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Abstract It is known that all $k$-homogeneous orthogonally additive polynomials $P$ over $C(K)$ are of the form

$$
P(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

Thus, $x \mapsto x^{k}$ factors all orthogonally additive polynomials through some linear form $\mu$. We show that no such linearization is possible without homogeneity. However, we also show that every orthogonally additive holomorphic function of bounded type $f$ over $C(K)$ is of the form

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} h(x) \mathrm{d} \mu
$$

for some $\mu$ and holomorphic $h: C(K) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ of bounded type.
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## 1. Introduction

A holomorphic function $f: C(K) \rightarrow F$ is said to be orthogonally additive if $f(x+y)=$ $f(x)+f(y)$ whenever $x$ and $y$ are mutually orthogonal (i.e. $x y=0$ over $K$ ). In this paper we study orthogonal additivity of complex-valued bounded-type holomorphic functions over $C(K)$.

Recall [7] that $f: E \rightarrow F$ is of bounded type if it is bounded on all bounded subsets of $E$. The Taylor series $f=\sum_{k} P_{k}$ of such a function have infinite radii of uniform convergence, i.e. $\lim \sup \left\|P_{k}\right\|^{1 / k}=0$. We will denote the space of all such functions over $E$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(E, F)$, or simply $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(E)$ if $F=\mathbb{C}$.

It was proved in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}]$ (see also $[\mathbf{3}]$ ) that each orthogonally additive $k$-homogeneous polynomial $P$ over $C(K)$ is represented by a regular Borel measure $\mu$ on $K$ in the following
sense:

$$
P(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu \quad \text { for all } x \in C(K) .
$$

This is a linearization result; the 'universal' polynomial $h(x)=x^{k}$ linearizes all orthogonally additive $k$-homogeneous polynomials $P$ :


The following lemma shows that $f$ is orthogonally additive if and only if all polynomials in its Taylor-series expansion at zero are orthogonally additive. Note that this is not the case for expansions around other points.

Lemma 1.1. Let $f: C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic and let $f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}$ be its Taylor series at zero. Then $f$ is orthogonally additive if and only if all the $P_{k}$ are orthogonally additive.

Proof. Say $x$ and $y$ are orthogonal. If $f$ is orthogonally additive, we may use the Cauchy integral formula [7, Equation (3.11)] to write

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{k}(x+y) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|\lambda|=1} \frac{f(\lambda(x+y))}{\lambda^{k+1}} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \int_{|\lambda|=1} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{k+1}}+\frac{f(\lambda y)}{\lambda^{k+1}} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \\
& =P_{k}(x)+P_{k}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the converse, if all $P_{k}$ are orthogonally additive,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x+y) & =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x+y) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(P_{k}(x)+P_{k}(y)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(y) \\
& =f(x)+f(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Given Lemma 1.1, one might expect that in the holomorphic setting one will have a linearizing factorization, where the polynomial $h(x)=x^{k}$ is replaced by $h(x)=\Phi \circ x$, with $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic and $\Phi(0)=0$ (for example, $h(x)=\mathrm{e}^{x}-1$ ). The first
objection to such a factorization is that it would produce a linearization of the space of orthogonally additive holomorphic functions through $C(K)$, and thus a Banach predual of the space; but spaces of holomorphic functions are seldom Banach. A second objection to this line of thought is that, for any fixed $\Phi(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$, the factorization can only take place for functions $f$ with limited growth: indeed, if

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} \Phi \circ x \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{K} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k} \int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

then

$$
|f(x)| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right||\mu|(K)\|x\|^{k}=|\mu|(K) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|\|x\|^{k},
$$

so the growth of $f$ is bounded by that of $\Phi$.
We will show (Example 2.2) that there are stronger, algebraic, obstructions and that such a factorization cannot be obtained even for non-homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 .

In $\S 2$ we study the relationship between orthogonal additivity and integrality, and prove that all orthogonally additive functions of bounded type are integral. We also show an orthogonally additive polynomial of degree 2 that does not factor through $C(K)$.

In $\S 3$ we characterize orthogonally additive holomorphic functions of bounded type as those which may be written as

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} h(x) \mathrm{d} \mu \quad \text { for all } x \text { in } C(K)
$$

for some measure $\mu$ on $K$ and $h: C(K) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ holomorphic and of bounded type.

## 2. Orthogonal additivity and integrality

Recall [6] that a $k$-homogeneous polynomial $P$ over a Banach space $E$ is said to be integral if it can be represented by a Borel measure $\nu$ over the unit ball $B_{E^{\prime}}$ of the dual space (with the weak* topology) in the following way:

$$
P(x)=\int_{B_{E^{\prime}}} \hat{x}^{k} \mathrm{~d} \nu \quad \text { for all } x \in E
$$

(where $\hat{x}(\gamma)=\gamma(x)$ ). Recall also [5] that an integral holomorphic function $f: B_{E}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on the open unit ball of $E$ is one which may be written as

$$
f(x)=\int_{B_{E^{\prime}}} \frac{1}{1-\hat{x}} \mathrm{~d} \nu \quad \text { for all } x \in B_{E}^{\circ}
$$

and for some Borel measure $\nu$ on $B_{E^{\prime}}$.
Note that when $E=C(K)$ the dual $E^{\prime}$ is the space of regular Borel measures on $K$, and that $K$ may be identified with part of the unit ball $B_{E^{\prime}}$ : the point measures $\delta_{a}$, $a \in K$.

We begin with some observations on the case of orthogonally additive $k$-homogeneous polynomials. Consider the closed subspace $X_{k}$ of $C\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ spanned by $\left\{\hat{x}^{k}: x \in E\right\}$. The space $X_{k}$ is then the symmetric $\epsilon$-tensor product

$$
\widehat{\bigotimes}_{\epsilon, k, s} E
$$

and integral $k$-homogeneous polynomials are those which linearize through $X_{k}[7]$ :


Now if $E=C(K)$, we have the linear map $R_{k}: X_{k} \rightarrow C(K)$ given by $R_{k}\left(\hat{x}^{k}\right)=x^{k}$. It is easy to see that, since $K \subset B_{E^{\prime}}$, this map is well defined and has norm 1. The diagram

then shows (applying $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}]$ ) that all orthogonally additive $k$-homogeneous polynomials are integral. Indeed, if $P(x)=\mu\left(x^{k}\right)$, it also equals $\nu\left(\hat{x}^{k}\right)$. This shows the well-known fact that the 'integrating' polynomial

$$
P(x)=\int_{K} x(t)^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu(t) \quad \text { on } C(K)
$$

is integral.
It is also true that orthogonally additive holomorphic functions of bounded type are integral. For the proof, we will need the following. Recall [1] that any $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(E)$ has a canonical extension to the bidual $E^{\prime \prime}$. This extension, $\bar{f}$, called the Aron-Berner extension, is also holomorphic and of bounded type.

Given a Borel subset $A$ of $K$, and $f: C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a bounded-type holomorphic function, we define

$$
f_{A}(x)=\bar{f}\left(1_{A} x\right)
$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the Aron-Berner extension of $f$.
Proposition 2.1. If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(C(K))$ is orthogonally additive, then it is integral.

Proof. Let $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}$ be the Taylor-series expansion of $f$ at zero and note that each $P_{k}$ is orthogonally additive and hence integral. By [5] we need only verify that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|_{I}<\infty
$$

Since $f$ is of bounded type, we have $\limsup \left\|P_{k}\right\|^{1 / k}=0$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|<\infty$. But if $P$ is an orthogonally additive $k$-homogeneous polynomial, then its natural and integral norms are equal: in general, one has $\|P\| \leqslant\|P\|_{I}$; to see $\|P\|_{I} \leqslant\|P\|$, given $\varepsilon>0$, we find a measure $\nu$ on $B_{E^{\prime}}$ representing $P$ and such that $|\nu|<\|P\|+\varepsilon$. Since $P$ is orthogonally additive, consider a measure $\mu$ on $K$ such that

$$
P(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

and take $\nu=\mu \circ R_{k}$ :


Since $\left\|R_{k}\right\|=1$,

$$
|\nu|=\left\|\mu \circ R_{k}\right\| \leqslant|\mu|\left\|R_{k}\right\|=|\mu|<\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu\left(A_{i}\right)\right|+\varepsilon
$$

for some sequence $\left(A_{i}\right)$ of disjoint closed subsets of $K$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\nu| & <\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\int_{K} 1_{A_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \mu\right|+\varepsilon \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|P_{A_{i}}(1)\right|+\varepsilon \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|P_{A_{i}}\right\|+\varepsilon \\
& \leqslant\|P\|+\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

this last inequality is obtained by [3, Lemma 1.2].
Note that in the proof we have shown that the natural and integral norms coincide on the space of orthogonally additive $k$-homogenous polynomials on $C(K)$. We have also
shown that any orthogonally additive function in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(C(K))$ is, in fact, in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b} I}(C(K))$, which means that its restriction to $n B_{C(K)}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{I}\left(n B_{C(K)}\right)$ for all $n$ [5].

The converse of Proposition 2.1 does not hold even for homogeneous polynomials: the condition for an integral polynomial $P$ to be orthogonally additive is that it factor through $R_{k}$, i.e.

$$
\sum_{i} a_{i} x_{i}^{k}=0 \quad \text { on } K \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \sum_{i} a_{i} P\left(x_{i}\right)=0
$$

Now consider the analogous situation for an integral holomorphic map $f: B_{C(K)}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Take $X$, the closed subspace of $C\left(B_{C(K)^{\prime}}\right)$ spanned by $\{\hat{x} /(1-\hat{x}):\|x\|<1\}$, and $R: X \rightarrow$ $C(K)$ given by

$$
R\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{1-\hat{x}}\right)=\frac{x}{1-x}
$$

Then $R$ is again well defined and continuous, and by composition with $R$ all maps of the form

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} \frac{x}{1-x} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

are integral (and $f(0)=0$ ). However, not all orthogonally additive bounded-type holomorphic functions are of this form. To obtain such a representation, one would have to construct a measure over $K$, and here the obstruction is algebraic: the spaces $R_{k}\left(X_{k}\right)$ are not 'independent' in $C(K)$. The following example shows that for non-homogeneous polynomials there is no such representation with any holomorphic $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Example 2.2. Take $K$ to be the closed unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. There is no entire function $\Phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $h(x)=\Phi \circ x$ factors all degree- 2 orthogonally additive polynomials over $C(K)$.

Proof. Suppose there were such a function $\Phi$, i.e. given an orthogonally additive polynomial $P$, there is a measure $\mu$ such that

$$
P(x)=\int_{K} \Phi \circ x \mathrm{~d} \mu \quad \text { for } x \in C(K) .
$$

Write the Taylor series of $\Phi$ at 0 ,

$$
\Phi(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}
$$

and choose $a$ and $b$ in $K$ such that $c_{2} a^{2} \neq c_{1} b^{2}$. Define $P: C(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $P(x)=$ $x(a)+x(b)^{2}$. Clearly, $P$ is orthogonally additive, so let $\mu$ be as above. Now, for any $\lambda$,

$$
x(a) \lambda+x(b)^{2} \lambda^{2}=P(\lambda x)=\int_{K} \Phi \circ \lambda x \mathrm{~d} \mu=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k} \int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu \lambda^{k}
$$

and thus

$$
x(a)=c_{1} \int_{K} x \mathrm{~d} \mu \quad \text { and } \quad x(b)^{2}=c_{2} \int_{K} x^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu \quad \text { for } x \in C(K)
$$

However, if we take $x(z)=\left(c_{2} / c_{1}\right) z^{2}$ and $y(z)=z$ (and thus $c_{1} x=c_{2} y^{2}$ ), then

$$
\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} a^{2}=x(a)=c_{1} \int_{K} x \mathrm{~d} \mu=c_{2} \int_{K} y^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu=y(b)^{2}=b^{2}
$$

which contradicts our choice of $a$ and $b$.

## 3. Characterization of orthogonally additive holomorphic functions of bounded type

For any Borel measure $\mu$ on $K$, we will write $f \ll \mu$, if $\mu(A)=0$ implies $f_{A}=0$. Also, we will say that $h: C(K) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ is a power-series function if

$$
h(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k}
$$

with $g_{k}$ s in $L^{1}(\mu)$. Note that this is a very special type of holomorphic function as its definition uses the algebra structure of $C(K)$. We then have the following.

Theorem 3.1. Given $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(C(K)$ ), orthogonally additive, $f \ll \mu$ if and only if there exists a power-series function $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(C(K), L^{1}(\mu)\right)$ such that

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} h(x)(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t) \quad \text { for all } x \in C(K)
$$

Proof. Consider the Taylor series of $f$ about 0: $f=\sum_{k} P_{k}$. Note that $\bar{f}=\sum_{k} \bar{P}_{k}$ in $C(K)^{\prime \prime}$. Thus, for any Borel set $A$,

$$
f_{A}(x)=\bar{f}\left(1_{A} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \bar{P}_{k}\left(1_{A} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k A}(x)
$$

Since $\left\|P_{k A}\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{k}\right\|$, by [3, Lemma 1.2] $f_{A} \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(C(K))$.
$(\Longrightarrow)$ Now suppose that $f \ll \mu$. Since $f_{A}=0$ if and only if $P_{k A}=0$ for all $k$, we have $P_{k} \ll \mu$ for all $k$. Also, since $f$ is orthogonally additive, $P_{k}$ is also orthogonally additive for all $k$. Therefore, by $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{8}]$, there is a measure $\mu_{k}$ such that

$$
P_{k}(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{k},
$$

but, since $P_{k} \ll \mu$, we have $\mu_{k} \ll \mu$; indeed, if $\mu(A)=0$,

$$
\mu_{k}(A)=\int_{K} 1_{A} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{k}=\bar{P}_{k}\left(1_{A}\right)=P_{k A}(1)=0
$$

(the second equality is obtained by [3, Corollary 2.1]). Now, by the Radon-Nikodým Theorem there is a $g_{k} \in L^{1}(\mu)$ such that

$$
P_{k}(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} g_{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

We prove that $\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} \leqslant\left\|P_{k}\right\|$ for each $k$ : choose a representative $g_{k}$ and consider the function

$$
t \mapsto \begin{cases}\left(\frac{\bar{g}_{k}(t)}{\left|g_{k}(t)\right|}\right)^{1 / k} & \text { if } g_{k}(t) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } g_{k}(t)=0\end{cases}
$$

where in taking the $k$ th root we have chosen any branch. This function, though not continuous, is Borel measurable and has its image in the closed unit disc. It is an element of $C(K)^{\prime \prime}$. Using [3, Corollary 2.1] again we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} & =\int_{K}\left|g_{k}\right| \mathrm{d} \mu=\int_{K}\left[\left(\frac{\bar{g}_{k}(t)}{\left|g_{k}(t)\right|}\right)^{1 / k}\right]^{k} g_{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& =\bar{P}_{k}\left(\left(\frac{\bar{g}_{k}(t)}{\left|g_{k}(t)\right|}\right)^{1 / k}\right) \leqslant\left\|\bar{P}_{k}\right\|=\left\|P_{k}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

this last equality is obtained by [4]. Now define $h: C(K) \rightarrow L^{1}(\mu)$ by

$$
h(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k} .
$$

This series converges absolutely:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} & \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|g_{k} x^{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{K}\left|g_{k}\right||x|^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}\|x\|_{\infty}^{k} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left\|P_{k}\right\|\|x\|^{k}<\infty \quad \text { for all } x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $h$ is a power-series function and is clearly bounded on bounded subsets of $C(K)$. So $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(C(K), L^{1}(\mu)\right)$, and

$$
f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{K} g_{k} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{K} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{K} h(x) \mathrm{d} \mu
$$

$(\Longleftarrow)$ If $\mu(A)=0$, then

$$
f_{A}(x)=\bar{f}\left(1_{A} x\right)=\int_{K} \bar{h}\left(1_{A} x\right) \mathrm{d} \mu=\int_{K} h(x) 1_{A} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\int_{A} h(x) \mathrm{d} \mu=0
$$

so $f \ll \mu$. The second equality holds because $h$ is a power-series function of bounded type. Indeed,

$$
f=\sum_{k} P_{k}=\int_{K} h \mathrm{~d} \mu
$$

Since $h$ is a power series,

$$
P_{k}(x)=\int_{K} g_{k} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu .
$$

The proof of [3, Corollary 2.1] then shows that

$$
\bar{P}_{k}\left(1_{A} x\right)=\int_{A} g_{k} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu,
$$

and the result follows.
Proposition 3.2. If $f$ is orthogonally additive, then, for some Borel measure $\mu, f \ll \mu$.
Proof. Say $f=\sum_{k} P_{k}$ is the Taylor-series expansion of $f$ at zero. Since $f$ is orthogonally additive, all the $P_{k}$ are orthogonally additive. Thus, there are Borel measures $\mu_{k}$ for which

$$
P_{k}(x)=\int_{K} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{k} .
$$

Now,

$$
P_{k A}(x)=\bar{P}_{k}\left(1_{A} x\right)=\int_{A} x^{k} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{k},
$$

so $P_{k A}(1)=\mu_{k}(A)$ and $\left|\mu_{k}\right| \leqslant\left\|P_{k}\right\|$. Therefore, $\left(\left|\mu_{k}\right|\right)$ is summable, and we can define $\mu=\sum_{k}\left|\mu_{k}\right|$. For any $A, \mu(A)=\sum_{k}\left|\mu_{k}\right|(A)$, so $\mu_{k} \ll \mu$ for all $k$. This implies that $P_{k} \ll \mu$ for all $k$, and hence $f \ll \mu$.

We have the following characterization of orthogonally additive holomorphic functions of bounded type.
Theorem 3.3. $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}(C(K))$ is orthogonally additive if and only if there is a Borel measure $\mu$ and a power-series function $h \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(C(K), L^{1}(\mu)\right)$ such that

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} h(x)(t) \mathrm{d} \mu(t) \quad \text { for all } x .
$$

Proof. $(\Longrightarrow)$ This is immediate from the preceding results.
$(\Longleftarrow)$ Note that all power-series functions are orthogonally additive, for if $x$ and $y$ are orthogonal, $(x+y)^{k}=x^{k}+y^{k}$ for all $k \geqslant 1$, so

$$
h(x+y)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}(x+y)^{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k}\left(x^{k}+y^{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k} x^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{k} y^{k}=h(x)+h(y) .
$$

Now if

$$
f(x)=\int_{K} h(x) \mathrm{d} \mu,
$$

orthogonal additivity of $f$ follows from linearity of the integral. Also, if $\|x\| \leqslant c$, then

$$
|f(x)| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|g_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} c^{k},
$$

so $f$ is bounded on bounded sets.
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