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This is not always the case in some of the earlier writings of economic sociologies such as Callon and Latour, which do point to the limits of strategies of inscription, translation and mobilization. Yet Callon’s later work on the performativity of economics, as well as some of the advocates of ANT, have sometimes over-stated the effectiveness of economic theory and technological practice. This also holds true for some of the governmentality and bureaucratic culture literatures, which focus primarily on the effectiveness of various techniques for governing at a distance, and pay less attention to the slippages, resistances and limits of such efforts. One exception to this trend within the IO literature is Broome and Seabrooke’s analysis of the ways in which organizations’ practices of standardized measurement can ignore more appropriate local forms of knowledge and thus produce policy failures: André Broome and Leonard Seabrooke. 2012. “Seeing like an International Organisation.” *New Political Economy* 17 (1): 1–16.
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While it takes many different forms, the concept of performativity comes originally from the pragmatic philosopher Austin, who defined certain kinds of speech act as performative, such as a priest’s declaration of marriage, since the enunciation does the very thing that it states. John L. Austin. 1962. *How to do Things with Words*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.


In fact, I would argue, it is impossible to separate out the two forms of knowledge: as William Connolly points out in his analysis of the political and philosophical implications of recent neuroscience, thinking, emotion, instinct and embodied habits are all interconnected, layered and interdependent. William Connolly. 2002. *Neuropolitics: Thinking, Culture, Speed*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, Ch. 3.
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The answer to this conundrum is to recognize that there are several kinds of failure. As I will discuss in the Conclusion to this book, the role of failure in development governance is complex: some failures are far more damaging to governance practices than others.
WHAT CAME BEFORE
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6 Although more formal collaboration was resisted by both organizations and was always incomplete, this process of convergence was formalized in the IMF’s creation of the Structural Adjustment Fund (SAF) in 1986 and the Enhanced Structural Adjustment Fund (ESAF) in 1987, both of which required formal Bank–Fund collaboration through their agreement on the policy framework paper (PFP) that set out the basic objectives of the adjustment program.

7 So, for example, even as the Canadian government has begun to link its aid more directly to national political objectives, it continues to represent its actions as based on universal principles of results-orientation, transparency and accountability. CIDA. 2010. “Development for Results 2010–2011: At the Heart of Canada’s Efforts for a Better World.” Gatineau: Canadian International Development Agency. On the growing politicization of Canadian development assistance, see: Stephen Brown, ed. 2012. Struggling for Effectiveness: CIDA and Canadian Foreign Aid. Montreal: McGill-Queen’s University Press.
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19 Like the World Bank, the IMF was initially created to address the financial and development needs of the world in the aftermath of the Second World War. In the IMF’s case, over half of its lending went to developed countries in the first two decades of its existence. It was really only towards the end of the 1970s that the Fund began to lend most of its resources to low-income countries. Richard Peet. 2005. Unholy Trinity: The IMF, World Bank, and the World Trade Organization. London: Zed Books; James M. Boughton. 2001. Silent Revolution. The International Monetary Fund 1979–1989.


24 The day-to-day activities of the IMF and the World Bank are managed by their respective Executive Boards, which are made up of Executive Directors representing one or more member countries. The members with the largest quotas (e.g. the United States, the United Kingdom and several others) each have their own Executive Director, whereas many states with smaller quotas are grouped together.

25 Best. “Ambiguity and Uncertainty.”


27 I am not of course suggesting that the organizations succeeded in separating the two, given that the very attempt to distinguish the political from the economic is itself a political act.

28 As an example of McNamara’s efforts to remain apolitical, Kapur *et al.* note that the Bank staff and leadership never commented, in either internal or external documents, on Indira Ghandi’s declaration of a state of emergency in India in 1975. Kapur *et al.* *World Bank*, 477.

29 Although in addition to their Executive Boards both the IMF and World Bank have a Board of Governors made up of representatives (usually finance ministers) from all of the member countries, the Board only meets twice a year. For simplicity’s sake, I will therefore refer to each institution’s Executive Board as “the Board” and the Executive Directors as either “EDs” or “directors.”

This question of whether fiscal policy conditions were in fact too political became the subject of considerable debate on the Board: IMF Archives. 1968. EBM/68/122. Minutes of Executive Board Meeting. 14 August: 20, 27; IMF Archives. 1968. EBM/68/123. Minutes of Executive Board Meeting. 14 August: 7, 10–14; IMF Archives. 1968. EBM/68/127. Minutes of Executive Board Meeting. 6 September: 11, 13, 15–16. I provide an analysis of these debates and the broader shifts in conditionality policy at this time in: Best. “Ambiguity and Uncertainty.”

Kapur et al. World Bank, 522.

McNamara outlines some of the potential reforms to be included in the earliest structural adjustment programs in his 1980 memo on the subject: they include budgetary cutbacks and trade reforms. World Bank Archives. P7757: Memorandum to the Executive Directors. February 5: 3.

For a more detailed discussion of structural adjustment economics and policies, see: Ferreira and Keely. “World Bank and Structural Adjustment.”


Boughton. Silent Revolution, 608.


De Vries. International Monetary Fund, 481.

Ibid., Chs 17 and 18.


Kapur et al. World Bank, 525.
Ibid., 516. By the 1990s, this idea of the necessity of second-generation reforms had been widely accepted, with the IMF holding a conference on the subject in 1999.
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60 OED. “Eighth Annual Review.”

61 These reports looked back on previous years’ programs. Given the extended time required for many of these projects to reach completion, most of the projects evaluated in the 1980s had been initiated in the 1970s.

62 OED. “Sustainability.”


65 OED. “Evaluation Results,” 2.


69 In 1984, for example, they undertook a longer-term analysis, allowing for a “more intensive analysis of developments and policies” in a select group of heavy borrowers, a practice that they combined with the broader, shorter-term review in 1985. IMF Archives. 1984. EBS/84/228. Experience with Adjustment Policies. 13 November: 1; IMF Archives. 1985. EBS/85/277. Aspects of Program Design. 17 December.

70 IMF Archives. EBS/79/635: 9; EBS/81/152: 11; EBS/82/97: 36.
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73 IMF Archives. EBS/79/635: 12.

74 IMF Archives. EBS/82/97: 33.

75 IMF Archives. EBS/81/152: 15; EBS/82/97: 25.

76 IMF Archives. EBS/79/635: 3, 28. This second problem, of attribution, is one that continues to bedevil the IFIs and donors, in spite of their claims of their ability to demonstrate results. Interestingly, as I discuss in Chapter 8 and the Conclusion, the IMF is one of the few organizations whose staff are willing to point to these methodological problems today.

77 Ibid.; EBS/81/152: 11.

78 It is worth noting that not all of these earlier governance practices were fully formed strategies like the ones that emerged later. The practices of separating politics from economics and of viewing the world through certain universal economic principles were both coherent enough, reflexive enough about the problems being addressed, and well-enough institutionalized to be understood as governance strategies. On the other hand, various practices involved in IMF and World Bank efforts to conceptualize policy time and contingency and to measure policy effectiveness were looser and less coherent. It was only later in the 1990s that more coherent governance strategies began to be developed in these two domains of practice.


80 The G-24, for example, mounted some vociferous critiques of IMF conditionality in the late 1970s: IMF Archives. 1978. Communiqué of the Inter-governmental Group of Twenty-Four on International Monetary Affairs. 22 September.


83 I am not of course suggesting that small “i” ideas like public-choice theory were not influential during this era, but that they functioned as part of a much bigger and more explicitly ideological set of large “I” ideas at that time.
As the IFIs moved ever deeper into borrower politics, redrawing the boundaries between the domestic and the international, this narrowly expert form of authority would also prove to be a significant weakness, as I will discuss in the next chapter.

87 Kapur et al. World Bank, 316.


89 These failures are described variously as failures of incentives, of capacity, and of the effective use of resources, all of which lead to a prescription of “reducing the widespread administrative overcommitment of the public sector and ... developing and relying more on the managerial capacities of private individuals and firms. World Bank. Accelerated Development, v.

90 It is important to note that while this set of assumptions was dominant among staff at the Bank and Fund, it was not shared by all. For an account of the silent minority of more socially aware staff at the World Bank during this period, see: Antje Vetterlein. 2007. “Economic Growth, Poverty Reduction, and the Role of Social Policies: The Evolution of the World Bank's Social Development Approach.” Global Governance 13 (4): 513–33; Catherine Weaver. 2008. Hypocrisy Trap: The World Bank and the Poverty of Reform. Princeton University Press.
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92 Ayers. Banking on the Poor.


94 Boughton. Silent Revolution, 689–90.

4 Transformations
4 As in the previous chapter, I will be focusing here primarily on the IMF and World Bank, rather than attempting to provide a comprehensive history of the evolution of governance strategies among key donors as well – a task that would exceed the scope of this volume.

The US, for example, as I will discuss in Chapter 5, actively and unsuccessfully opposed some of the changes involved in streamlining conditionality.

A moment that, as I will suggest in the Conclusion to this book, may be in the process of passing, as a growing number of conservative governments are beginning to exercise a more instrumental kind of power over aid financing in recent years.
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24 While some of these debates were certainly “hot,” others are better characterized as “warm”: defined neither by a general agreement on metrics nor an outright debate on what counts as success and failure, but rather by a pervasive sense of unease and ambivalence about the adequacy of existing forms of measurement. In fact, this kind of ambivalence is a central characteristic of provisional forms of governance.


38 Interview with senior World Bank staff member, 11 May 2007.


40 Key British ministers, such as Clare Short and Tony Benn, as well as the then Chancellor of the Exchequer, Gordon Brown, also became personally implicated in moving these agendas forward – both through pressure on the IFIs
and by enacting their own reforms to aid policy at home. Interviews with Ruth Driscoll, Overseas Development Institute and Jeff Powell, Bretton Woods Project, September 2006.
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52 Ibid.


58 Tony Killick’s work (which also applied a public-choice framework, focusing on principal-agent dynamics) was particularly damning in this respect. Killick. “Principals, Agents”; Killick et al. Aid.


60 Although the emphasis on volume of lending has remained a very significant motivator. Interview with Bruce Rich, Environmental Defense, 8 May 2007.
As Kapur et al. note, it is very difficult to judge the extent to which the quality of Bank projects did in fact decline over this period: “Even trends are difficult to interpret: was it due to poor inputs, the Bank’s venturing into more risky terrain (whether by country or sector), changed value judgments or a more volatile global environment?” Kapur et al. World Bank, 45.


5 FOSTERING OWNERSHIP


3 Over time, NGOs have developed a rather mixed assessment of country ownership, challenging IFIs and donors to foster meaningful ownership while also raising questions about what the term really means – and suggesting that it often boils down to asking developing country governments to “own” traditional IFI and donor policies, rather than generating their own solutions.


Because IMF resources are based on the contributions, or shares, of member states, they are referred to as “shareholders.” A member’s voting power also depends on the size of their quota, or share. Hence industrialized countries have the vast majority of the voting share, while the US, with 17 per cent, has an effective veto on fundamental changes requiring 85 per cent agreement.

IMF Archives. 1995. EBM/95/107. Minutes of Executive Board Meeting. November 13: 26–7, 41–2, 48. Support for more “ownership” came from US, UK and Canadian directors, among others, who suggested that more selectivity in lending might foster more ownership. Other directors, particularly those representing developing countries, disagreed with this approach to country ownership.

The ESAF was the facility through which the IMF made concessional lending to poor countries. It has since been replaced by the poverty reduction and growth fund (PRGF).
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When IFIs and donors provide funds through general budget support (GBS), they do not funnel them to individual projects or through ring-fenced units within the borrowing government, but rather provide the funds to the finance ministry directly, allowing the government to use its own internal mechanisms to allocate them. GBS became an increasingly popular form of aid delivery in the early 2000s, as part of the broader emphasis on country ownership and the move to work within domestic government systems. It has since lost some of its popularity and is the subject of considerable debate among donors. For some interesting assessments of GBS, see: Debbie Warrener. 2004. “Current Thinking in the UK on General Budget Support.” London: Overseas
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30 Telephone interview with Edwin M. Truman, former Treasury Assistant Secretary, International Affairs, US Government, 31 October 2006. See also:
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80 PFPs outlined a basic economic development plan that both institutions could support. Such a plan became necessary as IMF and Bank programs began to converge, as the World Bank placed more emphasis on adjustment lending and the IMF created facilities for medium-term concessional lending (the SAF and ESAF). Paul Mosely, Jane Harrigan and John Toye. 1991. Aid and Power: The World Bank and Policy-Based Lending. London: Routledge, 53.
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111 I will discuss this example of what I am calling a “benign failure” in more detail in the Conclusion to this volume.

6 DEVELOPING GLOBAL STANDARDS

This last example of maternal health is one of the many new global norms included in the millennium development goals, which provide another example of a policy that relies on the standardizing strategies discussed in this chapter.


There is a significant literature on the concept of “new” or “post-conditionality,” which sees recent initiatives such as the good governance agenda and Poverty Reduction Strategies as another, more extensive but less obvious, form of conditionality. My research confirms many of these claims, but because of my focus on how governance is performed rather than simply on the interests or outcomes at stake, this book points to broader and more profound changes in the logic of global development governance than those advanced in this literature. Jeremy Gould, ed. 2005. *The New Conditionality: The Politics of Poverty Reduction Strategies*. London: Zed Books; John Pender. 2001. “From ‘Structural Adjustment’ to ‘Comprehensive Development Framework’: Conditionality Transformed?” *Third World Quarterly* 22 (3): 397–411.
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MANAGING RISK AND VULNERABILITY
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