{\text{cyc}} ab \wedge c := ab \wedge c + bc \wedge a + ca \wedge b,$$

$$T_0: A^3 \to \Lambda^2(A), \quad T_0(a, b, c) := T(a, b, c) - abc \wedge 1.$$

We also put $T_0(A) := \operatorname{span}(\operatorname{im}(T_0))$.

Lemma 2.1 The map $\gamma_A : \Lambda^2(A) \to \Omega^1(A)$, $a \wedge b \mapsto ad_A(b) - bd_A(a)$ is surjective, and $\ker \gamma_A = T_0(A)$.

Proof That γ_A is surjective follows from

$$\gamma_A(a \wedge b + \mathbf{1} \wedge ab) = ad_A(b) - bd_A(a) + d_A(ab) = 2ad_A(b).$$

For the determination of the kernel of γ_A , we use the realization of $\Omega^1(A)$ as J_A/J_A^2 . In this case, $d_A(a) = [1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1]$, so that

$$\gamma_A(a \wedge b) = [a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 - b \otimes a + ba \otimes 1] = [a \otimes b - b \otimes a].$$

Therefore the kernel of γ_A is the intersection $\Lambda^2(A) \cap J_A^2$, where we consider $\Lambda^2(A)$ as the subspace of skew-symmetric tensors in $A \otimes A$.

Writing $A \otimes A$ as $\Lambda^2(A) \oplus S^2(A)$, the commutativity of the multiplication of A shows that

$$(2.3) J_A = \Lambda^2(A) \oplus I_A holds for I_A := J_A \cap S^2(A).$$

Since the flip involution is an algebra isomorphism of $A \otimes A$, we have

$$\Lambda^2(A)\Lambda^2(A) + S^2(A)S^2(A) \subset S^2(A)$$
 and $\Lambda^2(A)S^2(A) \subset \Lambda^2(A)$.

This implies that $\ker \gamma_A = \Lambda^2(A) \cap J_A^2 = I_A \cdot \Lambda^2(A)$, and that this subspace coincides with the image of J_A^2 under the projection

$$\alpha: A \otimes A \to \Lambda^2(A), \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a \wedge b = \frac{1}{2}(a \otimes b - b \otimes a).$$

Finally, with (2.1) this leads to

$$\ker \gamma_A = \alpha(J_A^2) = \operatorname{span}\{a \wedge bc - ab \wedge c - ac \wedge b + abc \wedge 1 \colon a, b, c \in A\} = T_0(A).$$

https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2008-038-6 Published online by Cambridge University Press

3 A Decomposition of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$

In this section, we turn to the identification of the space $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ of 2-coboundaries in $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ in terms of our threefold direct sum decomposition (1.2).

From the universal property of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$, we immediately obtain linear maps

$$p_+: \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f}), \quad ax \wedge by \mapsto a \wedge b \otimes x \vee y,$$

 $p_-: \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to S^2(A) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}), \quad ax \wedge by \mapsto a \vee b \otimes x \wedge y.$

We likewise have linear maps

$$\sigma_+ \colon \Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad a \wedge b \otimes x \vee y \to \frac{1}{2}(ax \wedge by + ay \wedge bx),$$

$$\sigma_- \colon S^2(A) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad a \vee b \otimes x \wedge y \to \frac{1}{2}(ax \wedge by - ay \wedge bx),$$

satisfying $p_+ \circ \sigma_+ = \mathrm{id}$, $p_- \circ \sigma_- = \mathrm{id}$, and $\sigma_+ p_+ + \sigma_- p_- = \mathrm{id}_{\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})}$. In this sense, we have $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \left(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \oplus \left(S^2(A) \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})\right)$, and the projections on the two summands are given by p_\pm .

Recall the kernel J_A of the multiplication map μ_A : $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$. The map

$$\sigma_A : A \to S^2(A), \quad a \mapsto a \vee \mathbf{1},$$

is a section of the multiplication map μ_A , so that we obtain a direct sum decomposition $S^2(A) = (A \vee 1) \oplus I_A \cong A \oplus I_A$ (see (2.3)). In view of this decomposition, we obtain a linear isomorphism

$$P = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to (\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})),$$

where the projections p_1, p_2, p_3 on the three summands are given by

$$p_1(ax \wedge by) = p_+(ax \wedge by) = a \wedge b \otimes x \vee y = \frac{1}{2}(ax \wedge by + ay \wedge bx),$$

$$p_2(ax \wedge by) = ab \otimes x \wedge y, \text{ and } p_3(ax \wedge by) = (a \vee b - ab \vee 1) \otimes x \wedge y.$$

The following lemma provides the decomposition of $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ which is a central tool in the following.

Lemma 3.1 The space $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ is adapted to the direct sum decomposition of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$P(Z_2(\mathfrak{g})) = (\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})).$$

Proof Since $b_g(ax \wedge by) = ab[x, y]$ is symmetric in a, b and alternating in x, y, its kernel contains $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{k})$. The formula for b_g also shows immediately that $I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq \ker b_g$, so that it remains to observe that

$$P(Z_2(\mathfrak{g})) \cap (A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})) = \ker b_{\mathfrak{g}} \cap (A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})) = A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})$$

because
$$b_{\mathfrak{q}}(a \vee 1 \otimes x \wedge y) = \frac{1}{2}b_{\mathfrak{q}}(ax \wedge y + x \wedge ay) = a[x, y] = ab_{\mathfrak{k}}(x \wedge y).$$

In the following, we write $\equiv \mod B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ for congruence of elements of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$ modulo $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 3.2 For $a, b, c \in A$ and $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$p_1(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) \equiv p_1(abcx \wedge [y,z]) \equiv -p_2(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) \mod B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, $(p_1 + p_2)(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

Proof From

$$\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz) = ab[x, y] \wedge cz + bc[y, z] \wedge ax + ac[z, x] \wedge by,$$

$$\partial(cx \wedge ay \wedge bz) = ac[x, y] \wedge bz + ab[y, z] \wedge cx + bc[z, x] \wedge ay,$$

$$\partial(x \wedge acy \wedge bz) = ac[x, y] \wedge bz + abc[y, z] \wedge x + b[z, x] \wedge acy,$$

$$\partial(cx \wedge y \wedge abz) = c[x, y] \wedge abz + ab[y, z] \wedge cx + abc[z, x] \wedge y,$$

$$\partial(bcx \wedge ay \wedge z) = abc[x, y] \wedge z + a[y, z] \wedge bcx + bc[z, x] \wedge ay,$$

$$\partial(abcx \wedge y \wedge z) = abc[x, y] \wedge z + [y, z] \wedge abcx + abc[z, x] \wedge y,$$

we derive

$$\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz) + \partial(cx \wedge ay \wedge bz) - \partial(x \wedge acy \wedge bz) - \partial(cx \wedge y \wedge abz)$$

$$- \partial(bcx \wedge ay \wedge z) + \partial(abcx \wedge y \wedge z)$$

$$= ab[x, y] \wedge cz + bc[y, z] \wedge ax + ac[z, x] \wedge by - b[z, x] \wedge acy$$

$$- c[x, y] \wedge abz - a[y, z] \wedge bcx - abc[y, z] \wedge x + [y, z] \wedge abcx$$

$$= 2ab \wedge c \otimes [x, y] \vee z + 2bc \wedge a \otimes [y, z] \vee x + 2ac \wedge b \otimes [z, x] \vee y$$

$$- 2abc \wedge 1 \otimes x \vee [y, z]$$

$$= 2p_1(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) - 2p_1(abcx \wedge [y, z]).$$

This proves the first congruence.

Note that for $a \in A$ and $x, y, z \in f$ we have

$$\partial(ax \wedge y \wedge z) = a[x, y] \wedge z + [y, z] \wedge ax + a[z, x] \wedge y,$$

which implies that

$$(3.1) a[x, y] \wedge z + a[z, x] \wedge y \equiv ax \wedge [y, z] \bmod B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Summing over all cyclic permutations of (x, y, z), leads to

(3.2)
$$2\sum_{\text{cyc}} a[x,y] \wedge z \equiv \sum_{\text{cyc}} ax \wedge [y,z] \mod B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

From the relation (3.1), we get

$$2p_1(abcx \wedge [y,z]) = abc[y,z] \wedge x + abcx \wedge [y,z] \equiv \sum_{\rm cyc} abc[y,z] \wedge x = \sum_{\rm cyc} abc[x,y] \wedge z.$$

In view of

$$(3.3) p_2(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) = p_2(ab[x, y] \wedge cz + bc[y, z] \wedge ax + ca[z, x] \wedge by)$$
$$= abc \otimes ([x, y] \wedge z + [y, z] \wedge x + [z, x] \wedge y)$$
$$= abc \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z),$$

relation (3.2) yields

$$\begin{split} 2p_2(\partial(ax\wedge by\wedge cz)) &= \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} abc[x,y] \wedge z - \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} abcz \wedge [x,y] \\ &\equiv \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} abc[x,y] \wedge z - 2 \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} abc[x,y] \wedge z \\ &= - \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} abc[x,y] \wedge z \equiv -2p_1(\partial(ax\wedge by\wedge cz)). \end{split}$$

In view of the preceding lemma, the projection $p_1 + p_2$ of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$ onto the subspace $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f}) \oplus A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})$ preserves $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. This also implies that id $-p_1 - p_2 = p_3$ preserves $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$, and we derive that

$$B_2(\mathfrak{g}) = B_2(\mathfrak{g}) \cap (\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f}) \oplus A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus B_2(\mathfrak{g}) \cap (I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})).$$

The following lemma provides refined information.

Lemma 3.3 (i) $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k}) + T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}' \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ and $p_1(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) = \Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k}) + T(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}'.$

- (ii) $p_2(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) = A \otimes B_2(\mathfrak{f}).$
- (iii) $I_A \otimes (\mathfrak{f} \wedge \mathfrak{f}') = p_3(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$

Proof (ii) follows immediately from formula (3.3).

(i) Recall the identifications $x \wedge y = \frac{1}{2}(x \otimes y - y \otimes x)$ and $x \vee y = \frac{1}{2}(x \otimes y + y \otimes x)$. That $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k})$ is contained in $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ follows immediately from

$$\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge z) - \partial(bx \wedge ay \wedge z)$$

$$= ab[x, y] \wedge z + b[y, z] \wedge ax + a[z, x] \wedge by - ab[x, y] \wedge z$$

$$- a[y, z] \wedge bx - b[z, x] \wedge ay$$

$$= b[y, z] \wedge ax + a[z, x] \wedge by - a[y, z] \wedge bx - b[z, x] \wedge ay$$

$$= 2b \wedge a \otimes [y, z] \vee x + 2a \wedge b \otimes [z, x] \vee y$$

$$= 2a \wedge b \otimes ([z, x] \vee y - [y, z] \vee x)$$

$$= 2a \wedge b \otimes z.(x \vee y).$$

Therefore the description of $p_1(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) = \operatorname{im}(p_1 \circ \partial)$ follows from

$$\begin{split} p_1(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) \\ &= p_1(ab[x,y] \wedge cz + bc[y,z] \wedge ax + ca[z,x] \wedge by) \\ &= ab \wedge c \otimes [x,y] \vee z + bc \wedge a \otimes [y,z] \vee x + ca \wedge b \otimes [z,x] \vee y \\ &\equiv (ab \wedge c + bc \wedge a + ca \wedge b) \otimes [x,y] \vee z \mod \Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k}) \\ &= T(a,b,c) \otimes [x,y] \vee z. \end{split}$$

In (3.3), we have seen that $p_2(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz)) = abc \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)$, and this implies that $p_2(\partial(abx \wedge y \wedge cz)) = abc \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)$, which leads to

$$\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz) - \partial(abx \wedge y \wedge cz) \in \ker p_2$$
.

In view of

$$T(a,b,c) - T(ab, \mathbf{1}, c) = T(a,b,c) - (ab \land c + c \land ab + abc \land \mathbf{1})$$
$$= T(a,b,c) - abc \land \mathbf{1} = T_0(a,b,c)$$

and Lemma 3.2, the following element is contained in $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$p_1(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge cz) - \partial(abx \wedge y \wedge cz))$$

$$\in (T(a, b, c) - T(ab, 1, c)) \otimes [x, y] \vee z + \Lambda^2(A) \otimes f.S^2(f)$$

$$\subset T_0(a, b, c) \otimes [x, y] \vee z + B_2(\mathfrak{g}),$$

and now Lemma 3.2 implies that $T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{f}' \vee \mathfrak{f} \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

(iii) First we note that

$$(3.4) p_3(\partial(ax \wedge by \wedge z)) = p_3(ab[x, y] \wedge z + b[y, z] \wedge ax + a[z, x] \wedge by)$$

$$= (ab \vee 1 - ab \vee 1) \otimes [x, y] \wedge z$$

$$+ (b \vee a - ab \vee 1) \otimes [y, z] \wedge x$$

$$+ (a \vee b - ab \vee 1) \otimes [z, x] \wedge y$$

$$= (a \vee b - ab \vee 1) \otimes ([y, z] \wedge x + [z, x] \wedge y).$$

Since p_3 preserves $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ (Lemma 3.2), this expression lies in $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. Using the same formula for all cyclic permutations of x, y, z and adding all three terms, we see that

$$2(a \lor b - ab \lor 1) \otimes \sum_{\text{cyc}} [x, y] \land z \in B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

This also implies that

$$(a \lor b - ab \lor 1) \otimes [x, y] \land z$$

= $(a \lor b - ab \lor 1) \otimes \left(\sum_{cvc} [x, y] \land z - ([y, z] \land x + [z, x] \land y) \right) \in B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$

Next we note that I_A is spanned by elements of the form $a \lor b - ab \lor 1$, because $a \lor b \mapsto a \lor b - ab \lor 1$ is the projection of $S^2(A)$ onto I_A with kernel $A \cong A \lor 1$. Therefore $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ contains $I_A \otimes \mathfrak{t}' \wedge \mathfrak{t}$. On the other hand, (3.4) shows that $p_3(B_2(\mathfrak{g}))$ is clearly contained in $I_A \otimes \mathfrak{t}' \wedge \mathfrak{t}$.

Theorem 3.4 With the linear map

$$F: A \otimes (\mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{k} \otimes \mathfrak{k}) \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad a \otimes (x \otimes y \otimes z) \mapsto (a \wedge 1 \otimes [x, y] \vee z) + a \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)$$

we get the following description of $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$B_2(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k}) + T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}' + \operatorname{im}(F) + I_A \otimes (\mathfrak{k} \wedge \mathfrak{k}').$$

Proof The description of the position of $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ given in Lemma 3.3 is already quite detailed. It shows in particular that

$$B_2(\mathfrak{g}) = (p_1 + p_2)(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus p_3(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) = (p_1 + p_2)(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus I_A \otimes (\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{f}')$$

and that $(p_1 + p_2)(B_2(\mathfrak{g}))$ contains $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k})$.

We know from the proof of Lemma 3.3(i) that, modulo the subspace $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g})$, we have

$$(p_1 + p_2)(ax \wedge by \wedge cz) \equiv T(a, b, c) \otimes [x, y] \vee z + abc \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)$$

$$= T_0(a, b, c) \otimes [x, y] \vee z + abc \wedge 1 \otimes [x, y] \vee z$$

$$+ abc \otimes \partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)$$

$$= T_0(a, b, c) \otimes [x, y] \vee z + F(abc \otimes x \otimes y \otimes z)$$

$$\subseteq T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{f}' + \operatorname{im}(F).$$

Since $T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{f}' \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ by Lemma 3.3, we also obtain the converse inclusion

$$\operatorname{im}(F) \subseteq (p_1 + p_2)(B_2(\mathfrak{g})) + T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{t} \vee \mathfrak{t}' + \Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{t}.S^2(\mathfrak{t}) \subseteq B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Now the theorem follows.

4 The Description of the 2-Cocycles

As explained in the introduction, elements of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z})$ can be identified with linear maps $f: Z_2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{z}$, vanishing on the subspace $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. We further write 2-cocycles as $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$, according to the decomposition in Lemma 3.1, where

$$f_1: \Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad f_2: A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad \text{and} \quad f_3: I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}.$$

Here f_1 corresponds to an alternating bilinear map $\widetilde{f_1}$: $A \times A \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{z})$, f_2 to a linear map

$$\widetilde{f_2}$$
: $A \to \text{Lin}(\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}), \mathfrak{z}), \quad \widetilde{f_2}(a)(x \wedge y) = \frac{1}{2}(f(ax \wedge y) - f(ay \wedge x)),$

and f_3 to a symmetric bilinear map $\widetilde{f_3}$: $I_A \to \text{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z})$. The condition that three such maps $\widetilde{f_1}$, $\widetilde{f_2}$, $\widetilde{f_3}$ combine to a 2-cocycle

$$f: \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad a \wedge a' \otimes x \vee x' + (b \vee 1) \otimes y \wedge y' + c \otimes (z \wedge z')$$

$$\mapsto \widetilde{f_1}(a, a')(x, x') + \widetilde{f_2}(b)(y, y') + \widetilde{f_3}(c)(z, z'),$$

is that f vanishes on $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. To make this condition more explicit, we define the *Koszul map*

$$\Gamma \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to Z^3(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z}), \quad \Gamma(\kappa)(x,y,z) := \kappa([x,y],z).$$

That $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is alternating follows from

$$\Gamma(\kappa)(x,z,y) = \kappa([x,z],y) = \kappa(y,[x,z]) = \kappa([y,x],z) = -\Gamma(\kappa)(x,y,z)$$

and the fact that the symmetric group S_3 is generated by the transpositions (1 2) and (2 3). That the image of Γ consists of 3-cocycles is well known [Kos50, §11], [ChE48, p. 113].

Recall that for each f-module a, the Lie algebra differential

$$d_{\mathfrak{f}} \colon C^p(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a}) \to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a})$$

is given by

$$(d_{\mathfrak{f}}\omega)(x_0,\ldots,x_p) := \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j x_j.\omega(x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x}_j,\ldots,x_p)$$
$$+ \sum_{i\leq j} (-1)^{i+j}\omega([x_i,x_j],x_0,\ldots,\widehat{x}_i,\ldots,\widehat{x}_j,\ldots,x_p),$$

where \hat{x}_i indicates omission of x_i .

For the following theorem, we observe that the Lie algebra differential

$$d_{f}: C^{2}(f, 3) = Alt^{2}(f, 3) \rightarrow Z^{3}(f, 3)$$

factors through the surjective map $\operatorname{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Lin}(Z_2(\mathfrak{f}),\mathfrak{z})$, whose kernel consists of the 2-coboundaries.

Theorem 4.1 (Description of cocycles) The function $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ as above is a 2-cocycle if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{f_1}) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{k}}$.
- (ii) $\widetilde{f}_1(T_0(A))$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}'$.
- (iii) $d_{\widetilde{\mathfrak{f}}}(\widetilde{f_2}(a)) = \Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(a, \mathbf{1}))$ for each $a \in A$.
- (iv) $\widetilde{f}_3(I_A)$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}'$.

Proof The linear map f is a 2-cocycle if and only if it vanishes on $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$. In view of Theorem 3.4, $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ is the sum of four subspaces, so that we get four conditions.

Condition (i) means that f vanishes on $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k}.S^2(\mathfrak{k})$, and condition (ii) that it vanishes on the subspace $T_0(A) \otimes \mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}'$.

That *f* vanishes on the image of *F* means that

$$\Gamma(\widetilde{f}_1(a,1))(x,y,z) = \widetilde{f}_1(a,1)([x,y],z) = -\widetilde{f}_2(a)(\partial(x \wedge y \wedge z)) = (d_{\mathfrak{t}}\widetilde{f}_2(a))(x,y,z)$$

for $a \in A$ and $x, y, z \in f$, which is (iii).

Finally, (iv) means that f vanishes on $I_A \otimes \mathfrak{t} \wedge \mathfrak{t}'$.

Corollary 4.2 $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is a cocycle if and only if $f_1 + f_2$ and f_3 are cocycles.

Corollary 4.3 A function of one of the three types $f = f_i$, i = 1, 2, 3, is a 2-cocycle if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{f}_1) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ and the induced map $A \times A \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{f}',\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is a cyclic 1-cocycle.
- (ii) $\widetilde{f}_2(A) \subseteq Z^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z}).$
- (iii) $\widetilde{f}_3(I_A)$ vanishes on $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}'$.

Proof That $f = f_i$ is a 2-cocycle is equivalent to f vanishing on $p_i(B_2(\mathfrak{g}))$, so that Lemma 3.3 leads to the stated characterizations.

Remark 4.4. A special class of cocycles are those of the form $f = f_1$, vanishing on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$. The cocycles of the form $f = f_3$ also vanish on the commutator algebra, and the sums of these two types exhaust the image of the injective pull-back map $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{z})_{1,3} \cong \operatorname{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{z})_{1,3} \to H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$, where $\operatorname{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{z})_{1,3}$ denotes the set of all alternating maps vanishing on $(A \vee \mathbf{1}) \otimes (\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}' \wedge \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}') \subseteq \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')$.

Corollary 4.5 For each cocycle $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$, there exists a decomposition $f_1 = f_1^0 + f_1^1$, where $f_1^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}') = \{0\}$, $\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{f}_1^1) \subseteq \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}}$, and $T_0(A) \subseteq \ker \widetilde{f}_1^1$.

Proof Conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 4.1 refer only to the restriction \overline{f}_1^1 of f_1 to the subspace $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes (\mathfrak{t} \vee \mathfrak{t}')$ of $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{t})$. This has the following interesting consequence. We have a short exact sequence

$$\{0\} \to \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}',\mathfrak{z}) \to \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to \text{Lin}(\mathfrak{f} \vee \mathfrak{f}',\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to \{0\},$$

where the surjectivity of the map $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{k}} \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{k}}$ follows from the fact that any symmetric bilinear extension of an element of $\operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{k} \vee \mathfrak{k}',\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{k}}$ is invariant. Any splitting of this sequence extends $\overline{f_1}^1$ to an alternating bilinear map $\widetilde{f_1}^1 \colon A \times A \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{k}}$ with $\widetilde{f_1}^1(a,b)(x,y) = \widetilde{f_1}(a,b)(x,y)$ for $a,b \in A,x \in \mathfrak{k},y \in \mathfrak{k}'$ and such that $T_0(A) \subseteq \ker \widetilde{f_1}^1$. Then $\Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}^1(a,\mathbf{1})) = \Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(a,\mathbf{1}))$ for $a \in A$, so that $f_1^1 + f_2 + f_3$ also is a cocycle by Theorem 3.1. We conclude that $f_1^0 := f_1 - f_1^1$ is a cocycle vanishing on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$. This proves the assertion.

Proposition 4.6 (Description of coboundaries) A cocycle $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is a coboundary if and only if $f_1 = f_3 = 0$ and there exists a linear map $\ell \colon A \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$ with $d_{\mathfrak{q}}\ell = f_2$, i.e., $\widetilde{f_2}(a) = d_{\mathfrak{k}}(\ell(a))$ for all $a \in A$.

Proof That f is a coboundary means that it vanishes on $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$. According to Lemma 3.1, this implies that $f_1 = f_3 = 0$. Since the bracket map $b_{\mathfrak{g}} \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is alternating in \mathfrak{t} and symmetric in A, all coboundaries are of the form $f = f_2$.

A coupled cocycle is a cocycle of the form $f_1 + f_2$ for which f_1 is not a cocycle. The following theorem characterizes the pairs (A, \mathfrak{t}) for which $A \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ possesses coupled cocycles. In Section 6 below, we shall also give concrete examples of Lie algebras f satisfying this condition.

Theorem 4.7 The Lie algebra $g = A \otimes f$ possesses coupled cocycles if and only if $d_A(A) \neq \{0\}$ and \mathfrak{t} possesses a symmetric invariant bilinear form κ for which $\Gamma(\kappa) \in$ $Z^3(\mathfrak{t})$ is a non-zero coboundary.

If this is not the case, then each cocycle $f \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g})$ is a sum

$$f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = f_1^0 + f_1^1 + f_2 + f_3$$

of four cocycles, where

- f_1^0 vanishes on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$;
- $\widetilde{f_1}^1 \in Z^1(A, \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z})^{\mathfrak{f}})$ is a cyclic 1-cocycle; $\widetilde{f_2}(A) \subseteq Z^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{z});$
- f_3 vanishes on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$.

Proof First let $f = f_1 + f_2$ be a coupled cocycle on g. Then we have $\Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(A, 1)) \neq$ $\{0\}$. Composing with a suitable linear functional $\chi: \mathfrak{F} \to \mathbb{K}$ with

$$\chi \circ \Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(A, \mathbf{1})) = \Gamma((\chi \circ f_1)^{\sim}(A, \mathbf{1})) \neq \{0\},\$$

we may without loss of generality assume that $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbb{K}$. Then there exists an $a \in A$ with $d_{\mathfrak{t}}(\widetilde{f_2}(a)) = \Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(a,1)) \neq 0$. Now $\kappa := \widetilde{f_1}(a,1) \in \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{t})^{\mathfrak{t}}$ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form for which $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is exact and non-zero. Then $a \wedge 1 \in T(A) \setminus T_0(A)$ (Theorem 4.1), so that $0 \neq d_A(a)$ in $\Omega^1(A)$ (Lemma 2.1).

If, conversely, $d_A(A) \neq \{0\}$ and κ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form on f for which $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is a non-zero coboundary, then we pick $\eta \in C^2(\mathfrak{f}) = \mathrm{Alt}^2(\mathfrak{f})$ with $d_{\dagger}\eta = \Gamma(\kappa)$. We now define linear maps

$$\widetilde{f}_1 := \gamma_A \otimes \kappa \colon \Lambda^2(A) \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}, \Omega^1(A))^{\mathfrak{f}},$$

$$\widetilde{f}_1(a \wedge b)(x, y) := \kappa(x, y) \cdot (ad_A(b) - bd_A(a)),$$

and

$$\widetilde{f_2} := -d_A \otimes \eta \colon A \to C^2(\mathfrak{f}, \Omega^1(A)), \quad \widetilde{f_2}(a)(x, y) := -\eta(x, y) \cdot d_A(a).$$

We claim that the corresponding map $f = f_1 + f_2$ is a 2-cocycle by verifying the conditions in Theorem 4.1. Condition (i) is obviously satisfied, and (ii) follows from $T_0(A) = \ker \gamma_A$ (Lemma 2.1). Further $f_3 = 0$, and (iii) follows from

$$d_{\mathfrak{t}}\widetilde{f}_{2}(a) = -(d_{\mathfrak{t}}\eta) \cdot d_{A}(a) = -\Gamma(\kappa)d_{A}(a) = \Gamma(\widetilde{f}_{1}(a,\mathbf{1})).$$

That f_1 is not a cocycle, *i.e.*, that f is coupled, means that $f_1(A \wedge 1)(\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{t}') \neq \{0\}$, which is equivalent to $d_A(A) \neq \{0\}$ and $\Gamma(\kappa) = \eta \neq 0$. This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

For the second part, we assume that either $d_A(A) \cong T_0(A)/T(A)$ vanishes, which means that $T_0(A) = T(A)$, or that for each exact invariant symmetric bilinear form κ on \mathfrak{k} we have $\Gamma(\kappa) = 0$. Then for each cocycle $f = f_1^0 + f_1^1 + f_2 + f_3$ as in Corollary 4.5, either \widetilde{f}_1^1 vanishes on T(A) (if $d_A(A)$ vanishes) or $\widetilde{f}_2(A) \subseteq Z^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$ (if for all exact forms on \mathfrak{k} the 3-cocycle $\Gamma(\kappa)$ vanishes). Both conditions imply that f_1^1 and f_2 are cocycles. Hence the assertion follows from Corollary 4.3.

Corollary 4.8 If $H^1(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}^*) = \{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ has no coupled cocycles.

Proof From the exact sequence in Proposition A.2 below, it follows that the Koszul map

$$\gamma \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to H^3(\mathfrak{f}), \kappa \mapsto [\Gamma(\kappa)]$$

is injective, and this implies that each exact invariant form vanishes.

The following proposition describes the universal cocycle for $\mathfrak g$ in terms of our threefold direct sum decomposition.

Proposition 4.9 (A universal cocycle) Let $p_{\mathfrak{t}} \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{t}) \to Z_2(\mathfrak{t})$ denote a linear projection onto $Z_2(\mathfrak{t})$. Then the linear map

$$\widetilde{f}^u := p_1 \oplus (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes p_{\mathfrak{k}}) \oplus p_3 \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$$

maps $B_2(\mathfrak{q})$ into itself, hence induces a 2-cocycle

$$f^u \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to H_2(\mathfrak{g}) = Z_2(\mathfrak{g})/B_2(\mathfrak{g}).$$

It is universal in the sense that for each space 3 the map

$$Lin(H_2(\mathfrak{g}),\mathfrak{z}) \to H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z}), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi \circ f^u$$

is a linear bijection.

Proof That \widetilde{f}^u is a linear projection onto $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ follows from Lemma 3.1. The remainder follows from the fact that $H^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z}) \to \operatorname{Lin}(Z_2(\mathfrak{g}),\mathfrak{z}), [f] \mapsto f|_{Z_2(\mathfrak{g})}$ is injective onto the set of all maps vanishing on $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$.

5 The Structure of the Second Cohomology Space

In this section, we use the results of the previous section to give a quite explicit description of the space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}) = H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K})$ in terms of data associated directly to \mathfrak{g} and A.

Lemma 5.1 Associating with each linear map $f_2: A \to Z^2(\mathfrak{f})$ the corresponding cocycle $f_2 \in Z^2(\mathfrak{g})$, we obtain, together with the natural pull-back map $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}') \to H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, an injection

$$H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3} \oplus \operatorname{Lin}(A, H^2(\mathfrak{f})) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^2(\mathfrak{g})$$

whose image consists of all classes of cocycles of the form $f_1^0 + f_2 + f_3$.

Proof The image of the pull-back map $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3} \to H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ consists of those cohomology classes represented by cocycles vanishing on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$, which are the cocycles of the form $f_1^0 + f_3$. Since the space of these cocycles intersects $B^2(\mathfrak{g})$ trivially, the space $H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3}$ injects into $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ (Remark 4.4 and Proposition 4.6).

Next we recall that the cocycles of the form $f = f_2 \colon A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}) \to \mathbb{K}$ correspond to linear maps $\widetilde{f_2} \colon A \to Z^2(\mathfrak{f})$ (which means that f_2 vanishes on $A \otimes B_2(\mathfrak{f})$), and that such a map is a coboundary if and only if $\operatorname{im}(\widetilde{f_2})(A) \subseteq B^2(\mathfrak{f})$, because this implies the existence of a linear map $\ell \colon A \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\widetilde{f_2}(a) = d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\ell(a))$ for all $a \in A$. The latter condition means that f_2 vanishes on $A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})$, so that the cohomology classes correspond to elements in

$$\operatorname{Lin}(A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})/(A \otimes B_2(\mathfrak{f})), \mathbb{K}) \cong \operatorname{Lin}(A \otimes (Z_2(\mathfrak{f})/B_2(\mathfrak{f})), \mathbb{K})$$
$$\cong \operatorname{Lin}(A \otimes H_2(\mathfrak{f}), \mathbb{K}) \cong \operatorname{Lin}(A, H^2(\mathfrak{f})).$$

■[2.2em]

Given a cocycle $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ in $Z^2(\mathfrak{g})$, we obtain the map $\Gamma \circ \widetilde{f_1} \colon \Lambda^2(A) \to Z^3(\mathfrak{f})$, whose kernel contains $T_0(A)$, so that it induces a linear map

$$f^{\flat} \colon \Omega^{1}(A) \cong \Lambda^{2}(A)/T_{0}(A) \to Z^{3}(\mathfrak{t}), \quad a \cdot d_{A}(b) - b \cdot d_{A}(a) \mapsto \Gamma(\widetilde{f}_{1}(a,b)),$$

mapping the subspace $d_A(A) \subseteq \Omega^1(A)$ into the subspace $B^3(\mathfrak{f})$ (Theorem 4.1). In view of $f^{\flat}(d_A(a)) = -\Gamma(\widetilde{f}_1(a,\mathbf{1})) = -d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\widetilde{f}_2(a))$, the range of each map $\Gamma \circ \widetilde{f}_1$ lies in the subspace $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} := \operatorname{im}(\Gamma) \subseteq Z^3(\mathfrak{f})$, and

$$f^{\flat}(d_A(A)) \subseteq B^3(\mathfrak{k})_{\Gamma} := B^3(\mathfrak{k}) \cap \operatorname{im}(\Gamma).$$

We thus obtain a map

$$\Psi \colon H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{Lin}((\Omega^1(A), d_A(A)), (Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}, B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma})), \quad [f] \mapsto \Gamma \circ \widetilde{f_1},$$

where for pairs (X, X') and (Y, Y') of linear spaces with $X' \subseteq X$ and $Y' \subseteq Y$ we write

$$Lin((X, X'), (Y, Y')) := \{ f \in Lin(X, Y) : f(X') \subseteq Y' \}.$$

Theorem 5.2 The sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} &\to H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}')_{1,3} \oplus \operatorname{Lin}\left(A, H^2(\mathfrak{f})\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{Lin}((\Omega^1(A), d_A(A)), (Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}, B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma})) \to \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

is exact.

Proof We have already seen in Lemma 5.1 that Φ is injective.

The kernel of Ψ consists of all cocycles $f=f_1+f_2+f_3$ for which $\Gamma\circ\widetilde{f_1}=0$. This is equivalent to $\widetilde{f_1}(\Lambda^2(A))$ vanishing on $\mathfrak{k}\vee\mathfrak{k}'$, which means that f_1 vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}'$, *i.e.*, $f_1=f_1^0$. We conclude that $\ker\Psi=\operatorname{im}\Phi$.

To see that Ψ is surjective, let $\alpha \in \text{Lin}((\Omega^1(A), d_A(A)), (Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}, B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}))$ and observe that there exists a linear map $f^{\flat} \colon \Omega^1(A) \to \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ with $\Gamma \circ f^{\flat} = \alpha$ and a linear map $\beta \colon d_A(A) \to C^2(\mathfrak{f})$ with $d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\beta(d_A(a))) = \alpha(d_A(a))$ for all $a \in A$. For

$$\widetilde{f_1} \colon \Lambda^2(A) \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}, \quad \widetilde{f_1}(a,b) := f^{\flat}(a \cdot d_A(b) - b \cdot d_A(a))$$

and

$$\widetilde{f}_2: A \to C^2(\mathfrak{f}), \quad a \mapsto -\beta(d_A(a)),$$

we then have

$$d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\widetilde{f_2}(a)) = -d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\beta(d_A(a))) = -\alpha(d_A(a)) = -\Gamma(f^{\flat}(d_A(a))) = \Gamma(\widetilde{f_1}(a,1)),$$

so that the corresponding maps f_1 and f_2 sum up to a 2-cocycle $f := f_1 + f_2$ satisfying $\Psi([f]) = \alpha$.

The quotient $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}/B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}$ can be identified with the image of the map

$$\gamma \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to H^3(\mathfrak{f}), \quad \kappa \mapsto [\Gamma(\kappa)],$$

discussed in the appendix below. From the exactness of the sequence in Proposition A.2, it follows that the space $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})_{\operatorname{ex}}^{\mathfrak{f}} := \ker \gamma$ of exact invariant bilinear forms satisfies $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})_{\operatorname{ex}}^{\mathfrak{f}} \cong H^1(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^*)/H^2(\mathfrak{f})$.

We also note that for a *quadratic Lie algebra*, *i.e.*, a finite-dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} with an invariant non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form κ_0 , the space out(\mathfrak{k}) := $\operatorname{der}(\mathfrak{k})/\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{k}$ of *outer derivations* satisfies

$$H^1(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}^*) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}) \cong \operatorname{der}(\mathfrak{k})/\operatorname{ad}\mathfrak{k} = \operatorname{out}(\mathfrak{k}),$$

and that the subspace $H^2(\mathfrak{k}) \subseteq H^1(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}^*)$ consists of those classes [D] of derivations D which are skew-symmetric with respect to κ_0 .

We further have $\ker \Gamma \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}')$, so that

$$B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}_{\operatorname{ex}} / \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}') \quad \text{ and } \quad Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} / \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}').$$

To obtain an explicit description of $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$, it is therefore necessary to have a good description of the space $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ of invariant quadratic forms on \mathfrak{f} and its subspace of exact forms.

Problem 5.3 Let \mathfrak{f} be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{K} -Lie algebra. We consider the space $S := \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ of invariant symmetric bilinear forms on \mathfrak{f} .

Let $\mathfrak{n} := \bigcap \{ \operatorname{rad}(\kappa) \colon \kappa \in S \}$ denote the common radical of all invariant symmetric bilinear forms on \mathfrak{k} . Fix an element $\kappa \in S$ of maximal rank. Then $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \operatorname{rad}(\kappa)$, but is there some κ for which we have equality?

In the following remark, we collect some information that is useful to determine the space $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}$.

Remark 5.4. Suppose that (\mathfrak{f}, κ_0) is a quadratic Lie algebra, *i.e.*, κ_0 is a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{f} . Then there exists for each invariant symmetric bilinear form $\kappa \in \operatorname{Sym}(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ a uniquely determined endomorphism $A_{\kappa} \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\kappa(x, y) = \kappa_0(A_{\kappa}.x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathfrak{f}$. Now the invariance of κ implies that A_{κ} is contained in the *centroid*

$$Cent(\mathfrak{f}) := \{ A \in End(\mathfrak{f}) \colon (\forall x \in \mathfrak{f}) \ [A, ad \ x] = 0 \}.$$

The centroid of \mathfrak{f} is an associative subalgebra of $\operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{f})$ on which transposition $A \mapsto A^{\top}$ with respect to κ_0 induces a linear anti-automorphism, satisfying

$$\kappa_0(A.x, y) = \kappa_0(x, A^\top.y) = \kappa_0(A^\top.y, x)$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{t}$.

It follows in particular that for $A \in \text{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})$ the invariant bilinear form $\kappa_A(x, y) := \kappa_0(A.x, y)$ is symmetric if and only if $A^{\top} = A$. This leads to a linear bijection

$$\operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})_+ := \{ A \in \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f}) \colon A^\top = A \} \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}, \quad A \mapsto \kappa_A.$$

For $A^{\top} = -A$, the invariant form κ_A is alternating, which implies that κ_A vanishes on $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f}'$, and this implies that $A(\mathfrak{f}) \subseteq (\mathfrak{f}')^{\perp} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $A(\mathfrak{f}') = \{0\}$. Conversely, any $A \in \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f}' \subseteq \ker A$ and $\operatorname{im}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies $A \circ \operatorname{ad} x = \operatorname{ad} x \circ A = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{f}$, hence is contained in the centroid. We put

$$Cent_0(\mathfrak{f}) := \{ A \in End(\mathfrak{f}) \colon \mathfrak{f}' \subseteq \ker A, \operatorname{im}(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{f}) \}$$

and observe that $\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{f}) \subseteq \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})$ is an ideal of the associative algebra $\operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})$ because $\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{f}) = \{A \in \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f}) : A|_{\mathfrak{f}'} = 0\}$ is the kernel of the restriction homomorphism $\operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f}) \to \operatorname{End}(\mathfrak{f}')$.

If $A \in Cent_0(\mathfrak{k})$, then

$$\kappa_0(A^\top.[\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}],\mathfrak{f}) = \kappa_0([\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}],A.\mathfrak{f}) \subseteq \kappa_0(\mathfrak{f}',\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{f})) = \{0\},$$

so that $A^{\top} \in \operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{k})$. Hence the ideal $\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{k})$ is invariant under transposition. We have already seen that $\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{k})$ contains all skew-symmetric elements of $\operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{k})$, so that the involution induced on the quotient algebra

$$Cent_{red}(\mathfrak{f}) := Cent(\mathfrak{f}) / Cent_0(\mathfrak{f}) \hookrightarrow End(\mathfrak{f}')$$

is trivial, which implies that this algebra is commutative.

We thus have

$$\operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})_+ \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$$
 and $\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{f})_+ := \{ A \in \operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{f}) \colon A^\top = A \} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{f}').$

Therefore $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} = \operatorname{im}(\Gamma) \cong \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f})_+ / \operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{f})_+ \cong \operatorname{Cent}_{\operatorname{red}}(\mathfrak{f})$ carries the structure of an associative commutative algebra.

Medina and Revoy [MR93, Theorem 2.3] described the structure of the associative algebra Cent(\mathfrak{f}) for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{f} whose center $Z(\mathfrak{f})$ is contained in \mathfrak{f}' . The algebra

Cent(\mathfrak{f}) has a decomposition with respect to orthogonal indecomposable idempotents e_1, \ldots, e_r with $\sum_i e_i = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{f}}$, so that \mathfrak{f} is the direct product of the ideals $\mathfrak{f}_i := e_i \mathfrak{f}$. Moreover, the algebra Cent(\mathfrak{f}_i) $\simeq e_i$ Cent($\mathfrak{f})e_i$ is a local ring, and we have

$$Cent(\mathfrak{f}) = \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^{r} Cent_{ij},$$

where $\operatorname{Cent}_{ij} := e_i \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{f}) e_j \cong \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{f}_j/\mathfrak{f}'_j, Z(\mathfrak{f}_i))$, for $i \neq j$, as linear spaces, and

$$Cent_0(\mathfrak{f}) = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r Cent_0(\mathfrak{f}_i)\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i \neq j} Cent_{ij}\right).$$

If, in addition, \mathfrak{k} carries a non-degenerate quadratic from κ_0 , then [MR93, Theorem 2.5] implies that the decomposition of \mathfrak{k} as a direct sum of ideals \mathfrak{k}_i is orthogonal and the idempotents e_i are symmetric with respect to κ_0 . We conclude in particular that $\operatorname{Cent}_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathfrak{k}) = \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{k})/\operatorname{Cent}_0(\mathfrak{k}) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \operatorname{Cent}_{\mathrm{red}}(\mathfrak{k}_i)$.

6 Some Examples

In this section we describe some Lie algebras \mathfrak{t} on which we have invariant bilinear forms κ for which $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is a non-zero coboundary, so that $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ has coupled cocycles whenever $d_A \neq 0$.

6.1 The Split Oscillator Algebra

Let $\mathfrak h$ be the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak h$ with generators x, y and c and the only non-trivial relation [x,y]=c. Then pass to the extension $\mathfrak k=\mathfrak h\rtimes \mathbb K D$ of $\mathfrak h$ by a derivation D like for affine Kac–Moody algebras. Explicitly, we take D(x)=x, D(y)=-y and D(c)=0 (see [MP95, p. 98, Ex. 6]. We write d:=(0,1) for the element of $\mathfrak k$ corresponding to D. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak k$ is 4-dimensional, and has an invariant bilinear symmetric form κ , as any Lie algebra with symmetrizable Cartan matrix [MP95, p. 362, Proposition 4]. We call $\mathfrak k$ the *split oscillator algebra over* $\mathfrak k$.

Remark 6.1. Let us compute the dimensions of the spaces of cochains, cocycles and cohomology spaces:

degree p	0	1	2	3	4
$\dim C^p(\mathfrak{k})$	1	4	6	4	1
$\dim H^p(\mathfrak{k})$	1	1	0	1	1
$\dim B^p(\mathfrak{k})$	0	0	3	3	0
$\dim Z^p(\mathfrak{k})$	1	1	3	4	1

In this table, the dimension of the cohomology spaces is computed as follows: $\dim H^0(\mathfrak{f}) = 1$ by definition. As $\mathfrak{f}/[\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}] = \mathbb{K}D$, $\dim H^1(\mathfrak{f}) = 1$. By unimodularity, \mathfrak{f} satisfies Poincaré duality [Fu86, p. 27], so that the dimensions in degree 3 and 4 follow. But the Euler characteristic of a finite dimensional Lie algebra vanishes [Go55], which implies that $H^2(\mathfrak{f}) = \{0\}$.

The dimensions of the boundary spaces are clear in degree 0 and 1. In degree 2, there remain 3 dimensions as the difference of dim $C^1(\mathfrak{f})$ and dim $Z^1(\mathfrak{f})$. In the same way, we get the dimensions of $B^p(\mathfrak{f})$ for p=3,4. Finally, dim $Z^p(\mathfrak{f})$ is the sum of dim $B^p(\mathfrak{f})$ and dim $H^p(\mathfrak{f})$.

Observe that $[\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}]=\mathfrak{h}$ and $[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}]=\mathbb{K}c$, so that \mathfrak{f} is solvable, but $[\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{h}]=\mathfrak{h}$, so that \mathfrak{f} is not nilpotent.

We claim that each invariant bilinear form κ is exact, which gives rise to coupled cocycles (in the sense of Section 4). If $0 \neq \mu \in C^4(\mathfrak{f})$, then the fact that \mathfrak{f} is unimodular implies that all 3-cochains $i_h\mu$, $h \in \mathfrak{f}$, are 3-cocycles. If $h \in [\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}] = \mathfrak{h}$, then $i_h\mu$ is exact, so that $i_D\mu$ yields a basis of the one-dimensional space $H^3(\mathfrak{f})$. Since $0 \neq (i_D\mu)(x,y,c) = \mu(D,x,y,c)$ and for each invariant symmetric bilinear form κ we have $\kappa([x,y],c) = \kappa(x,[y,c]) = 0$, we see that $\Gamma(\kappa) \in \operatorname{span}\{i_h\mu\colon h \in \mathfrak{h}\} = B^3(\mathfrak{f})$. Hence each invariant symmetric bilinear form is exact.

Remark 6.2. We now turn to the space $Sym^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$. Any invariant symmetric bilinear form κ satisfies

$$\kappa(c, x) = \kappa([x, y], x) = -\kappa([y, x], x) = -\kappa(y, [x, x]) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(c, y) = \kappa([x, y], y) = \kappa(x, [y, y]) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(c, c) = \kappa([x, y], c) = \kappa(x, [y, c]) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(d, x) = \kappa(d, [d, x]) = \kappa([d, d], x) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(d, y) = -\kappa(d, [d, y]) = -\kappa([d, d], y) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(d, c) = \kappa(d, [x, y]) = \kappa([d, x], y) = \kappa(x, y),$$

$$\kappa(x, x) = \kappa(x, [d, x]) = -\kappa(x, [x, d]) = -\kappa([x, x], d) = 0,$$

$$\kappa(y, y) = -\kappa(y, [d, y]) = \kappa(y, [y, d]) = \kappa([y, y], d) = 0.$$

We immediately conclude that the space of invariant symmetric bilinear forms is at most 2-dimensional and that each such form κ is determined by $\kappa(d,c)=\kappa(x,y)$ and $\kappa(d,d)$ (note that d is not a commutator). Let us denote by κ_1 the (invariant symmetric bilinear form) with $\kappa_1(d,d)=1$ and $\kappa_1(x,y)=0$, and denote by κ_2 the invariant symmetric bilinear form with $\kappa_2(x,y)=\kappa_2(d,c)=1$ and $\kappa_2(d,d)=0$. Then κ_2 coincides with the invariant form κ introduced above and κ_1,κ_2 form a basis of $\operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\dagger}$. Combining this with the observation in the preceding remark and Section 5, we get

$$\mathbb{K}^2 \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}_{\operatorname{ex}} \cong H^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}) \cong \operatorname{out}(\mathfrak{f}).$$

For the reduced centroid, we thus get

$$Cent_{red}(\mathfrak{f}) = Sym^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} / Sym^2(\mathfrak{f}/[\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}]) = \mathbb{K}[\kappa_2].$$

We further get $Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} = B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma} \cong \mathbb{K}$.

For any algebra A, and $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{f}$, the exact sequence in Theorem 5.2 now turns into a sequence of the form

$$\{0\} \to H^2(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}') \cong \Lambda(A)^* \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \operatorname{Lin}(\Omega^1(A), Z^3(\mathfrak{k})_{\Gamma}) \cong \Omega^1(A)^* \to \{0\}.$$

Therefore the essential part of $H^2(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the dual space of $\Omega^1(A)$. From the construction in the proof of Theorem 5.2 it follows that the coupled cocycles correspond to the elements of $\Omega^1(A)^*$ not vanishing on the subspace $d_A(A)$.

6.2 Two More Classes of Examples

Example 6.3 For a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} its cotangent bundle $T^*\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^* \rtimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra with the bracket

$$[(f,x),(f',x')] := (x.f'-x'.f,[x,x']), \text{ where } x.f = \mathrm{ad}^* x.f = -f \circ \mathrm{ad} x.$$

A slight generalization is obtained as follows. Suppose that $\gamma \colon \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Lie algebra 2-cocycle, *i.e.*, $\sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} \mathrm{ad}^* x. \gamma(y,z) - \gamma([x,y],z) = 0$. Then we have another Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ given by $[(f,x),(f',x')] := (x.f'-x'.f+\gamma(x,x'),[x,x'])$. We write $T^*_{\gamma}\mathfrak{g}$ for the corresponding Lie algebra, a so-called *twisted magnetic extension of* \mathfrak{g} .

The symmetric bilinear form given by $\kappa((f, x), (f', x')) := f(x') + f'(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\kappa) \big((f,x), (f',x'), (f'',x'') \big) &= \kappa \big((x.f'-x'.f+\gamma(x,x'), [x,x']), (f'',x'') \big) \\ &= f''([x,x']) + f'([x'',x]) + f([x',x'']) \\ &+ \gamma(x,x')(x'') \\ &= \gamma(x,x')(x'') + \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} f([x',x'']). \end{split}$$

This implies that κ is invariant if and only if $\widetilde{\gamma}(x, x', x'') := \gamma(x, x')(x'')$ is alternating, hence an element of $Z^3(\mathfrak{g})$ [Bo97, Lemma 3.1]. Let us assume that this is the case and note that $\Gamma(\kappa)$ vanishes only if $\gamma = 0$ and \mathfrak{g} is abelian.

For the alternating bilinear form $\eta((f, x), (f', x')) := f(x') - f'(x)$ we then have

$$\eta([(f,x),(f',x')],(f'',x'')) = (x.f'-x'.f+\gamma(x,x'))(x'')-f''([x,x'])$$
$$=\gamma(x,x')(x'')+f'([x'',x])+f([x',x''])$$
$$-f''([x,x']),$$

so that

$$(d\eta) \big((f, x), (f', x'), (f'', x'') \big) = -\sum_{\text{cyc}} \big(f'([x'', x]) + f([x', x'']) - f''([x, x']) \big)$$

$$-\sum_{\text{cyc}} \gamma(x, x')(x'')$$

$$= -3\gamma(x, x')(x'') - \sum_{\text{cyc}} f([x', x'']).$$

Let $q\colon T_{\gamma}^*\mathfrak{g}\to \mathfrak{g}$ denote the canonical projection. Then the preceding calculation shows that $\Gamma(\kappa)$ is a coboundary if and only if $[q^*\widetilde{\gamma}]\in H^3(T_{\gamma}^*\mathfrak{g})$ vanishes. This is in particular the case for $\gamma=0$.

Example 6.4 Pelc [Pe97] introduced a family of Lie algebras A_n , where A_n is an (n + 1)-dimensional Lie algebra with basis T_0, \ldots, T_n and commutator relations

$$[T_i, T_j] = \begin{cases} \widehat{i-j} \cdot T_{i+j} & \text{for } i+j \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\hat{i} \in \{-1,0,1\}$ is chosen such that $i-\hat{i} \in 3\mathbb{Z}$. Then \mathcal{A}_n is a solvable Lie algebra with commutator algebra $\mathcal{A}'_n = \operatorname{span}\{T_i : i > 0\}$. Let us assume that n = 3m for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that char $\mathbb{K} = 0$. Then \mathcal{A}_{3m} carries a non-degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form defined by

$$\kappa(T_i, T_j) = \delta_{i+j,n}$$

(cf. [Pe97]). We claim that κ is exact. Choose $a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ in such a way that $a_i = \alpha i + \beta$, where $\beta = -1$ and $\alpha = \frac{2}{n}$. Then $a_0 = -1$ and $a_i = -a_{n-i}$, and we define a 2-cochain $\eta \in C^2(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{K})$ by $\eta(T_i, T_j) = a_i \delta_{j,n-i}$ Note that we need $a_i = -a_{n-i}$ to see that this is well efined.

Now $\eta([T_i, T_j], T_k)$ vanishes if $i + j + k \neq n$, and for i + j + k = n we get

$$\eta([T_i, T_j], T_k) = \widehat{i - j} \cdot a_{i+j}.$$
With $\widehat{j - k} = (j - (n - j - i)) = \widehat{i - j}$, this leads to
$$-d\eta(T_i, T_j, T_k) = \widehat{i - j} a_{i+j} + \widehat{j - k} a_{j+k} + \widehat{k - i} a_{k+i} = \widehat{i - j} (a_{i+j} - a_i - a_j)$$

$$= \widehat{i - j} = \kappa([T_i, T_i], T_k).$$

Therefore κ is exact.

Note that for m = 1 we thus obtain the split oscillator algebra.

Example 6.5 (i) If $\mathfrak{f} = \operatorname{span}\{x, y, c, d\}$ is the split oscillator algebra from Section 6.1, then $\mathfrak{f} \cong T^*\mathfrak{g}$ for the 2-dimensional non-abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} := \operatorname{span}\{x, d\}$ and [Bo97, Theorem 3.2] implies that (\mathfrak{f}, κ_2) is isometrically isomorphic to $(T^*\mathfrak{g}, \kappa)$, which provides another argument for the exactness of κ_2 .

(ii) For n = 3m and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathcal{A}_{3m}$, we observe that the ideal

$$n := \text{span}\{T_{(n+1)/2}, \dots, T_n\}$$

is isotropic and abelian, so that [Bo97, Theorem 3.2] implies that $\mathfrak{f} \cong T_{\gamma}^*(\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{f}/\mathfrak{n} \cong \mathcal{A}_{(n-1)/2}$. Therefore Pelc's algebras provide other examples of exact twisted cotangent bundles. Using the canonical basis $(T_i)_{i=0,\dots,n}$ of \mathfrak{f} to define a section $\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{f}$, we obtain

$$\gamma(T_i, T_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i + j \le \frac{n-1}{2}, \\ \widehat{i - j} \cdot T_{n-i-j}^* & \text{for } i + j > \frac{n-1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, γ is non-zero.

7 The Topological Setting

In this section, we explain how the algebraic results from the preceding sections can be used in the topological setting. Actually these applications were our original motivation to study the work of Haddi and Zusmanovich.

We now assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let A be a unital commutative locally convex associative \mathbb{K} -algebra and \mathfrak{k} a locally convex \mathbb{K} -Lie algebra. We endow $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes \mathfrak{k}$ with the projective tensor product topology, turning it into a locally convex space with the universal property that for each locally convex space \mathfrak{z} a bilinear map $\phi: A \times \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{z}$ is continuous if and only if the corresponding linear map $\widetilde{\phi}: A \otimes \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{z}$ is continuous. Then the Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} is continuous because the quadrilinear map

$$A \times \mathfrak{k} \times A \times \mathfrak{k} \to A \otimes \mathfrak{k}, \quad (a, x, a', x') \mapsto aa' \otimes [x, x'],$$

is continuous and the continuous quadrilinear maps correspond to the continuous linear maps on $(A \otimes f) \otimes (A \otimes f)$.

In the topological context, we consider for a locally convex space 3 the space $Z_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ of continuous cocycles and the subspace $B_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ of all coboundaries of the form $d_\mathfrak{g}\ell$, where $\ell\colon\mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{z}$ is a continuous linear map. In the topological context, the relation between the space $H_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z}):=Z_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})/B_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$ and the space of all linear maps from $H_{2,c}(\mathfrak{g}):=Z_2(\mathfrak{g})/\overline{B_2(\mathfrak{g})}\to\mathfrak{z}$ is more complicated than in the algebraic setup [Ne02b]. To define the topological version of $H_2(\mathfrak{g})$, we must use the closure of $B_2(\mathfrak{g})$ to obtain a Hausdorff topology on the quotient space. We always have a natural map $H_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})\to \mathrm{Lin}(H_{2,c}(\mathfrak{g}),\mathfrak{z})$, but in general there is no reason for this map to be injective or surjective. Therefore the homology space is much less interesting in the topological setting, and often it is easier to work directly with cocycles and coboundaries, which is made possible by our results in Section 4.

The flip involution on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, endowed with the projective tensor product topology, is continuous, so that the kernel of the quotient map $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}), x \otimes y \mapsto x \wedge y$ is closed, which leads to a locally convex topology on $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$. Further the bracket map $b_{\mathfrak{g}} \colon \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is continuous because it is induced from the continuous bracket map, which shows that its kernel $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ is closed.

One easily verifies that the maps p_{\pm} and σ_{\pm} from Section 3 are continuous, and likewise that the maps

$$A \to A \otimes A$$
, $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$, and $A \to S^2(A)$, $a \mapsto a \vee 1$,

are continuous. Therefore Lemma 3.1 yields a topological decomposition of the closed subspace $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$Z_2(\mathfrak{q}) = (\Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (A \otimes Z_2(\mathfrak{f})) \oplus (I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})).$$

This implies that any continuous cocycle $f: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{z}$ defines three continuous maps:

$$f_1: \Lambda^2(A) \otimes S^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad f_2: A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}, \quad \text{and} \quad f_3: I_A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{k}) \to \mathfrak{z}.$$

Conversely, three such continuous linear maps combine to a continuous 2-cocycle of g if and only if they satisfy the conditions from Theorem 4.1.

If a continuous cocycle $f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ is contained in $B_c^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z})$, then it vanishes on $Z_2(\mathfrak{g})$, which implies $f_1 = f_3 = 0$ and that f_2 is a continuous coboundary, *i.e.*, there exists a continuous linear map $\ell : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{z}$ with

$$f(ax, by) = f_2(ax, by) = \widetilde{f_2}(ab)(x, y) = \ell(ab[x, y])$$
 for all $a, b \in A, x, y \in \mathfrak{t}$.

Clearly, this implies that $\widetilde{f_2}(A) \subseteq B_c^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$. If, conversely, $\widetilde{f_2}(A) \subseteq B_c^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$, then there exists a linear map $h: A \to \operatorname{Lin}(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{z})$ with $d_{\mathfrak{k}}h(a) = \widetilde{f_2}(a)$ for all $a \in A$, but it is not clear whether the corresponding map $\widetilde{h}: A \times \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{z}$ will be continuous. Therefore the exactness condition is quite subtle.

If f is finite-dimensional, then the situation becomes significantly simpler. Then $B_c^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z}) = B^2(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{z})$, and if $\text{Lin}(\cdot,\cdot)$ stands for "continuous linear maps", then

$$\operatorname{Lin}(A \otimes \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f}), \mathfrak{z}) \cong \Lambda^2(\mathfrak{f})^* \otimes \operatorname{Lin}(A, \mathfrak{z}),$$

so that we may consider f_2 as a 2-cocycle in $Z^2(\mathfrak{f}, Lin(A, \mathfrak{z}))$. If this map vanishes on $B_2(\mathfrak{f})$, then there exists a linear map $h \colon \mathfrak{f} \to Lin(A, \mathfrak{z})$ with

$$f_2(x, y)(a) = h([x, y])(a), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{f}, a \in A.$$

Then the map ℓ : $A \times \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{z}$, $(a,x) \mapsto h(x)(a)$ is continuous and satisfies $f_2 = -d_{\mathfrak{g}}\ell$. We thus get $B^2(\mathfrak{k}, \operatorname{Lin}(A,\mathfrak{z})) \cong B_c^2(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{z})$. We collect the previous remarks in the following theorem which is analoguous to Theorem 5.2. It determines the structure of the second continuous cohomology space for current algebras. Let us denote by $\Omega_c^1(A) = J_A/\overline{J_A^2}$ the locally convex module of Kähler differentials for the locally convex commutative associative algebra A.

Theorem 7.1 Let \mathfrak{t} be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{K} . Then the sequence

$$\begin{split} \{0\} &\to H^2_c(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}') \oplus \operatorname{Lin}(A, H^2(\mathfrak{f})) \xrightarrow{\Phi} H^2_c(\mathfrak{g}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\Psi} \operatorname{Lin}((\Omega^1_c(A), \overline{d_A(A)}), (Z^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma}, B^3(\mathfrak{f})_{\Gamma})) \to \{0\} \end{split}$$

is exact.

Proof First we note that the short exact sequence $\mathbf{0} \to \mathfrak{k}' \to \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{k}/\mathfrak{k}' \to \mathbf{0}$ of finite-dimensional vector spaces splits. Since $\mathfrak{g}' = A \otimes \mathfrak{k}'$ is closed in \mathfrak{g} , it follows that the short exact sequence $\mathbf{0} \to \mathfrak{g}' \to \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}' \to \mathbf{0}$ also splits topologically. As we have observed above, Theorem 4.7 and its corollaries remain true in the topological setting. For Corollary 4.5, we use the topological splitting of \mathfrak{k}' in \mathfrak{k} . We have also seen above that the corresponding description of the coboundaries remains valid, because \mathfrak{k} is finite dimensional. Further, the topological splitting of \mathfrak{g}' implies that Lemma 5.1 remains true. This implies the injectivity of Φ .

That $\ker \Psi = \operatorname{im} \Phi$ is shown as in the proof of Theorem 5.2. Finally, the surjectivity of Ψ follows from the fact that f^{\flat} and β can be chosen as continuous maps, because of the existence of (continuous) linear right inverses of surjective linear maps to finite dimensional vector spaces.

Example 7.2 We consider the special case where M is a compact manifold and $A = C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R})$ the Fréchet algebra of all smooth real-valued functions on M. According to [Ma02,Co85], the universal topological differential module of A is given by $\Omega^1_c(A) \cong \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R})$, the space of smooth \mathbb{R} -valued 1-forms on M, and the de Rham-differential $d: C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ is a universal continuous derivation. It follows in particular that the space $d_A(A)$ is the space of exact 1-forms, which is non-zero.

Now let \mathfrak{f} be a finite-dimensional real Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g} := A \otimes \mathfrak{f} \cong C^{\infty}(M,\mathfrak{f})$. Up to cocycles vanishing on $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}'$, all continuous cohomology classes in $H^2_c(\mathfrak{g})$ are then represented by sums $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $\widetilde{f_1} : A \times A \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$ is an alternating continuous linear map for which there is a continuous linear map

$$f_1^{\flat} \colon \Omega^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{k}} \quad \text{with } \widetilde{f_1}(a, b) = f_1^{\flat}(a \cdot d(b) - b \cdot d(a)),$$

and

$$d_{\mathfrak{f}}(\widetilde{f_2}(a)) = -\Gamma(f_1^{\flat}(da))$$
 for all $a \in A$.

We interpret the continuous linear map f_1^{\flat} as a Sym²(\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{k}}-valued *current on M*. It is a closed current if and only if it vanishes on exact forms. Typical examples of such currents arise from pairs (ξ, κ) , where $\xi \colon [0, 1] \to M$ is a piecewise smooth path and $\kappa \in \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k})^{\mathfrak{k}}_{ex}$ via

$$f_1^{\flat}(\alpha) := \left(\int_{\mathcal{E}} \alpha\right) \cdot \kappa,$$

but these examples satisfy $\gamma \circ f_1^{\flat} = 0$.

A Appendix: A Useful Exact Sequence

The following section is very much based on information and hints we received from M. Bordemann [Bo97].

Definition A.1 Let f be a Lie algebra and \mathfrak{a} a f-module. We denote the action as $\mathfrak{t} \times \mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}$ by $(x, a) \mapsto x.a$. On the space $C^p(\mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{a})$ of \mathfrak{a} -valued Lie algebra cochains we have a natural action of \mathfrak{t} denoted by

$$(x.\omega)(x_1,\ldots,x_p) = x.\omega(x_1,\ldots,x_p) - \sum_{i=1}^p \omega(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},[x,x_i],x_{i+1},\ldots,x_p).$$

For $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we consider the injection

$$\widetilde{T}_p \colon C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a}) \to C^p(\mathfrak{f},C^q(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a})),$$

$$(\widetilde{T}_p f)(x_1,\ldots,x_p)(y_1,\ldots,y_q) := f(x_1,\ldots,x_p,y_1,\ldots,y_q).$$

From the action of \mathfrak{f} on the spaces $C^q(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a})$, we obtain Lie algebra differentials

$$d'_{\mathfrak{k}} \colon C^p(\mathfrak{k}, C^q(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a})) \to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{k}, C^q(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a}))$$

and we also have

$$d_{\mathfrak{f}}^{\prime\prime}\colon C^p(\mathfrak{f},C^q(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a}))\to C^p(\mathfrak{f},C^{q+1}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{a})),\quad \omega\mapsto d_{\mathfrak{f}}\circ\omega$$

satisfying on $C^{p+q}(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{a})$ the identity

$$\widetilde{T}_{p+1} \circ d_{\mathfrak{k}} = d'_{\mathfrak{k}} \circ \widetilde{T}_p + (-1)^{p+1} d''_{\mathfrak{k}} \circ \widetilde{T}_{p+1}.$$

(see [HS53, Lemma 1]).

Specializing to the trivial module $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{K}$, we obtain in particular the maps

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_p := \widetilde{T}_{p-1} \colon C^p(\mathfrak{f}) \to C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{f}, C^1(\mathfrak{f})) = C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*),$$

which, in view of equation (A.1), commute with the respective Lie algebra differentials because $d''_{\mathfrak{t}} \circ \widetilde{T}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ vanishes on $C^{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{t}, \mathbb{K})$. Hence they induce linear maps

$$\alpha_p \colon H^p(\mathfrak{f}) \to H^{p-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*), \quad [\omega] \mapsto [\widetilde{\alpha}_p(\omega)].$$

For the \mathfrak{t} -module \mathfrak{t}^* , the subspace $d_{\mathfrak{t}}\mathfrak{t}^*$ of $C^1(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}^*)$ consists of maps whose associated bilinear map is alternating. We thus have a well-defined map

$$S: C^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)/B^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*) \to \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})$$

which is a morphism of f-modules. We now obtain maps

$$\widetilde{\beta}_p = S \circ \widetilde{T}_{p-1} \colon C^p(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*) \to C^{p-1}(\mathfrak{f}, \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f}))$$

satisfying

$$\widetilde{\beta}_p \circ d_{\mathfrak{k}} = S \circ \widetilde{T}_{p-1} \circ d_{\mathfrak{k}} = S \circ (d'_{\mathfrak{k}} \circ \widetilde{T}_{p-2}) = d'_{\mathfrak{k}} \circ S \circ \widetilde{T}_{p-2} = d'_{\mathfrak{k}} \circ \widetilde{\beta}_{p-1}.$$

Hence $\widetilde{\beta}_p$ induces a linear map $\beta_p \colon H^p(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}^*) \to H^{p-1}(\mathfrak{k}, \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{k}))$. From the construction, we immediately get $\widetilde{\beta}_p \circ \widetilde{\alpha}_{p+1} = 0$, which leads to $\beta_p \circ \alpha_{p+1} = 0$.

Proposition A.2 For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} , we obtain with $\gamma(\kappa):=[\Gamma(\kappa)]$ an exact sequence

$$\{0\} \to H^2(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} H^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*) \xrightarrow{\beta_1} \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^3(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} H^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*) \xrightarrow{\beta_2} H^1(\mathfrak{f}, \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})).$$

Proof To see that for each cocycle $\omega \in Z^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$ the symmetric bilinear form $\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega)$ is invariant, we note that $\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega)([x,y],z) = \omega([x,y])(z) + \omega(z)([x,y])$, and if ω is a cocycle, this can be written as

$$\widetilde{\beta}_{1}(\omega)([x, y], z) = (x.\omega(y))(z) - (y.\omega(x))(z) + \omega(z)([x, y])$$

$$= \omega(y)([z, x]) + \omega(x)([y, z]) + \omega(z)([x, y]),$$

showing that this trilinear form is alternating, and hence that $\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega)$ is invariant.

Exactness in $H^2(\mathfrak{f})$. We only have to show that α_2 is injective. If $\omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies $\widetilde{\alpha}_2(\omega) = d_{\mathfrak{f}}\eta$ for some $\eta \in \mathfrak{f}^*$, then $\omega(x, y) = (d_{\mathfrak{f}}\eta)(x)(y) = (x.\eta)(y) = -\eta([x, y])$, which implies that ω is a 2-coboundary.

Exactness in $H^1(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}^*)$. Clearly $\beta_1 \circ \alpha_2 = 0$. If, conversely, $\beta_1([\omega]) = 0$, then $\omega \colon \mathfrak{f} \to \mathfrak{f}^*$ is a linear map whose associated bilinear form $\widetilde{\omega}(x,y) := \omega(x)(y)$ is alternating. In this situation, we have

$$\begin{split} d\widetilde{\omega}(x, y, z) &= -\omega([x, y])(z) - \omega([y, z])(x) - \omega([z, x])(y) \\ &= -\omega([x, y])(z) + \omega(x)([y, z]) + \omega(y)([z, x]) \\ &= (-\omega([x, y]) - y.\omega(x) + x.\omega(y))(z) = (d_{\bar{t}}\omega)(x, y)(z). \end{split}$$

We conclude that $\widetilde{\omega}$ is a cocycle if and only if ω is one, and from that we derive that $\ker \beta_1 = \operatorname{im} \alpha_2$.

Exactness in Sym²(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}. Next we show that $\gamma \circ \beta_1 = 0$. So let $\omega \in Z^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$ and write $\widetilde{\omega} = \omega_+ + \omega_-$, where ω_+ is symmetric and ω_- is alternating. Then

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega))(x,y,z) &= \omega([x,y])(z) + \omega(z)([x,y]) \\ &= \omega(y)([z,x]) + \omega(x)([y,z]) + \omega(z)([x,y]), \end{split}$$

and the closedness of ω also shows that $\sum_{\rm cyc} \omega([x,y])(z) = 2 \sum_{\rm cyc} \omega(x)([y,z])$, which leads to

$$\begin{split} \Gamma(\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega))(x,y,z) &= \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} \omega([x,y])(z) - \omega(z)([x,y]) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\mathrm{cyc}} \omega_-([x,y],z) = -2 d_{\mathrm{f}} \omega_-(x,y,z). \end{split}$$

Hence $\Gamma(\widetilde{\beta}_1(\omega))$ is always exact, so that $\gamma \circ \beta_1$ vanishes on the level of cohomology spaces

To see that $\ker \gamma \subseteq \operatorname{im} \beta_1$, suppose that κ is an exact invariant symmetric bilinear form and $\eta \in C^2(\mathfrak{k})$ satisfies $d\eta = -\Gamma(\kappa)$. Then $\omega(x)(y) := \kappa(x,y) + \eta(x,y)$ defines a linear map $\omega \colon \mathfrak{k} \to \mathfrak{k}^*$ with

$$(d_{t}\omega)(x,y)(z) = (x.\omega(y) - y.\omega(x) - \omega([x,y]))(z)$$

$$= \omega(y)([z,x]) + \omega(x)([y,z]) - \omega([x,y])(z)$$

$$= \kappa([x,y],z) + \eta(y,[z,x]) + \eta(x,[y,z]) - \eta([x,y],z)$$

$$= \kappa([x,y],z) + d_{t}\eta(x,y,z) = (\Gamma(\kappa) + d_{t}\eta)(x,y,z) = 0.$$

From the preceding calculation, we also see, by putting $\eta=0$, that the linear map $\widetilde{\kappa}\colon \mathfrak{k}\to\mathfrak{k}^*$ defined by an invariant symmetric bilinear form κ is a 1-cocycle if and only if $\Gamma(\kappa)$ vanishes.

Exactness in $H^3(\mathfrak{k})$. The transfer formula for differentials implies that an alternating trilinear form ω on \mathfrak{k} is a 3-cocycle if and only if the corresponding alternating bilinear form $\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\omega)$ is a 2-cocycle. Therefore the image of α_3 consists of those cohomology classes having a representing cocycle whose associated trilinear form is alternating.

For $\kappa \in \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}}$, the corresponding 3-cocycle $\Gamma(\kappa)$ and the corresponding linear map $\widetilde{\kappa} \colon \mathfrak{f} \to \mathfrak{f}^*$, we have $\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\Gamma(\kappa))(x,y) = \kappa([x,y],\cdot) = -(d\widetilde{\kappa})(x)(y)$ because

$$d\widetilde{\kappa}(x,y)(z) = (x.\widetilde{\kappa}(y))(z) - (y.\widetilde{\kappa}(x))(z) - \widetilde{\kappa}([x,y])(z)$$
$$= -\kappa(y,[x,z]) + \kappa(x,[y,z]) - \kappa([x,y],z) = \kappa([x,y],z).$$

We conclude that $\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\Gamma(\kappa))$ is exact, so that $\widetilde{\alpha}_3 \circ \Gamma$ induces the trivial map $\alpha_3 \circ \gamma \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})^{\mathfrak{f}} \to H^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$.

Let $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$ and write $\widetilde{f}(a, b) = f(a)(b)$. We then have

$$df(x, y)(z) = (x.f(y) - y.f(x) - f([x, y]))(z)$$

= $f(y)([z, x]) + f(x)([y, z]) - f([x, y])(z)$
= $f(y)([z, x]) - (y.\widetilde{f})(x, z)$.

This map is alternating in (x, y), and it is alternating in (x, z) if and only if $y.\widetilde{f}$ is alternating. Writing $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f}_+ + \widetilde{f}_-$ for the decomposition of \widetilde{f} into symmetric and alternating components, this is equivalent to $y.\widetilde{f}_+ = 0$. We conclude that df(x, y)(z) is alternating if and only if \widetilde{f}_+ is invariant.

To verify the exactness in $H^3(\mathfrak{k})$, we now assume that $\omega \in Z^3(\mathfrak{k})$ satisfies $\alpha_3(\omega) \in B^2(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}^*)$, *i.e.*, $\alpha_3([\omega]) = 0$. Then there exists an $f \in C^1(\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}^*)$ with $\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\omega) = d_{\mathfrak{k}}f$, and the preceding paragraph implies that \widetilde{f}_+ is an invariant symmetric bilinear form on \mathfrak{k} satisfying $\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\omega) = d_{\mathfrak{k}}f = -\Gamma(\widetilde{f}_+) + d_{\mathfrak{k}}f_-$, where $f = f_+ + f_-$ corresponds to the decomposition $\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{f}_+ + \widetilde{f}_-$. We conclude that $[\widetilde{\alpha}_3(\omega)] = -[\Gamma(\widetilde{f}_+)]$, which implies exactness in $H^3(\mathfrak{k})$.

Exactness in $H^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$. We claim that $\ker \beta_2 = \operatorname{im} \alpha_3$. To verify this claim, pick $\omega \in Z^2(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$ for which $\widetilde{\beta}_2(\omega)$ is exact, *i.e.*, there exists a symmetric bilinear form $\kappa \in \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\widetilde{\beta}_2(\omega) = d_{\mathfrak{f}}\kappa$, *i.e.*, for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{f}$ we have

$$\omega(x, y)(z) + \omega(x, z)(y) = (x \cdot \kappa)(y, z) = -\kappa([x, y], z) - \kappa(y, [x, z]).$$

Let $\widetilde{\eta} \in C^1(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}^*)$ and write η for the corresponding bilinear map on \mathfrak{f} with $\eta(x, y) = \widetilde{\eta}(x)(y)$. Then

$$\begin{split} d\widetilde{\eta}(x,y)(z) &= (x.\widetilde{\eta}(y) - y.\widetilde{\eta}(x) - \widetilde{\eta}([x,y]))(z) \\ &= -\eta(y,[x,z]) + \eta(x,[y,z]) - \eta([x,y],z). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\beta}_2(d_t\widetilde{\eta})(x)(y,z) &= \widetilde{\eta}(y)([z,x]) - \widetilde{\eta}([x,y])(z) + \widetilde{\eta}(z)([y,x]) - \widetilde{\eta}([x,z],y) \\ &= 2(\widetilde{\eta}_+([y,x])(z) + \widetilde{\eta}_+([z,x])(y)) = 2(x.\eta_+)(y,z), \end{split}$$

and this leads to $\widetilde{\beta}_2(d_{\mathfrak{t}}\widetilde{\eta}) = 2d_{\mathfrak{t}}\eta_+ = d_{\mathfrak{t}}(\widetilde{\beta}_1(\eta))$. Since $\widetilde{\beta}_1(C^1(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}^*)) = \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{t})$, we find some $\widetilde{\eta} \in C^1(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}^*)$ with $\widetilde{\beta}_1(\widetilde{\eta}) = \kappa$, and then $\widetilde{\beta}_2(\omega - d_{\mathfrak{t}}\eta) = d_{\mathfrak{t}}\kappa - d_{\mathfrak{t}}\eta_+ = 0$, so that for $\omega' := \omega - d_{\mathfrak{t}}\eta \in Z^2(\mathfrak{t},\mathfrak{t}^*)$ the corresponding trilinear map is alternating. This means that $[\omega] = [\omega'] \in \operatorname{im} \alpha_3$.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to M. Bordemann for a stimulating email exchange and for pointing out the relation to the exact sequence (1.4), part of which is due to him. We also thank the referee for an extremely valuable report and in particular for pointing out several references and some inaccuracies in a previous version.

References

- [Bo97] M. Bordemann, Nondegenerate invariant bilinear forms on nonassociative algebras. Acta Math. Univ. Commenianae 66(1997), no. 2, 151–201.
- [Bou90] N. Bourbaki, Algebra. I. Ch. 1-3, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [ChE48] C. Chevalley, and S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63(1948), 85–124.
- [Co85] A. Connes, Non-commutative differential geometry, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 62(1985), 257–360.
- [Fu86] D. B. Fuks, Cohomology of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras. Contemp. Sov. Math., Consultants Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [Go55] S. I. Goldberg, On the Euler characteristic of a Lie algebra. Amer. Math. Monthly 62(1955), 239–240.
- [Ha92] A. Haddi, Homologie des algèbres de Lie étendues à une algèbre commutative. Comm. Algebra 20(1992), no. 4, 1145–1166.
- [HS53] G. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre, Cohomology of Lie algebras. Ann. of Math. 57(1953), 591–603.
- [KL82] C. Kassel, and J.-L. Loday, Extensions centrales d'algèbres de Lie. Ann. Inst. Fourier 32(1982), no. 4, 119–142.
- [Kos50] J.-L. Koszul, Homologie et cohomologie des algèbres de Lie. Bull. Soc. Math. France 78(1950), 65–127.
- [Lo98] J.-L. Loday, Cyclic Homology. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 301, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998
- [Ma02] P. Maier, Central extensions of topological current algebras. In: Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups. Banach Center Publications 55, Polish Academy of Science, Warszawa, 2002, pp. 61–76.
- [MN03] P. Maier, and K.-H. Neeb, Central extensions of current groups. Math. Ann. 326(2003), no. 2, 367–415.
- [MR93] A. Medina and P. Revoy, Algèbres de Lie orthogonales, Modules orthogonaux. Comm. Algebra 21(1993), no. 7, 2295–2315.
- [MP95] R. V. Moody, and A. Pianzola, Lie Algebras with Triangular Decompositions. Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1995.
- [Ne02] K.-H. Neeb, Central extensions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 52(2002), 1365–1442.
- [Ne02b] _____, Universal central extensions of Lie groups. Acta Appl. Math. 73(2002), no. 1-2, 175–219.
- [Ne04] _____, Current groups for non-compact manifolds and their central extensions. In: Infinite Dimensional Groups and Manifolds. IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys. 5, de Gruyter Verlag, Berlin, 2004, pp. 109–183.
- [Ne05] _____, Non-abelian Extensions of topological Lie algebras. Comm. Algebra 34(2006), no. 3, 991–1041.

[Pe97] O. Pelc, A new family of solvable self-dual Lie algebras. J. Math. Phys. **38**(1997), no. 7, 3832–3840.

[Zus94] P. Zusmanovich, *The second homology group of current Lie algebras.* Astérisque 226, 1994, no. 11, 435–452.

 $\label{lem:continuous} Fachbereich \, Mathematik, \, Technische \, Universit" auch Darmstadt, \, 64285 \, Darmstadt, \, Germany \, e-mail: \, neeb@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de$

Laboratoire de Mathématiques Jean Leray, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université de Nantes, 44322 Nantes cedex 3, France

e-mail: wagemann@math.univ-nantes.fr