# A REMARKABLE CLASS OF MANNHEIM-CURVES 

## Richard Blum

(received October 12, 1965)

Introduction. It is well known that the determination of a (non-isotropic) curve in the euclidean 3 -space with given curvature $K(s)$ and torsion $T(s)$, where $s$ represents the arc-length, depends upon the integration of a Riccati equation; and that this can be performed only if a particular integral of the equation is known.

The following paper is based on an observation made in connection with this Riccati equation written in a somewhat modified form (see the usual one e.g. in [1] p. 36).

## The Riccati equation: let

$$
\vec{t}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}\right), \vec{p}\left(\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}\right), \vec{b}\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}, \zeta_{3}\right)
$$

be the tangent, principal normal and binormal unit vectors respectively, each being, of course, functions of the arc-length s. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}+\eta_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}+\zeta_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=1, \quad(\mathrm{~m}=1,2,3) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put
(2) $u=\frac{\xi_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{i} \zeta_{\mathrm{m}}}{1-\eta_{\mathrm{m}}}=\frac{1+\eta_{\mathrm{m}}}{\xi_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{i} \zeta_{\mathrm{m}}} ;-\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{\xi_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{i} \zeta_{\mathrm{m}}}{1-\eta_{\mathrm{m}}}=\frac{1+\eta_{\mathrm{m}}}{\xi_{\mathrm{m}}+i \zeta_{\mathrm{m}}}$,
differentiate with respect to $s$ and take into account the Frenet equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}=-k \xi_{\mathrm{m}}+\tau \zeta_{\mathrm{m}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\zeta_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}=-\tau \eta_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

we find that both $u$ and $v$ satisfy the same Riccati equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=-\frac{u^{2}}{2}(k+i \tau)-\frac{1}{2}(k-i \tau) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observation: This form of the Riccati equation suggests the choice: $k=\omega \cos \beta s, \tau=\omega \sin \beta s$ with $\omega$ and $\beta$ positive constants. In this case the above equation can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=-\frac{\omega}{2}\left(u^{2} e^{i \beta s}+e^{-i \beta s}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is immediately obvious that there exist two particular integrals of (5) of the form $A e^{-i \beta s}$. If we substitute $u=A e^{-i \beta s}$ into (5) and cancel $e^{-i \beta s}$ we get the quadratic equation

$$
\omega A^{2}-2 i \beta A+\omega=0,
$$

whose roots, in terms of $\alpha=+\sqrt{\omega^{2}+\beta^{2}}$, are

$$
A_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\alpha-\beta}}, \quad A_{2}=-i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} .
$$

If we choose $u=A_{1} e^{-i \beta s}, v=A_{2} e^{-i \beta s}$ and substitute into

$$
\eta_{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{v}}
$$

we get

$$
\eta_{m}=\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{A_{1}-A_{2}}=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}
$$

One of the components of the principal normal $\vec{p}$ can, therefore, be chosen to be constant and we have the

THEOREM 1. A curve (C) in euclidean 3-space defined by $k=\omega \cos \beta s, \tau=\omega \sin \beta s$, where $\omega$ and $\beta$ are positive constants, has the property that its principal normal makes a constant angle with a (suitably chosen) fixed direction.

Note: The curves (C) belong to the larger class of curves defined by

$$
k^{2}+\tau^{2}=\omega^{2}=\text { constant }
$$

which appear to have been considered first in 1878 by A. Mannheim (see [2], also [3] and [4]). We shall call them Mannheim-curves and denote them by (M).

The parametric equations of a curve (C): Since equation (5) admits two known particular integrals, its general integral can be obtained by one additional quadrature. Equations (2) will then enable us to determine $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{s})$ and a further quadrature will yield $\vec{r}(s)$, the finite parametric equations of (C).

Theorem 1 suggests an equivalent (and simpler) way to solve the problem of curves (C): Since one of the components of $\vec{p}$ can be chosen to be constant $=\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$, consider the linear differential equation satisfied by $\vec{p}$. If we start from the Frenet equations written in their vectorial form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overrightarrow{t^{\prime}}=k \vec{p}, \\
& \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}=-k \vec{t}+\tau \vec{b},  \tag{6}\\
& \overrightarrow{b^{\prime}}=-\tau \vec{p},
\end{align*}
$$

differentiate the second equation twice and write for $\overrightarrow{t^{\prime}}$ and $\overrightarrow{b^{1}}$ their expressions given by the first and third, we obtain as the linear differential equation for $\vec{p}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}^{\prime}}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general integral of this differential equation subject to the conditions $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}^{2}=1, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}^{2}=\omega^{2}$, can be shown to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\omega}{\alpha} \cos \alpha \mathrm{s} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{\omega}{\alpha} \sin \alpha \mathrm{s} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{j}}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathrm{k}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ are the unit vectors of a positively oriented, fixed, orthogonal cartesian coordinate system.

The first of equations (6) with $K=\omega \cos \beta s$ gives us
by integration (taking into account that $\vec{t}^{2}=1$ and $\vec{t} \cdot \vec{p}=0$ ):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\vec{t}=\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left[\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta) s}{\alpha+\beta}+\frac{\sin (\alpha-\beta) s}{\alpha-\beta}\right] \vec{i}-  \tag{9}\\
\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left[\frac{\cos (\alpha+\beta) s}{\alpha+\beta}+\frac{\cos (\alpha-\beta) s}{\alpha-\beta}\right] \vec{j}+\frac{\omega}{\alpha} \sin \beta s . \vec{k}
\end{gather*}
$$

A further integration yields, finally, the position vector $\vec{r}$ of the curve (C):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overrightarrow{\mathbf{r}}=-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left[\frac{\cos (\alpha+\beta) s}{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}+\frac{\cos (\alpha-\beta) s}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}}\right] \vec{i}  \tag{10}\\
-\frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \alpha}\left[\frac{\sin (\alpha+\beta) s}{(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}+\frac{\sin (\alpha-\beta) s}{(\alpha-\beta)^{2}}\right] \vec{j}-\frac{\omega}{\alpha \beta} \cos \beta s . \vec{k} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Formula (10) provides the "canonical" form for the parametric equations of a curve (C) in the sense that an orthogonal cartesian coordinate system in the euclidean 3-space can be found and an origin and direction for measuring the arc-length $s$ on (C) chosen such that the parametric equations of (C) are given by (10).

Properties of curves (C): Theorem 1 gives a first property of these curves which can, of course, be read directly from formula (8). Furthermore, the same formula shows that as a point moves with constant velocity on (C) the corresponding principal normal $\vec{p}$ rotates with constant angular velocity about the fixed direction $\vec{k}$. Further interesting properties can easily be obtained from (10). If we denote the coefficients of $\vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$ by $x, y, z$ respectively, we notice that these coordinates satisfy the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{2}+y^{2}}{\left(2 \beta / \omega^{2}\right)^{2}}-\frac{z^{2}}{(2 / \omega)^{2}}=1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We therefore have the

THEOREM 2. A curve (C) in euclidean 3-space defined by $k=\omega \cos \beta s, \tau=\omega \sin \beta s$, where $\omega$ and $\beta$ are positive constants, lies on the hyperboloid of revolution (11).

If we calculate $\vec{r}^{2}=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}$, we obtain

$$
\vec{r}^{2}=\frac{4 \beta^{2}}{\omega^{4}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}} \cos ^{2} \beta s
$$

$\vec{r}^{2}$ satisfies, therefore, the double inequality

$$
\frac{4 \beta^{2}}{\omega^{4}} \leq \overrightarrow{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \leq \frac{4 \beta^{2}}{\omega^{4}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}
$$

and we have the

## THEOREM 3. A curve (C) in euclidean 3-space

defined by $k=\omega \cos \beta s, T=\omega \sin \beta s$, where $\omega$ and $\beta$ are positive constants, is 'bounded''; more precisely, it is wholly located in the space between the two concentric spheres with radii

$$
\frac{2 \beta}{\omega^{2}} \text { and } \sqrt{\frac{4 \beta^{2}}{\omega^{4}}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}}, \text { respectively }
$$

It follows from equation (10) that a curve (C) will be algebraic and closed if and only if the ratio $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is a rational number. We have, therefore, an infinite number of closed curves (C), which are all algebraic. The simplest of these will be those for which $\alpha$ is an integral multiple of $\beta$. In this case the curve (C) can be considered as the intersection of the hyperboloid of revolution (1i) with the cylinder parallel to the $y$-axis obtained by eliminating $\cos \beta s$ between $x$ and $z$. We list the first two cases:
1). $\alpha=2 \beta$ :
(C) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}x^{2}+y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} z^{2}=\frac{4}{9}, \\ x=\frac{8}{9 \sqrt{3}} z^{3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} z ;\end{array}\right.$
2). $\alpha=3 \beta$ :
(C) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}16\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2 z^{2}=1, \\ x=\frac{27 z^{4}}{32}-\frac{1}{4} .\end{array}\right.$

Boundedness considerations concerning_general Mannheimcurves: For a curve (M) we can write $K=\omega \cos \varphi, \tau=\omega \sin \varphi$, with $\varphi$ a function of $s$, which is assumed to be at least twice differentiable, and $\omega$ a positive constant. If $\varphi$ is a constant, (M) is a circular helix; if $\varphi$ is a non-constant linear function of $s$ we have the case of the curve (C) just treated. The question under what conditions a general curve (M) will be a bounded curve seems to the author to be an attractive one. The differential equation satisfied by the principal no rmal $\vec{p}$ reduces in this case to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}\left[\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\left(\omega^{2}+\varphi^{2}\right) \overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}\right]-\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left[\overrightarrow{\mathrm{p}^{\prime \prime}}+\omega^{2 \vec{p}}\right]=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Certainly the stability of equation (12) is a necessary condition for the boundedness of (M). That it is not sufficient can be seen from the example of the circular helix.
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