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## § 1. Introduction.

The method of summability with which I shall be concerned here is denoted by ( $V, \alpha$ ) and is defined ${ }^{1}$ as follows:-The series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is said to be summable ( $V, a$ ) to the sum $s$ if

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{-\alpha}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) s_{n+\mu}=s
$$

This is a particular case of a method due to Valiron ${ }^{2}$ in which $\mu^{-2 a}$ is replaced by a function of $\mu$.

In §2 the ( $V, a$ ) consistency theorem is proved. This theorem exhibits the curious property that any convergent series whose sum is $s$ is summable $(V, a)$ to the sum $s \phi(a)$, where $\phi(\alpha)$ is a certain step function.

It has been shown by Hardy ${ }^{3}$ that, if the first Cesaro mean of the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is of the form $s+o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$, the series is summable by Borel's method to the sum $s$. Under a more general hypothesis a similar theorem is found to be true for Valiron summability. This is given in §3.

The Tauberian condition for the ( $V, a$ ) method is known ${ }^{4}$ to be $a_{n}=O\left(n^{-a}\right)$. In $\S 4$ I give a more general condition which is analogous to that obtained by Vijayaraghavan ${ }^{5}$ for Borel summability.

My thanks are due to Professor Hardy for his helpful suggestions and criticisms during the course of this work.
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## §2. The Consistency Theorem.

We define the function $\phi(\alpha)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\phi(a) & =\frac{1}{2}, & \\
\phi(1)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{ } \pi} \int_{0}^{1 / 2} x^{-1 / 2} e^{-x} d x, & & \\
\phi(\alpha)=1, & (0<\alpha<1) \\
\phi(0) & =1+2 \sum_{1}^{\infty} \exp \left(-2 n^{2} \pi^{2}\right), & \\
\phi(\alpha) & =\infty, & (\alpha<0) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma A. For each fixed value of $a$,

$$
E(\mu, \alpha)=\frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) \rightarrow \phi(a)
$$

as $\mu$ tends to infinity.
When $a<0, E(\mu, \alpha)>\frac{\mu^{-\alpha}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \rightarrow \infty$.
When $a=0$,
$\boldsymbol{E}(\mu, 0)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2}\right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2}\right)=\phi(0)$,
by the transformation formula for the Theta function ${ }^{1}$.
When $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(\mu, a)= \begin{array}{r}
\mu^{-a} \\
\sqrt{ }(\overline{2} \pi) \\
\sum_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right)+\frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{1}^{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) \\
=
\end{array} \frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} y^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) d y+O(1)\right\} \\
& \quad+\frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)}\left\{\int_{1}^{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} y^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) d y+O(1)\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{ } \pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2} \mu^{-2}-2 a}^{\frac{1}{2}-2 a} x^{-1 / 2} e^{-x} d x+O\left(\mu^{-a}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \phi(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma is therefore proved.
Theorem 1. If the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges to $s$, then it is summable ( $\left.V, a\right)$ to the sum $s \phi(a)$.

We may suppose, without loss of generality, that $s$ is positive.

[^1]Case (i), $\alpha<0$.
Since $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges, we can find $\nu$ such that $s_{n+\mu}>\frac{1}{2} s$ whenever $n+\mu \geqq \nu$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) s_{n+\mu} \\
& \quad=\frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu+\nu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) s_{n+\mu}+\frac{\mu^{-\alpha}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{-\mu+\nu-1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) s_{n+\mu} \\
& \quad>\frac{s \mu^{-a}}{2 \sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu+\nu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right)+o(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which tends to infinity with $\mu$.
Case (ii), $a \geqq 0$.
Given $\epsilon$, there exists $M$ such that $\left|s_{m}-s\right|<\epsilon$ for all values of $m>M$. Choose $\mu>2 M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)}\left\{\sum_{-\mu}^{\infty}\left(s_{n+\mu}-s\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right)\right\}\right| \leqq \frac{\mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)}\left\{\sum_{-\mu}^{-\mu+M}+\sum_{-\mu+M+1}^{\infty}\left|s_{n+\mu}-s\right| \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad<\frac{A \mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{\mu-M}^{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right)+\frac{\epsilon \mu^{-a}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{-2 a}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \epsilon \phi(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\mu$ tends to infinity. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, the result follows from Lemma A.

It will be observed from this theorem that the ( $V, a$ ) method is consistent, in the ordinary sense of the term, only when $0<\alpha<1$.
§3. A connection with the Cesaro method.
Theorem 2. If $p$ is a positive integer, $0<\rho<p$, and if the $p$ th Cesaro mean of the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is such that

$$
c_{n}^{(p)}=s+o\left(n^{-\rho}\right)
$$

then the series is summable $(V, a)$ to the sum $s$, for any value of $a$ in the range $\beta=(p-\rho) / p \leqq \alpha<1$.

We shall prove first that the series is summable $(V, \beta)$ to the $\operatorname{sum} s$.

If $m$ is some integer greater than $p$ we have, by summing partially $p$ times,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} & \sum_{0}^{m}\left(s_{n}-s\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{0}^{m-p}\left\{s_{n}^{(p)}-\binom{n+p}{n} s\right\} \Delta^{p} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}+\Sigma^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Sigma^{\prime}$ is a finite sum of terms of the form

$$
\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)}\left\{s_{r}^{(p)}-\binom{r+p}{r} s\right\} \Delta^{q} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(m-s-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}
$$

where $q, r, s$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
m-p<r \leqq m, \quad 0 \leqq s<p, \quad 0 \leqq q<p
$$

Each of these terms tends to zero as $m$ tends to infinity so that

$$
\begin{align*}
F(\mu) & =\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)}} \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left(s_{n}-s\right) \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{0}^{\infty}\left\{s_{n}^{(p)}-\binom{n+p}{n} s\right\} \Delta^{p} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

By hypothesis we have

$$
s_{n}^{(p)}-\binom{n+p}{n} s=o\left(n^{p \beta}\right)
$$

as $n$ tends to infinity. It easily follows that, as $\mu$ tends to infinity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\mu)=o\{G(\mu)\}+o(1), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(\mu)=\frac{\mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{0}^{\infty} n^{p \beta}\left|\Delta^{p} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}\right|
$$

We proceed to show that $G(\mu)$ is bounded for all large values of $\mu$.
If

$$
f(x)=\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\},
$$

it is easy to verify that $f^{(p)}(x)$ is of the form

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{t} b_{p-2 r}(\mu-x)^{p-2 r} \mu^{-2 \beta(p-r)} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}
$$

where $b_{p}, b_{p-2}, \ldots$, , are constants, and $t$ is $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ or $\frac{1}{2} p$ according as $p$ is odd or even.

If $n+\theta$ is that value of $x$ which gives the upper bound of $\left|f^{(p)}(x)\right|$ in the range $n \leqq x \leqq n+p$, we have, by repeated application of the Mean Value Theorem,

$$
\left|\Delta^{p} f(n)\right| \leqq A f^{(p)}(n+\theta) \mid
$$

where $A$ is a positive constant. Accordingly
$G(\mu) \leqq \frac{A \mu^{-\beta}}{\sqrt{ }(2 \pi)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{p \beta}\left|b_{p-2 r}\right||\mu-n-\theta|^{p-2 r} \mu^{-2 \beta(p-r)} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n+\theta-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}$,
and our assertion will be proved if we show that
$H(\mu)=\mu^{-\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n^{p \beta}|\mu-n-\theta|^{p-2 r} \mu^{-2 \beta(p-r)} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n+\theta-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}$
is bounded for all large values of $\mu$ and $0 \leqq r \leqq \frac{1}{2} p$.

Write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\beta(2 p-2 r+1)} H(\mu) & \stackrel{\mu-p-1}{=} \sum_{0}^{\infty}+\sum_{\mu+1}^{\infty}+\sum_{\mu-p}^{\mu}\left[n^{p \beta} \mu-n-\theta{ }^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n+\theta-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 . \beta}\right\}\right] \\
& =S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $S_{3}=O\left(\mu^{p \beta}\right)$.
Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & \leqq \sum_{0}^{\mu-p-1} n^{p \beta}(\mu-n)^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n+p-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} \\
& =O\left[\sum_{\nu=p}^{\mu-1} \nu^{p \beta}(\mu-\nu)^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu-\nu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}\right] \\
& =O\left[\mu^{p \beta} \int_{p}^{\mu-1}(\mu-x)^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mu-x)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} d x\right]+O\left\{\mu^{\beta(2 p-2 r)}\right\} \\
& =O\left\{\mu^{\beta(2 p-2 r+1)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & \leqq \sum_{\mu+1}^{\infty} n^{p \beta}(n+p-\mu)^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\} \\
& =O\left[\sum_{\mu+1}^{\infty} n^{p \beta}(n-\mu)^{p-2 r} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(n-\mu)^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right\}\right] \\
& =O\left[\sum_{\mu+1}^{2 \mu}\right]+O\left[\sum_{2 \mu+1}^{\infty}\right]=S_{2,1}+S_{2,2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the case of $S_{1}$ it is easy to show that

$$
S_{2,1}=O\left\{\mu^{\beta(2 p-2 r+1)}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2,2} & =O\left\{\sum_{\mu+1}^{\infty} \nu^{p \beta+p-2 r} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nu^{2} \mu^{-2 \beta}\right)\right\} \\
& =O\left\{\mu^{\beta(p \beta+p-2 r+1)} \int_{\frac{\{ }{4} \mu^{2(1-\beta)}}^{\infty} u^{\frac{1}{2(p \beta+p-2 r+1)}} e^{-u} d u\right\}+o(1) \\
& =O(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $H(\mu)$ is bounded. Hence, by (2), (1) and Lemma $A$, the series $\Sigma a_{n}$ is summable $(V, \beta)$ to the sum $s$.

To prove that it is summable ( $V, \alpha$ ) to the sum $s$ for $\beta<\alpha<1$, we observe that the hypothesis implies

$$
c_{n}^{(p)}=s+o\left(n^{-\rho^{\prime}}\right)
$$

for $0<\rho^{\prime}<\rho$. The series is therefore summable $\left\{V,\left(p-\rho^{\prime}\right) / p\right\}$ to the sum $s$.

The proof of this theorem applies, with trivial modifications, to the case $\rho=0$, when we have the following interesting result:

Theorem 3. If $\Sigma a_{n}$ is summable ( $C, p$ ) to the sum $s$, then it is summable $(V, 1)$ to the sum $s \phi(1)$.

When $\rho=0, p=0$, the hypothesis of Theorem 2 reduces to the convergence of $\Sigma a_{n}$, and the proof becomes simply the proof of Theorem 1, case (ii).

## §4. The Tauberian Theorem.

Theorem 4. If $0<a<1$, and $\Sigma a_{n}$ is summable ( $\left.V, a\right)$ to the sum $s$, and if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(s_{n+p}-s_{n}\right) \geqq 0
$$

whenever $p=o\left(n^{a}\right)$, then $\Sigma a_{n}$ converges to $s$.
The truth of this theorem for $0<\alpha \leqq \frac{1}{2}$ was conjectured by Hardy and Littlewood ${ }^{1}$.

The proof is similar to the proof of the corresponding theorem ${ }^{2}$ for Borel summability. Several of the necessary lemmas are obtained from the corresponding lemmas in Vijayaraghavan's paper by putting $a$, or in some cases $1-a$, for $\frac{1}{2}$. Others are particular cases of more general lemmas due to Valiron ${ }^{3}$, his function $H(\mu)$ being replaced by $\mu^{-2 a}$. Important parts of the proof are also to be found in a paper ${ }^{4}$ by Hardy and Littlewood. The analogues for ( $V, a$ ) summability of Vijayaraghavan's first four lemmas cannot be obtained however from these sources. The first two may be proved after the manner of Lemma $A$, while, from these and Lemma $A$, the third may easily be deduced. By defining the sequence $M, M_{1}, M_{2}, \ldots$, analogous to the sequence which occurs in Lemma a of Vijayaraghavan's paper, and by dividing the range ( $M, \infty$ ) into the components ( $M, M_{1}$ ), ( $M_{1}, M_{2}$ ), $\ldots$, it is not difficult to prove the fourth.

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Summability ( $V, a$ ) is usually defined by means of the limit

    $$
    \lim _{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2} a}-1}{V^{\prime}(2 \pi)} \sum_{-\mu}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} n^{2} \mu^{a-2}\right) s_{n+\mu}
    $$

    The definition which I have given makes for greater compactness throughout the paper.
    $\because$ G. Valiron, Rendiconti di Palermo, 42 (1917), 267-284.
    ${ }^{3}$ G. H. Hardy, Quarterly Journal, 35 (1904), 22-66.
    4 G. Valiron, loc. cit.
    ${ }^{5}$ T. Vijayaraghavan, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2), 27 (1927-28), 316-326.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ E. T. Whittaker and G. N. Watson, Modern Analysis (1927), 475-476. For a proof of the particular case used above see T. M. MacRobert, Functions of a Complex Variable (1925), 116.

[^2]:    IG. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Annali di Pisa (2), 3 (1934), 54.
    ${ }^{2}$ T. Vijayaraghavan, loc. cit.
    ${ }^{3}$ G. Valiron, loc. cit.
    ${ }^{4}$ G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Rendiconti di Palermo, 41 (1915), 1-18.

