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#### Abstract

Let $\rho$ be a supernilpotent radical. Let $\rho^{*}$ be the class of all rings $A$ such that either $A$ is a simple ring in $\rho$ or the factor ring $A / I$ is in $\rho$ for every nonzero ideal $I$ of $A$ and every minimal ideal $M$ of $A$ is in $\rho$. Let $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ be the lower radical determined by $\rho^{*}$ and let $\rho_{\varphi}$ denote the upper radical determined by the class of all subdirectly irreducible rings with $\rho$-semisimple hearts. Le Roux and Heyman proved that $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ is a supernilpotent radical with $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \subseteq \rho_{\varphi}$ and they asked whether $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=\rho_{\varphi}$ if $\rho$ is replaced by $\beta, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ or $\mathcal{J}$, where $\beta, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ denote the Baer, the Levitzki, the Koethe and the Jacobson radical, respectively. In the present paper we will give a negative answer to this question by showing that if $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical whose semisimple class contains a nonzero nonsimple $*$-ring without minimal ideals, then $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ is a nonspecial radical and consequently $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \neq \rho_{\varphi}$. We recall that a prime ring $A$ is a $*$-ring if $A / I$ is in $\beta$ for every $0 \neq I \triangleleft A$.
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## 1. Introduction

All rings in this paper are associative and all classes of rings contain the one-element ring 0 . All undefined radical theoretic terms and facts can be found in [1] and [4]. An ideal $I$ of a ring $A$ is called essential if $I \cap J \neq 0$ whenever $J$ is a nonzero ideal of $A$. A class $\mu$ of rings is hereditary if $\mu$ is closed under ideals. A hereditary class $\mu$ of semiprime rings is called weakly special if $\mu$ is essentially closed, that is, whenever $I \in \mu$ is an essential ideal of a ring $A$, then $A \in \mu$ also holds. Throughout this paper, for a class $\mu$ of rings, $\mathcal{U}(\mu)$ will denote the class of all rings which have no nonzero homomorphic image in $\mu, \mathcal{L}(\mu)$ will denote the lower radical class determined by $\mu$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mu)$ will stand for the class of all rings without nonzero ideals in $\mu$. Moreover, $\mu^{*}$ [5] will denote the class of all rings $A$ such that either $A$ is a simple ring in $\mu$ or the factor ring $A / I$ is in $\mu$ for every nonzero ideal $I$ of $A$ and every minimal ideal $M$ of $A$ is in $\mu$. A supernilpotent radical is a hereditary radical class which contains all nilpotent rings. It is well known [1, 4] that $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical if and only if
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$\rho=\mathcal{U}(\mu)$ for some weakly special class $\mu$ of rings. Le Roux and Heyman [5] proved that if $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical, then so is $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ and $\rho \subseteq \mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \subseteq \rho_{\varphi}$, where $\rho_{\varphi}$ denotes the upper radical determined by the class of all subdirectly irreducible rings with $\rho$-semisimple hearts. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)=\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is the Brown-McCoy radical. They asked whether $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=\rho_{\varphi}$ if $\rho$ is replaced by $\beta, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ or $\mathcal{J}$, where $\beta, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ denote the Baer, the Levitzki, the Koethe and the Jacobson radical, respectively. In the present paper we will give a negative answer to this question.

## 2. Main results

We start with a few preliminary results which are interesting in their own right.
Lemma 1. If $\rho$ is any radical class, then for any $A \in \rho^{*}$, either $A \in \rho$ or $A \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$.
Proof. Let $A \in \rho^{*}$ and suppose that the $\rho$-radical $\rho(A)$ of $A$ is nonzero. Then $A / \rho(A) \in \rho$ and, since $\rho(A) \in \rho$ and $\rho$ is closed under extensions, it follows that $A \in \rho$.

COROLLARY 2. If $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical, then for any $A \in \rho^{*}$, either $A \in \rho$ or $A$ is a prime ring.

Proof. Let $A \in \rho^{*}$. Then by Lemma 1 either $A \in \rho$ or $A \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$. If $A \in \rho$, then we are done. So assume that $A \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$. Then, since $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical, $A$ is a semiprime ring. We will now show that $A$ is, in fact, a prime ring. Let $I$ and $J$ be ideals of $A$ and suppose that $I J=0$ and $I \neq 0$. We will show that $J=0$. Since $(I \cap J)^{2} \subseteq I J=0$ and $A$ is a semiprime ring, it follows that $I \cap J=0$. But $(I+J) / I$ is an ideal of $A / I$ and $A / I \in \rho$ because $I$ is a nonzero ideal of $A$ and $A \in \rho^{*}$. Thus, since $\rho$ being a supernilpotent radical is hereditary, it follows that $(I+J) / I \in \rho$. But $(I+J) / I \simeq J /(I \cap J) \simeq J$ since $I \cap J=0$. Thus $J \in \rho$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{S}(\rho)$ is hereditary and $J \triangleleft A \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$, it follows that $J \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$. Thus $J \in \rho \cap \mathcal{S}(\rho)=\{0\}$ which implies that $J=0$.

A ring $A$ is prime essential [3] if and only if $A$ is semiprime and no nonzero ideal of $A$ is a prime ring. In what follows the class of all prime essential rings will be denoted by $\mathcal{E}$.

Before we prove our main preliminary result, we will need the following construction.

THEOREM 3 [3]. Let A be a nonzero semiprime ring, let $\kappa>1$ be a cardinal number greater than the cardinality of $A$ and let $W(\kappa)$ be the set of all finite words made from $a$ (well-ordered) alphabet of cardinality $\kappa$, lexicographically ordered. Then $W(\kappa)$ is a semi-group with multiplication defined by $x y=\max \{x, y\}$ and the following results hold.
(1) The semigroup ring $A(W(\kappa))$ is a subdirect sum of copies of $A$.
(2) $\quad A(W(\kappa))$ is prime essential.
(3) Every prime homomorphic image $A(W(\kappa)) / Q$ of $A(W(\kappa))$ is isomorphic to some prime homomorphic image $A / P$ of $A$.
We recall that a prime ring $A$ is called a $*$-ring [2] if $A / I \in \beta$ for every $0 \neq I \triangleleft A$. Also, a special radical is an upper radical class $\mathcal{U}(\mu)$ determined by a special class $\mu$ of rings, that is, a hereditary and essentially closed class $\mu$ of prime rings.

THEOREM 4 [3]. A supernilpotent radical $\rho$ is a special radical if and only if every prime essential $\rho$-semisimple ring is a subdirect sum of prime $\rho$-semisimple rings.

THEOREM 5. If $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical whose semisimple class $\mathcal{S}$ ( $\rho$ ) contains a nonzero nonsimple $*$-ring without minimal ideals, then $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ is a nonspecial radical and consequently $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \neq \rho_{\varphi}$.
Proof. Let $\rho$ be a supernilpotent radical and let a nonzero nonsimple $*$-ring $A$ without minimal ideals be in $\mathcal{S}(\rho)$. Then $A \in \rho^{*} \cap \mathcal{S}(\rho)$.

Let $\kappa>1$ be a cardinal number greater than the cardinality of $A$ and let $A(W(\kappa))$ be the semigroup ring constructed in Theorem 3. Then, by Theorem 3, $A(W(\kappa))$ is prime essential and $A(W(\kappa))$ is a subdirect sum of copies of $A$. But, since $A \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$, it follows that $A(W(\kappa)) \in \mathcal{S}(\rho)$ because $\mathcal{S}(\rho)$ is closed under subdirect sums. Thus $A(W(\kappa)) \in \mathcal{S}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{E}$. We will now show that $A(W(\kappa)) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)\right)$.

It follows from [5, Theorem 2] that $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)=\mathcal{U}(\sigma)$, where $\sigma$ is the class of all rings without nonzero ideals in $\rho^{*}$. Since $\rho$ is a supernilpotent radical, it follows from [5, Lemma 3] that $\rho^{*}$ is hereditary and contains all the nilpotent rings. Then it follows from [5, Theorem 1] that $\sigma$ is a weakly special class. Thus $\sigma \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{U}(\sigma))$. It therefore suffices to show that $A(W(\kappa))$ has no nonzero ideals in $\rho^{*}$. Suppose that $0 \neq I \triangleleft A(W(\kappa))$ and $I \in \rho^{*}$. Then it follows from Corollary 2 that either $I \in \rho$ or $I$ is a prime ring. But neither of the two cases can occur because $0 \neq$ $I \triangleleft A(W(\kappa))$ and $A(W(\kappa)) \in \mathcal{S}(\rho) \cap \mathcal{E}$. Thus $A(W(\kappa)) \in \sigma$ and consequently $A(W(\kappa)) \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{E}$.

Now, if $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ were a special radical, then by Theorem $4, A(W(\kappa))$ would contain a family $\left\{I_{\lambda}\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of ideals $I_{\lambda}$ such that $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_{\lambda}=0$ and $A(W(\kappa)) / I_{\lambda} \in$ $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)\right) \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ denotes the class of all prime rings. Consequently, $A(W(\kappa)) / I_{\lambda}$ would be a nonzero prime homomorphic image of $A(W(\kappa))$ for at least one $I_{\lambda}$. Then it follows from the third part of Theorem 3 that $A(W(\kappa)) / I_{\lambda} \simeq$ $A / P$ for some ideal $P$ of $A$. Thus $0 \neq A / P \in \pi$ and, as $A$ is a nonzero $*$-ring, it follows that $P=0$. Thus $A(W(\kappa)) / I_{\lambda} \simeq A$ and consequently $A \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, $A \in \rho^{*} \subseteq \mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$. Thus $0 \neq A \in \mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \cap \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)\right)=\{0\}$ and we have a contradiction. Thus $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ is a nonspecial radical.

Now, since $\rho_{\varphi}$ is a special radical [1], it follows that $\mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \neq \rho_{\varphi}$, which concludes the proof.

We are now ready to answer the question of Le Roux and Heyman. To do so we need the following result.

Example 6 [1, Example 13, pp. 113-115]. Let $F$ be a field of characteristic 0 which has an automorphism $S$ such that no integral power of $S$ is the identity automorphism. For example, $F$ might be a field generated by the real numbers and an infinite number of independent variables labelled $\ldots x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ and $S$ the automorphism which leaves the real numbers alone and which sends $x_{i}$ into $x_{i+1}$ for every $i$. Let $R$ be the set of all polynomials in an indeterminate $z$ of the form $a_{0}+z a_{1}+z^{2} a_{2}+\cdots+z^{n} a_{n}$, where the coefficients $a_{i}$ belong to $F$. Addition and multiplication of such polynomials are defined in the usual way except that $z$ does not commute with the coefficients $a$. We define $a z=z S(a)$, where $S(a)$ is the image of $a$ under the automorphism $S$. Then $a z^{m}=z S^{m}(a)$ for any positive integer $m$. Then this definition, together with the distributive law, makes $R$ into a ring denoted by $F[z, S]$. Then $F[z, S]$ is a noncommutative integral domain and its every ideal $I$ is of the form $I=z^{k} R=R z^{k}$ for some positive integer $k$. Moreover, $F[z, S]$ is a primitive ring whose subring $T=z R$ does not contain simple prime ideals and every proper homomorphic image of $T$ is a nilpotent ring.

Corollary 7. If $\rho$ is replaced by $\beta$, $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ or $\mathcal{J}$, then $\rho \varsubsetneqq \mathcal{L}\left(\rho^{*}\right) \varsubsetneqq \rho_{\varphi}$.
Proof. It is well known [1, 4] that $\beta, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ are special radicals and $\beta \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ $\subseteq \mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$. Let $T$ be the ring of Example 6. Clearly, $T$ is a nonzero nonsimple *-ring without minimal ideals. Moreover, since $T$ is an ideal of the primitive ring $F[z, S]$ and the class of all primitive rings is hereditary, it follows that $T$ is primitive and so $T \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathcal{S}(\beta)$. Now the result follows directly from Theorem 5.
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