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1. Introduction. The solution of the Cauchy problem for a hyperbolic partial differential equation leads to a linear combination of operators $T_{t}$ of the form

$$
\widehat{T_{t} f}(\xi)=m(\xi) \exp (i t \lambda(\xi)) \hat{f}(\xi) .
$$

For example, the solution of the initial value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{t t}-\Delta_{x} u=0 \quad(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \times(0, \infty) \\
& u(x, 0)=0 \quad u_{t}(x, 0)=f(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

is given by $u(x, t)=T_{t} f(x)$ where

$$
\widehat{T_{t} f}(\xi)=|\xi|^{-1} \sin (t|\xi|) \hat{f}(\xi)
$$

Peral proved in [11] that $T_{t}$ is bounded from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if

$$
1 / 2-1 /(n-1) \leqq 1 / p \leqq 1 / 2+1 /(n-1) \quad(1 \leqq p \leqq \infty)
$$

From the homogeneity, the operator norm satisfies $\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C t$ for all $t>0$. If $\lambda(\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one then the same result is true for the multiplier $\sin (t \lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi)$ as long as the Gaussian curvature of

$$
\Sigma=\{\xi:|\lambda(\xi)|=1\}
$$

does not vanish and $L^{1}$ and $L^{\infty}$ are replaced by $H^{1}$ and BMO.
When there are lower order terms present the decay rate of the operator norm $\left\|T_{t}\right\|$ changes significantly. For the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$
u_{t t}-\Delta_{x} u+u=0,
$$

the Fourier multiplier is $\sin \left(t \sqrt{1+|\xi|^{2}}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and

$$
\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C t^{-n|p-2| / 2 p} \quad(t \geqq 1)
$$

This result appears in [8] and the nonradial case is in [9].
The purpose of this paper is to prove results like these for the case when the curvature of the surface $\Sigma$ vanishes. Estimates will also be obtained for $T_{t}$ as an operator from $L^{p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$.

[^0]At the heart of these results are estimates obtained for the Fourier transform of measures $d \mu$ supported on $\Sigma$. In [10] two types of estimates are obtained in $\hat{d \mu}$. The first type concerns the behavior of the spherical averages of $\hat{d \mu}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{a_{\mu}}(x)=|x|^{-(n-1) / 2} \mathscr{P}\left(x^{\prime}\right)+h(x), \quad x=|x| x^{\prime} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mathscr{P}$ is integrable over the unit sphere and the averages of $h$ over the spheres $\{|x|=R\}$ decrease faster than $C R^{-(n-1) / 2}$. The second type of estimate is one of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\widehat{d \mu}(x)| \leqq C(1+|x|)^{-\nu} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish the constant $\nu$ in (2) equals $(n-1) / 2$ but when the curvature does vanish $\nu<(n-1) / 2$.

The estimates of $T_{t}$ from $L^{p}$ to $L^{p}$ involve showing that an operator $(I-\Delta)^{-z / 2} T_{t}$ is bounded on $H^{1}$. Since this means calculating the $L^{1}$ norm of its kernel, estimate (1) is well-suited to this situation. The advantage of estimate (1) is that at least in an average sense $\widehat{d \mu}$ decays as rapidly as the case where the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish. In fact the obstruction to better results is the lower order term $h(x)$ and not the main term. For the $L^{p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ estimates it is necessary to calculate the $L^{\infty}$ or BMO norm of the kernel for $(I-\Delta)^{-z / 2} T_{t}$. In this case, inequality (2) seems more natural.
2. The estimates for $\hat{d \mu}$. A function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ will be said to be of type $\tau$ if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $f(0)=0, \nabla f(0)=0$, and $f(y)=P(y)+h_{*}(y)$ for $y$ in a neighborhood of the origin.
(b) there is a direct sum of orthogonal subspaces $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{s}$ and polynomials $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}$ homogeneous of degree $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}$ respectively such that $V_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{s}=\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ and

$$
P(y)=P\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{s} P_{j}\left(y_{j}\right) \quad y_{j} \in V_{j}, j=1, \ldots, s
$$

(c) for every $j=1, \ldots, s$, det $d^{2} P_{j}\left(y_{j}\right)=0$ implies $y_{j}=0$.
(d) the function $h_{*}$ contains only higher order terms $y^{\beta}$ such that for every $j=1, \ldots, s, y^{\beta}$ is either independent of $y_{j}$ or in the variables of $V_{j}$, $y^{\beta}$ has homogeneity $\equiv \beta_{j} \geqq k_{j}$. Also $\Sigma^{\prime} \beta_{j}>\Sigma^{\prime} k_{j}$ where the sums are over those $j$ where $y^{\beta}$ is not independent of $y_{j}$.

Define

$$
\tau=\min \left\{\left(\operatorname{dim} V_{j}\right) /\left(k_{j}-1\right): k_{j} \neq 2\right\} \quad \text { if } \operatorname{det} d^{2} f(0)=0,
$$

and

$$
\tau=2 \text { if } \operatorname{det} d^{2} f(0) \neq 0
$$

For example, the function

$$
f(y)=y_{1}^{k_{1}}+y_{2}^{k_{2}}
$$

is of type $\tau=1 /\left(k_{2}-1\right)$ if $2<k_{1} \leqq k_{2}$.
A point $\xi^{\prime}$ on the surface $\Sigma$ is of type $\tau=\tau\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ if after a translation and an orthogonal change of coordinates in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ the surface near $\xi^{\prime}$ can be put in the form $y_{n}=f(y)$ where $f$ is a function of type $\tau$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$. The surface $\Sigma$ will be of type $\tau_{0}$ if every point $\xi^{\prime}$ on $\Sigma$ is of type $\tau=\tau\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\tau$ and

$$
\tau_{0}=\inf \left\{\tau\left(\xi^{\prime}\right): \xi^{\prime} \in \Sigma\right\}>0
$$

Let $\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the Gaussian curvature of $\Sigma$ at $\xi^{\prime}$ and define

$$
A(x)=\left\{\xi^{\prime} \in \Sigma: \text { the tangent plane at } \xi^{\prime} \text { is perpendicular to } x\right\} .
$$

Suppose that the surface near $\xi^{\prime}$ is transformed into $y_{n}=f(y)$ in such a way that the unit normal vector at $\xi^{\prime}$ pointing in the direction of $x$ is mapped into $(0,-1) \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbf{R}$. Let $\gamma=\gamma\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ be the number of positive eigenvalues of the matrix $d^{2} f(0)$ minus the number of negative eigenvalues. Let $d \omega$ be surface area on $\Sigma, g \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$. For any such function $g \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ set

$$
\mathscr{P}(g)(x)=\sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)} g\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) e^{i \gamma \pi / 4} e^{-i x \cdot \xi}(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2}\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1 / 2}
$$

where $\xi^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.
Define $\tau_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left(\tau_{0}, 1\right)$ if $\Sigma$ is not convex, and $\tau_{1}=1 / 2$ if $\Sigma$ is convex.
Theorem 1. [10] Suppose that $\Sigma$ is a compact $(n-1)$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ submanifold of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ of type $\tau_{0}>0$, d $\omega$ is surface area on $\Sigma, g \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$, $d \mu=g d \omega$, and for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, A(x)$ is a finite subset of $\Sigma$. Then for every $\tau<\tau_{1}$ there exist positive constants $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
R^{-(n-1)} \int_{|x|=R}\left|\hat{d \mu}(x)-R^{-(n-1) / 2} \mathscr{P}(g)(x)\right| d x \leqq C_{1} R^{-(n-1+2 \tau) / 2}
$$

for all $R>0$ and

$$
R^{-(n-1)} \int_{|x|=R}|\mathscr{P}(g)(x)| d x \leqq C_{2} \text { for all } R>0
$$

If $\tau_{0}>1 / 2$ then this theorem holds for $\tau=1 / 2$.
If the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish then the theorem holds for $\tau=1$ ([5] or [6]). If $\Sigma$ is not convex it seems unlikely that the theorem would hold for every $\tau<1$. Near an inflection point of $\Sigma$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}, \widehat{\mu \mu}$ has a significant secondary term. For example, if the surface is given locally by
$\xi_{2}=\xi_{1}^{3}$ then $A((\epsilon, 1))=\emptyset$ for every $\epsilon>0$ even though $(\epsilon, 1)$ is close to being perpendicular to the surface. It is the possibility of this type of situation that is reflected in the parameter $\tau_{1}$ in Theorem 1. If $\Sigma$ is convex there are no inflection points and $\tau_{1}=1 / 2$. It may be possible to improve this to $\tau_{1}=1$.

Let $S$ be the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The proof of Theorem 1 in [10] generalizes easily to the case where $g \in C^{\infty}(S \times \Sigma)$. If $x=r x^{\prime}$, $\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \in S \times \Sigma$, then the type of function encountered in Theorems 3 and 4 is of the form

$$
g\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{k} \widetilde{g}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\widetilde{g} \in C^{\infty}(\Sigma)$.
We will describe now the phase function $\lambda(\xi)$.
(i) $\lambda_{*}$ is a real-valued function, positively homogeneous of degree one, $\lambda_{*} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}-\{0\}\right), \lambda_{*}$ has no zeros in $\mathbf{R}^{n}-\{0\}$. For convenience we assume $\lambda_{*} \geqq 0$. Let $0<\tau_{2} \leqq 1$. Assume that $\Sigma=\left\{\xi: \lambda_{*}(\xi)=1\right\}$ is a surface for which

$$
R^{-(n-1)} \int_{|x|=R}\left|\hat{d \mu}(x)-R^{-(n-1) / 2} \mathscr{P}(g)(x)\right| d x \leqq C_{1} R^{-\left(n-1-2 \tau_{2}\right) / 2}
$$

for all $R>0, g \in C^{\infty}(S \times \Sigma)$.
its leading term at infinity and

$$
\left|\lambda_{*}(\xi)\right| \leqq C|\lambda(\xi)| \quad \text { for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

Also

$$
\left|D^{\beta}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{*}\right)(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{\beta}|\xi|^{-|\beta|}
$$

and

$$
\left|D^{\beta} \lambda(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{\beta}|\lambda(\xi)||\xi|^{-|\beta|}
$$

for every multi-index $\beta$.
(iii) Assume that there is a smooth nonnegative function $\sigma$ on $\mathbf{R}$ and a constant $L \geqq 1$ such that $\lambda(\xi)=\sigma\left(\lambda_{*}(\xi)\right), \sigma(r)-r \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}(1+r)^{-L-2} \leqq\left|\frac{d^{2} \sigma}{d r^{2}}\right| \leqq C_{2}(1+r)^{-L-2} \\
& \left|\frac{d^{k} \sigma}{d r^{k}}(r)\right| \leqq C_{k}(1+r)^{-L-2} r>0, k \geqq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$d \sigma / d r$ has a zero of order at most one at the origin and has no other zeros.

The assumptions that $\lambda$ and $\lambda_{*}$ be positive are for convenience only. The same proofs hold for negative phase functions. Condition (iii) implies that the level surfaces of $\lambda$ are all dilates of $\Sigma$. This is not strictly necessary but
it greatly simplifies the assumptions and proofs.
For the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$
\lambda(\xi)=\sqrt{1+|\xi|^{2}}, \lambda_{*}(\xi)=|\xi|, \sigma(r)=\sqrt{1+r^{2}}, \text { and } L=1
$$

An example of an equation where the surface $\Sigma$ is no longer convex is given by the homogeneous operator

$$
\left(D_{t}^{2}-4 D_{x_{1}}^{2}-D_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)\left(D_{t}^{2}-D_{x_{1}}^{2}-4 D_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)-\epsilon\left(D_{x_{1}}^{2}+D_{x_{2}}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

where the constant $\epsilon>0$ is chosen small enough that the four roots of the characteristic equation

$$
\left(\tau^{2}-4 \xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}\right)\left(\tau^{2}-\xi_{1}^{2}-4 \xi_{2}^{2}\right)-\epsilon\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}=0
$$

are distinct for every $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$. The two positive roots are given by homogeneous functions $\tau=\lambda_{1}(\xi)$ and $\tau=\lambda_{2}(\xi)$. The graphs of

$$
\Sigma_{j}=\left\{\xi: \lambda_{j}(\xi)=1\right\} \quad(j=1,2)
$$

are given in Figure 1. The shapes of the corresponding wave surfaces are drawn in Figure 2.

Examples arise more naturally in the case of elastic waves in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ (See [1], [3]). In this case the characteristic equation has six roots. The three positive roots lead to surfaces $\Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ and $\Sigma_{3}$. The estimates of this paper deal with the "regularly hyperbolic" equations, in which these surfaces are disjoint. In [3], Duff uses a perturbed equation similar to the one in Figure 1 to examine the singular case where the surfaces intersect. It is not clear however what such a perturbation will do to the $L^{p}$ estimates of this paper.
3. The $L^{p}$ estimates. Let $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)=L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if $1<p<\infty, V^{1}=H^{1}$, and $V^{\infty}=$ BMO.

## Theorem 2. Let $T_{t}$ be the transformation with Fourier multiplier

$$
m(\xi)=\sin (t \lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi)
$$

where $\lambda(\xi)$ satisfies (i) and (ii). Then $T_{t}$ is a bounded linear operator from $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if
(3) $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{n+1-2 \tau_{2}}<\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+1-2 \tau_{2}}$
where $\tau_{2}<1$ is the constant in (i). The operator norm of $T_{t}$ satisfies

$$
\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C t \text { for all } 0<t \leqq 1
$$

From (3) it is evident that Theorem 1 with $\tau_{2}=1$ would give the same range of $p$ as when the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish, except that the endpoints would be missing.
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Proof. We will first show that the inhomogeneous case follows from the estimates where $\lambda$ is homogeneous. Suppose $\lambda^{*}$ is the homogeneous part of $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sin (t \lambda)}{\lambda} & =\frac{\sin \left(t \lambda^{*}\right)}{\lambda^{*}}\left\{\cos \left(t\left(\lambda-\lambda^{*}\right)\right) \frac{\lambda^{*}}{\lambda}\right\} \\
& +\left\{\frac{\cos \left(t \lambda^{*}\right) \sin \left(t\left(\lambda-\lambda^{*}\right)\right)}{\lambda}\right\} \\
& \equiv \frac{\sin \left(t \lambda^{*}\right)}{\lambda^{*}} m_{1}(\xi)+m_{2}(\xi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\xi}^{\beta} m_{1}(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{\beta}|\xi|^{-|\beta|} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\beta}$ is independent of $t$, then $m_{1}$ is a bounded multiplier on $L^{p}$, $1<p<\infty$, and on $H^{1}$ and BMO. Similarly,

$$
\left|D_{\xi}^{\beta} m_{2}(\xi)\right| \leqq\left. C_{\beta} t \xi\right|^{-|\beta|} \quad 0<t \leqq 1 .
$$

Therefore to prove Theorem 2 it suffices to consider a homogeneous phase function $\lambda(\xi)$. In fact, if $\lambda(\xi)$ is homogeneous then we may assume that $t=1$.

By the Plancherel Theorem, $(I-\Delta)^{1 / 2} T_{1}$ is bounded from $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to itself. We will prove that $(I-\Delta)^{-(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} T_{1}$ is bounded on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for every $\tau<\tau_{2}$. Since $(I-\Delta)^{i y}$ is a bounded linear operator on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for $y \in R$, an interpolation using the analytic family of operators $(I-\Delta)^{z} T_{1}$ shows that $T_{1}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to itself where

$$
\frac{1-\sigma}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{1}=\frac{1}{p} \quad \text { and } \quad(1-\sigma)+\sigma(-1)(n-1-2 \tau) \frac{1}{2}=0 .
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{2}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+1-2 \tau} .
$$

The corresponding estimates for $2<p<\infty$ follow from duality.
If $K_{0}$ is the kernel for the transformation $(I-\Delta)^{-(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} T_{1}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{K}_{0}(\xi) & =\frac{\left(1+(\lambda(\xi))^{2}\right)^{-(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} \sin (\lambda(\xi))}{\lambda(\xi)} \\
& \times\left(\frac{1+(\lambda(\xi))^{2}}{1+|\xi|^{2}}\right)^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last expression on the right satisfies (4) it suffices to consider $K(x)$ where

$$
\hat{K}(\xi)=\left(1+(\lambda(\xi))^{2}\right)^{-(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} \sin (\lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi) .
$$

It is natural to calculate the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{K}$ by integrating first over the surfaces $\{\xi: \lambda(\xi)=r\}$. Let $\Sigma=\{\xi: \lambda(\xi)=1\}$.

$$
K(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi} \frac{\sin r}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} r} r^{n-1} g\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime} d r
$$

where $d \xi^{\prime}$ is surface area on $\Sigma$ and $r^{n-1} g\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is the Jacobian for the change of coordinates.

Because $\lambda$ is smooth in $\mathbf{R}^{n}-\{0\}$ and positively homogeneous of degree one then

$$
\xi^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=1 \quad \text { for any } \xi^{\prime} \in \Sigma
$$

Since $\xi^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) /\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|$ is the cosine of the angle between the radius that

$$
g\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\xi^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) /\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}
$$

If $d \mu=g d \xi^{\prime}$ then

$$
\hat{d \mu}(-r x)=\int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi} g\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime} \quad \xi=r \xi^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \widehat{d \mu}(-r x)(\sin r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-(n-1-2 \tau) / 4} r^{n-2} d r \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (i),

$$
\widehat{d \mu}(-r x)=(r|x|)^{-(n-1) / 2 \mathscr{P}(-r x)}+h(-r x)
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \int_{|x|=R}|h(-r x)| d x \leqq C(R r)^{-(n-1) / 2-\tau_{2}} .
$$

Therefore
(6) $\quad \int_{|x|=R}|K(x)| d x$

$$
=\int_{|x|=R}\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{P}(-r x) \frac{(\sin r) r^{(n-3) / 2}}{r_{1}^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 2}} d r\right| d x+H(R)
$$

where $r_{1}=\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H(R)| \leqq C \int_{0}^{\infty} R^{n-1} \frac{(R r)^{-(n-1) / 2-\tau_{2}}}{r_{1}^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 2}} r^{n-2} d r \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leqq C R^{(n-1) / 2-\tau_{2}}
$$

since $\tau<\tau_{2}$.
By Theorem 1,

$$
\mathscr{P}(-r x)=\sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)} e^{i \gamma \pi / 4} e^{i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}}(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2}\left|K\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1 / 2}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}
$$

where $A(x)=A(-x)$ is the set of points in $\Sigma=\{\xi: \lambda(\xi)=1\}$ such that the normal to $\Sigma$ at $\xi$ is parallel to $x$. Thus the main term of (6) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{|x|=R} \left\lvert\, \sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)} \frac{e^{i \gamma \pi / 4}(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2}}{R^{(n-1) / 2}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}\right.  \tag{8}\\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}} \frac{(\sin r) r^{(n-3) / 2}}{r_{1}^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 2}} d r \right\rvert\, d x \\
& \leqq \frac{C}{R^{(n-1) / 2}} \int_{|x|=R} \sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)}\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1 / 2} \\
& \times\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{r}{r_{1}}\right)^{(n-3) / 2} \frac{\sin r}{r_{1}^{1-\tau}} d r\right| d x .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\tau<1$ then an integration by parts shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{r}{r_{1}}\right)^{(n-3) / 2} \sin r \frac{d r}{r_{1}^{1-\tau}}\right| \leqq\left|\int_{0}^{1}\right|+\left|\int_{1}^{\infty}\right| \leqq C . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is a consequence of Theorem 1 that

$$
\int_{|x|=R} \sum_{\xi \in A(x)}\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1 / 2} d x \leqq C R^{n-1}
$$

This combined with (7) shows that

$$
\int_{|x|=R}|K(x)| d x \leqq c R^{(n-1) / 2}+c R^{(n-1) / 2-\tau}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \leqq 1}|K(x)| d x \leqq C . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates obtained thus far take care of the region $\{|x| \leqq 1\}$. If $|x|>1$ then we begin by integrating by parts in (5). To integrate

$$
\widehat{d \mu}(-r x)=\int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi \cdot \xi}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-1} d \xi^{\prime}
$$

with respect to $r$ it is convenient to introduce a partition of unity on $\Sigma$. Suppose that $x$ is restricted to a narrow cone $\Gamma$. The cone $\Gamma$ is chosen so
narrow that $\left|x_{1} \cdot \xi_{2}^{\prime}\right| / R$ is bounded away from zero for $\xi_{2}^{\prime} \in A\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1} \in \Gamma$, $x_{2} \in \Gamma$. This is possible since if $\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)$ then

$$
\left|x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right| / R \geqq C>0
$$

Clearly $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ can be written as a finite union of such cones. Suppose that $\eta$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on $\Sigma$ that equals one in a neighborhood of $\left\{\xi^{\prime}: \xi^{\prime} \in A(x)\right.$ for some $x \in \Gamma\}$ and $\eta$ is supported away from the set $\left\{\xi: x \cdot \xi^{\prime}=0\right.$ for some $x \in \Gamma\}$. Then since $(1-\eta)$ is supported in the part of $\Sigma$ that is transverse to planes where $x \cdot \xi$ is constant, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi}(1-\eta)\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-1} d \xi^{\prime}\right| \leqq C_{N}(1+R r)^{-N}
$$

for any $N>0$. Also, by integrating

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{d \widetilde{\mu}}(-r x) \sin (r x) \frac{r^{n-2}}{r_{1}^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 2}} d r  \tag{13}\\
& =\frac{1}{(x \cdot \xi)^{k}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{d \widetilde{\mu}}(-r x) \frac{d^{k}}{d r^{k}}\left\{\frac{(\sin r) r^{n-2}}{r_{1}^{(n-1-2 \tau) / 2}}\right\} d r
\end{align*}
$$

where $d \widetilde{\mu}=\eta d \mu$. The integral in (13) is similar to (5) except for the factor

$$
\left|\left(x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-k} \leqq c R^{-k}
$$

Therefore, calculating as before and summing over the cones $\Gamma$ gives

$$
\int_{|x|=R}|K(x)| d x \leqq c R^{-k}\left\{c R^{(n-1) / 2}+c R^{(n-1) / 2-\tau}\right\}
$$

If $k$ is chosen large enough this shows that $K$ is integrable over the region $\{|x| \geqq 1\}$. This together with (10) completes the proof of Theorem 2.

The obstacle to getting a bounded operator on a large range of $p$ is the error term $h(x)$ in Theorem 1. To further illustrate this we will calculate the kernel $K(x)$ if $\tau_{2}=1$ in a simple case: $n=3$ and $\Sigma$ is convex and symmetric with respect to the origin. That is, $x \in \Sigma$ implies $-x \in \Sigma$. Since the integral in (8) does not make sense when $\tau_{2}=1, K$ must be considered as the distributional inverse Fourier transform of the function

$$
m(\xi)=\sin (\lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi)
$$

If $\varphi$ is any $C^{\infty}$ function in the Schwartz class $\mathscr{S}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} K(x) \varphi(x) d x & =(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} m(\xi) \hat{\varphi}(\xi) d \xi  \tag{14}\\
& =(2 \pi)^{-3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin r}{r} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \varphi(x)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\times \int_{\Sigma} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} \frac{d \xi^{\prime}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|} d x r^{2} d r .
$$

According to Theorem 1 the principal part of the integral over $\Sigma$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(x)=\sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)} \frac{2 \pi e^{i \pi \gamma / 4} e^{-i x \cdot \xi}}{r|x|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|K\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the multiplier is even we may assume that $\lambda \geqq 0$. We will show that this part of $K(x)$ is a measure supported on the wave surface corresponding to

$$
\Sigma=\{\xi: \lambda(\xi)=1\}
$$

The wave surface is the set

$$
W=\{x=\nabla \lambda(\xi): \lambda(\xi)=1\} .
$$

Except where the curvature of $\Sigma$ at $\xi$ vanishes the corresponding part of $W$ is a smooth ( $n-1$ )-dimensional manifold in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ that crosses each ray from the origin at most once. The points of zero curvature in $\Sigma$ correspond to cusps in $W$, as in Figures 1 and 2. See also [1] and [3]. In the present calculation, since $\Sigma$ is convex, $W$ is star-shaped with respect to the origin. Therefore there is a function $\lambda^{\#}$ that is positively homogeneous of degree one in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
W=\left\{x: \lambda^{\#}(x)=1\right\} .
$$

The wave surface $W$ is dual to the "slowness surface" $\Sigma$ in the sense that

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\xi=\nabla \lambda^{\#}(x): \lambda^{\#}(x)=1\right\} .
$$

Consider the Gauss map

$$
\xi^{\prime} \rightarrow \theta=\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) /\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|
$$

from $\Sigma$ to the unit sphere. The Gaussian curvature measures the change of area: $\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime}=d \theta$ where $d \theta$ is surface area on the unit sphere. Because $\lambda$ is homogeneous of degree one,

$$
\xi^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=\lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

Since the cosine of the angle between $\xi^{\prime}$ and $x^{\prime}=\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is

$$
\left.\xi^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) /\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|=1 /\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| \mid \nabla \lambda \xi^{\prime}\right) \mid
$$

then $d x^{\prime}=\left|x^{\prime}\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| d \theta$. Therefore

$$
d x^{\prime}=\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\left|x^{\prime}\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| d \xi
$$

where $d \xi^{\prime}, d x^{\prime}$ are surface area on $\Sigma$ and $W$ respectively. Similarly using the function $\lambda^{\#}$ associated to the wave surface,

$$
d \xi^{\prime}=\kappa^{\#}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left|x^{\prime}\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right| d x^{\prime}
$$

where $\kappa^{\#}$ is the curvature on $W$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \kappa^{\#}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{\prime}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}=1 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This argument leading to (16) is taken from [3]. Because of the duality between $\Sigma$ and $W$ and the fact that $\Sigma$ is convex, $\nabla \lambda$ and $\nabla \lambda^{\#}$ are inverses. Therefore it follows from the definition of $A(x)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(x) & =\left\{\xi^{\prime} \in \Sigma: \nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \text { is parallel to } x\right\} \\
& =\left\{\nabla \lambda^{\#}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \nabla \lambda^{\#}\left((-x)^{\prime}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also the convexity of $\Sigma$ implies that

$$
\gamma\left(\nabla \lambda^{\#}\left(( \pm x)^{\prime}\right)\right)= \pm 2 .
$$

Finally,

$$
x \cdot \xi^{\prime}= \pm \lambda^{\#}( \pm x)( \pm x)^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \lambda^{\#}\left(( \pm x)^{\prime}\right)= \pm \lambda^{\#}( \pm x) .
$$

Since $\Sigma$ is symmetric this last expression is

$$
\pm \lambda^{\#}(x) \equiv \pm \rho .
$$

Putting all this information into (15) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}(x) & =\frac{2 \pi\left|\kappa\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}{r|x|}\left\{e^{-i r \rho+i \pi / 2}+e^{i r \rho-i \pi / 2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{4 \pi\left|\kappa\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}{r \rho\left|x^{\prime}\right|} \sin (r \rho) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\Phi(\rho)=\frac{\rho}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{W} \varphi\left(\rho x^{\prime}\right) \frac{\left|\kappa\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} d x^{\prime}}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda^{\#}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|} \quad \text { if } \rho>0
$$

and $\Phi(\rho)=0$ if $\rho \leqq 0$. Then the part of (14) that is associated with $\mathscr{P}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \pi)^{-3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \sin r \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \varphi(x) \frac{4 \pi\left|\kappa\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}{\rho\left|x^{\prime}\right|} \sin (r \rho) d x d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \sin r \int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(\rho) \sin (r \rho) d \rho d r \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin r \hat{\Phi}(r) d r=\frac{\pi}{2}(\Phi(1)-\Phi(-1))=\frac{\pi}{2} \Phi(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{W} \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \frac{\left|\kappa\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} d x^{\prime}}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left(\nabla \lambda^{\#}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid\right.} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the part of $K$ associated with $\mathscr{P}$ is a measure on $W$ and hence is a bounded operator on $L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{3}\right)$. This seems to suggest that $T_{t}$ might be bounded for the full range $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. The problem is in knowing how to take care of $h$.

Theorem 3. Let $T_{t}$ be the transformation with Fourier multiplier

$$
m(\xi)=e^{i t \lambda(\xi)} m_{1}(\xi)
$$

where $\lambda(\xi)$ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii) and $m_{1}(\xi)$ is such that for every $\beta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\xi}^{\beta} m_{1}(\xi)\right| \leqq C_{\beta}(1+|\xi|)^{-1-|\beta|} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $T_{t}$ is a bounded linear operator from $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if $p$ satisfies (3). The operator norm of $T_{t}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C_{\alpha} t^{\alpha|1 / p-1 / 2|} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq t<\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=n$ if $\tau_{2}>1 / 2$ and $\alpha>n+1-2 \tau_{2}$ if $\tau_{2} \leqq 1 / 2$.
If on the other hand $\lambda(\xi)$ is positively homogeneous of degree one and satisfies (i) then $T_{t}$ is bounded on $V^{p}$ for $p$ in the interval of (3) and

$$
\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C t \quad 1 \leqq t<\infty
$$

Proof. If $n=1$, the problem of the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not arise. We will therefore assume that $n \geqq 2$.

Suppose that $\lambda(\xi)$ is homogeneous. By composing with a multiplier satisfying (4) we see that it suffices to consider the multiplier

$$
m(\xi)=e^{i t \lambda(\xi)}\left(1+|\lambda(\xi)|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

But

$$
m(\xi)=\frac{\cos (t \lambda(\xi))}{\left(1+(\lambda(\xi))^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{i \sin (t \lambda(\xi))}{\lambda(\xi)} \frac{\lambda(\xi)}{\left(1+|\lambda(\xi)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

From Theorem 2 and (4) it is clear that the second expression on the right is a bounded multiplier on $V^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. For the first expression it is necessary to show that

$$
\cos (\lambda(\xi))\left(\lambda(\xi)^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

is bounded on $V^{p}$ with norm independent of $t$ for $t \geqq 1$. This calculation is similar to the one carried out for $\sin (\lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi)$ in the proof of Theorem 2.

Now suppose that $\lambda(\xi)$ is not homogeneous. Let $\varphi(s)$ be a $C^{\infty}$ function of compact support on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is identically equal to one in a neighborhood of the origin. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{i t \lambda}}{\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_{*}}{t}\right) \frac{e^{i i \lambda}}{\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\left(1-\varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_{*}}{t}\right)\right) e^{i t\left(\lambda-\lambda_{*}\right)} \frac{e^{i t \lambda_{*}}}{\left(1+|\xi|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} .
$$

The multiplier $\left\{1-\varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_{*}}{t}\right)\right\} \exp \left(i t\left(\lambda-\lambda_{*}\right)\right)$ satisfies (4). Therefore an application of the homogeneous part of this theorem shows that the second part of (19) is a bounded multiplier on $L^{p}$ with operator norm $\leqq C t \leqq C t^{n / 2}$. This leaves just the first multiplier in (19). The rest of the proof follows from the next lemmas.

Lemma 1. Suppose that $z>(n+1) / 2-\tau_{2}$ and $\tau_{2}>1 / 2$. The operator with Fourier multiplier $\varphi\left(\lambda_{*} / t\right) e^{i t \lambda}\left(1+\lambda_{*}^{2}\right)^{-z / 2}$ is bounded from $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm $\leqq C t^{n / 2}$ for $t \geqq 1$.
If $\tau_{2} \leqq 1 / 2$ then for every $\tau<\tau_{2}$ the operator is bounded on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm $\leqq C_{\tau} t^{(n+1) / 2-\tau}$ for $t \geqq 1$.

Lemma 2. If $\tau_{2}>1 / 2$ and $n=2$ then $\varphi\left(\lambda_{*} / t\right) e^{i t \lambda}\left(1+\lambda_{*}^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ is bounded on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with n $\mathbf{n} r m \leqq C t$ for $t \geqq 1$.

Lemma 2 completes the lower dimensional case $n=2$. The operator in Lemma 1 is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if $\operatorname{Re} z=0$. Therefore an interpolation proves that

$$
\varphi\left(\frac{\lambda_{*}}{t}\right) \exp (i t \lambda)\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

is a bounded multiplier on $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ with norm $\leqq C t^{\gamma}$ if

$$
\frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{n+1-2 \tau_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma>\max \left(1, n /\left(n+1-2 \tau_{2}\right)\right)
$$

If $\tau_{2}>1 / 2$ we may take $\gamma=1$.
Thus the proof of Theorem 3 will be complete when we prove Lemmas 1 and 2.

Lemma 3. If $|g(r)| \leqq C(1+r)^{-5 / 2}, h(r)=t \sigma(r)+\rho r$ or $h(r)=$ $t \sigma(r)-\rho r$ and $H(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \exp (i h(r)) d r$ then

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{t} H(r) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { for } t \geqq 1
$$

where $C$ is a constant independent of $t$ and $\rho$.
Proof. Since $\left|d^{2} h / d r^{2}\right| \geqq C t(1+r)^{-L-2}$ then by van der Corput's lemma ([13], p. 197)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |H(r)| \leqq C(1+r)^{(L+2) / 2} t^{-1 / 2} \text { and } \\
& \left|\int_{0}^{1} H(r) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

we may assume that $\sigma \geqq 0$. If $h(r)=t \sigma(r)+\rho r$ then $h^{\prime}(r) \geqq C t$. Since

$$
|H(r)-H(1)| \leqq C / t
$$

then

$$
\left|\int_{1}^{t} H(r) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2}
$$

If $h(r)=t \sigma(r)-\rho r$ then $h^{\prime}(r)$ can have at most one zero. Call this zero $r_{0}$. Let

$$
[a, b]=[1, t] \cap\left[r_{0} / 2,2 r_{0}\right]
$$

where $a=t$ if $r_{0} \geqq 2 t$ and $b=1$ if $2 r_{0} \leqq 1$. If $r_{0}$ does not exist then $a=t$ or $b=1$ depending on which of $\left|h^{\prime}(\infty)\right|$ and $\left|h^{\prime}(0)\right|$ is the smaller. If $r \in(1, a)$ and $s \in(1, r)$ then

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(s)\right| \geqq\left|h^{\prime}(2 s)-h^{\prime}(s)\right| \geqq C \int_{s}^{2 s} \frac{t d y}{(1+y)^{L+2}} \geqq C t / s^{2}>C t / r^{2}
$$

This means that $|H(r)| \leqq C r^{2} / t$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{1}^{a} H(r) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s \in(b, r)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h^{\prime}(s)\right| & >\left|h^{\prime}(s)-h^{\prime}(s / 2)\right| \\
& \geqq C \int_{s / 2}^{s} \frac{t d y}{(1+y)^{L+2}} \geqq C t / s^{2}>C t / r^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $|H(r)-H(b)| \leqq C r^{2} / t$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{b}^{t}(H(r)-H(b)) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{b}^{t} H(b) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2}(1+b)^{3 / 2} b^{-3 / 2} \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally if $r \in\left[r_{0} / 2,2 r_{0}\right]$ we use van der Corput's lemma

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{a}^{b} H(b) g(r) d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} \int_{r_{0} / 2}^{2 r_{0}} \frac{d r}{r}=C t^{-i / 2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The combination of (20), (21), (22), and (23) completes the proof.
Proof of Lemma 1. Again, by using (4) it suffices to consider the multiplier

$$
\boldsymbol{\varphi}\left(\lambda_{*} / t\right) e^{i t \lambda}\left(1+\lambda_{*}^{2}\right)^{-z / 2} .
$$

The kernel for this transformation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)=C \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \lambda} e^{i x \cdot \xi}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-z / 2} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{d \xi^{\prime} r^{n-1} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we will show that $\|K\|_{1} \leqq C t^{\beta}$ where

$$
\beta=\max \left(n / 2,(n+1) / 2-\tau_{2}\right)
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2 we will consider two regions separately:

$$
U_{1}=\{x:|x| \leqq t\} \quad \text { and } \quad U_{2}=\{x:|x|>t\} \quad t \geqq 1 .
$$

Case 1. $\left(x \in U_{1}\right)$ Integrate by parts in (14):

$$
\begin{aligned}
K(x) & =\frac{C}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{i \lambda^{\prime}} e^{i t \lambda} \frac{d}{d r}\left\{e^{i x \cdot \xi}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-z / 2} r^{n-1} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right\} \\
& \times \frac{d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|} \\
& =\frac{C}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma}\left(\frac{x \cdot \xi^{\prime}}{\lambda^{\prime}}\right) e^{i t \lambda+i x \xi} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{z / 2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \\
& \times \frac{d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}+E_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda^{\prime}=d \lambda / d r$. $E_{\mathrm{l}}(x)$ is the term arising from

$$
\frac{d}{d r}\left\{\frac{r^{n-1}}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2 / 2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right\}
$$

Because of (i)

$$
\int_{|x|=R}\left|E_{1}(x)\right| d x \leqq \frac{C}{t}(\log t) R^{(n-1) / 2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \leqq t}\left|E_{1}(x)\right| d x \leqq \frac{C(\log t)}{t} t^{(n+1) / 2} \leqq C t^{n / 2} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we may use (i) to write

$$
K(x)=\frac{C}{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathscr{P}(g)(-r x) \frac{e^{i t \sigma}}{\sigma^{\prime}} \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{|x|^{(n-1) / 2} r_{1}^{2}}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r+E_{2}(x)
$$

where

$$
\sigma(r)=\lambda(\xi) \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(x, \xi^{\prime}\right)=\left(x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|^{2}\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)^{-1}
$$

since $\lambda^{\prime}=\sigma^{\prime}\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|$. Also

$$
\int_{|x|=R}\left|E_{2}(x)\right| d x \leqq \frac{C R^{n}}{t R^{(n-1) / 2}} \int_{0}^{C t} \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{(R r)^{\tau_{2}} r_{1}^{2}} d r
$$

The integral is bounded either by $R^{-\tau_{2}} \log t$ or $R^{-\tau_{2}}$ depending on whether $\tau_{2}>1 / 2$ or $\tau_{2} \leqq 1 / 2$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{|x| \leqq t}\left|E_{2}(x)\right| d x & \leqq \begin{cases}C t^{(n+1) / 2-\tau_{2}} & \tau_{2} \leqq 1 / 2 \\
C t^{(n+1) / 2-\tau_{2}} \log t & \tau_{2}>1 / 2\end{cases}  \tag{26}\\
& \leqq C t^{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

The wave surface is the union of finitely many smooth surfaces $W_{j}$ with corresponding functions $\lambda_{j}^{\#}$. If $\Gamma_{j}$ is the cone generated by $W_{j}$ then

$$
W_{j}=\left\{x \in \Gamma_{j}: \lambda_{j}^{\#}(x)=1\right\} .
$$

Each point $\xi^{\prime}$ in $A(x)$ is of the form $\nabla \lambda_{j}^{\#}( \pm x)$ for some $j$. Thus

$$
x \cdot \xi^{\prime}= \pm( \pm x) \cdot \nabla \lambda_{j}^{\#}( \pm x)= \pm \lambda_{j}^{\#}( \pm x)
$$

Let $\left\{\rho_{k}\right\}$ be an ordering of the set

$$
\cup_{j}\left\{\lambda_{j}^{\#}(x)\right\} \cup \cup_{j}\left\{-\lambda_{j}^{\#}(-x)\right\} .
$$

Then group the terms of $\mathscr{P}(g)$ accordingly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{P}(g)(-r x) & =\sum_{\xi^{\prime} \in A(x)} \frac{x \cdot \xi^{\prime} e^{i \gamma \pi / 4}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|} e^{i r x \cdot \xi^{\prime}}(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2}\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{-1 / 2} \\
& \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{M(x)} G_{k}(x) e^{i \rho_{k} r}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\int_{|x|=R}\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \chi_{\Gamma_{k}}(x) d x \leqq C R^{n}
$$

For example, for the wave surface of Figure 2 many directions have a sum over six terms since a straight line through the origin can intersect $W$ at six points.

Let $h(r)=t \sigma(r)+\rho_{k} r$. We are now left to consider the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{k}(x)=\frac{C G_{k}(x)}{t R^{(n-1) / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i h(r)} \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will first estimate these integrals assuming $z=(n-1) / 2$. Define $H(r)$ to be the primitive of $\exp (i h(r))$ with $H(0)=0$ (as in Lemma 3). Another integration by parts shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{k}(x) & =\frac{C G_{k}(x)}{t R^{(n-1) / 2}} \lim _{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\
\epsilon \rightarrow 0}}\left\{\left.H(r) \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right|_{\epsilon} ^{N}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{\epsilon}^{N} H(r) \frac{d}{d r}\left\{\frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right\} d r\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The integrals over $\{|x|=R\}$ of the boundary term at $r=\epsilon$ go to zero as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The boundary term as $N \rightarrow \infty$ is zero since $\varphi$ has compact support. We split the integral into two parts according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d r}\left\}=\frac{d}{d r}\left\{\frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{z}}\right\} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)+\frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{t} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right. \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first term in (28) we use Lemma 3. The derivative is

$$
\left|\frac{d}{d r}\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\frac{r}{r_{1}}\right)^{(n-1) / 2}\right\}\right| \leqq C(1+r)^{-3} .
$$

Therefore Lemma 3 shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{C t} H(r) \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{d}{d r}\left\{\frac{1}{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\frac{r}{r_{1}}\right)^{(n-1) / 2}\right\} d r\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term of (28) is supported in an interval of the form $C_{1} t \leqq r$ $\leqq C_{2} t$. If $\rho_{k} \geqq 0$ then $h^{\prime}(r) \geqq C t$ and $|H(r)| \leqq C t$. In this case

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\lvert\, \int_{C_{1} t}^{C_{2} t} \frac{1}{t} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) H(r)^{\left.\frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{z}} d r \right\rvert\,}\right.  \tag{30}\\
& \leqq \frac{C}{t^{2}} \int_{C_{1} t}^{C_{2} t} \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{r_{1}^{z}} d r \leqq C t^{n-z-2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose instead that $\rho_{k}<0$. The integral to be considered is

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =C \int_{0}^{\infty} H(r) \frac{r^{(n-1) / 2}}{\sigma^{\prime} r_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{t} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r \\
& =C \int_{0}^{\infty} H(r) \frac{1}{t} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r+E_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left|E_{3}\right| \leqq C t^{-1}$. This integral will be split into two parts according to

$$
H(r)=\left\{H(r)-H\left(C_{1} t\right)\right\}+H\left(C_{1} t\right) .
$$

Call the corresponding integrals $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$. By integration,

$$
J_{1}=\int_{C_{1} t}^{C_{2} t}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) e^{i h(r)} d r .
$$

Clearly $\left|J_{1}\right| \leqq C t$. On the other hand we may integrate $J_{1}$ :

$$
J_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i i_{k} r+i t r}\left\{e^{i t(\sigma-r)} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right\} d r
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|J_{1}\right| & \leqq C \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t}\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|} \\
& +\left|\varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right| \frac{t}{(1+r)^{L+1}} \frac{1}{\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|} d r \\
& \leqq C\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\left|J_{1}\right| \leqq C t^{1 / 4}\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-3 / 4}
$$

Also

$$
J_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} H\left(C_{1} t\right) \frac{1}{t} \varphi^{\prime}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r=\int_{0}^{C_{1} t} e^{i h(r)} d r .
$$

If $R \leqq t$ then $\left|\rho_{k} / t\right| \leqq C$. Therefore $r_{0}$, the solution of

$$
h^{\prime}(r)=t\left(\sigma^{\prime}+\frac{\rho_{k}}{t}\right)=0
$$

is also bounded independent of $R$ and $t$. Thus $r_{0} \leqq C_{3}$. The part of the integral $J_{2}$ over the interval

$$
I_{0}=\left[r_{0}-t^{-1 / 2}, r_{0}+t^{-1 / 2}\right]
$$

is clearly bounded by $C t^{-1 / 2}$. On the other hand if $r \notin I_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| & =\left|h^{\prime}(r)-h^{\prime}\left(r_{0}\right)\right|=\left|\int_{r_{0}}^{r} h^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right| \\
& \geqq C t\left|\int_{\mathrm{r}_{0}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{d s}{(1+s)^{L+2}}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r \leqq 2 C_{3}$ then

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| \geqq C t\left|\int_{r_{0}}^{r} d s\right| \geqq C \sqrt{t}
$$

If $r \geqq 2 C_{3}$ then

$$
\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| \geqq C t\left|(1+r)^{-L-1}-\left(1+r_{0}\right)^{-L-1}\right| \geqq C t .
$$

Therefore outside $I_{0},\left|h^{\prime}(r)\right| \geqq C \sqrt{t}$. This shows that

$$
\left|J_{2}\right| \leqq C t^{-1 / 2}
$$

This completes the analysis of the terms arising from (28). We have shown that

$$
\left|K_{k}(x)\right| \leqq \frac{C\left|G_{k}(x)\right|}{t R^{(n-1) / 2}}\left\{t^{-1 / 2}+t^{1 / 4}\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-3 / 4}\right\}
$$

The calculations from (27) have been under the assumption that $z=(n-1) / 2$ so that Lemma 3 could be applied in (29). If however $z=\gamma+(n-1) / 2$ where $\gamma>0$ then the integral in (27) equals

$$
-\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\int_{0}^{s} e^{i h(r)} \frac{1}{\sigma^{\prime}}\left(\frac{r}{r_{1}}\right)^{(n-1) / 2} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) d r\right\} \frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}^{\gamma}}\right) d s
$$

This is dominated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{t^{-1 / 2}+t^{1 / 4}\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-3 / 4}\right\}\left|\frac{d}{d s}\left(\frac{1}{s_{1}^{\gamma}}\right)\right| d s \\
& \leqq C\left\{t^{-1 / 2}+t^{1 / 4}\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-3 / 4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore from (25), (26), and (27),

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{|x| \leqq t}|K(x)| d x & \leqq C t^{\beta}+C \sum_{k} \int_{|x| \leqq t} \frac{\left|G_{k}(x)\right|}{t R^{(n-1) / 2}} \chi_{\Gamma_{k}}(x)  \tag{31}\\
& \times\left\{t^{-1 / 2}+t^{1 / 4}\left|t+\rho_{k}\right|^{-3 / 4}\right\} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

The first term can be approximated by using polar coordinates:

$$
\leqq C \sum_{k} t^{-3 / 2} \int_{0}^{t} R^{-(n-1) / 2} R^{n} d R=C t^{n / 2}
$$

For the second term it is more natural to integrate over the level sets of $\lambda_{k}^{\#}$. Suppose that
(32) $\int_{\lambda_{k}^{\#}(x)=s}\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \chi_{\Gamma_{k}}(x) \frac{\left|\lambda_{k}^{\#}(x)\right|}{|x|\left|\nabla \lambda_{k}^{\#}(x)\right|} d x \leqq C s^{n}$.

Since $\left|\rho_{k}\right| \leqq c R$, the second term is bounded by

$$
C \sum_{k} t^{-3 / 4} \int_{0}^{c t} s^{-(n-1) / 2} s^{n}|t-s|^{-3 / 4} d s \leqq C t^{n / 2}
$$

To prove (32) it suffices to show that

$$
\sum_{k}\left|\int_{\lambda_{k}^{\neq}(x)}, \chi_{\Gamma_{k}}(x) \frac{d x}{\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}}\right| \leqq C
$$

where $\xi^{\prime}=\nabla \lambda_{j}^{\#}(x)$. By the argument leading to (16) this equals

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \frac{\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2}} d \xi^{\prime} \leqq C \int_{\Sigma}\left|\kappa\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{1 / 2} d \xi^{\prime} \leqq C
$$

since the curvature of $\Sigma$ is bounded and $\Sigma$ has finite area. This completes the proof that

$$
\int_{|x| \leqq t}|K(x)| d x \leqq C t^{\beta}+C t^{n / 2}
$$

Case 2. $\left(x \in U_{2}\right)$. This calculation in this region is similar to that of Case 1. The kernel is given by

$$
K(x)=C \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \lambda} e^{i x \cdot \xi} r^{n-1} r_{1}^{-z} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}
$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2 we will estimate $K(x)$ in a small conic neighborhood of a point $x_{0}$. Since $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is covered by finitely many such neighborhoods this will be sufficient. Let $\eta$ be a function in $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}-\{0\}\right)$ that is homogeneous of degree zero such that $x_{0} \cdot \xi /\left|x_{0}\right||\xi|$ is bounded away from zero in the support of $\eta$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ in a conic neighborhood $\Gamma_{0}$ of the set $A\left(x_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\left|\int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi}(1-\eta(\xi)) \frac{d \xi^{\prime}}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}\right| \leqq C_{N}(1+R r)^{-N}
$$

for any $N>0$. This part of $K(x)$ equals

$$
\begin{align*}
& C \int_{0}^{R^{\epsilon-1}} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \lambda} e^{i x \cdot \xi} r^{n-1} r_{1}^{-z}(1-\eta(\xi)) \frac{d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}  \tag{33}\\
& +O\left(\int_{\mathrm{R}^{\epsilon-1}}^{\mathrm{t}} r^{(n-1) / 2}(1+R r)^{-N} d r\right)
\end{align*}
$$

If $\epsilon>0$ this error term is integrable over the region $|x| \geqq t$ and the integral is less than $C t^{(n-1) / 2}$ when $N$ is sufficiently large. In the main term of (33) it is possible to replace $r_{1}$ and $\exp (i t \lambda(r))$ by 1 and $\exp (i t \lambda(0))$ leaving errors that are less than $C t^{n / 2}$ if $(n+2) \epsilon<2$ and $(n+1) \epsilon<1$ respectively. But

$$
\int_{0}^{R^{\kappa}-1} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi}(1-\eta(\xi)) \frac{r^{n-1} d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}
$$

is, except for an error less than $C R^{-\epsilon N}$, the inverse Fourier transform of $1-\eta(\xi)$. Clearly $\eta$ can also be chosen so that

$$
\int_{|\xi|=1}(1-\eta(\xi)) d \xi=0
$$

Then $K_{0}(x)=(1-\eta)(-x)$ is the kernel of a Calderon-Zygmund singular integral operator, which is bounded on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$.

This now leaves

$$
K_{*}(x)=C \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \lambda} e^{i x \cdot \xi} r^{n-1} r_{1}^{-z} \eta\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|}
$$

for $x \in \Gamma$. Integration by parts $k$ times gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{*}(x)=C \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{e^{i x \cdot \xi}}{\left(-i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{k}} \frac{d^{k}}{d r^{k}}\left\{\frac{r^{n-1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) e^{i t \lambda}\right\} \frac{\eta\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime} d r}{\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k>(n+3) / 2$. The main part of (34) is

$$
K_{1}(x)=C t^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t \lambda}\left(x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{-k} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \frac{d^{k}}{d r^{k}}\left\{\frac{r^{n-1}}{r_{1}^{2}}\right\} d \widetilde{\mu}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right) d r
$$

This integral is similar to (24) except for the factor $t^{k}\left(x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{-k}$. Since $\left(x \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{-k} \eta\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)$ is a smooth function on $\Sigma$ bounded by $C R^{-k}$ the calculations of Case 1 lead to an estimate similar to (31) except that the factor $(t / R)^{k}$ will make it integrable over the region $|x| \geqq t$. Therefore as in Case 1 ,

$$
\int_{|x| \geqq t}\left|K_{1}(x)\right| \chi_{\Gamma} d x \leqq C t^{\beta}+C t^{n / 2}
$$

The terms of

$$
g(r)=\frac{d^{k}}{d r^{k}}\left\{\frac{r^{n-1}}{r_{1}^{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) e^{i t \lambda}\right\}
$$

in which $\varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)$ is differentiated at least once satisfy

$$
\left.\left|g_{2}(r)\right| \leqq\left. C t^{k-2} r^{n / 2} r_{1}^{-1 / 2}\right|_{\varphi}\left(\frac{r}{t}\right) \right\rvert\, .
$$

If the corresponding integral is $K_{2}(x)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|=R}\left|K_{2}(x)\right| \chi_{\Gamma} d x & \leqq C t^{k-2} R^{-k} \int_{0}^{t}(R / r)^{(n-1) / 2} r^{n / 2} r_{1}^{-1 / 2} d r \\
& \leqq C t^{k-1} R^{(n-1) / 2-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

The other terms of $g(r)$ are less than

$$
\left|g_{3}(r)\right| \leqq C t^{k-1} r^{n / 2} r_{1}^{-3 / 2}\left|\varphi\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)\right|
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x|=R}\left|K_{3}(x)\right| \chi_{\Gamma} d x & \leqq C t^{k-1} R^{-k} \int_{0}^{t}(R / r)^{(n-1) / 2} r^{n / 2} r_{1}^{-3 / 2} d r \\
& \leqq C t^{k-1} R^{(n-1) / 2-k} \log t .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\int_{|x| \geqq t}\left|K_{2}+K_{3}\right| \chi_{\Gamma} d x \leqq C t^{(n-1) / 2}(1+\log t) \leqq C t^{n / 2}
$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is now complete.
Lemma 2 is a consequence of Lemma 1 since $\left(1+\lambda_{*}^{2}\right)^{-\epsilon / 2}$ is a bounded multiplier on $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ for every $\epsilon \geqq 0$.
4. The $L^{p}-L^{p^{\prime}}$ estimates. Showing that $T_{t}$ is bounded from $L^{p}$ to $L^{p^{\prime}}$ involves studying an operator $(I-\Delta)^{-z / 2} T_{t}$ from $H^{1}$ to $L^{\infty}$. This means calculating the $L^{\infty}$ or possibly BMO norm of its kernel. Therefore instead of assuming that the slowness surface

$$
\Sigma=\left\{\xi: \lambda_{*}(\xi)=1\right\}
$$

satisfies Theorem 1 we will assume that for any $C^{\infty}$ function $g$ on $S \times \Sigma=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right):\left|x^{\prime}\right|=1, \xi^{\prime} \in \Sigma\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Sigma} e^{-i x \cdot \xi^{\prime}} g\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) d \xi^{\prime}\right| \leqq C(1+|x|)^{-\nu} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish then $\nu=(n-1) / 2$.
Theorem 4. Let $T_{t}$ be the operator with multiplier $\exp (i t \lambda(\xi)) m_{1}(\xi)$ where $\lambda(\xi)$ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii) and $m_{1}(\xi)$ is as in (17). Then $T_{t}$ is a bounded linear operator from $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ to $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \leqq \frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2(n-v)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\left\|T_{t}\right\| \leqq C_{\beta} t^{-\beta} \quad t \geqq 1
$$

for every $\beta>2 \nu\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
If $\lambda$ is homogeneous and the multiplier is $\sin (t \lambda(\xi)) / \lambda(\xi)$ then clearly by homogeneity we may take $\beta=(n-1)(1 / p-1 / 2)$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem is similar to the case where the curvature of $\Sigma$ does not vanish. This proof appears in [9]. We therefore give only an outline. We will show that $(I-\Delta)^{(1-z) / 2} T_{t} f$ is a bounded operator from $H^{1}$ to $L^{\infty}$ for every $z>n-\nu$ with operator norm $\leqq C t^{-\nu}$. The statements of the theorem then follow by interpolation between this operator and $(I-\Delta)^{1 / 2} T_{t}$, which is bounded on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ by the Plancherel Theorem.

By composing with multipliers satisfying (4) it suffices to consider

$$
m(\xi)=e^{i t \lambda(\xi)}\left(1+\left(\lambda_{*}(\xi)\right)^{2}\right)^{-z / 2}\left(\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|\left|\nabla \lambda_{*}\left(\xi^{\prime}\right)\right|\right)
$$

where $\xi=\lambda_{*}(\xi) \xi^{\prime}$. The kernel associated with this multiplier is

$$
K(x)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} e^{i x \cdot \xi} m(\xi) d \xi=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i x \cdot \xi+i t \sigma(r)} d \xi^{\prime}\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{-z / 2} r^{n-1} d r
$$

Let $k=[\nu]$, the integral part of $\nu$. After integrating by parts $k$ times

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(x)=\left(\frac{i R}{t}\right)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \sigma+i x \cdot \xi}\left(i x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{k} d \xi^{\prime} \frac{r^{n-1} d r}{r_{1}^{z}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{k}}+E(x) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|E\|_{\infty} \leqq C t^{-\nu-1 / 2}$. By (35), the integrand of (37) is bounded by

$$
C r_{1}^{n-1-\nu-z} R^{-\nu}=C r_{1}^{-1-\epsilon} R^{-\nu} \quad \text { where } \epsilon>0
$$

Therefore
(38) $\left|K_{1}(x)\right| \leqq C\left(\frac{R}{t}\right)^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{1}^{-1-\epsilon} R^{-\nu} d r=C\left(\frac{R}{t}\right)^{k} R^{-\nu}$.

If $\nu>k$ then integrate by parts again in (37):

$$
K_{1}(x)=\frac{C R^{k}}{t^{k+1}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \sigma+i x \cdot \xi} g\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right) d \xi^{\prime} d r
$$

where $|g| \leqq C r_{1}^{n-1-z}(R+1 / r)$. Therefore as in (38),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{1}(x)\right| \leqq C\left(\frac{R}{t}\right)^{k+1} R^{-\nu} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k \leqq \nu<k+1$, (38) and (39) together show that $\|K\|_{\infty} \leqq C t^{-\nu}$. This proves Theorem 4.

When the curvature does not vanish a better approximation for the integral in (37) can be obtained by splitting the integral into parts

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}=\int_{0}^{t^{1 / L}}+\int_{t^{1 / L}}^{\infty}
$$

The interval from $t^{1 / L}$ to $\infty$ can be still approximated using (35) so long as $z>n-\nu$, and

$$
\left|\int_{t^{\prime / L}}^{\infty}\right| \leqq C t^{-\nu-\alpha}
$$

if $z=n-\nu+\alpha L$ and $0<\alpha \leqq 1 / 2$. The interval [ $0, t^{1 / L}$ ] however presents a problem because in approximating

$$
\int_{0}^{t^{1 / L}} \int_{\Sigma} e^{i t \sigma+i x \cdot \xi}\left(i x^{\prime} \cdot \xi^{\prime}\right)^{k} d \xi^{\prime} \frac{r^{n-1} d r}{r_{1}^{z}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{k}}
$$

it is necessary to use (35) for the oscillation over $\Sigma$ and van der Corput's lemma for the cancellation in $r$. To do both, as in [8] and [9], it appears necessary to get an expression for the leading term in (35).
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