motivation to participation in studies involving healthy volunteers. In this current study, however, financial compensation did not appear to be the primary motivation for participation. The participants at all 3 sites stated that the main reason for their participation was the increased knowledge about their disease and the contribution to science. Negative experiences cited were primarily discomfort with blood draw, transportation, and parking logistics. Most importantly, a majority of the participants stated they would participate in future studies and would recommend a family member or a friend for a clinical study. In our sample, there was no difference in the favorable ratings as determined by race/ethnicity. In conclusion, the findings of this study inform the community with regard to how the research participants rate their experiences, and thus motivate others to participate in clinical research. Reasons for participants to withdraw from trials may be associated to their dissatisfaction with a trial or with the study staff. Thus, the degree of satisfaction with the research staff and the trial itself is crucial to reducing drop-out rates and increasing compliance with study procedures. Hence participant satisfaction is key to increasing participation in clinical trials, particularly among African Americans and other racial and ethnic minorities.

**Should all clinical research subjects pay the same?**
Bernadette McKinney
University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA

**OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS:** Discuss ethical and policy issues that will impact clinical research. Raise awareness of the need to understand internal policies at home institutions. Encourage further examination of ways to facilitate clinical research participation. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: Ethical and policy analysis. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: Ideally, clinical research participants should not be required to pay to participate in research. However, if we go with an equity model, as opposed to an equality model, policies should be changed to allow equal access to research participation. This is a matter of justice and also will enhance the quality of the science. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Unless steps are taken to make participation in clinical research less burdensome financially for participants, research may slow or results may be biased, because only those who can pay will be able to participate.

**Beyond “REACH”: The Research, Education, And Community Health (REACH) coalition as an exemplar for broad-based stakeholder engagement**
Sharon A. Croissant, Christine Arcari, John Prochaska, Amber Anthony, Brittany Wallace, Chantele Singleton, Lori Wiseman, Rob Ruffner, Tino Gonzalez, Dwayne Jones, Fredia Marie Brown, Julie Purser and Allan Brasier
UTMB, Galveston, TX, USA

**OBJECTIVES/SPECIFIC AIMS:** The Institute for Transnational Sciences (ITS) has developed novel methods to ethically engage stakeholders across the transnational research spectrum, up to and including public health practice and policy. METHODS/STUDY POPULATION: In 2014, the ITS co-founded The Research, Education, And Community Health (REACH), the mission of which was to facilitate communication, collaborative research, and service activities between faculty and scientists and area community leaders. The intent was to identify and meet the needs of our communities without gaps and/or redundancies, thus better leveraging time, funding, and efforts. RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS: REACH now boasts 23 Centers, Departments, and Institutes, as well as 39 community organizations, including public and mental health agencies, clinicians, policy makers, family service centers, cultural and faith-based organizations, business, and local schools/colleges. We offer 3 methods for consideration as best practices: (1) a comprehensive community health needs assessment, (2) an “Offer and Ask” community/campus partnership mechanism, and (3) Community Science Workshops, based on the European Union’s Science Shops. DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPACT: Results of REACH’s work have been used to provide guidance for enhanced, data-driven programs and allocation of resources for local and statewide initiatives. The organization has evolved into an independent coalition seeking 501(c)(3) status and is planning to expand its scope to 5 counties. REACH thus serves as model for successful replication across applicable CTSA hubs.