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Abstract. The discovery of extrasolar planets is arguably the most exciting development in astrophysics during the past 15 years, rivaled only by the detection of dark energy. Two projects are now at the intersection of the two communities of exoplanet scientists and cosmologists: EUCLID, proposed as an ESA M-class mission; and WFIRST, the top-ranked large space mission for the next decade by the Astro 2010 Decadal Survey report. The missions are to have several important science programs: a dark energy survey using weak lensing, baryon acoustic oscillations, Type Ia supernova, a survey of exoplanetary architectures using microlensing, and different surveys. The WFIRST and EUCLID microlensing planet search programs will provide a statistical census of exoplanets with masses greater than the mass of Mars and orbital separations ranging from 0.5 AU outwards, including free-floating planets. This will include analogs of all Solar System planets except for Mercury, as well as most types of planets predicted by planet formation theories. In combination with Kepler’s census of planets in shorter period orbits, EUCLID and WFIRST’s planet search programs will provide a complete statistical census of the planets that populate our Galaxy. As of today, EUCLID is proposed to ESA as a M class mission (the result of the selection will be known in October 2011). We are presenting here preliminary results about the expected planet yields. WFIRST has just appointed a Science Definition Team.
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1. Microlensing today: Super Earths beyond the snow line

Several different methods have been used to discover exoplanets, including radial velocity, stellar transits, direct imaging, pulsar timing, astrometry, and gravitational microlensing (Mao & Paczynski 1991; Gould & Loeb 1992). Microlensing exploits the light deflection effect according to Einstein’s theory of general relativity. So far 12 microlensing exoplanets have been published and whilst this number is relatively modest compared with the radial velocity and transit methods, microlensing probes a part of the parameter space (host separation vs. planet mass) not accessible in the medium term to other methods. The mass distribution of microlensing exoplanets has already revealed that cold super-Earths (at or beyond the snow line and with a mass of around 5 to 15 Earth mass) appear to be common (Beaulieu et al. 2006; Gould et al. 2006; Sumi et al. 2010; Cassan et al. 2011). Detections include a scale 1/2 model of our solar system (Gaudi et al.
2. Space based microlensing and Dark Energy

Ultimately, a comprehensive census of cold planets below Earth masses, including habitable planets, requires a space-based microlensing survey. **Angular resolution is the key to extend sensitivity below a few earth masses**. Microlensing relies upon the high density of source and lens stars towards the Galactic bulge to guarantee the stellar alignments needed to generate microlensing events. But this high star density also means that the bulge main sequence source stars are not generally resolved in ground-based images. This means that the precise photometry needed to detect planets of \( \leq 1M_{\oplus} \) is not possible from the ground unless the magnification due to the stellar lens is moderately high. This, in turn, implies that ground-based microlensing is only sensitive to terrestrial planets located close to the Einstein ring (at \( \sim 2-3 \) AU). The full sensitivity to terrestrial planets in all orbits from 0.5AU to free floating comes only from a space-based survey.

**Microlensing from space yields precise star and planet parameters** The high angular resolution and stable point-spread-functions available from space enable a space-based microlensing survey to detect most of the planetary host stars. When combined with the microlensing light curve data, this allows a precise determination of the planet and star properties for most events (Bennett et al. 2007a).

The first envisioned project was the Galactic Exoplanet Survey Telescope (GEST) (Bennett & Rhie 2002), which was a wide-field optical telescope that focused on exoplanets, but also had significant weak lensing and supernova programs when proposed.
to NASA’s Midex program. But, the microlensing target fields in the Galactic bulge are most easily observed in the infrared, so the GEST concept was superseded by the wide field infrared Microlensing Planet Finder (MPF) mission concept (Bennett et al. 2007b, 2010). In 2008, the Exoplanet Task Force (ExoPTF) released a report (Lunine et al. 2008) that evaluated all of the current and proposed methods to find and study exoplanets, and expressed strong support for space-based microlensing. Its finding regarding space-based microlensing states that: “Space-based microlensing is the optimal approach to providing a true statistical census of planetary systems in the Galaxy, over a range of likely semi-major axes, and can likely be conducted with a Discovery-class mission.” Shortly afterwards the Astro 2010 Decadal Survey ranked as the top priority the WFIRST concept, a wide-field infra-red imager and low resolution spectroscopy on a 1.5m telescope aimed at probing dark energy and exoplanet statistics. It “will open up a new frontier of exoplanet studies by monitoring a large sample of stars in the central bulge of the Milky Way for changes in brightness due to microlensing by intervening solar systems. This census, combined with that made by the Kepler mission, will determine how common Earth-like planets are over a wide range of orbital parameters”.

We will briefly describe the status of EUCLID and WFIRST in the next two sections:

2.1. EUCLID

The use of cosmic shear to probe dark energy was advanced in Europe in 2006 through the DUNE proposal (Refregier et al. 2007), which subsequently developed into EUCLID, based upon a 1.2m Korsch telescope. A 3 month microlensing program is part of the additional science of EUCLID, aiming at low mass telluric planets situated around the snow line (described in the EUCLID yellow book and in Beaulieu et al. 2010). The required data for this program is continuous monitoring with a sampling time of around 25 minutes over an area of $1 \times 3$ deg$^2$ centred at the Galactic coordinates $l \simeq 1.1, b \simeq -1.7$ aligned with the Galactic plane so that the long axis of the field is parallel to the Galactic plane. We aim to use both the EUCLID optical and near IR channels, although the efficiency is about twice better on the IR channels.

There is also a proposition to extend the initial 3 month additional science program with a 9 month legacy program which would measure the abundance of cool Earth-mass exoplanets around solar like stars. This program (if accepted) could take place once the dark energy objective will have been reached towards the end of the mission. An attractive possibility would be to have a first survey of 3 months shortly after the launch to guarantee early high-profile results (detection of planets down to the mass of Mars). It is also important to have observations early in the mission life time and after few years to maximize the baseline in order to be able to have better constraints on host masses. With the 9 month legacy program in addition to the 3 months that are currently in the additional science program EUCLID could achieve:

a) a complete census of planets down to Earth mass with separations exceeding 1 AU

b) complementary coverage to Kepler of the planet discovery space

c) sensitivity to planets down to $0.1M_{\oplus}$, including all Solar System analogues except for Mercury

d) complete lens solutions for most planet events, allowing direct measurements of the planet and host masses, and distance from the observer.

Currently EUCLID is proposed to ESA as a M-class mission, together with PLATO and SOLAR ORBITER. At most, two out of the three will be selected for launch in 2017-2018. The announcement of the selection process will be made in September 2011.
Figure 1. The expected number of planets versus mass for a 4 month program with EUCLID, assuming one planet of mass $M_p$ per host and a logarithmic separation prior out to 30AU (so ignoring free-floating planets). The lines show yields for the H, Y and J EUCLID IR channels, as well as the optical channel (VIS) and the overall yield (black line). The planet yield scales roughly linearly with program duration.

Members of the EUCLID exoplanet Working Group are constructing a detailed simulation of the EUCLID exoplanet catch using the Besancon population synthesis model (Robin et al. 2003; Marshall et al. 2006). The model includes bulge, disk and spheroid lens and source populations as well as a detailed prescription for the lens and source kinematics. It allows for specific optical and infrared bandpass predictions and also incorporates a calibrated 3D model of the interstellar dust distribution. This model provides a detailed simulation of the spatial and timescale characteristics of the microlensing events (Kerins, Robin & Marshall 2009), allowing the EUCLID field placement to be optimized for maximum exoplanet yield. The model is still under development but initial predictions indicate that even a 3-month EUCLID program should provide useful statistics on the planet abundance down to Earth masses (Figure 1).

2.2. WFIRST

A Science Definition Team (SDT) of 19 members has been appointed in December 2010. The WFIRST SDT is charged with developing a mission design, but the “straw-man” design used by the Astro2010 Decadal survey, was the JDEM-Omega design (Gehrels 2010), which was one of three mission concepts that served as the basis for the WFIRST concept. The other two mission concepts were the Microlensing Planet Finder (Bennett et al. 2010b) and the Near-Infrared Sky Surveyor (Stern et al. 2010). The “straw-man”, JDEM-Omega design uses a 1.5m telescope with a wide field-of-view imager that would have three main science programs:
- A microlensing exoplanet survey that would use $\sim 500$ days of the first five years of the mission with a planet yield equal to half that of MPF.
- A dark energy survey using 2-2.5 years of the first five years of the mission employing baryonic acoustic oscillations, weak lensing and Type Ia supernovae.
- Galactic and and extragalactic infra-red surveys.

One of the specificity of the space-based microlensing surveys and the comparison EUCLID-WFIRST is that the planet yield scales roughly linearly with the focal plane...
Towards habitable Earths with EUCLID and WFIRST

area and the program duration. Therefore, once a design would have been agreed upon for WFIRST it will be straightforward to have the estimates.
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