Research Article

Land-use and cultivation in the *etaghas* of the Tadrart Acacus (south-west Libya): the dawn of Saharan agriculture?
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The hyperarid climate of the central Sahara precludes permanent agriculture, although occasional temporary ponds, or *etaghas*, as a result of rain-fed flooding of wadi beds in the Tadrart Acacus Mountains of the Libyan Sahara allow the pastoral Kel Tadrart Tuarēg to cultivate cereals. Geoarchaeological and archaeological data, along with radiocarbon dating and evidence from rock art, however, suggest a much greater antiquity for the exploitation of these etaghas. The authors propose that the present-day cultivation of etaghas mirrors attempts at flood-recession or rain-fed cultivation by late prehistoric Pastoral Neolithic groups, who first exploited residual water resources to supplement their pastoral subsistence practices.
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Introduction

Since the Mid Holocene, the Sahara’s climate has been hyperarid, with a mean annual rainfall of between 0 and 20mm (Nicholson 2011). This limited and unpredictable rainfall does not permit agricultural exploitation, other than in a few distinct ecological niches—the oases—where water availability is guaranteed by aquifers recharged during the Quaternary pluvials (periods of high precipitation). Today, the traditional subsistence strategy of contemporary...
human communities (the Tuaregs) living in the central Sahara involves the herding of ovicaprids that can subsist on the limited plant cover available (Nicolaisen 1963; Gast 1968). In the oases, however, the availability of water and the presence of soils protected from wind erosion have permitted agriculture since late prehistory (Cremaschi & di Lernia 1998; van der Veen 1999). Mid to Late Holocene evidence for agricultural land-use of the Sahara is limited to these (palaeo-)oases; in other areas where herding was practised, evidence for agriculture is virtually absent (Cremaschi & di Lernia 1998; Mercuri 2008).

Although unpredictable, precipitation in the Sahara can be abundant, for example along the upper course of the Wadi Tanazzuft in south-west Libya, floods are historically recorded near the villages of Ghat and El Barkat (Figure 1). Such events can reactivate ephemeral drainage systems and feed temporary ponds with standing water for weeks (di Lernia et al. 2012). Water remains inside the lowest parts of wadi beds, in basins cut in the bedrock or where sedimentary infilling of hollows retains moisture. The Tuaregs call these temporary ponds etaghas, also known as tesahag or tmed (Camps 1985). Early explorers identified etaghas as those parts of the wadi bed devoted to seasonal cultivation (Bourbon del Monte di Santa Marìa 1912). Further information about the exploitation of etaghas is scattered throughout early texts, most of them referring to the southern Sahara or the Sahel (Desio 1942; Dubief 1953; Nicolaisen 1963; Bernus 1979; Turri 1983).

Our research in the Tadrart Acacus of the Libyan central Sahara (Figure 2) has found that, today, the Tuaregs occasionally cultivate a number of etaghas following heavy rainfall. This form of cultivation—never before reported from the hyperarid central Sahara—is probably based on traditional, local knowledge. The geomorphological properties of the etaghas facilitate rain-fed cultivation, allowing people living in the Tadrart Acacus to grow cereals and other crops. In the framework of a ‘desert ecomosaic’, in which our understanding of land-use is dominated by the oasis = agriculture vs desert = pastoralism dichotomy, the discovery of evidence for this practice in a hyperarid environment represents a breakthrough for our knowledge of the origins of Saharan agriculture. Here, we present the environmental aspects of the etaghas system, ethnographic knowledge about their use, ethnogeoarchaeological evidence for the most recent farming activities, and associated archaeological and rock art contexts. Our results demonstrate that, although seemingly marginal and remote, these fragile environments have long been exploited for cultivation. Our research also illuminates an unusual element of the natural and cultural landscapes of the Tadrart Acacus that may derive, little changed, from the Late Pastoral Neolithic period (c. 5900 cal BP).

Archaeological and ethnographic background
The Tadrart Acacus Mountains are located in south-west Libya (Figure 2), in the Sahara’s hyperarid belt. The geology, physical geography and palaeoenvironments of the massif are summarised in the online supplementary material (OSM). In 1985, the Tadrart Acacus region was granted UNESCO World Heritage status on account of its magnificent rock art. The region has been a focus of research since the 1950s, with a particular focus on Late Quaternary human occupation, rock art, and the funerary practices and social systems of its later protohistoric phases (e.g. Mori 1965; Cremaschi & di Lernia 1998; di Lernia & Manzi 2002; di Lernia & Zampetti 2008). The Holocene human occupation in the region
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Figure 1. A) Map by G. Bourbon del Monte di Santa Maria (1912) showing the location of cultivated etaghas at Ghat, corresponding to the green areas along valleys; B–E) photographs taken in 2010 of the same etaghas under cultivation (photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome).
Table 1; see also the OSM) is characterised by a long pre-Pastoral phase before the introduction of herding c. 8300 cal. BP. The Final Pastoral, with its transitional phases to the Garamantian (e.g. di Lernia & Manzi 2002; Liverani 2005), is marked by incipient social stratification. This is better documented in the Wadi Tanezruft, where, from this phase onwards, there is evidence of cultivation along the oases (Cremaschi & di Lernia 1998; Mercuri 2008). Little research has been dedicated to the study of the post-Garamantian and Islamic periods in the region, or to the modern Tuareg Kel Tadrart pastoralists.

Radiocarbon dating of archaeological contexts, together with rock art (bitriangular or horse style, followed by the camel style), and archaeobotanical evidence show a prolonged, albeit intermittent, human presence in the massif (di Lernia 2017; Mercuri et al. 2018; Van Neer et al. 2020). The Tadrart Acacus was also inhabited after the complete desiccation of the region, which occurred c. 5500 cal BP. Culturally, this period, marked by the beginning of the Late Pastoral Neolithic, is characterised by the introduction of specialised
ovicaprine pastoralism and incipient social stratification (Cremaschi & di Lernia 1998). The Tifinagh inscriptions—a Libyco-Berber alphabet mostly featuring in rock art and dating as far back as the early first millennium BC (Kaci 2007)—provide important information and insights into the surrounding landscape, as do later Arab writings. Both are visible close to water-rich areas (Biagetti et al. 2012; di Lernia et al. 2012).

Methods

We analysed satellite imagery of the massif before undertaking field survey. In the field, we located and recorded, with the help of members of the local Kel Tadrart community (Mohammed ‘Skorta’ Hammadani and Ali Khalfalla), features relating to cultivation. We also gathered local archaeological data and collected samples for geoarchaeological and archaeobotanical analyses, and for AMS-radiocarbon dating (for further details on methods, see the OSM).

Results

Etaghas: distribution and main geomorphological features

Highly reflective ground surfaces, corresponding to light-coloured silty to loamy soils, are clearly visible on the satellite imagery. Potentially interpreted as etaghas, these are scattered over the massif (Figure 2). Only four such etaghas, according to our local guides, were traditionally exploited: Itkeri, Lancusi, Ti-n-Lalan and Ousarak (Figure 2), located in the central-
southern range of the Tadrart Acacus (di Lernia et al. 2012). Other areas in the region with similar geomorphological settings were not used for cultivation as their topsoils did not retain humidity due to the difficulty in protecting them against wind and grazing.

Cultivated etaghas, some 12–60ha in area and located in the lowest parts of the wadi bed, are relatively flat and free from stones (Figure 3). Their margins are close to the rocky wadi banks or dune slopes. Both offer protection from wind and sun exposure, thus reducing soil desiccation after rainfall. Guided by local knowledge, we observed that the maximum extent of the areas covered by the silty crust (the flooded areas) is more extensive than the cultivated surfaces (Figure 2). Agricultural activities are concentrated at the depocentre (area of maximum sediment deposition) of each etaghas, where soil moisture persists longest. In some cases, the remnants of the most recent episodes of cultivation are still evident, including the alignments of holes, each represented by a shallow depression where a single plant was grown (Figures 3–4).

Ethnographic narratives of etaghas exploitation

According to our local Kel Tadrart Tuareg guides, human presence around the etaghas is mostly characterised by small campsites (Figures 4–6), field features (walls, ditches, fences, stone markers), a low density of artefacts (e.g. pottery sherds, grinding equipment, lithic tools), rock art and designated areas for plant processing. The Tuareg Kel Tadrart cultivated a variety of crops, such as Tadrart Asian wheat and barley, as well as sorghum and millets, as confirmed by our ongoing ethnobotanical study (di Lernia et al. 2012). Ethnographic information suggests that yields, albeit fluctuating, can be sizeable: wheat and barley, for example, can produce some 10–15 quintals per hectare (Nicolaisen 1963). Considering the low density of people living in the Tadrart Acacus, with ethnohistorical and ethnoarchaeological sources suggesting around 50–90 people or 10–12 families (Scarin 1934; Biagetti 2014), the yields of the etaghas may have represented an important additional, if unpredictable, resource.

Following rain, several men from different localities gather in the most flooded etaghas to prepare the fields and begin sowing, a phase normally lasting around four weeks. The seeds are sown in small holes dug by hoe (Figures 3–4); in the past, the Kel Tadrart used a digging stick made of tamarisk (Tamarix sp.) wood. The etaghas are enclosed by ditches or wooden poles with barbed wire. The last ditch was dug more than 60 years ago at Ti-n-Lalan. Called abàrum in Tamasheq (andak in Arabic), the ditches comprise two parallel trenches 1 and 0.5m wide respectively, the outermost approximately 1.8m deep, the other much shallower. Several people are needed to dig such ditches by hand, using iron hoes and picks. Before the widespread use of iron tools, ditches were dug using large cutting stones. Once the fields are sown and enclosed, a few wardens remain in the vicinity for up to three months to prevent animals from grazing. Their salary, paid in seeds, is calculated on the basis of crop yield. If the harvest is good, the wardens can each receive one azad (kell in Arabic), a wooden bowl used as a unit of measurement of approximately 6kg; with poor yields, payment could be as low as 1kg.

The harvesting techniques depend on the type of crop. For wheat, the tops and ears are cut, and the basal part left in the ground for camels to graze. For millets, the entire stem is left, with only the ears removed. Many people, including women and elders, participate in the harvest, which takes approximately one month. Three weeks are needed.
Figure 3. Photographs of etaghias: A–B) Lancusi; C) Itkeri; D) Ti-n-Lalan (photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome).
Figure 4. Photographs of functional areas and anthropic markers: A) cultivation holes and markers at Ikeri; B) remains of a stone enclosure at Ti-n-Lalan; C) Final Pastoral querns and scatter of lithics at Ikeri; D–E) threshing areas at Ti-n-Lalan and Ikeri; F) straw in a threshing area at Ti-n-Lalan; G) field boundary at Ikeri (photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome).
to dry the harvested plants in areas marked out by stones; such areas are potentially identifiable in the archaeological record. After drying, the ears are threshed for three to four days directly on the sand by continuous trampling by camels—a process that produces subcircular accumulations of straw. Special meshes, known as azuzar, are used for winnowing. Physical evidence for this ethnographic narrative is provided by plant-processing areas still visible at Lancusi, Itkeri and Ti-n-Lalan (Figure 4). The entire operation represents a complex social investment: normally, the Amenokal (the head of the clan or tribe) selects an individual—the Amghar etaghas—to coordinate and direct the work, and to settle possible disputes.

Archaeological and ethnoarchaeological evidence

Itkeri

The etaghas of Itkeri are significant in Kel Tadrart social memory, probably because they flooded more frequently than others, and dozens of people worked together to cultivate them.
Regularly positioned stone alignments and wooden posts form a quadrangular pattern enclosing cultivation holes (Figure 4). Further evidence of human activity at Itkeri includes the remains of field boundaries made of stones and wood fences, grinding stones, hearths and ceramic fragments (Figures 4–5). A natural rock face at the edge of the etaghas displays a few engravings showing camels, concentric rings and Tifinagh and Arabic inscriptions (one of which refers to the year 600 AD).
Close by, a circular stone structure displays a double natural patina (dark and red rock varnishes), which in the region is indicative of the structure’s first use in a Late to Final Neolithic Pastoral phase (see Zerboni 2008). More than 20 stone structures, including rings, enclosures, windbreaks and a cairn, are present along the edges of the etaghas. Scattered among the stone structures, we identified several lower grinding stones and some 40 pottery sherds. These are mostly thin, undecorated pieces with a depurated fabric and greyish surfaces, suggesting that they belong to a Final Pastoral/Formative Garamantian occupation phase (Figure 5). A few sherds in the later Tuareg tradition are thick, coarse and with reddish surfaces. The specialised areas for plant processing are characterised by the presence of substantial sub-circular accumulations of straw, consisting of clusters of rings, whose raised rims are formed by a dense mixture of straw and sand (Figure 5). The rocky edges on the flanks of the etaghas show several unvarnished rock markings, mostly of Tifinagh script and of camels. A few engravings of cattle (sometimes with pecked outline) covered with a red rock varnish belong to the Final Pastoral/Early Garamantian style (Figure 6C–D). At the etaghas’ northern margin, approximately 15m from the cultivated area, is a small rockshelter containing a sandy deposit capped by an accumulation of straw and ovicaprine dung with coarse charcoal and ash lenses.

Lancusi

At Lancusi, recent cultivation holes extend across only a small area of the etaghas system (Figure 3), probably because drifting sand has covered former cultivation areas. According to local informants, the Lancusi etaghas rarely floods and is exposed to the incoming windborne sand. It was therefore only occasionally cultivated. Two main areas of plant processing are visible in the northern part of the etaghas, covering a surface of approximately 0.4ha. Three rocky hills border the etaghas, and their southern flanks (not visible from the etaghas) host rock art dating to the Early to Middle Pastoral period (Mori 1965). Camel-style engravings and Tifinagh script of a later date are also common. We collected fragments of Final Pastoral/Early Garamantian pottery from two areas of the etaghas. Furthermore, a stone structure exhibits a reddish-black rock varnish, which suggests a Final Pastoral date. Several such structures, often located close to natural rock faces, along with enclosures for goats, testify to past Tuareg occupation.

Ti-n-Lalan

The area of Ti-n-Lalan has been known since the 1950s for its abundant rock art (Mori 1965). Previously known as Anejjer (the Tuareg word for ‘running water from rainfall’), its present name has a different meaning: ‘a stopping place for caravans to leave their luggage’, because the area is rich in water (Ali Kaci pers. comm.). Ti-n-Lalan was last cultivated in 1966. We surveyed this area twice, and once more after a light rainfall, which offered the opportunity to observe a flooded etaghas (Figure 3D). The limits of the crust-covered area identified in the field are consistent with satellite imagery and correspond to the extent of the cultivated surface. A few residual accumulations of straw at the northern margin of the etaghas are present. The archaeological record consists of isolated scatters of pottery sherds (Figure 5), with 15 fragments clearly indicating a Final Pastoral/Early Garamantian occupation. Several rock art panels (Figure 6), Tifinagh
engravings and other rock markings are located along the edges of the etaghas. Although their position alone cannot be considered as evidence of association, their stylistic traits appear to be mostly late prehistoric; such depictions and others in bitriangular and camel style suggest an early phase of etaghas exploitation, as also indicated by the 15 ceramic fragments.

**Ousarak**

We had limited access to this southernmost etaghas due to its proximity to the Algerian border. Ousarak covers approximately 100ha, is flanked by a huge composite dune and is only cultivable after sustained rainfall. Once more, there is a discrepancy between the areas potentially suitable for cultivation, as identified in the satellite imagery, and the areas cultivated. A small Middle to Late Pastoral campsite, located close to the dune slope, is attested by nine hearths and a few sherds and lithics. Although the link between the cultivated area and the site is only speculative, it must be emphasised that it is the only site within a large area that is close to the etaghas. The geomorphological features of the area probably made this a suitable location for plant cultivation, possibly from the Middle to Late Pastoral period.

**Geoarchaeology**

At the Ti-n-Lalan, Lancusi and Itkeri etaghas, we opened test trenches in the middle of the cultivation areas to expose the natural pedosedimentary record at the depocentre of flooded depressions (Figure 7). The topsoil consists of poorly laminated silt and sand, a few centimetres thick, with a distinct interface between the underlying, 0.20–0.40m-thick clayey-silt horizon. Occasionally, 10mm-thick clayey/sandy lenses and a few concentrations of small charcoal fragments are present. The natural pedosequence includes buried former topsoils that, like the present-day topsoil, display poor pedogenesis. This is due to the local pedoclimate (Zerboni *et al.* 2011), and the short period of time that surfaces were exposed between flooding events. At the microscopic scale, sedimentary layers show a sequence of some 50mm-thick horizontal laminae, with an upward fining trend (i.e. the grain size decreases towards the top) related to sediment particles settling in shallow water. Such decantation laminae are sometimes vertically displaced and disrupted by vertical cracks filled by coarse particles. Micro-charcoals are embedded in the finer part of each decantation lamina, along with horizontally oriented phytoliths and plant remains. Bioturbation voids, calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) redistribution along root casts, and a few dusty clay coatings on voids are present (Figure 7C–F).

Threshing areas were well preserved at Itkeri (Figure 8), whereas their original shape is unclear at Ti-n-Lalan. Both were investigated by sectioning their external rims. Beneath the rims, we recorded a sandy-silty layer with a platy structure, many vesicles and evidence of mechanical compression. Microscopically, the straw rims comprise a sandy matrix including a variable percentage of fresh plant remains. In some cases, these rims exhibit superimposed lenses of straw and sand, corresponding to successive threshing phases. Plant remains are finely subdivided and include seeds and husks. At Itkeri, we found a few deformed ovicaprine pellets within straw accumulations, suggesting that goats were allowed to graze the area after threshing.
The fill of the rockshelter near the Ikeri etaghas consists of a sand dune covered by anthropogenic sediments (Figure 9). The top of the dune is bioturbated and contains a straw-supported sandy deposit. It also contains a dung layer similar to those described at many sites in the Tadrart Acacus region (Cremaschi et al. 2014). It includes a lower part with straw and

Figure 7. Cultivation holes (A) and (B) a test trench at Lancusi; photomicrographs showing decantation laminate (C), their disruption (D–E) and the occurrence of micro-charcoals (F) (photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome).
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sand, with charcoal fragments and undecomposed straw remains becoming more abundant towards the top; the latter remains are associated with faecal spherulites and calcium oxalate druses (i.e. groups of oxalate crystals) (Figure 9), suggesting the presence of ovicaprine dung.

Radiocarbon dating

Charcoal fragments were sampled from the dung-bearing layer of the stratigraphic section of the Itkeri rockshelter. The date obtained is 1700±25 BP (Table 2; UGAM8712a; 318–402/256–299 cal AD), a period during which the Garamantes exploited the region (Mattingly
Figure 9. A) The rockshelter at Itkeri and (B) stratigraphic sequence within. Photomicrographs of samples collected from this section: C) dismantled coprolites; D) faecal spherulites; E) straw; F) charcoal. (Photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome.)
et al. 2003; Mori 2013). It therefore provides a *terminus ante quem* for the deposition of the straw layer recorded below the accumulation of dung (Figure 9B). Two samples (UGAM8710, UGAM8711) of charcoal collected from Ti-n-Lalan and Itkeri (at a depth of 0.10–0.25m), provide modern dates, suggesting a recent deposition.

**Etaghas: a legacy of an ancient land-use?**

The etaghas of the Tadrart Acacus are one of the most remarkable indications of land-use so far documented among traditional societies inhabiting arid lands. In the hyperarid Saharan ecosystem, agriculture has long been considered as confined to the oases (Harlan & Pasquereau 1969; Salmon *et al.* 2015). Therein, we may distinguish irrigated cultivation from flood-recession (or *décruet*) cultivation. The latter is common in the Sahel, close to permanent and seasonal rivers. It is, for example, present along the Senegal and Nile Rivers, and has been reported in the Mediterranean Basin (Baldy 1997). On the land-use maps of North Africa (Permanent Interstate Committee for Drought Control in the Sahel 2016), agricultural land-use is not recorded for the Sahara; pastoralism is the only type of human

Table 2. Radiocarbon dates from the Tadrart Acacus, from the end of the Late Pastoral to post-Garamantian contexts (modified after Biagetti & di Lernia 2013); calibration using OxCal v4.3 and the IntCal13 calibration curve (Bronk Ramsey & Lee 2013; Reimer *et al.* 2013). LP = Late Pastoral; FP = Final Pastoral; EG, G, PG = Early Garamantian, Garamantian, Post-Garamantian.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
<th>Uncalibrated radiocarbon dates (BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated dates (at 95.4% confidence)</th>
<th>Phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Taharin</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
<td>4007 ± 53</td>
<td>2848–2346 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TH113</td>
<td>GX-19111</td>
<td>3915 ± 165</td>
<td>2880–1977 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Gd-2854</td>
<td>3810 ± 80</td>
<td>2471–2032 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Tabu</td>
<td>BO 340</td>
<td>3810 ± 90</td>
<td>2487–1980 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Gd-4363</td>
<td>3800 ± 140</td>
<td>2832–1784 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Ud-224</td>
<td>3770 ± 200</td>
<td>2860–1691 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Gd-2962</td>
<td>3720 ± 90</td>
<td>2455–1891 BC</td>
<td>LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>BO 342</td>
<td>3240 ± 70</td>
<td>1689–1323 BC</td>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>ENEA</td>
<td>3195 ± 70</td>
<td>1627–1292 BC</td>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takarkori</td>
<td>UGAMS#01839</td>
<td>3160 ± 140</td>
<td>1751–1031 BC</td>
<td>FP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Gd-4288</td>
<td>2770 ± 80</td>
<td>1127–798 BC</td>
<td>EG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Muhuggiag</td>
<td>Gd-4290</td>
<td>2220 ± 220</td>
<td>807–225 BC</td>
<td>EG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adad S.33</td>
<td>GX-30334-AMS</td>
<td>2090 ± 40</td>
<td>204 BC–2AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imassarajan</td>
<td>GX-30331-AMS</td>
<td>2060 ± 30</td>
<td>170 BC–4 AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imassarajan</td>
<td>GX-30329 AMS</td>
<td>2050 ± 40</td>
<td>174 BC–49AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adad S.32</td>
<td>GX-30333-AMS</td>
<td>2040 ± 40</td>
<td>167 BC–51AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imassarajan</td>
<td>GX-30328</td>
<td>2020 ± 100</td>
<td>356 BC–220 AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imassarajan</td>
<td>GX-30332-AMS</td>
<td>1950 ± 40</td>
<td>41 AD–129 AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itkeri 2*</td>
<td>UGAMS#8712a</td>
<td>1700 ± 25</td>
<td>256 AD–403 AD</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uan Amil</td>
<td>BO 341</td>
<td>1260 ± 60</td>
<td>655 AD–891 AD</td>
<td>PG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* First published in this article.
exploitation reported for the region; alternatively, blank areas on the maps of North Africa may suggest a virtual absence of human life and forms of subsistence.

Although flood-recession cultivation is known across the Sahel and in other locations receiving much flood water, the etaghas cultivation system seems to be unique to the central Sahara. Given the geomorphological and physiographic features of etaghas, only occasional rainfalls can reactivate the surface hydrology. In these terms, we consider the etaghas system as an example of rain-fed agriculture. The occasional flooding of areas that are depressed and protected from winds allows moisture in the topsoil to be retained for several weeks, thus making cultivation possible.

The social memory of the present-day Kel Tadrart suggests that local rain-fed agriculture dates back many generations, although they are unaware of its antiquity. While radiocarbon dating of our samples from the Ikteri and Ti-n-Lalan etaghas does not indicate a prehistoric exploitation of the etaghas system, the dung deposit resulting from keeping animals in the rockshelter at Ikteri—radiocarbon-dated to Garamantian times—suggests by association that the use of etaghas for cultivation goes far back in time. Final Pastoral Neolithic and Garamantian pottery found in many localities and ancient rock art also suggest that use of the etaghas had early precedents. Geometric drawings at Ikteri (see Figure 10), for instance, could be cautiously interpreted as representing partitioned fields with cultivation holes.

The notion of late prehistoric cultivation of domesticated Asian and African crops in the region is intriguing. Although Saharan cultivation of wild cereals by Early Holocene foragers is attested (Mercuri et al. 2018), less is known about agriculture during Holocene arid periods. The Saharan Pastoral Neolithic is traditionally considered to have been characterised by herding (di Lernia 2001, 2017), with only a few cultivation experiments associated with palaeo-oases. Archaeological evidence in the Wadi Tanezzuft points to agricultural land-use dating to the Final Pastoral Neolithic, in a context of increasing sedentism. The configuration and distribution of sites dating to this period suggest intensive land exploitation. Such sites have yielded hearths, storage pits, grinding equipment, lithic hoes and gouges—all associated with cultivation and crop processing (Crema{}}}
Figure 10. A–B) Putative field boundaries and cultivation holes painted at Ikerti (rock art digitally enhanced using DStretch©); the arrow probably indicates a cultivation hole with plant shown in situ; C–D) cultivation holes at Lancusi and Ikerti (photographs courtesy of The Archaeological Mission in the Sahara, Sapienza University of Rome).
Albeit sparse and circumstantial, our geoarchaeological results support the hypothesis that the central Saharan etaghas cultivation system is rooted in the Late Holocene. This developed gradually, under conditions of progressive aridification, from recession cultivation towards a rain-fed system based on collecting rainwater. This transition may have enhanced the ability of human groups to optimise residual or occasional water resources through introducing a system of rainwater collection that is still present in the social memory of Tuaregs.

The possibility that this cultivation system was introduced from other Saharan massifs or sub-Saharan areas where populations practised flood-recession agriculture must, however, be considered. Nonetheless, rain-fed agriculture in the Tadrart Acacus appears to have been adopted independently as an adaptation to local conditions, even though evidence supporting such a hypothesis is currently scant. The archaeological record of the central Sahara suggests that the exploitation of plants has an extraordinarily long and unique tradition, with Early Holocene evidence for wild cereal cultivation (Mercuri et al. 2018). Moreover, many important innovations in subsistence strategy emerged in the Tadrart Acacus, including the taming and coralling of wild Barbary sheep and evidence for Africa’s earliest dairying (di Lernia 2001; Dunne et al. 2012; Rotunno et al. 2019). Ultimately, the Tadrart Acacus Final Pastoral Neolithic groups’ intimate knowledge of their environment and its resources provides the best explanation for the emergence of rain-fed cultivation of crops in the region.

**Conclusion**

Our investigations in the hyperarid Tadrart Acacus have revealed a feature of marginal desert land-use, the etaghas, where occasional cultivation is used to supplement herding. The present-day Tuaregs cultivate small areas of desert following sporadic rain-fed flooding events, but etaghas cultivation is much older. While radiocarbon dating suggests that these features were cultivated in Garamantian times, material culture and rock art provide circumstantial evidence to push back the date for the origin of local rain-fed agriculture to late prehistory.

This ancient land-use in the Tadrart Acacus may represent the legacy of a Pastoral Neolithic subsistence strategy inherited from flood-recession-based cultivation and sustained into the Late Holocene by seasonal rainfall. The progressive decrease in such rainfall and its increasingly erratic occurrence may have initiated a switch from recession cultivation to a rain-fed system. The agricultural use of the etaghas provides a snapshot of the dawn of agriculture in the Sahara, offering new insights into the multifaceted subsistence strategies of herding groups and their deep knowledge of local natural resources. Our findings confirm the complexity of land-use strategies adopted by traditional societies in the Tadrart Acacus, and serve as a warning against generalisation and oversimplification when interpreting human exploitation of marginal natural environments.
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