Treatment-resistant mood disorders: towards better understanding and treatment

Mood disorders largely comprise major depressive disorder (MDD) and bipolar disorder, with treatment-resistant forms of both being common. MDD is a highly prevalent and frequently disabling illness, which requires adequate detection followed by effective treatment to reduce symptoms and improve quality of life. Although psychological treatment approaches are commonly employed, all extant national and international clinical guidelines recommend the use of antidepressant medication for the treatment of moderate to severe MDD. However, perhaps as many as 50% of patients fail to obtain an optimal outcome after both first- and second-line treatments; although definitions vary, these individuals are commonly described as suffering from treatment-resistant depression (TRD). TRD is a common problem and, compared with MDD in general, is associated with a generally poorer prognosis, higher mortality and higher healthcare utilisation costs. Many patients with depression are undertreated, but adequate treatment of TRD has been shown to improve the individual’s prognosis, highlighting the importance of appropriate therapy for this condition.

Treatment algorithms for patients with TRD include the options of increasing the dose of the antidepressant which the patient is taking, switching to another antidepressant, or augmenting the first antidepressant with a second agent. Increasing the dose of medication may be associated with a statistically significantly increase in efficacy for some antidepressants, but not for many. Switching to an alternative antidepressant is recommended in cases where a patient has either made no response or is not tolerating their current drug. However, large-scale studies have shown that remission rates for third- or fourth-line antidepressant treatments are in the order of just 10–15%. For patients with TRD, where there is a partial response to the antidepressant they are currently receiving, augmentation with another pharmacological agent is recommended.

The efficacy of combinations of multiple antidepressants has been brought into question by the largest clinical trial that examined this, which showed antidepressant monotherapy to be as effective as antidepressant combinations, although there is meta-analytic evidence to support some other particular antidepressant combinations. However, the efficacy of lithium augmentation of antidepressants is supported by robust evidence, which has been confirmed in a methodologically rigorous meta-analysis. This meta-analysis examined the efficacy of lithium in ten randomised controlled trials and found that lithium augmentation had significant benefits compared with placebo, with an odds ratio of 3.11 corresponding to a number needed to treat of 5 and a mean response rate of 41.2% (versus 14.4% in the placebo group). Recent guidance has emphasised that add-on alternative treatments are a standard treatment pathway for TRD. The first-line options include lithium and the atypical antipsychotic quetiapine. For example, lithium was recommended as the first-choice add-on treatment for treating patients with TRD by the World Federation of Societies of Biological Psychiatry Task Force, and lithium augmentation of antidepressants is a first-line treatment option recommended by both the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the current British Association for Psychopharmacology (BAP) guidelines for treating depression non-responsive to antidepressants. It should also be noted that although lithium has been most often associated with the treatment of bipolar disorder, it has a good evidence base showing clear-cut benefits to the patient when used in unipolar depression.

Bipolar disorder has a lifetime prevalence of 2.5% and is associated with an 8–12-year reduction in life expectancy. Patients with bipolar disorder are symptomatic around 50% of the time, the vast majority of which involves depression. There are three agents whose evidence base has led to their recommendation by NICE for the treatment of bipolar depression: namely, lamotrigine, quetiapine and olanzapine (with or without fluoxetine). The value of these drugs is reduced by the need for slow upward dose titration (lamotrigine), or by metabolic side-effects and sedation (quetiapine and olanzapine). The evidence of efficacy for a fourth agent, lurasidone, has been made available after publication of the NICE guidance. There is an absence of convincing evidence of efficacy for standard antidepressants in bipolar depression; despite this, around 70% of currently depressed bipolar patients in the UK are on at least one antidepressant.

Cognitive therapy and early warning sign identification (and other aspects of psychocducation), delivered to those at risk or during remission, have been shown by some but not all studies to reduce the likelihood of the development of depression. During bipolar depression, the benefit of psychological treatments is greater in those earlier in their illness histories, with a shorter duration of current episode and fewer previous episodes. Nonetheless, overall, the Systematic Treatment Enhancement Program for Bipolar Disorder data suggest the value in bipolar depression of augmenting pharmacological treatments with cognitive therapy and other intensive psychotherapies.

There is not an established consensus definition for TRD in people with bipolar disorder; however, it has been shown that around 50% of patients remain depressed at six months, and 30% at a year, because of treatment non-response, intolerance or non-adherence. Therefore, with respect to bipolar disorder, TRD is a major contributor to the burden of disease, including estimated annual UK costs of £5.2 billion, with direct National Health Service costs of £342 million at 2010 prices. There are also all the costs, personal and financial, associated with a greater suicide risk. Current developments in the treatment of resistant bipolar depression, such as pramipexole, ketamine and vagal nerve stimulation, are therefore particularly welcomed.

As this special edition illustrates, there is a range of possible approaches to understanding and treating TRD. A number of ‘high-tech’ strategies including ‘multi-omics’, neuroimaging and ‘big data’ are being applied to answer this challenge. Multi-omics offers the opportunity to understand the flow of information that underlies disease – a great unmet need in psychiatry. Various neuroimaging approaches are already paying dividends in understanding mood disorders, and big data is being applied to depression. However, it is also important to remember the ‘basics’ and revisit the diagnosis by comprehensively assessing any contributing factors, as well as noting which approaches have been tried and their relative success or failure. For instance, alcohol use disorders are among the most common comorbidities of depression or bipolar disorder, and the risk of depression or bipolar disorder is heightened in those with an alcohol use disorder. However, routine screening for alcohol or other drug use, or adequate assessment when there may be a problem, generally remains woefully lacking. In bipolar disorder, comorbid alcohol use disorder is associated with a delay in diagnosis of bipolar disorder and the likelihood of greater morbidity. From a specialist addiction service perspective, it is not uncommon for referred individuals to have ‘tried’ several different antidepressants without much improvement in their mood. Then, their excessive drinking comes to light, resulting in a switch of
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focus. Despite their widespread use, there is considerable evidence that antidepressants do not substantially improve mood or alcohol consumption in those with alcoholism, or with alcohol use disorder and depression.47–49 Indeed, there is some evidence that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in non-depressed patients with alcoholism can make alcohol outcomes worse and not improve mood.44 Moreover, it is well known that depressive and anxiety symptoms improve within days to weeks when someone stops drinking alcohol, so support to achieve this should be a priority and not ignored or overcome by nihilism.

In this section, an operational definition of treatment resistance and multi-therapy resistance in bipolar depression is proposed (Stokes pp. 27–35). There may not be widespread consensus on this, yet the argument is convincingly made that the way that we conceptualise TRD needs to be rethought and made more robust (Mahli pp. 1–3). Both the genetic aetiology (Fabbrì pp. 36–41) and inflammatory pathophysiology (Chamberlain pp. 11–19) are examined, and treatment is considered from three angles: the prognostic significance of early treatment response (de Vries pp. 4–10), a meta-analysis of established augmentation strategies (Strawbridge pp. 42–51) and an evaluation of whether the short-term benefits of ketamine can be sustained via oral ambulatory therapy (Domany pp. 20–26). Together, these papers challenge and enlighten, providing a powerful argument against the hopelessness that too often afflicts patients and practitioners alike. Treatment-resistant mood disorders are among the leading challenges for clinical psychiatry today. We hope these papers suggest future prospects for better understanding and treatment.


