Climate change and beef supply chain in Southern Brazil
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Abstract

The current study evaluated the uncertainty of beef cattle supply for slaughter due to the variable climate of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The data included the numbers of cattle slaughtered, local live cattle prices, price and amount of exported beef, and the prices of the Brazilian beef futures market. Data were collected on the beef supply from January 1997 to March 2014. Climate data included El Niño (EN), La Niña (LN), South Atlantic Sub-tropical Dipole (SASD), Negative and Positive Atlantic Dipole (−AD and +AD), Tropical South and North Atlantic indices. Statistical analysis was performed by a multivariate regression of time series. It was observed that EN and SASD climatic variables increased the numbers of beef cattle slaughtered, with a 1 and 4-month lag, respectively. On the other hand, LN and −AD decreased the number of animals slaughtered, with 4 and 0 months’ lag, respectively, meaning that there was an immediate response to −AD, while there was a 4-month delay for LN. The amount of exported beef and live beef cattle prices were explained by the number of animals slaughtered in the state. Data suggested that the beef cattle market in RS was more strongly influenced by the occurrence of climate phenomena with LN and −AD than by economic variables such as the price paid to the producer for beef and the amount exported. The climate changes evaluated in the current study affect the livestock production system and consequently the beef market industry in Southern Brazil.

Introduction

Many factors, such as natural resources and the development of assisted reproductive technology, nutrition and animal health programmes, may affect the beef cattle supply chain. Although the animal supply flow is important for the entire chain, it may be most relevant to farmers (Rezitis and Stavropoulos, 2010). Evaluation of the number of animals to be slaughtered is required to adjust cash flow, as it is important for the industry to allocate shipping space, plan the scale of slaughter, adjust the number of employees, estimate retail delivery volumes and define the strategy of animal purchase prices. Farmers systematically integrate the production system with their suppliers to improve competitiveness. However, climate change affects the beef cattle production capacity across different systems and regions (Berry et al., 2006; Lobell et al., 2011).

The supply of most agricultural products is affected by their price in response to climate variables. Ubilava (2012) observed that the occurrence of climate phenomena such as El Niño (EN) and La Niña (LN) affected coffee prices in the international market. Similarly, according to reports, these two climatic phenomena decreased annual rice productivity worldwide and have had a direct impact on crop yields in different countries over the years (Chen and McCarl, 2000; Chen et al., 2008).

Livestock growth in different pasture production systems is influenced by climate. Thus, unfavourable climatic conditions can decrease the vegetative growth of plants, which will affect the animal production efficiency. According to IBGE (2015), in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil, cattle supply for slaughter seems to be more sensitive to climatic phenomena compared with other regions of Brazil. In the state of Rio Grande do Sul, slaughter decreased by 32 and 12.5% during LN periods in 1998–01 and 2006–07, respectively, while the rest of Brazil increased cattle slaughter by 9 and 12% during the same period (IBGE, 2015). The Rio Grande do Sul is the fifth largest Brazilian beef producer, and the predominant production system uses British beef cattle breeds and their crosses, which graze natural pasture in the region. The State has a herd of about 14 million cattle, and 0.73 of them are concentrated in the Pampa Biome region (Fig. 1) that comprises 1 78 243 km², corresponding to 0.63 of the state territory (Hasenack and Cordeiro, 2006; BRASIL, 2014). The main feature of the Pampa Biome is the predominance of natural pastures, covering about 6.5 million
Data were collected regarding the beef supply chain in the state of Rio Grande do Sul from January 1997 to March 2014, and classified as the number of cattle slaughtered monthly, prices in futures markets, amount and value of exports and the price of beef cattle paid to farmers in the State (CEPEA, 2014; IBGE, 2015; MDIC, 2015). Cattle prices were obtained in Brazilian currency (Reais; RS) and transformed into US currency (US Dollars; US$) updated to the March 2014 dollar exchange rate (as found on BACEN, http://www4.bcb.gov.br/pec/conversao/conversao.asp). According to MDIC (2015), the exports, imports and movement of live cattle to and from Rio Grande do Sul were insignificant, corresponding to <0.01 of State consumption, and for that reason, this variable was not considered.

Data were collected regarding climate phenomena such as El Niño, La Niña and Multivariate El Niño Southern Oscillation Index (MEI) (CPTEC-INPE, 2014a, b; NOAA, 2014a). In addition, data were obtained about the Tropical South Atlantic (TSA) and Tropical North Atlantic (TNA) indices, and the Positive and Negative Atlantic Dipole climate phenomenon (NOAA, 2014b; Table 1). All data were processed in a LibreOffice spreadsheet and then exported to the statistical software Gnu Regression, Econometrics and Time Series Library (http://gretl.sourceforge.net/). The MEI considers variables such as sea surface temperature (SST), intensity and direction of winds, the pressure at sea level and fraction of sky covered by clouds. These indices are those that best represent the climate phenomena of the equatorial Pacific (Wolter and Timlin, 2011), classified as EN and LN, which affect vegetative growth in different regions of the planet. Wolter (1987) determined that MEI >0.4 is considered EN, LN <−0.4 and neutral between −0.4 and 0.4.

To evaluate the relationship between climate phenomena and cattle slaughter, a binary variable was created for the occurrence of EN (presence = 1, absence = 0) and LN (presence = 1, absence = 0). These variables were multiplied by the variable MEI when <−0.4 (LN) and >0.4 (EN) (Wolter, 1987), and causing the MEI–EN, MEI–LN variables to identify MEI values only in the periods when climate phenomena occurred. The +AD variable occurs when the SST of TNA is higher than the historical average and that of TSA is below the historical average. The opposite is true for −AD. The resulting binary variable considered +AD (presence = 1, absence = 0) and −AD (presence = 1, absence = 0). The South Atlantic Sub-tropical Dipole Index (SASD) was also included in the analysis and it was obtained by subtracting SST of TSA from TNA for September to March (spring–summer in the southern hemisphere) when there is greater forage production (Wainer et al., 2014).

The effect of climatic phenomena on beef cattle slaughter in the most sensitive period of climate oscillations during spring and summer was also evaluated. A dummy variable called Equinox (EQ) was used and classified as 1 (one) for the spring–summer period (September–March) or as 0 (zero) for the autumn–winter period (April–August). To isolate the months that were not relevant, the variable called Equinox was multiplied by MEI–EN, MEI–LN, +AD, −AD and SASD data originating from the EQEN, EQLN, EQ +AD, EQ −AD, and EQSASD variables. These additional data during the equinox time concern the effects of EL, LN, +AD, −AD and SASD, respectively.

Estimation of the influence of climate variables in the supply of cattle for slaughter was carried out by time series regression Cochrane-Orcutt with log-linear input using the number of cattle slaughtered as a dependent variable and EQEN variables, EQLN, EQ +AD, EQ −AD, EQSASD as the independent variable Eqn (1):
The lags for model variables were defined by the Forward-Backward method, testing 0–6 lags for each variable. The Forward method begins with no variable in the model and adds a variable at each step and the backward method does the opposite. All variables were included initially and then each was deleted or maintained in a stepwise manner. The variable withdrawal decision is taken based on partial F tests, which are calculated for each variable as if it were the last to enter the model. According to Gujarati and Porter (2011), lag is the time interval between the variation of the explanatory variables (X) and the response or change in the dependent variable (Y). In the current study, the lag concept was used to evaluate the onset of the increase or decrease of cattle slaughter after the beginning of the equinox with or without climate phenomena.

In addition, the number of cattle slaughtered in the Rio Grande do Sul was determined according to the live cattle prices paid (PP) to farmers in the Rio Grande do Sul, the exported beef price (EBP) and the indicator for The Brazilian Mercantile and Futures Exchange (BMF; Bolsa de Mercadorias & Futuros, São Paulo) Eqn (2):

\[ SS = \alpha + PP + EBP + BMF + \epsilon \] (2)

The PP to farmers in Rio Grande do Sul was analysed according to the number of slaughtered cattle (SS), the EBP and the indicator for the futures market (BMF) Eqn (3):

\[ PP = \alpha + SS + EBP + BMF + \epsilon \] (3)

The Vector Autoregression Model was used to estimate Eqns (2), (3) and (4); however, for the last model of consistency, if the analysis indicated heteroskedasticity, then it was changed to use the Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedastic estimator. Lag equations were defined by selecting the Vector Autoregression Model available in GRETL software. A different combination of lags was defined by the Akaike criterion, where the lowest value had the best-adjusted model.

The variable price of live beef cattle paid to the farmers for slaughtered cattle in Rio Grande do Sul was subjected to a means test using the factor variables that determined the climate phenomenon or periods without phenomena. The factor variables were set to spring–summer on (EQOVERALL), EQEN, EQLN, spring–summer with –AD (EQ – AD), EQLN with –AD (EQLN – AD) and spring–summer without phenomena (EQNOEVENT). For this, the mean Scheffé test \((P > 0.01)\), available in SPSS Statistics 13.0 software was used.

**Results**

In the current research, it was not possible to confirm that EN increases the cattle supply in relation to overall years or periods without the occurrence of a climate anomaly (Table 2). Moreover, in the regression analysis, indicated by adjusting \(R^2\), the climate phenomena account for 0.79 of the number of animals slaughtered in the spring and summer in the Rio Grande do Sul state, which showed that the beef cattle industry is greatly dependent on climate phenomena.

There was a 1-month lag in the increase of animals for slaughter from the beginning of spring, starting in October with 5 ± 2.4 percentage point variation (Table 3). There was also no positive influence of the Atlantic Dipole on the cattle supply for slaughter, while the –AD and SASD indices showed negative and positive variations, respectively (Table 3).

It was observed that beef cattle PP to farmers increased supply by 2.8% and beef exported increased it by 1%, with a 2- and 1-month lag, respectively (Table 4). On the other hand, the cattle supply for slaughter was more affected by the PP to farmers in the

**Table 1.** Occurrence of climate anomalies during spring and summer seasons from 1997 to 2014 in the State of Rio Grande do Sul

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate anomalies</th>
<th>Periods (years)</th>
<th>Condition of anomalies expression</th>
<th>Influence of anomalies</th>
<th>NDVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN</td>
<td>1997–98; 2002–03; 2004–05; 2006–07; 2009–10</td>
<td>MEI &gt;0.4</td>
<td>Increase in the average minimum temperature and rainfall</td>
<td>Increase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN</td>
<td>1998–01; 2007–08</td>
<td>MEI &lt;0.4</td>
<td>Average minimum temperature reduction and rainfall</td>
<td>Decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+AD</td>
<td>1997; 2002; 2004–05; 2011–13</td>
<td>TNA &gt;0 and TSA =0</td>
<td>Increased maximum Average temperature in November and December</td>
<td>Increase in November and December</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–AD</td>
<td>1999–02; 2009; 2014</td>
<td>TNA &lt;0 and TSA &gt;0</td>
<td>Decrease of the maximum average temperature</td>
<td>Decrease in November and December</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NDVI, Normalized Difference Vegetation Index; EN, El Niño; LN, La Niña; +AD, positive Atlantic Dipole; –AD, negative Atlantic Dipole; TNA, Tropical North Atlantic; TSA, Tropical South Atlantic.


**Table 2.** Effective supplies of cattle for slaughter in southern Brazil, from September to April of the years 1997–2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>( N ) (months)</th>
<th>Average (animals)</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQ +AD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1 40 407</td>
<td>43 522.1</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQNOEVENT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1 40 024</td>
<td>38 569.6</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQEN</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1 37 431</td>
<td>31 614.3</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQOVERALL</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1 36 689</td>
<td>33 283.9</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLN</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1 31 120</td>
<td>29 808.2</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ –AD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1 10 840</td>
<td>18 954.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLN –AD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 04 077</td>
<td>9 547.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQ, Equinox; ELEN, El Niño; LN, La Niña; +AD, positive Atlantic Dipole; –AD, negative Atlantic Dipole; LN –AD, La Niña associated with Negative Atlantic Dipole; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.
of C4-type natural pastures that grow mainly from September to March (Overbeck et al., 2007) when the amount of cattle slaughtered is higher. However, even during these seasons, a marked variation in the number of animals sent to slaughter was observed over the years, which may be associated with the effects of climate variations on forage supply in the region (IBGE, 2015). The variability in the number of animals sent for slaughter seems to be associated with climate phenomena such as EN, LN and –AD (Marques et al., 2005; Wagner, 2013; CPTEC-INPE, 2014, b) because bovines in the Rio Grande do Sul feed mainly on natural pastures.

Berlato and Fontana (2003) observed that 80% of the variation in soybean and maize production in the Rio Grande do Sul was also associated with climatic variations, such as precipitation and temperature. This observation emphasizes the importance of using technologies that can minimize production risks associated with the weather, such as irrigation systems, selection of varieties more resistant to water stress, feed storage and strategies for feeding the animals.

In the autumn/winter period in the Rio Grande do Sul, there is a natural drop in the supply of native forage and, therefore, in the cattle slaughter rate, both showing lower variability in observations when compared with the spring and summer seasons (Marques et al., 2005). Similarly, climate phenomena had a less significant impact on the variation of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in the autumn and winter months in the Rio Grande do Sul (Wagner, 2013), explaining the exclusion of the colder seasons from the current study. It was observed that in EN years, when rainfall tends to be higher than average (Wagner, 2013), the increase of animals for slaughter presented a lag of 1 month from the beginning of spring.

In EN years, a greater supply of cattle for slaughter was observed beginning in October compared with the years when

Table 3. Climate anomalies and their degree of lags in relation to the supply of cattle for slaughter in the Rio Grande do Sul

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags (months)</th>
<th>Coefficient $\beta$ (%)</th>
<th>Reason-t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.31 562.3 (0.07)*</td>
<td>27 165.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQEN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 (2.4)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>–10 (3.7)</td>
<td>–2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQSASD</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11 (3.8)</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ –AD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–10 (3.9)</td>
<td>–2.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQEN, Equinox El Niño; EQLN, Equinox La Niña; EQSASD, Equinox South Atlantic Subtropical Dipole; EQ –AD, Equinox Negative Atlantic Dipole. Numbers in parentheses are the standard error.

Table 5. Significance of beef cattle supply variables for slaughter, exported beef prices and The Brazilian Mercantile and Futures Exchange on the supply of cattle for slaughter in the Rio Grande do Sul

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags (months)</th>
<th>Coefficient $\beta$ (%)</th>
<th>Reason-t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.0 (0.03)*</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–15.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–0.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS, supply for slaughter; EBP, exported beef prices; BMF, the Brazilian Mercantile and Futures Exchange. Numbers in parentheses are the standard error.

Table 4. Significance of beef cattle prices paid to producers, exported beef prices and The Brazilian Mercantile and Futures Exchange on the supply of cattle for slaughter in the Rio Grande do Sul

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags (months)</th>
<th>Coefficient $\beta$ (%)</th>
<th>Reason-t</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.344.6 (0.06)*</td>
<td>314.6</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (0.90)</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (0.40)</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–0.0 (0.00)</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PP, prices paid to producers; EBP, exported beef prices; BMF, the Brazilian Mercantile and Futures Exchange. Numbers in parentheses are the standard error.

Table 6. Beef cattle supply (tons) variables for slaughter (SS) and exported beef prices (EBP) regarding beef exports by the Rio Grande do Sul State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags (months)</th>
<th>Coefficient $\beta$ (%)</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.344.6 (0.06)*</td>
<td>314.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32 (18.8)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS, supply for slaughter; EBP, exported beef prices. Numbers in parentheses are the standard error.

Discussion

An association between climate phenomena and cattle supply was observed in the current study: supply is lower in LN years and –AD. The years with LN and –AD climate phenomena had less variation with a decrease in the cattle supply compared with dry years during EN, +AD or without climate phenomena. In general, the results indicated that climate phenomena account for the variation in numbers of animals slaughtered in spring and summer in the Rio Grande do Sul, which showed the great dependence of the beef cattle industry on climate.

Climate phenomena in the Brazilian Pampa also affected the vegetation index that influences pasture conditions, the predominant ecosystem in this biome (Marques et al., 2005; Grigera et al., 2007; Wagner, 2013). The Pampa Biome is composed predominantly of C4-type natural pastures that grow mainly from September to March (Overbeck et al., 2007) when the amount of cattle slaughtered is higher. However, even during these seasons, a marked variation in the number of animals sent to slaughter was observed over the years, which may be associated with the effects of climate variations on forage supply in the region (IBGE, 2015). The variability in the number of animals sent for slaughter seems to be associated with climate phenomena such as EN, LN and –AD (Marques et al., 2005; Wagner, 2013; CPTEC-INPE, 2014, b) because bovines in the Rio Grande do Sul feed mainly on natural pastures.

Berlato and Fontana (2003) observed that 80% of the variation in soybean and maize production in the Rio Grande do Sul was also associated with climatic variations, such as precipitation and temperature. This observation emphasizes the importance of using technologies that can minimize production risks associated with the weather, such as irrigation systems, selection of varieties more resistant to water stress, feed storage and strategies for feeding the animals.

In the autumn/winter period in the Rio Grande do Sul, there is a natural drop in the supply of native forage and, therefore, in the cattle slaughter rate, both showing lower variability in observations when compared with the spring and summer seasons (Marques et al., 2005). Similarly, climate phenomena had a less significant impact on the variation of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in the autumn and winter months in the Rio Grande do Sul (Wagner, 2013), explaining the exclusion of the colder seasons from the current study. It was observed that in EN years, when rainfall tends to be higher than average (Wagner, 2013), the increase of animals for slaughter presented a lag of 1 month from the beginning of spring.

In EN years, a greater supply of cattle for slaughter was observed beginning in October compared with the years when
this anomaly does not occur. In EN years, winters are milder and rainy, with a considerable expansion of cropland planted and greater production of native forage (Wagner, 2013); consequently, beef cattle fattening increased and started earlier. This variation of supply was smaller when compared with other phenomena, which may be explained historically by the highest rainfall occurring in September, independent of the impact of EN. Perhaps this explains why the standard error variation was more pronounced in this case (Wagner, 2013).

The increase of beef cattle supply observed in the regression model is contrary to the average observed when EN had no difference in productivity periods without an event or the overall average for the series. However, this does not invalidate the means test, which is recommended especially for qualitative factors and is also a suitable indicator to compare climatic events (Bertoldo et al., 2008). On the other hand, the highest beef prices and lowest cattle supply were observed in LN and −AD years, as expected according to the microeconomics theory (Fig. 2). Wagner (2013) also observed that during LN periods, rainfall decreases in December and January, lowering the vegetation index in January (Wagner, 2013). The coefficient of variation indicates that variability of the effects of LN associated with −AD is lower compared with EN or no event. This agrees with Wagner (2013), who noted that the effects of decreased NDVI according to EN are less predictable than periods of LN. The production of native forage was evaluated using NDVI (Baldi and Paruelo, 2008; Wagner, 2013), an indicator of green biomass activity that can map and measure the photosynthetic capacity of plants and vegetative coverage, which means measuring vegetative growth of the plant (Schultz and Halpert, 1993; Weier and Herring, 2015). It was observed in the Pampa Biome that, during spring and summer, NDVI changes according to climate variations, such as environmental precipitation and temperature. These conditions may be associated with climate phenomena such as EN, LN and −AD (Marques et al., 2005; Wagner, 2013; CPTEC-INPE, 2014a, b).

The reports found in scientific databases suggest the importance of the Atlantic Dipole phases in South America focusing on the effects on the north and north-east of Brazil (Chang et al., 1997; Ferreira and da Silva Mello, 2005; Taschetto and

### Table 7. Mean (μ) price and revenue in the periods of occurrence of weather anomalies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>N (months)</th>
<th>μ US$/Kg</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>μ Revenue US$ (1000)</th>
<th>s.d.</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EQ +AD</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2 02 208</td>
<td>69 356.3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQNOEVENT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2 17 605</td>
<td>62 348.6</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQEN</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1 92 016</td>
<td>45 955.6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQOVERALL</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2 04 423</td>
<td>53 717.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLN</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2 03 824</td>
<td>49 499.2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQ −AD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1 77 537</td>
<td>31 986.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQLN −AD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1 64 762</td>
<td>16 081.3</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQN, Equinox; EN, El Niño; LN, La Niña; +AD, positive Atlantic Dipole; −AD, negative Atlantic Dipole; LN −AD, La Niña associated with negative Atlantic Dipole; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation.
Wainer, 2008), but there are few publications regarding the effects of rainy precipitation and NDVI in the Rio Grande do Sul (Kayano et al., 2011; 2013; Bombardi et al., 2014). According to Wainer et al. (2014), the South Atlantic anomaly influences rainfall in South America significantly.

However, Marques et al. (2005) observed that changes in the Atlantic Ocean Sub-tropical SST affect rainfall regimes and are correlated to NDVI in beef cattle production regions in the Rio Grande do Sul, particularly, from July to December. Marques et al. (2005) also identified a positive correlation between SST on TSA in July and August compared with NDVI in September and October, as well as the negative correlation between SST and TSA in September compared with NDVI in November. This supports the findings of the current study, which indicates that SASD has a direct relationship with SST and TSA (Marques et al., 2005).

The beef cattle production cycle is the main factor that could possibly justify the beef prices and live cattle exports. Perhaps it is unusual for the Rio Grande do Sul to import live cattle to increase the herd in the State. Indeed, the State needs to retain females to increase the population but will require several months or even years after the adoption of this strategy to achieve higher numbers of animals ready to be slaughtered. Thus, one can consider the supply price elasticity of this activity in the state as inelastic or less sensitive to price changes. Indeed, the variations in the number of slaughtered animals must be associated with other factors such as land use for other agricultural activities and, especially, the availability of forage according to climate changes.

The low influence of variation in the price of beef exported and in the future market PP to cattle farmers suggests that prices in the Rio Grande do Sul were not sensitive to the international market or the reference live beef price in the centre of Brazil. Furthermore, data from the Ministry of Industry and Trade indicated that <0.07 of the Rio Grande do Sul beef is exported, and most of this is low value-added industrialized product (MDIC, 2015), which may be sufficient to justify the low influence of the EBP compared with local prices and export volumes. The live cattle prices variation is not significant due to the systematic beef imports to meet the state’s demand, which is explained by the prevalence of business in the local market (MDIC, 2015).

Observations concerning cattle supply for slaughter in the Rio Grande do Sul support the idea that it is more influenced by changes in the beef supply chain, such as the availability of natural pastures, than by economic variables, e.g. prices of amounts imported, the price paid for live cattle to producers and the stock market index. In fact, major climate events that affect the supply of beef cattle, such as climate phenomena, are essential to understand the dynamics of this market in the state.

It was also observed that even at higher prices −AD events and LN associated with the −AD showed a total revenue less than the overall average and the periods without events, mainly due to the lower supply of animals observed in these periods. Similarly, the drop in the price paid to farmers in EN events led to a smaller turnover than in the neutral periods and even than in LN events. This showed that even with the reduction of the risk of crop failure, the farmer is still exposed to market risks.

Although EN occurrences can cause natural disasters such as the major floods of 1997 and 1998 in the Rio Grande do Sul (Zero Hora, 2015), they can support a number of farmers in the state whose revenue is increased because of the greater availability of water for summer crops (Fürstenau, 1998). On the other hand, the LN effect causes droughts and crop failure in the Rio Grande do Sul, as in 2008 when the soybean and maize crops were compromised, especially in regions along the Pampa Biome (Zero Hora, 2008). As the sale of cattle for slaughter is about 1/3 of the total turnover of Rio Grande do Sul farming and around 0.11 of the gross domestic product (GDP) agribusiness of this state (FEE, 2015), it is essential to use information on climate phenomena to adjust management strategies for this sector of the economy.

Climate phenomena have become more frequent and intense in recent years because of climate change associated with increasing greenhouse gas phenomena (Cai et al., 2014; 2015; Wainer et al., 2014). In addition, Nardone et al. (2010) reported that the grazing and mixed rain-fed systems, which depend on the availability of pastures and farm crops, would be most damaged by climate change. The findings are relevant to the agents of the beef cattle supply chain to provide strong information to evaluate the economic impact of weather changes on food production that will lead to an increase in animal demand by farmers and by the industrial sector.

Hence, the data indicate an important empirical assessment to understand the climate discrepancies in beef cattle production that may enhance additional strategies to mitigate the price of the beef supply chain. Moreover, this research confirmed that, in general, climate phenomena generate different effects on the cattle supply for slaughter, on the PP to farmers and on the financial turnover of this supply chain in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil, showing the economic importance of these climate phenomena (Fig. 3).

**Conclusion**

The beef cattle market in the Rio Grande do Sul appears to be more influenced by the occurrence of climate phenomena with LN and −AD than by economic variables such as the beef price paid to the producer and the exported amounts of beef.
Beef cattle were shown to be dependent on external factors, such as weather, increasing the need to adopt new technologies to increase the beef cattle production efficiency. Moreover, in an extensive grazing system of beef cattle in the Rio Grande do Sul, the adoption of new technologies such as pasture irrigation, haymaking, silage and feed lotting, in LN and AD times, could be an effective strategy to contribute to the economic sustainability of beef farming in Southern Brazil.
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