Primary Health Care Research & Development

cambridge.org/phc

Research

Cite this article: Phueanpinit P, Pongwecharak J, Krska J, Jarernsiripornkul N. (2018) Evaluation of community pharmacists' roles in screening and communication of risks about non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Thailand. *Primary Health Care Research & Development* 19: 598–604. doi: 10.1017/ S1463423618000142

Received: 12 November 2017 Revised: 1 February 2018 Accepted: 11 February 2018 First published online: 19 March 2018

Key words:

accreditation pharmacy; community pharmacists; non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; risk communication; risk screening

Author for correspondence:

Narumol Jarernsiripornkul, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand. E-mail: narumol@kku.ac.th

© Cambridge University Press 2018.



Evaluation of community pharmacists' roles in screening and communication of risks about non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Thailand

Pacharaporn Phueanpinit¹, Juraporn Pongwecharak², Janet Krska³ and Narumol Jarernsiripornkul⁴

¹Graduate Student, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand, ²Lecturer, Faculty of Pharmacy, Thammasat University, Pathumthani, Thailand, ³Lecturer, Medway School of Pharmacy, Universities of Greenwich and Kent, Kent, UK and ⁴Lecturer, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, Thailand

Abstract

Aim: This study aimed to explore community pharmacists' roles on screening for risk factors, providing safety information-related non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) to patients. Background: NSAIDs are widely dispensed without a prescription from pharmacies in Thailand, while they are frequently reported as causing adverse events. Methods: Selfadministered questionnaires were distributed to all accredited pharmacies in Thailand, inviting the main pharmacist in each pharmacy to participate in this study. Findings: Out of 406 questionnaires distributed, 159 were returned (39.2%). Almost all pharmacists claimed to engage in NSAID dispensing practice, but not all of them provided relevant good practice, such as, screening for risk factors (56.3-95.5%), communication on adverse drug reactions (ADRs) (36.9-63.2%) and ADR management (58.9-79.7%), history of gastrointestinal (GI) problems was frequently mentioned for screening, but many pharmacists did not screen for history of NSAID use (24.7-35.5%), older age (45.2-48.9%), concomitant drug (63.7%), and problems of cardiovascular (24.1%), renal (34.9-43.3%), and liver systems (60.3-61.0%). Male pharmacists were significantly less likely to inform users of non-selective NSAIDs about ADRs [odds ratio (OR) 0.44], while provision of information about selective NSAID ADRs was higher among pharmacy owners (OR 2.28), pharmacies with more pharmacists (OR 3.18), and lower in pharmacies with assistants (OR 0.41). Screening for risk factors, and risk communication about NSAIDs were not generally conducted in Thai accredited community pharmacists, nor were NSAID complications fully communicated. Promoting of community pharmacists' roles in NSAID dispensing should give priority to improving, especially in highrisk patients for taking NSAIDs.

Introduction

Pain and inflammatory conditions affect large proportions of patients in both high and lower income countries, especially in females and those of older age (Tsang *et al.*, 2008). Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are important for the management of these conditions, acting by inhibition of the cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes, and are widely used in the community (Rao and Knaus, 2008; Brune and Patrignani, 2015). NSAIDs can potentially induce significant complications involving the gastrointestinal (GI), cardiovascular (CV), and renal systems (Wehling, 2014).

NSAID use is widespread and all healthcare professionals have a duty to identify whether patients have factors potentially increasing the risk of adverse effects before supplying them. In practice, however, studies in several countries show frequent prescribing of NSAIDs in patients with risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension, heart diseases, GI problems (Al-Shidhani *et al.*, 2015), chronic kidney disease (Ingrasciotta *et al.*, 2014; Meuwesen *et al.*, 2016). Their use is also common in older patients (Hanlon *et al.*, 2002) and in combination with drugs likely to cause serious drug–drug interactions (Hersh *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, patients' awareness of the risks of NSAIDs is lower than desirable (Wilcox *et al.*, 2005; Cullen *et al.*, 2006; Stosic *et al.*, 2011) and their perceptions concerning these risks are much lower than that of healthcare professionals (Bongard *et al.*, 2002; Cullen *et al.*, 2006). The lack of knowledge about possible ADRs may be influenced by the ease with which NSAIDs can be purchased (Cullen *et al.*, 2006).

Community pharmacies are an important source of NSAID supply, so pharmacists should screen potential purchasers and those presenting prescriptions (Mangum *et al.*, 2003) for risk factors and provide safety information about these products. Community pharmacy-based



interventions in relation to NSAIDs can prevent serious long-term problems, including acute kidney injury (Pai, 2015) and GI complications (Ibañez-Cuevas *et al.*, 2008; Teichert *et al.*, 2014), as well as impacting positively on patient knowledge (Jang *et al.*, 2014).

In Thailand, NSAIDs were the second most frequently reported drugs in the spontaneous reporting system for ADRs between 1984 and 2017 (Health Product Vigilance Center, 2018). National health surveys found that 20% of people take a painkiller two to three days per week including NSAIDs (Akepalakorn, 2009). Moreover, a recent study reported that 30% of people in rural areas use NSAIDs often (Luanghirun et al., 2017). The prevalence of NSAID use in Thailand is similar to that in the United States (Zhou et al., 2014), but Thai patients can obtain NSAIDs without prescription from pharmacies, even those not classified as over the counter (OTC) drugs in Thailand. Unlike many countries, Thailand has no guidelines concerning risk screening and information provision to inform best practice for pharmacists. Moreover, little work has studied the practices of community pharmacists in Thailand. Our previous survey in Thai hospital out-patients found they had poor knowledge about the risks of taking NSAIDs (Phueanpinit et al., 2016). Hence this survey aimed to determine community pharmacists' self-reported practices in screening patients for risk factors before supplying NSAIDs and providing information about potential ADRs and their management.

Methods

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional survey involving community pharmacists was carried out in Thailand over a five-month period. Community pharmacists may work in pharmacies or be pharmacy owners. The total number of pharmacies allowed to operate by the Thai Food and Drug Administration (Thai FDA) was obtained from The Bureau of Drug Control, Ministry of Public Health. From a total of 10 176 pharmacies in Thailand, 406 (4%) pharmacies were certificated as being 'accredited.' An accredited pharmacy is one which has attained a mark of quality awarded by the Pharmacy Council, which requires they conform to five important criteria in addition to general mandatory control by the Thai FDA. These are: accessible location close to primary healthcare centers, equipment, personnel, professional ethics, and good quality pharmaceutical services, including screening, diagnosis, and counseling. The standard of practice in these pharmacies is thus higher than in non-accredited pharmacies and importantly also requires that a pharmacist is present on site at all times (which is not the case for non-accredited pharmacies). Therefore, as there is no guarantee that a pharmacist would be able to respond to the survey in non-accredited pharmacies, this study involved only accredited pharmacies.

Questionnaire development

A questionnaire was developed in two parts; demographic data (sex, age, educational level, work experience, type of pharmacy, pharmacy owner, number of staff in pharmacy, number of patients per day, working time, have internship program) and pharmacists' role in supplying NSAIDs. The latter section consisted of nine questions covering: screening patients at risk, assessment of the necessity for using NSAIDs, communicating potential adverse effects, advice on how to manage and prevent ADRs, asking about current drug use, herbs and supplements, and

advice on the things that patients should or should not do while taking NSAIDs. Each question provided options related to frequency of practice (regularly, occasionally, or never), plus, for those indicating they provide screening risk of ADRs, ADR communication, and ADR management, additional details were requested. Content validity was conducted by three pharmacists, and the questionnaire was subsequently piloted in 10 community pharmacists working in non-accredited pharmacies.

Data collection

A questionnaire with covering letter explaining the objectives of the study was sent to all 406 community pharmacies by mail: 39 located in the northern region, 67 in the northeastern region, 34 in the eastern region, 12 in the western region, 59 in the southern region, and 195 in the central region. The pharmacists in charge were requested to return the questionnaire within three weeks. If the questionnaire was not returned within two weeks, reminder cards were sent to non-respondents.

Statistical analysis

The data from returned questionnaires were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 19.0. The frequency of practice was dichotomised into regular and not regular. χ^2 test, Fisher's exact test, and independent t-test were used to explore the associations between demographic variables and roles in screening for risk factors, providing ADR information, and ADR management related to NSAIDs, where appropriate.

Results

Response rate and demographic details

From the 406 questionnaires distributed, 159 were returned and analyzable (response rate 39.2%). The majority of respondents were working in independently owned pharmacies (n=114, 71.7%) and were female (n=104, 65.4%). The average age was 37.2 \pm 11.42 years (range 23–73 years). More than half the pharmacists had more than five years practice experience in community pharmacy (n=92, 57.9%). Just over half were pharmacy owners (n=90, 56.6%) and most pharmacies were located in urban areas (n=125, 78.6%). Other characteristics are shown in Table 1.

The frequency of community pharmacists' self-reported practice roles in supplying NSAIDs are illustrated in Table 2. Almost all claimed to regularly or occasionally determine the need for an NSAID and explain to patients what they should or should not do while using NSAIDs. Less than a third claimed to seek information about concomitant drugs and supplements on a regular basis. While all claimed to screen patients for risk factors before supplying non-selective NSAIDs, the proportion indicating doing so was slightly lower for supply of selective COX-2 NSAIDs (n = 146, 96.7%).

Similarly, fewer indicated that they regularly communicated about ADR and provided advice on managing and preventing adverse effects of selective NSAIDs compared with non-selective NSAIDs. Details of the screening which community pharmacists claimed to perform before dispensing are presented in Table 3, which differed slightly between the two classes of NSAID. In univariate analysis, no significant factor was related to screening practices for NSAID risks.

Table 1. Characteristics of community pharmacist respondents

Female 104 (65.4) Age Mean±SD (years) 37.2±11.42 Median (IQR) 35 (28-45) Min-Max 23-73 Educational level 20-23-73 Bachelor's degree 117 (73.6) Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 45 (28.1) ≤5 years 67 (42.1) ≥5 years 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Independently owned 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore 45 (28.3) Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean±SD (persons) 2.0±2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 1 (1-2) Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Men±SD (persons) 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12)	Characteristics	Total (n = 159)
Mean ± SD (years) 37.2 ± 11.42 Median (IQR) 35 (28-45) Min-Max 23-73 Educational level 35 (28-45) Bachelor's degree 117 (73.6) Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 42 (26.4) <5 years	Female	104 (65.4)
Median (IQR) 35 (28-45) Min-Max 23-73 Educational level 35 (28-45) Bachelor's degree 117 (73.6) Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 42 (26.4) < 5 years 67 (42.1) ≥5 years 92 (57.9) Pharmacy owners 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore 20.28.3) Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 1.01 (20.0) No. of visits per day 4.00 (20.0) Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 4.00 (20.0) Median (IQR) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Median (IQR)	Age	
Min-Max 23-73 Educational level Bachelor's degree 117 (73.6) Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience <5 years 67 (42.1) ≥5 years 92 (57.9) Pharmacy owners 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore 20 (20.4) Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Mean ± SD (years)	37.2 ± 11.42
Educational level 117 (73.6) Bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 42 (26.4) <5 years	Median (IQR)	35 (28–45)
Bachelor's degree 117 (73.6) Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 5 years 67 (42.1) ≥5 years 92 (57.9) Pharmacy owners 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Independently owned 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore 34 (21.4) Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 40 (50-120) Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Min-Max	23-73
Higher than bachelor's degree 42 (26.4) Practice experience 45 years <5 years	Educational level	
Practice experience <5 years	Bachelor's degree	117 (73.6)
<5 years	Higher than bachelor's degree	42 (26.4)
≥5 years 92 (57.9) Pharmacy owners 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Independently owned 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore Rural area Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy 2.0±2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min–Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9±127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min–Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min–Max 2.5-18	Practice experience	
Pharmacy owners 90 (56.6) Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Independently owned 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore 34 (21.4) Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy 2.0±2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy Not have No. of visits per day 53 (34.0) Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9±127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	<5 years	67 (42.1)
Type of drugstore 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day Mean ± SD (hours) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	≥5 years	92 (57.9)
Independently owned 114 (71.7) Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Medan ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Working time per day Mean ± SD (hours) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Pharmacy owners	90 (56.6)
Franchise/chain 45 (28.3) Location of drugstore Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min–Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy No thave 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Median (IQR) 80 (50–120) Min–Max 7–1200 Working time per day Median (IQR) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8–12) Min–Max 2.5–18	Type of drugstore	
Location of drugstore Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Working time per day Mean ± SD (hours) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Independently owned	114 (71.7)
Rural area 34 (21.4) Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Working time per day Mean ± SD (hours) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Franchise/chain	45 (28.3)
Urban area 125 (78.6) No. of pharmacist in pharmacy 2.0±2.04 Mean±SD (persons) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 40 (50-120) Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Location of drugstore	
No. of pharmacist in pharmacy Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Rural area	34 (21.4)
Mean ± SD (persons) 2.0 ± 2.04 Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Urban area	125 (78.6)
Median (IQR) 1 (1-2) Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9±127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	No. of pharmacist in pharmacy	
Min-Max 1-15 Have assistant in pharmacy 53 (34.0) Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day Working time per day Median (IQR) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Mean ± SD (persons)	2.0 ± 2.04
Have assistant in pharmacy Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Median (IQR)	1 (1-2)
Not have 53 (34.0) Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day	Min-Max	1-15
Have 103 (66.0) No. of visits per day Mean ± SD (persons) Modian (IQR) Modian (IQR) Modian (IQR) Modian (IQR) Working time per day Mean ± SD (hours) 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Have assistant in pharmacy	
No. of visits per day Mean±SD (persons) 105.9±127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50–120) Min-Max 7–1200 Working time per day 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8–12) Min-Max 2.5–18	Not have	53 (34.0)
Mean ± SD (persons) 105.9 ± 127.93 Median (IQR) 80 (50–120) Min–Max 7–1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8–12) Min–Max 2.5–18	Have	103 (66.0)
Median (IQR) 80 (50-120) Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	No. of visits per day	
Min-Max 7-1200 Working time per day 9.9 ± 2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Mean ± SD (persons)	105.9 ± 127.93
Working time per day Mean±SD (hours) 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Median (IQR)	80 (50–120)
Mean±SD (hours) 9.9±2.39 Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Min-Max	7–1200
Median (IQR) 10 (8-12) Min-Max 2.5-18	Working time per day	
Min-Max 2.5–18	Mean ± SD (hours)	9.9 ± 2.39
	Median (IQR)	10 (8–12)
Have student internship 91 (57.2)	Min-Max	2.5–18
	Have student internship	91 (57.2)

 ${\sf IQR} = interquartile\ range.$

Provision of NSAID information and ADR management

Differences in reported provision of information regarding potential ADRs and how to prevent or manage them were also found for the different classes of NSAID. For non-selective

NSAIDs, pharmacists claimed to provide information most frequently about GI ulcer (n=144, 95.4%) and GI bleeding (n=97, 64.2%), but for selective NSAIDs, the most common ADRs mentioned were CV events (n=100, 74.1%), with other potential ADRs being mentioned by fewer than half, including high blood pressure (n=48, 35.6%). For non-selective NSAIDs, pharmacists' most common advice for preventing GI problems was to take after meals (n=138, 94.5%), but many also claimed they would advise patients to use gastroprotective agents (n=98, 67.1%), switch to selective NSAIDs (n=91, 62.3%), or use other painkillers (n=79, 54.1%). However, for selective NSAIDs, the most frequent advice given was to switch to other painkillers (n=95, 67.4%), use a gastroprotective agent (n=73, 51.8%), or see a doctor (n=68, 48.2%) (Table 4).

Multivariate analysis in Table 5 found that, for non-selective NSAIDs, male pharmacists were significantly less likely to inform patients about ADRs [odds ratio (OR) 0.44, 95% confidence intervals (CI) 0.217–0.900]. However, communication about potential ADRs for users of selective NSAIDs was higher in pharmacy owners (OR 2.28, 95% CI 1.044–4.983) and in pharmacies with more than two pharmacists (OR 3.18, 95% CI 1.153–8.767). In contrast, pharmacists who had assistants were significantly less likely to inform about ADRs to selective NSAID users (OR 0.41, 95% CI 0.199–0.856). However, there were no statistically significant factors which influenced the provision of ADR management to patients.

Discussion

This survey determined for the first time the self-reported practices of pharmacists working in accredited pharmacies across the whole of Thailand. Although the majority of pharmacists claimed to screen patients for potential risk factors and provide patients with information about ADRs and their management, many pharmacists indicated they did not do so for all patients. Approximately 30% indicated they did not ask questions relating to history of NSAID use and almost half claimed not to screen older patients and ask about renal function. Slightly more pharmacists claimed to routinely screen patients for risk factors before supplying non-selective NSAIDs, while fewer did so for selective COX-2 NSAIDs. The risk factors for NSAIDs are well-known (Lanas et al., 2009; Gargallo et al., 2014; Rafaniello et al., 2016) and apply to both selective and non-selective NSAIDs (Lanas et al., 2009; Adams et al., 2011). Healthcare professionals should be aware of the need to both screen and monitor patients at risk.

Community pharmacists are well placed to detect drug-related problems (Paulino *et al.*, 2004; Vinks *et al.*, 2006; Niquille and Bugnon, 2010), but they can also play a key role in identifying high-risk patients and providing information, both of which can help to reduce NSAID complications, such as acute renal failure (Pai, 2015). Because NSAIDs can be obtained with or without prescription, multiple NSAID use in individuals is common (Wilcox *et al.*, 2005). Patients may not inform pharmacists about their medicines use or other relevant problems (LaCivita *et al.*, 2009), therefore such screening questions are important.

Only 40–60% of community pharmacists claimed to give advice on ADRs from NSAIDs, which is higher than has been claimed in previous studies in other countries (Tully et al., 2011; Alaqeel and Abanmy, 2015). Studies generally suggest that patients do not receive enough information about medicines from community pharmacists (Alotaibi and Abdelkarim, 2015), and our own work in Thailand has confirmed that only 50% of

Table 2. Frequency of community pharmacists' self-reported practices in supplying non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

	No. of community p	harmacists (%)	
Regularly ^a	Occasionally ^b	Never ^c	Total
150 (95.5)	7 (4.5)	0 (0)	157 (100.0)
85 (56.3)	61 (40.4)	5 (3.3)	151 (100.0)
109 (70.3)	43 (27.7)	3 (1.9)	155 (100.0)
98 (63.2)	56 (36.1)	1 (0.7)	155 (100.0)
55 (36.9)	86 (57.7)	8 (5.4)	149 (100.0)
118 (79.7)	28 (18.9)	2 (1.4)	148 (100.0)
86 (58.9)	57 (39.0)	3 (2.1)	146 (100.0)
48 (30.8)	95 (60.9)	13 (8.3)	156 (100.0)
116 (73.4)	41 (26.0)	1 (0.6)	158 (100.0)
	150 (95.5) 85 (56.3) 109 (70.3) 98 (63.2) 55 (36.9) 118 (79.7) 86 (58.9) 48 (30.8)	150 (95.5) 7 (4.5) 85 (56.3) 61 (40.4) 109 (70.3) 43 (27.7) 98 (63.2) 56 (36.1) 55 (36.9) 86 (57.7) 118 (79.7) 28 (18.9) 86 (58.9) 57 (39.0) 48 (30.8) 95 (60.9)	150 (95.5) 7 (4.5) 0 (0) 85 (56.3) 61 (40.4) 5 (3.3) 109 (70.3) 43 (27.7) 3 (1.9) 98 (63.2) 56 (36.1) 1 (0.7) 55 (36.9) 86 (57.7) 8 (5.4) 118 (79.7) 28 (18.9) 2 (1.4) 86 (58.9) 57 (39.0) 3 (2.1) 48 (30.8) 95 (60.9) 13 (8.3)

 $[\]mathsf{COX} = \mathsf{cyclooxygenase}.$

Table 3. Frequency of self-reported risk factor screening for specific conditions

	No. of community pharmacists (%)		
Details on screening of risk factors	Regularly	Occasionally	Total
Dispensing of non-selective NSAIDs ^a			
History of GI ulcer/bleeding	100 (71.4)	40 (28.6)	140 (95.9)
Multiple NSAIDs/long term/high dose	79 (71.8)	31 (28.2)	110 (75.3)
History of renal impairment	71 (74.7)	24 (25.3)	95 (65.1)
Older age	59 (73.8)	21 (26.2)	80 (54.8)
History of liver impairment	47 (82.5)	10 (17.5)	57 (39.0)
Taking a steroid drug	42 (79.2)	11 (20.8)	53 (36.3)
Dispensing of selective COX-2 NSAIDs ^b			
History of GI ulcer/bleeding	64 (57.7)	47 (42.3)	111 (78.7)
Cardiovascular disease	67 (62.6)	40 (37.4)	107 (75.9)
Multiple NSAIDs/long term/high dose	58 (63.7)	33 (36.3)	91 (64.5)
History of renal impairment	54 (67.5)	26 (32.5)	80 (56.7)
Older age	51 (70.8)	21 (29.2)	72 (51.1)
History of liver impairment	41 (73.2)	15 (26.8)	56 (39.7)

 $NSAID = non-steroidal \ anti-inflammatory \ drugs; \ GI = gastrointestinal; \ COX = cyclooxygenase.$

Table 4. Most frequently reported advice concerning management of or protection against adverse drug reactions from non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

	No. of community pharmacists (%)			
Advice on management	Regularly	Occasionally	Total	
For non-selective NSAIDs ^a				
Taking NSAIDs after meal	115 (83.3)	23 (16.7)	138 (94.5)	
Using with gastroprotective agents	82 (83.7)	16 (16.3)	98 (67.1)	
Switching to selective COX-2 NSAIDs	75 (82.4)	16 (17.6)	91 (62.3)	
Switching to other painkillers	68 (86.1)	11 (13.9)	79 (54.1)	
Stop taking NSAIDs	33 (80.5)	8 (19.5)	41 (28.1)	
For selective COX-2 NSAIDs ^b				
Switching to other painkillers	63 (66.3)	32 (33.7)	95 (67.4)	
Using gastroprotective agents	45 (61.6)	28 (38.4)	73 (51.8)	
Consult with physicians	45 (66.2)	23 (33.8)	68 (48.2)	
Stop taking NSAIDs	32 (68.1)	15 (31.9)	47 (33.3)	
Dose reduction	20 (66.7)	10 (33.3)	30 (21.3)	

NSAID = non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; GI = gastrointestinal; COX = cyclooxygenase. ^aThe question was answered by 146 community pharmacists.

between different classes of NSAID appear unjustified, since both can result in adverse effects affecting both GI and CV system (Massó González *et al.*, 2010). NSAIDs are often used long term

^aRegularly was defined as providing practice to all patients.

^bOccasionally was defined as providing practice to some patients.

^cNever was defined as not providing practice to all patients.

^aThe question was answered by 146 community pharmacists. ^bThe question was answered by 141 community pharmacists.

patients using NSAIDs have received information on identifying, monitoring, and managing adverse effects (Jarernsiripornkul et al., 2016). The differences in information provision found

^bThe question was answered by 141 community pharmacists.

Table 5. Factors associated with frequency of adverse drug reactions (ADR) information provision^a to patients taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

	No. of pharmacist (%)						
Factors	Regular communication	Not regular communication	Odds ratio	95% CI	<i>P</i> -value		
Provision of ADR information-related to users of non-selective NSAIDs ^b							
Sex							
Female	69 (70.41)	32 (56.14)	1				
Male	29 (29.59)	25 (43.86)	0.442	0.217, 0.900	0.024		
Have assistant in pharmacy							
No	37 (38.14)	15 (27.27)	1				
Yes	60 (61.86)	40 (72.73)	0.493	0.230, 1.055	0.068		
Provision of ADR information-related to users of selective COX-2 NSAIDs ^c							
Pharmacy owner							
No	18 (32.73)	46 (48.94)	1				
Yes	37 (67.27)	48 (51.06)	2.280	1.044, 4.983	0.039		
No. of pharmacists							
1–2 persons	43 (78.18)	84 (89.36)	1				
>2 persons	12 (21.82)	10 (10.64)	3.179	1.153, 8.767	0.025		
Have assistant in pharmacy							
No	26 (47.27)	23 (25.27)	1				
Yes	29 (52.73)	68 (74.73)	0.412	0.199, 0.856	0.017		

COX = cyclooxygenase.

and in high doses, both of which can increase the risk of ADRs (Ritter *et al.*, 2009; Turajane *et al.*, 2009), therefore patients should usually be advised to use them at low dose and for short duration, however, these basic points of information for preventing ADRs were not reported by our Thai community pharmacist respondents.

Community pharmacists in Thailand are the main source of supply of NSAIDs and it is essential that all patients obtaining them are aware of the potential risks. Despite accredited pharmacies having high-quality services guaranteed by the Pharmacy Council, this study found that patients may still not receive the desirable comprehensive service from these pharmacies in relation to these widely used medicines, which it is known result in many ADRs (Health Product Vigilance Center, 2018). Greater effort is needed to ensure that community pharmacy services in Thailand contribute more to the safe use of medicines, such as NSAIDs. Studies in other countries show that the public trust NSAIDs, regarding them as harmless, particularly OTC NSAIDs, few believe themselves to have any risk factors for using these drugs, and show a lack of concern about potential adverse effects (Wilcox et al., 2005). Pharmacists could increase awareness of NSAID risks among their patients, carry out screening and evaluate patient risk factors and provide information to patients to ensure appropriate, safe use of these drugs.

Limitations of the study

Our study only included pharmacists who work in accredited pharmacies, which may be expected to provide better quality services than the non-accredited pharmacies constituting the large majority of pharmacies in Thailand. In addition, the response rate was ~40% and no data were obtained about non-responding pharmacies. Therefore our results cannot be extrapolated to non-accredited pharmacies or to all accredited pharmacies across Thailand. It is likely that the proportion of pharmacists who do provide screening and information to patients may be considerably lower than our results suggest. Social desirability and recall bias may have occurred, in addition, the self-completed questionnaire required pharmacists to self-report the frequency of their practices using only three options (regularly, occasionally, or never).

Conclusion

Risk screening and provision of ADR information and management for patients using NSAIDs was not a universal practice in Thai accredited community pharmacists. Thus patients may be at risk of ADRs from NSAIDs obtained from pharmacies and they are also not fully informed about potential ADRs. Greater attention should be paid to the provision of medication safety

^aFrequency of ADR information provision defined as providing ADR information regularly or not regularly.

^bAdjusted for sex, age, practice experience, number of pharmacist, and have assistant in pharmacy in logistic regression model.

^cAdjusted for pharmacy owner, number of pharmacist, have assistant in pharmacy, and working time in logistic regression model.

information about NSAIDs by community pharmacists, particularly in patients who use these drugs long term and those at high risk of ADRs.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank all community pharmacists for sharing the information, and the authors also thank Assistant Professor Sermsak Sumanont and the Department of Orthopedic of Khon Kaen University for supporting this project.

Financial Support. This work was supported by Khon Kaen University Integrate Multidisciplinary Research Cluster (grant number MIH-2554-Ph. D-07) and the Graduate School of Khon Kaen University (grant number 55222103).

Conflicts of Interest. All authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Ethical Standards. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Human research, Khon Kaen University (Reference number HE551130).

References

- Adams RJ, Appleton SL, Gill TK, Taylor AW, Wilson DH and Hill CL (2011) Cause for concern in the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications in the community – a population-based study. *BioMed Central Family Practice* 12, 70.
- Akepalakorn W (2009) The 4th Thai National Health Survey by Physical Examinations 2551-2 BE. Bangkok: National Health Examination Survey Office, 114–117. Retrieved 18 January 2018 from http://www.hiso.or.th/hiso/picture/reportHealth/report/report1.pdf.
- Alaqeel S and Abanmy NO (2015) Counselling practices in community pharmacies in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. BioMed Central Health Services Research 15, 557.
- Alotaibi HS and Abdelkarim MA (2015) Consumers' perceptions on the contribution of community pharmacists in the dispensing process at Dawadmi. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal 23, 230–234.
- Al-Shidhani A, Al-Rawahi N, Al-Rawahi A and Sathiya Murthi P (2015) Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) use in primary health care centers in A'Seeb, Muscat: a clinical audit. Oman Medical Journal 30, 366–371
- Bongard V, Ménard-Taché S, Bagheri H, Kabiri K, Lapeyre-Mestre M and Montastruc JL (2002) Perception of the risk of adverse drug reactions: differences between health professionals and non health professionals. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 54, 433–436.
- Brune K and Patrignani P (2015) New insights into the use of currently available non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *Journal of Pain Research* 8, 105–118.
- Cullen G, Kelly E and Murray FE (2006) Patients' knowledge of adverse reactions to current medications. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology 62, 232–236.
- Gargallo CJ, Sostres C and Lanas A (2014) Prevention and treatment of NSAID gastropathy. Current Treatment Options in Gastroenterology 12, 398–413.
- Hanlon JT, Schmader KE, Boult C, Artz MB, Gross CR, Fillenbaum GG, Ruby CM and Garrard J (2002) Use of inappropriate prescription drugs by older people. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 50, 26–34.
- **Health Product Vigilance Center (2018)** Adverse drug reactions reporting 1984-2017: Thai food and drug administration. Retrieved 15 January 2018 from http://thaihpvc.fda.moph.go.th/thaihvc/Public/News/uploads/hpvc_5_ 13_0_100681.pdf.
- **Hersh EV, Pinto A and Moore PA** (2007) Adverse drug interactions involving common prescription and over-the-counter analgesic agents. *Clinical Therapeutics* **29**, 2477–2497.
- **Ibañez-Cuevas V, Lopez-Briz E and Guardiola-Chorro MT** (2008) Pharmacist intervention reduces gastropathy risk in patients using NSAIDs. *Pharmacy World and Science* **30**, 947–954.
- Ingrasciotta Y, Sultana J, Giorgianni F, Caputi AP, Arcoraci V, Tari DU, Linguiti C, Perrotta M, Nucita A, Pellegrini F, Fontana A, Cavagna L, Santoro D and Trifiro G (2014) The burden of

- nephrotoxic drug prescriptions in patients with chronic kidney disease: a retrospective population-based study in Southern Italy. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e89072.
- Jang SM, Cerulli J, Grabe DW, Fox C, Vassalotti JA, Prokopienko AJ and Pai AB (2014) NSAID-avoidance education in community pharmacies for patients at high risk for acute kidney injury, upstate New York, 2011. Preventing Chronic Disease 11, E220.
- Jarernsiripornkul N, Phueanpinit P, Pongwecharak J and Krska J (2016) Experiences of and attitudes towards receiving information about non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: a cross-sectional survey of patients in Thailand. Expert Opinion on Drug Safety 15, 417–426.
- LaCivita C, Funkhouser E, Miller MJ, Ray MN, Saag KG, Kiefe CI, Cobaugh DJ and Allison JJ (2009) Patient-reported communications with pharmacy staff at community pharmacies: the Alabama NSAID Patient Safety Study, 2005-2007. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association 49, e110–e117.
- Lanas A, Esplugues JV, Zapardiel J and Sobreviela E (2009) Education-based approach to addressing non-evidence-based practice in preventing NSAIDassociated gastrointestinal complications. World Journal of Gastroenterology 15, 5953–5959.
- Luanghirun P, Tanaboriboon P, Mahissarakul P and Lertvivatpong N (2017) Prevalence and associated factors of regular nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs used in a rural community, Thailand. *Global journal of Health Science* 9, 58.
- Mangum SA, Kraenow KR and Narducci WA (2003) Identifying at-risk patients through community pharmacy-based hypertension and stroke prevention screening projects. *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association* 43, 50–55.
- Massó González EL, Patrignani P, Tacconelli S and García Rodríguez LA (2010) Variability among nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs in risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Arthritis and Rheumatism* **62**, 1592–1601
- Meuwesen WP, du Plessis JM, Burger JR, Lubbe MS and Cockeran M (2016) Prescribing patterns of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in chronic kidney disease patients in the South African private sector. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy* 38, 863–869.
- Niquille A and Bugnon O (2010) Relationship between drug-related problems and health outcomes: a cross-sectional study among cardiovascular patients. *Pharmacy World & Science* 32, 512–519.
- Pai AB (2015) Keeping kidneys safe: the pharmacist's role in NSAID avoidance in high-risk patients. Journal of the American Pharmacists Association 55, e15–e23.
- Paulino EI, Bouvy ML, Gastelurrutia MA, Guerreiro M and Buurma H (2004) Drug related problems identified by European community pharmacists in patients discharged from hospital. *Pharmacy World & Science* 26, 353–360.
- Phueanpinit P, Pongwecharak J, Krska J and Jarernsiripornkul N (2016) Knowledge and perceptions of the risks of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs among orthopaedic patients in Thailand. The International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy 38, 1269–1276.
- Rafaniello C, Ferrajolo C, Sullo MG, Sessa M, Sportiello L, Balzano A, Manguso F, Aiezza ML, Rossi F, Scarpignato C and Capuano A (2016) Risk of gastrointestinal complications associated to NSAIDs, low-dose aspirin and their combinations: results of a pharmacovigilance reporting system. *Pharmacological Research* **104**, 108–114.
- Rao P and Knaus EE (2008) Evolution of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs): cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibition and beyond. *Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences* 11, 81s–110s.
- Ritter JM, Harding I and Warren JB (2009) Precaution, cyclooxygenase inhibition, and cardiovascular risk. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences 30, 503–508.
- Stosic R, Dunagan F, Palmer H, Fowler T and Adams I (2011) Responsible self-medication: perceived risks and benefits of over-the-counter analgesic use. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice* 19, 236–245.
- Teichert M, Griens F, Buijs E, Wensing M and De Smet PA (2014) Effectiveness of interventions by community pharmacists to reduce risk of gastrointestinal side effects in nonselective nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug users. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 23, 382–389.

- Tsang A, Von Korff M, Lee S, Alonso J, Karam E, Angermeyer MC, Borges GL, Bromet EJ, Demytteneare K, de Girolamo G, de Graaf R, Gureje O, Lepine JP, Haro JM, Levinson D, Oakley Browne MA, Posada-Villa J, Seedat S and Watanabe M (2008) Common chronic pain conditions in developed and developing countries: gender and age differences and comorbidity with depression-anxiety disorders. *The Journal of Pain* 9, 883–891.
- Tully MP, Beckman-Gyllenstrand A and Bernsten CB (2011) Factors predicting poor counselling about prescription medicines in Swedish community pharmacies. *Patient Education and Counseling* 83, 3–6.
- Turajane T, Wongbunnak R, Patcharatrakul T, Ratansumawong K, Poigampetch Y and Songpatanasilp T (2009) Gastrointestinal and cardiovascular risk of non-selective NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors in elderly patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Journal of the Medical Association of Thailand* 92, S19–S26.
- Vinks TH, de Koning FH, de Lange TM and Egberts TC (2006) Identification of potential drug-related problems in the elderly: the role of the community pharmacist. *Pharmacy World & Science* 28, 33–38.
- Wehling M (2014) Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in chronic pain conditions with special emphasis on the elderly and patients with relevant comorbidities: management and mitigation of risks and adverse effects. *The European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 70, 1159–1172.
- Wilcox CM, Cryer B and Triadafilopoulos G (2005) Patterns of use and public perception of over-the-counter pain relievers: focus on nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *The Journal of Rheumatology* 32, 2218–2224.
- Zhou Y, Boudreau DM and Freedman AN (2014) Trends in the use of aspirin and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the general U.S. population. *Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety* 23, 43–50.