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#### Abstract

We consider a meromorphic function of finite lower order that has $\infty$ as its deficient value or as its Borel exceptional value. We prove that the set of limiting directions of its Julia set must have a definite range of measure.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $f$ be a meromorphic function defined in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ or on the Riemann sphere $\overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$. The Fatou set $F(f)$ of $f$ is the subset of $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ where the iterates $f^{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ of $f$ are defined and $\left\{f^{n}\right\}$ forms a normal family. The complement of $F(f)$ is called the Julia set. It is obvious that $F(f)$ is an open set and $J(f)$ is closed. In general, the Julia set is very complicated.

Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane. Suppose that $\arg z=\theta$ is a ray from the origin. We say that $\theta$ is a limiting direction of $J(f)$ if, for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $R>0$, the domain $\{z: \theta-\varepsilon<\arg z<\theta+\varepsilon,|z|>R\}$ has nonempty intersection with $J(f)$. We define the set $E \in[0,2 \pi)$ to be all the limiting directions of $J(f)$.

Baker first proved in [3] that, for a transcendental entire function $f$, the set $E$ contains infinitely many points. Later Qiao [6] proved that if the function is of finite lower order, then $E$ contains an interval whose length depends on the lower order.

[^0]In [8], the authors considered the case of meromorphic functions with $\infty$ as their deficient value and, under some additional conditions, they proved the set $E$ has a definitely positive measure.

In this paper, we remove the additional condition in [8, Theorem 1] and prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of lower order $\mu<\infty$ with deficiency $\delta(\infty, f)>0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{mes} E \geq \min \left\{2 \pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\right\}
$$

If $\infty$ is a Borel exceptional value, then we can prove $E$ contains an interval with a definite length. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ of order $0<\lambda<\infty$. Recall that $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Borel exceptional value of $f(z)$ if it satisfies

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n(r, f=a)}{\log r}<\lambda
$$

where $n(r, f=a)$ is the counting function in value distribution theory of meromorphic functions.

In this case,we have the following result.
THEOREM 1.2. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order $\lambda>0$. Suppose that $\infty$ is a Borel exceptional value of $f(z)$. Then there exists a closed interval $I \in R$ such that all $\theta \in I$ are limiting directions of $J(f)$ and $\operatorname{mes} I \geq \pi / \max (1 / 2, \lambda)$.

The proofs of the theorems depend strongly on the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions. The reader can refer to [4] and [7] for the basic definitions and results in value distribution theory of meromorphic functions, in particular for the symbols such as $T(r, f), N(r, f)$, and so on.

## 2. Proof of Theorems $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2}$

The following lemma, which is a special form of the result proved in [2], is sufficient to prove our theorem.

LEMMA 2.1 ([2]). Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite lower order $\mu$. Suppose $\infty$ is a deficient value of $f$ with $\delta(\infty, f)>0$. Let $M_{j} \rightarrow+\infty(j \rightarrow \infty)$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(r)=\left\{\theta:\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|>r^{M_{j}}\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a sequence $\left\{r_{j}\right\}$ with $r_{j} \rightarrow \infty(j \rightarrow \infty)$ such that

$$
\liminf _{j \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{mes} E\left(r_{j}\right) \geq \min \left\{2 \pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\right\}
$$

In the following we denote the angular domain $\left\{z: \theta-\delta<\arg \left(z-z_{0}\right)<\theta+\delta\right\}$ by $\Omega\left(z_{0}, \theta, \delta\right)$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0<\delta<\pi$. We state Lemma 1 from [6] in the following form.

LEMMA 2.2 ([6]). Let $f(z)$ be analytic in $\Omega\left(z_{0}, \theta, \delta\right)$. Suppose that $f\left(\Omega\left(z_{0}, \theta, \delta\right)\right)$ is contained in a simply connected hyperbolic domain in $\mathbb{C}$. Then

$$
|f(z)|<O(|z|)^{\pi / \delta}, \quad z \in \Omega\left(z_{0}, \theta, \delta^{\prime}\right)
$$

for any $\delta^{\prime} \in(0, \delta)$.
The proof of Lemma 2.2 is the same as that of [6, Lemma 1]. For meromorphic functions, the form we state in Lemma 2.2 is more convenient for our use.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Set

$$
\sigma=\min \left\{2 \pi, \frac{4}{\mu} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{\delta(\infty, f)}{2}}\right\}
$$

We conversely suppose that mes $E<\sigma$ and seek a contradiction.
Take a $t>0$ such that $\sigma-$ mes $E>t>0$. Since $E$ is closed, $S=[0,2 \pi) \backslash E$ consists of (at most countably many) open intervals $I$ from which we can find finitely many open intervals $I_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that mes $\left(S \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} I_{i}\right)<K / 2$, where $K=\sigma-$ mes $E-t>0$. By the assumption of Theorem 1.1, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a sequence $\left\{r_{j}\right\}$ of positive numbers such that mes $E\left(r_{j}\right)>\sigma-t>0$, where $E\left(r_{j}\right)$ is defined as in (2.1). Obviously we have

$$
\operatorname{mes}\left(E\left(r_{j}\right) \cap S\right)=\operatorname{mes}\left(E\left(r_{j}\right) \backslash\left(E \cap E\left(r_{j}\right)\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{mes} E\left(r_{j}\right)-\operatorname{mes} E \geq K>0
$$

Thus there exists an open interval $I=I_{i_{0}} \subset S$ such that for infinitely many $j$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mes}\left(E\left(r_{j}\right) \cap I\right)>\frac{K}{2 m}>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to a subsequence if it is necessary, we can assume that for each $j$, (2.2) holds. Write $I=(a, b)$. Take a positive number $\alpha$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mes}\left(E\left(r_{j}\right) \cap I_{\alpha}\right)>\frac{K}{3 m}>0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote by $I_{\alpha}$ the interval $(a+\alpha, b-\alpha),(0<8 \alpha<b-a)$. It is easy to see from $I \cap E=\emptyset$ that there exists a positive $R$ such that

$$
\Omega\left(R, I_{\alpha}\right)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \geq R \text { and } \arg z \in I_{\alpha}\right\} \subset F(f) .
$$

By choosing a point $z_{0}$ on the bisector of $I$, we see that the angular domain

$$
\left\{z: z \in \mathbb{C} ;\left|z-z_{0}\right| \geq 0 \text { and } \arg \left(z-z_{0}\right) \in I_{\alpha}\right\} \subset F(f)
$$

So without loss of generality, we can suppose $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right) \subset F(f)$.
In the following we assume that $\alpha$ is a fixed number such that (2.3) holds. Since $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right) \subset F(f), f(z)$ has no pole in $\Omega$ and also does not take the values in $J(f)$. Take two fixed points $w_{j} \in J(f),(j=1,2)$. Thus $f$ is meromorphic in $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$ and misses three points including infinity. Therefore the family $\{f \circ \varphi\}$, where $\varphi$ is a conformal automorphism of $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$, is normal in $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$ (compare [5]). So take a sequence of automorphisms $\varphi_{j}(z)$ of $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{j}(z)=r_{j} z, r_{j}=\left|z_{j}\right|$. We see that $f \circ \varphi_{j}$ converges to a function $g$, which is either analytic or identically $\infty$ in $\Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$. Now $f$ is unbounded on $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ and hence $g \equiv \infty$. Thus $f \circ \varphi_{j}$ converges uniformly on $\{z:|z|=1\} \cap \Omega\left(0, I_{\alpha}\right)$ to $\infty$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{z \in L_{j} \\ j \rightarrow \infty}}|f(z)|=+\infty, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{j}=\left\{z:|z|=r_{j}\right\} \cap \Omega\left(0, I_{2 \alpha}\right)$.
In the following we prove the number of bounded components of $\mathbb{C} \backslash f\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$, where $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega\left(0, I_{2 \alpha}\right)$ is at most one. If our conclusion is wrong, then we can take two bounded components $U_{1}, U_{2}$ from $\mathbb{C} \backslash f\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$. Choose two Jordan curves $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ in $f\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ do not pass through critical values of $f(z), U_{1} \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$, $U_{2} \subset \operatorname{int}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$, and $\overline{\operatorname{int}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)} \cap \overline{\operatorname{int}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)}=\emptyset$. We choose a branch of $f^{-1}$ such that $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right) \subset \Omega^{\prime}$. Then $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. Take a fixed $R>0$ such that $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \subset\{z:|z|<R\}$. Noting that (2.4) holds, we see that every component of $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{j}\right), j=1,2$, is bounded. Since the interior of $\gamma_{j}$ contains some points in $J(f)$, it is easy to see that any component of $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{j}\right), j=1,2$, cannot be closed. So it is a Jordan arc. Now we take fixed $j_{0}$ such that $|f(z)|>R$ for all $z \in L_{j}\left(j>j_{0}\right)$ and $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) \cap \Omega^{\prime} \cap\left\{|z|<r_{j_{0}}\right\} \neq \emptyset, j=1,2$.

Take a component of $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{j}\right), j=1$ or 2 , in $\Omega_{j 0}^{\prime}=\Omega^{\prime} \cap\left\{|z|<r_{j_{0}}\right\}$. Let $\sigma_{j}$ be a component of $f^{-1}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)$ in $\Omega_{j_{0}}^{\prime}, j=1,2$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_{1}$ is homotopic to $\sigma_{2}$. As $f(z)$ is analytic on $\bar{\Omega}_{j_{0}}^{\prime}$, we deduce that $\gamma_{1}=f\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ is homotopic to $\gamma_{2}=f\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$. This is a contradiction, which proves our claim.

For a transcendental meromorphic function $f$, its Julia set is an unbounded set in $\mathbb{C}$. If $J(f)$ contains an unbounded component $\Gamma$, then $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Gamma$ is a simply connected hyperbolic domain $D$ and $f\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \subset D$. Otherwise all components of $J(f)$ are bounded
and there are infinitely many bounded components in $J(f)$. Using the fact we just proved, it is not hard to find a simply connected hyperbolic domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $f\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \subset D$.

Using Lemma 2.2, there exists a positive number $M$ such that $|f(z)|<|z|^{M}$ for all sufficiently large $z \in \Omega^{\prime}$. On the other hand, there are $z_{j} \in L_{j}$ such that $\left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|>$ $\left|z_{j}\right|^{M_{j}}$ for all sufficiently large $j$. Noting that $M_{j} \rightarrow \infty$, we get a contradiction and Theorem 1.1 is proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex domain of order $0<\lambda<\infty$. If $\infty$ is the Borel exceptional value of $f$, then

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(r, f)}{\log r}<\lambda
$$

Thus $f(z)$ must have the form $f(z)=G(z) / \Pi(z)$, where $G(z)$ is a transcendental entire function and $\Pi(z)$ is an entire function that is the typical product of the poles of $f(z)$. The functions $G(z)$ and $\Pi(z)$ have the following properties.

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, \Pi)}{\log r}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m(r, \Pi)}{\log r}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(r, f)}{\log r}=\sigma<\lambda
$$

and

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, G)}{\log r}=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m(r, G)}{\log r}=\lambda
$$

Since $G(z)$ is a transcendental entire function of finite order $\lambda$, it follows from the Phragmén-Lindelöf Theorem that there is an interval $(a, b)$ with $b-a \geq \min (2 \pi, \pi / \lambda)$ such that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \left|G\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|}{\log r}=\lambda
$$

for all $\theta \in(a, b)$.
We are now able to prove $[a, b] \subset E$. If it is not true, then there is an subinterval $I \subset(a, b)$ such that the angular domain $\Omega(\{|z|>R, \arg z \in I\}) \subset F(f)$. Let $\arg z=\theta_{0}$ be the bisector of $I$. Then we have $\log \left|\Pi\left(r e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right|<r^{\sigma+\varepsilon}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left|f\left(r_{j} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right| & =\log \left|\frac{G\left(r_{j} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)}{\Pi\left(r_{j} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)}\right|=\log \left|G\left(r_{j} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right|-\log \left|\Pi\left(r_{j} e^{i \theta_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& >r_{j}^{\lambda-\varepsilon}-r_{j}^{\sigma+\varepsilon}=r_{j}^{\lambda-\varepsilon^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$. Thus we can find a sequences of points $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ on the bisector such that $\log \left|f\left(z_{j}\right)\right|>\left|z_{j}\right|^{\lambda-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$.

Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can find a sequence of

$$
L_{j}=\left\{\left|z_{j}\right| e^{i \theta}: a+\alpha \leq \theta \leq b-\alpha\right\}, \quad 0<\alpha<(b-a) / 8,
$$

such that (2.4) holds.
By the same argument of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we arrive at a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

Remark. Theorem 1.2 is also true for meromorphic functions of finite lower order $\mu$ with poles having order of growth less than $\mu$. In fact in this case, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, $f$ can be written as $f(z)=G(z) / \Pi(z)$, where $G(z)$ is an entire function of finite lower order $\mu$, and $\Pi(z)$ is an entire function with order less than $\mu$. So applying a theorem of Baernstein in [1] to $G(z)$, we get a similar result as in Theorem 1.2.
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