The effect of mass influenza immunization in children on the morbidity of the unvaccinated elderly
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SUMMARY

The objectives of these studies were to analyse the effect of mass influenza immunization in children on the morbidity of unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly during an influenza epidemic. A mass vaccination campaign with vaccine was conducted in children aged 3–6 years attending kindergartens (57.4% of 6374) and aged 7–17 years attending schools (72% of 34 237) in two communities of the Moscow region. The clinical effectiveness of vaccination was 60.9% for kindergartens and 68.8% for schools. There were 3.4 times fewer episodes of influenza-like illnesses and 1.7–2.6 fewer episodes in all seven diseases which are possible complications of influenza out of the 10 evaluated diseases in 158 451 unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly people during the influenza epidemic compared with the control communities. The differences were found to be statistically significant. Mass vaccination of children attending child institutions brought about a significant reduction of both influenza-like illnesses in children and influenza-associated illnesses in unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly persons living in the home setting.

INTRODUCTION

It has been shown in several studies that children of both pre-school and school age are more frequently infected by influenza than adults and play a major role in the spread of the infection [1–10]. A study conducted in Tecumseh (USA) showed that immunization of 85% of all schoolchildren against influenza gave a three-fold reduction in the infection rate in other age groups, compared with a neighbouring community where schoolchildren were not targeted for immunization [10, 11]. Recently, it was shown in Japan that immunization of 50–85% of schoolchildren was associated with a significant decrease in mortality among non-immunized elderly persons during influenza epidemics [12]. In addition to reducing morbidity in non-immunized populations, mass immunization of children is found to be cost-effective for society [13, 14].

While persons aged >65 years of age are infected with influenza less frequently than other age groups, the influenza-related death rate is the highest in this age group [15, 16]. For example, in the United States during the 1999–2000 epidemic season, 40% of 110 000 patients admitted to hospital for influenza-related causes were elderly, and the elderly also accounted for 90% of 20 000 influenza fatalities [17].

The objectives of this study were to evaluate both the clinical effectiveness of mass immunization in children’s institutions and the impact
of mass immunization of children on the occurrence of influenza-like illnesses (ILI) and other influenza-associated diseases in unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly people during an epidemic of influenza.

**METHODS**

**The vaccine**

A trivalent inactivated subunit influenza vaccine Influvac® in disposable prefilled syringes (manufactured by Solvay Pharma, Weesp, The Netherlands) with antigens to A/New Caledonia/20/99 (H1N1), A/Moscow/10/99 (H3N2) and B/Sichuan/379/99 influenza virus strains (recommended by the WHO for use in influenza vaccines in the 2001–2002 season) was used in the study [18].

**The population**

The study was conducted in four areas of the Moscow region, Russia. These were the Mytishchi area (M), the Orekhovo-Zuyevo area (OZ), the Odintsovo area (O), and the Naro-Fominsk area (N) (see Table 1). Socio-economic and smoking conditions were similar, but there was some difference in demography – M + OZ had 9.3% children but N + O had 14.3%.

**Immunization of children**

Mass immunization of children against influenza was conducted in kindergartens (children aged 3–6 years) and schools (children aged 7–17 years) in the M and OZ areas. The target population included healthy children without contraindications whose parents had given their consent for immunization. The commercial vaccine Influvac® was used for immunization. Children were vaccinated in November of 2001 with 0.5 ml of the vaccine administered as a single intramuscular injection into the deltoid muscle. The N and O areas where children were largely not immunized, the coverage being below 1%, were taken as control communities.

Immunized children were followed up to evaluate the safety of the vaccine and monitor serious adverse events. All children were under direct observation by a doctor or nurse for 30–60 min immediately after the injection. Their parents were instructed either to call for a doctor or bring the child to the polyclinic if they noticed any unease, a serious local reaction to the vaccination or a more serious adverse event such as a body temperature rise above 38 °C or any other unusual reaction. On days 3–5 after the immunization, a doctor or nurse checked the children's attendance at school/kindergarten by telephone and made home visits where necessary. Observed adverse events were immediately reported to the local Centre for Sanitation and Epidemiology, which forwarded the information via the regional Centre for Sanitation, and Epidemiology to the State Control Institute for Standardization and Control of Medical Biological Preparations where the causes of the observed adverse events were analysed.

Children attending kindergartens and schools were followed up throughout the epidemic season from December 2001 to April 2002, both in the target and control communities. Children were considered affected by an ILI only when a physician had diagnosed the disease. The clinical diagnosis of ILI was made in accordance with WHO clinical case definition: sudden onset of fever of >38 °C and cough or sore throat. All cases clinically close to this definition were classified as ILI. In all four communities, data on the occurrence of ILI in children and schools throughout the epidemic season (December 2001–March 2002) were collected and analysed separately for schools and kindergartens.
Similar data were available on the occurrence of ILI in the same areas in 1999, 2000 and 2001 when children were generally not immunized against influenza.

Evaluation of morbidity in the elderly

Out of a total of 158,451 unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly persons (>60 years) followed up in all four study areas, 82,050 were residents of the two communities (M and OZ) where mass immunization of children had been conducted and the other 76,401 were from the control communities (N and O). Approximately 60–70% of children were vaccinated in the M + OZ communities and not more than 1% in the N + O communities. The overwhelming majority of the elderly lived in the same household as their children/grandchildren. These groups of elderly in the four communities were comparable in terms of demographics, health status and habits. The immunization coverage rates among the elderly in the study communities did not exceed 1% due to a shortage of the influenza vaccine in the Moscow region. Morbidity was determined based on information in the special registration forms kept at local polyclinics and containing clinical diagnoses made by a district physician after his/her home visit to the patient or the presentation of the patient at the doctor’s surgery. It should be noted that district physicians and polyclinic staff in all areas were not informed if children in the area were vaccinated or not. Data on the following diseases were included in the final analysis: ILI, pneumonia, bronchial asthma (exacerbation), chronic bronchitis (exacerbation), cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, gastrointestinal diseases, chronic pyelonephritis (exacerbation) were selected as possible complication of symptomatic influenza and the next three – pancreatitis, cholecystitis, and rheumatoid arthritis – were selected as ‘control’ diseases that were not associated with influenza incidence. In all four communities, data on the occurrence of each of the above diseases were collected and analysed throughout the epidemic season (December 2001–March 2002). Similar data on the occurrence of the above diseases in the elderly were also collected in August–September 2002 when no ILI was reported.

Immunization of health workers

Over 80% of all medical staff working in kindergartens, schools and polyclinics (for outpatient care) were immunized with inactivated influenza vaccine in November–December 2001 in all study communities.

Statistical analysis

The clinical effectiveness (E) of the vaccine was calculated based on the following formula:

\[ E = \frac{100(b - a)}{b} \]

where \(a\) is the attack rate of ILI in immunized children and \(b\) is the attack rate of ILI in the non-immunized study population. Statistical analysis was calculated based on \(\chi^2\) and Fisher tables. Differences at \(P < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Characterization of the influenza epidemic in the study areas of the Moscow region

According to the WHO’s report [19], in many European countries the influenza epidemic of the 2001–2002 season lasted from late December, 2001 to late March, 2002 and was moderately severe. The influenza epidemic in the study areas of the Moscow region was also moderate in the 2001–2002 season. According to clinical and laboratory ILI diagnostic data, the epidemic started in mid-December and was over by the end of April, its peak activity being observed in March, 2002 (Fig.). In January, only A/H3N2 influenza virus was found to be circulating in the communities while in February–March both A/H3N2 and B influenza viruses were isolated at approximately the same rate as in other countries in the 2001–2002 season [20]. The analysis of the
antigenic specificity of the influenza virus isolates showed a good antigenic match between the A/H3N2 and B influenza viruses which were circulating in the Moscow region and the A/Moscow/10/99(H3N2) and B/Sichuan/379/99 virus strains used in the vaccine. It should be noted that peak activity of ILI was observed in March in children as well as in elderly persons.

Clinical effectiveness of immunization of children against influenza

Prior to the mass immunization campaign in children, data on the ILI rates in the four study areas were also collected and analysed in 1999, 2000 and 2001. During these years no mass immunization against influenza was conducted in these communities. A comparison of the morbidity data showed that the M+OZ communities taken together had had a somewhat higher ILI rate both in the whole population and in children <14 years, compared to the N+O communities (Table 2). Therefore, the M and OZ communities were selected as targets for mass immunization of children while the N and O communities were taken as the control.

In the M+OZ communities, 28309 children were vaccinated (3658 in kindergartens and 24651 in schools) out of a total of 40611. The coverage rates were 57.4 and 72.0% respectively. In the control communities (N+O) 11048 and 49898 children from kindergartens and schools respectively were followed up. The number of children vaccinated against influenza in the control communities did not exceed 1%. Not one single serious adverse reaction to the vaccine used was observed immediately after the injection or during the follow-up check on days 3–5 after injection.

Table 3 shows the ILI incidence rates in children attending kindergartens and schools in the target and control communities (morbidity was calculated as the percentage of children with ILI out of all children in the groups).

Table 2. Incidence of ILI in the study areas of the Moscow region by year (overall data per year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Prevalence of ILI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M+OZ communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children &lt;14 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children &lt;14 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Total population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Children &lt;14 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILI, Influenza-like illnesses; M, Mytishchi; OZ, Orekhovo-Zuevo; N, Naro-Fominsk; O, Odintsovo.

Table 3. ILI in children in mass vaccination vs. control communities (overall data for December 2001–March 2002)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Targeted communities (M+OZ)</th>
<th>Control communities (N+O)</th>
<th>Clinical effectiveness (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total no. of children</td>
<td>Immunization rate (%)</td>
<td>Morbidity n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergartens</td>
<td>6374 (57.4)</td>
<td>943 (14.8)</td>
<td>11048 (&lt;1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>schools</td>
<td>34237 (72.0)</td>
<td>2191 (6.4)</td>
<td>49898 (&lt;1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergartens</td>
<td>40611 (64.7)</td>
<td>3134 (7.7)</td>
<td>60946 (&lt;1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILI, Influenza-like illnesses; M, Mytishti; OZ, Orekhovo-Zuevo; N, Naro-Fominsk; O, Odintsovo.
the control communities N + O. M + OZ school-
children had 3.2 times (68.8%) fewer ILI cases com-
pared with the control communities. The differences
were significant ($P < 0.01$). In analyses of totals of
kindergartens and schools in vaccinated and non-
vaccinated communities the clinical effectiveness was
63.7%. All children in this study were aged >3 years
and received only one injection of the vaccine. But
clinical effectiveness of the immunization was
60.9–68.8%. Perhaps with two injections of the
vaccine the effectiveness would be better but in our
study the number of doses of the vaccine was limited.

A comparison of morbidity of the elderly in the target
and control communities

A total of 158,451 non-institutionalized elderly
persons aged >60 years were included in the
follow-up. Out of these, 82,051 resided in the target
communities where the mass vaccination of children
was conducted and 76,401 in the control communities.
Immunization rates of the elderly in the study
communities did not exceed 1%.

Data presented in Table 4 show that from
December 2001 to March 2002, the ILI rate in the
elderly of the immunized communities was 3.4 times
lower than in the control communities. The difference
was found to be significant.

Data on the morbidity of the elderly in
December-March are indicative of a statistically
significant reduction in the occurrence of most
medical conditions evaluated in the study in the target
communities vs. the control communities. It was
found that compared with the control communities
(N and O), in the M and OZ communities the
reduction was for the seven diseases which were
selected as possible complications of symptomatic
influenza: 2.6-fold for pneumonia; 2.5-fold for
bronchial asthma; 1.7-fold for chronic bronchitis;
3.4-fold or by 2.0-fold following adjustment for
cardiovascular diseases (see below); 2.3-fold for
diabetes mellitus; 1.8-fold for gastrointestinal
diseases; and 1.7-fold for chronic pyelonephritis.
All these differences were statistically significant
(Table 4). As to the three diseases selected as ‘control’
the numbers of episodes of rheumatoid arthritis
and cholecystitis in control communities were fewer
in comparison with vaccinated communities.
Surprisingly there were fewer episodes of pancreatitis
in comparison with control communities. The target
communities had a somewhat higher incidence of
rheumatoid arthritis compared with the control
communities. Differences for cholecystitis were found
to be insignificant.

To check the validity of differences in the morbidity
of the elderly in the immunized and control com-
unities during the influenza epidemic, data on the
occurrence of the same diseases in the same
communities were collected in August–September 2002.
At that time the number of cases of upper respiratory
tract infections in elderly persons was very low, and
only one case of ILI was diagnosed at that period. It
was found (Table 4) that differences in the morbidity
in the summertime were either nil or insignificantly
small for all of the evaluated medical conditions,
except for cardiovascular diseases. Indeed, elderly
persons in the target communities had 1.4 times fewer
episodes of cardiovascular diseases during this period
compared to the control communities. During the
influenza epidemic, the rate of cardiovascular diseases
had been 3.4 times lower in the target communities,
but it became 2.0 times lower following data adjust-
ment. The incidence of rheumatoid arthritis was
found to be higher in the areas with mass vaccination
of children compared to the control communities, just
as it had been during the influenza epidemic.

Therefore, there was a statistically significant
3.4-fold reduction of the ILI rate and a 1.7- to 2.6-fold
reduction in elderly morbidity in the target vs. control
communities during the influenza epidemic for 6 out
of 10 evaluated medical conditions.

DISCUSSION

Children are more frequently infected with influenza
than adults and play a major role in its spread.
Mass vaccination of children against influenza was
found to result in a reduced morbidity among
unvaccinated persons [10, 11] and mortality in the
elderly [12]. Vaccination of 80% of troops in barracks
led to a substantial decrease in morbidity among
unvaccinated servicemen [21]. No case of influenza
was reported during the influenza epidemic in a
small community in Australia following vaccination
of 84% of the population [22].

The main objective of this study was to investigate
the effect of mass vaccination of children on the
occurrence of ILI and some other influenza-
associated diseases in unvaccinated elderly persons
living mainly in families with their children/grand-
children. The immunization procedure using in-
activated influenza vaccine available in disposable
pre-filled syringes and administered intramuscularly took no longer than intranasal administration of live attenuated vaccine. It can, therefore, be concluded that currently available inactivated vaccines supplied in disposable pre-filled syringes can be effectively used for mass vaccination.

A comparison of incidence rates of ILI in vaccinated and unvaccinated children populations showed that there was a statistically significant reduction in the occurrence of ILI in vaccinated children compared to unvaccinated children in the control communities throughout the epidemic season (December 2001–March 2002). The clinical effectiveness of children’s vaccination in kindergartens was 60·9% and in schools it was 68·8%. In general, the efficacy of the vaccine in preventing ILI in this study was comparable to that observed in other studies on immunization of healthy subjects [23–25].

It was found that in our studies during the epidemic (December 2001–March 2002), unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly persons aged ≥60 years living in the communities with mass immunization of children had 3·4 times fewer ILI and 1·7–2·6 times fewer episodes of all seven diseases which were selected as possible complications of symptomatic influenza compared to those elderly people who lived in the control communities. This was true for pneumonia, bronchial asthma, chronic bronchitis, cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, gastrointestinal disease, and pyelonephritis. As to three ‘control’ diseases we surprisingly found data about fewer episodes of pancreatitis in unvaccinated communities in comparison with control areas. It should be noted that validity of differences in the morbidity of the elderly in the vaccinated and control communities were confirmed by studies of elderly morbidity with the same diseases in the same communities during August–September 2002 when the number of cases of upper respiratory tract infections was very low. This study shows that differences in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Targeted communities</td>
<td>Control communities</td>
<td>Targeted communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(M + OZ) (n=82 050)</td>
<td>(N + O) (n=76 401)</td>
<td>(M + OZ) (n=82 011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ILI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 (0·07)</td>
<td>183 (0·24)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td></td>
<td>41 (0·05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82 (0·1)</td>
<td>199 (0·26)</td>
<td>41 (0·050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bronchial asthma (exacerbation)</td>
<td>74 (0·09)</td>
<td>176 (0·23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic bronchitis (exacerbation)</td>
<td>156 (0·19)</td>
<td>251 (0·33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>1261 (1·65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (exacerbation)</td>
<td>49 (0·06)</td>
<td>107 (0·14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal diseases</td>
<td></td>
<td>84 (0·11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pyelonephritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>57 (0·07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(exacerbation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 (0·06)</td>
<td>107 (0·14)</td>
<td>33 (0·04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>49 (0·06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74 (0·09)</td>
<td>107 (0·14)</td>
<td>33 (0·04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
<td></td>
<td>139 (0·17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystitis</td>
<td></td>
<td>161 (0·2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILI, Influenza-like illnesses; M, Mytishchi; OZ, Orekhoivo-Zuevo; N, Naro-Fominsk; O, Odintsovo.
morbidity in summertime were either nil or insignificant. As noted above, the target communities M+OZ had 9.3% children and the control communities had 14.3% children but we supposed that the 5% difference cannot substantially affect the difference of the morbidity in the elderly in the target and control communities found in our study.

It is possible that the incidence of ILI in elderly persons was somewhat under-reported. Most of the elderly in the Moscow region are non-working retired people living in households together with their family, and in mild cases of ILI without complications, not all elderly people called or visited a doctor. In cases of more serious diseases (such as those analysed in our studies) elderly people did seek medical advice.

It should be noted that the analysis of an influenza simulation model suggested that immunization of only 50% of schoolchildren in a community may result in a 49–65% reduction in attack rates of influenza in other unvaccinated population groups [26].

Influenza infection is known to produce malfunction in many organs such as lungs, heart, vessels, liver, kidneys, muscles, and other body systems [27]. In many published studies, vaccination of elderly persons against influenza was shown to result in fewer cardiopulmonary and other influenza-associated complications [27–30]. Moreover, adults living in families together with their children were found to acquire influenza more often than those in childless families [31]. Immunization of children can reduce the risk of secondary transmission of influenza within families [32, 33].

Our findings lead us to conclude that mass vaccination of children attending school or kindergarten with inactivated vaccine not only prevents ILI in most children, but additionally results in a substantial reduction in the occurrence of ILI in unvaccinated non-institutionalized elderly persons and prevents several serious illnesses in this population. Although these findings may not be applicable immediately in many developed countries, where a high proportion of elderly people are vaccinated on an annual basis, the finding may be far more relevant in a pandemic situation where there is insufficient vaccine available to cover both children and the elderly and some from of prioritization has to be introduced. This paper suggests that targeting school- and kindergarten-age children for vaccination against influenza may protect the unvaccinated elderly and may contribute towards preparing pandemic vaccination strategies.
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