

Evaluation methodology, the researcher in residence has highlighted the culture changes that are key to delivering the aspirations of the Community MH Service framework. These include; the narrative of “no wrong door”, an increased and open range of offers and interventions, blended staffing models across traditional organisational boundaries, partnership working, the role of lived experience and the ambition of addressing inequalities.

Conclusion. OMH, the product of Community MH Transformation in Somerset, is a radical and co produced redesign of MH services in partnership with the VCSE and Local Authority that has improved access and support to all people in Somerset.

Prevalence and Correlates of Benzodiazepines’ Prescriptions in an Inpatient Setting

Dr Nada Zahreddine*, Dr Rahna Theruvath Chailil and Dr Sohail Abrar

Norfolk and Suffolk NHS Foundation Trust, Ipswich, United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.349

Aims. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence guidelines state that benzodiazepines (BZD) should not be taken for longer than four weeks. However, there are no recommendations specifically addressing the use and misuse of BZD in inpatient settings and their prescription at discharge. A recent study (Panes et al., 2020) recommended aiming for BZD’ total withdrawal or, at least, dose reduction at discharge to reduce the risk of misuse in the community which can lead to dependence and serious side effects. Our study aimed to 1. describe BZD’ prescriptions on an acute female ward, before admission, during admission, at the time of discharge and at four and eight weeks post-discharge, 2. identify potential sociodemographic, clinical and therapeutic correlates/predictors of BZD’ prescriptions, 3. develop a strategy to reduce BZD’ prescriptions or, at least, to reduce the dose of BZD prescribed at discharge.

Methods. Data collection was done retrospectively through electronic medical and prescribing records and included admissions to Avocet Ward, between May and October 2021. Variables collected were age, ethnicity, length of stay, Mental Health Act status, diagnosis, comorbid drugs or alcohol misuse, Home Treatment Team involvement at discharge, community teams, prescriptions of regular and Pro Re Nata BZD and “z-drugs” prior to admission, during admission, at discharge, and at 4 weeks and 8 weeks post-discharge, maximum dose of regular BZD during admission and the dose at discharge.

Results. Among the 59 admissions included, 25.4% had BZD before admission, 81.4% during admission (with a mean maximum dose of regular BZD of 38.8 mg (SD = 17.3) of diazepam equivalent), 50.8% at discharge (with a mean dose of 28.5 mg (SD = 18.5) of regular BZD), 35.6% 4 weeks post-discharge and 27.1% 8 weeks post-discharge. The odds of having regular BZD during admission were 7.4 times more likely for those on regular BZD before admission after controlling for other variables (95% CI: 1.1, 50). The maximum dose of regular BZD during admission was positively correlated with the dose of regular BZD at discharge ($r(15) = .67$, $p < .01$). Among the regular BZD prescribed during admission (N = 23), 26.1% were fully discontinued by the time of discharge and 43.5% were titrated down, while 30.4% remained at the same maximum dose prescribed during admission.

Conclusion. BZD prescriptions are common at discharge from inpatient settings and can be associated with BZD misuse in the community. We suggest strategies to avoid this issue.

Case Study

Ekbom Syndrome: A Case Report

Mrs Nadine Absy*, Mrs Nur Saleh and Dr Dima Al Sharman

Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.350

Aims. Ekbom’s syndrome (ES), also called delusional parasitosis, is a condition where the patient has an unshakable belief and a perception of being infested with parasites. ES is thought to mainly affect postmenopausal females and because patients are usually mono-symptomatic, they usually seek care from dermatologists. It is advocated to form a liaison between dermatology and psychiatry to ensure a full range of differential diagnoses, in order to form the most suitable management plan.

Methods. Case report

Results. An 87-year-old widow was referred to the outpatient psychiatric clinic of King Abdullah University Hospital by a dermatologist because of generalized chronic pruritus that she believes is caused by a bug infestation. The symptoms started one year prior to presentation (soon after an ischemic stroke) with the perception that macroscopic parasites were crawling over her body, biting her face, head, and hands, and entering her eyes. She tried various strategies to eradicate the parasites with no benefit. Psychiatric examination findings included hypochondriac delusional ideas and dysphoria. When her general medical condition and her medications were reviewed, it was found that she had been diagnosed with hypertension and ischemic heart disease. She was taking anti-hypertensive drugs and blood thinners. After haloperidol 5 mg daily was added, she had a progressive clinical improvement.

Conclusion. ES is a neuropsychiatric syndrome that can follow primary psychotic or depressive disorders, dementia, or other organic diseases. Consultation-liaison by psychiatrists and dermatologists will be useful to assure timely referral. Better awareness of such an illness by general physicians, early recognition, good rapport, and empathic treatment are the cornerstones of management in such cases.

Case Study on an Ethical Dilemma

Dr Anusha Akella* and Dr Kyaw Moe

Cheshire and Wirral Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Wirral /Chester, United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.351

Aims. Mr AB is a 58-year-old male with diagnosis of Schizoid Personality disorder. An articulate and intelligent man, AB derived happiness and contentment from his work. Due to workplace conflicts, he was asked to resign several years ago and has not worked since. Mr AB then found a sense of purpose in life by looking after his elderly parents. His parents sadly died a few years ago and since then he has been living on his own. He has never married. AB has one brother who helps him with shopping and groceries. Prior to this admission, AB was admitted once a few years ago when he was diagnosed with Depressive Disorder.

Methods. Mr AB was admitted last year with profound self-neglect. He was detained under Section 2MHA as he wasn't eating and drinking and wasn't engaging with services. With the initial diagnosis being Recurrent Depressive Disorder, AB was commenced on treatment for the same and eventually received ECT, for which he had strongly opposed. Following 6 sessions of ECT, AB bargained with the team that he would start eating and drinking if ECT was stopped and did so as well. He then requested a transfer to a different ward and consultant, with whom he shared that he doesn't agree with our diagnosis of depression or Schizoid personality disorder. AB expressed that he doesn't find his life worth living and wants to be left alone. He strongly believed that his liberty to take decisions about his life is being unfairly taken away by the NHS and accused professionals of trying to protect themselves. No evidence of SMI found at this stage. Following several discussions, AB was discharged home. He however was readmitted within a couple of days' time by his brother following disengagement, self-neglect and again, no evidence of SMI.

Results. A capacious patient, in the absence of Serious Mental Illness puts forth an argument that purely because his way of living and his opinions on life and death differ from that of the society, doesn't mean that his rights over his life can be taken away from him. He, however, struggles to acknowledge that as fellow humans we are strongly inclined to intervene and try to stop anyone from taking their own life.

Conclusion. A Challenging case that raises several questions surrounding Medical Ethics. The team is now looking into guardianship to ensure welfare of the patient.

Legal Parameters of Practice in Psychiatry

Dr Christina Barmpagianni*, Dr Patrick Cremin,
Dr Antonio Fialho and Dr Afaf Qazi

Surrey and Borders Partnership NHS Foundation Trust, Epsom,
United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.352

Aims. The amended Mental Health Act (MHA) of 2007 gave Psychiatrists the right to detain, assess and treat individuals with mental health disorders, not only with a view to offer medical treatment but also to ensure their safety and that of the public, by containing them. This meant that patients diagnosed with disorders such as Antisocial Personality (APD), previously un-detainable under the MHA of 1983, would no longer be considered untreatable and could be sectioned, if appropriate. The idea was then generated, that Psychiatrists would now assume the role of custodians of potentially dangerous people and raised the concern that all persons with APD would be perceived as dangerous and find themselves at a dynamic risk of being sectioned under the revised MHA. The balance between the role of Psychiatrists as medical professionals versus this new, unpopular role as figures of public order was and still is, debatable.

Methods. We present the case of a patient with a background of Depression and Post-traumatic Stress Disorder with aggressive features, who during a consultation revealed a powerful homicidal urge and fantasies directed to an individual he believed had wronged him. The patient had access to the individual and had attempted to confront him. He had no forensic history, nor had he expressed criminal intent before. This triggered a safeguarding response, the consensus being that advice should be sought from the Forensics team, not only to protect the potential victim but

also the potential perpetrator from the consequences of a criminal act.

Results. Considering the lack of police involvement, plans, or weapons; the separation between patient and potential victim; and the patient's distress associated with the disclosure of the homicidal fantasies, the level of risk was deemed to not merit disclosure. Closer risk assessment with ongoing psychological and pharmacological interventions created a therapeutic alliance which allowed for open communication with regards to the dynamic nature of the risk and the potential for any further disclosure.

Conclusion. Within the definition of Duty of Care lie responsibilities beyond the strictly medical role of clinicians. Not unlike the duty to inform the DVLA about a patient's fitness to drive, breaking confidentiality for the purposes of patient or public safety is not a power that makes Psychiatrists figures of Authority, but a responsibility that is part of their role. At the same time, we should bear in mind that the license to disclose is also a license not to disclose.

Key Learning Points from a Case of Cannabinoid Induced Catatonia

Dr Lydia Benazaize^{1,2*} and Dr Nieves Mercadillo¹

¹Mersey Care NHS Foundation Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom
and ²Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
Warrington, United Kingdom

*Presenting author.

doi: 10.1192/bjo.2022.353

Aims. Catatonia has an effective treatment: benzodiazepines. A first presentation of catatonia may present initially to an acute medical trust. It is important acute clinicians are familiar with its manifestations, medical differentials, and most importantly, understand the role of benzodiazepines in both the investigation and management of catatonia.

Methods. Here we describe a case of catatonia in a nineteen-year-old male, who presented acutely to the accident and emergency department with odd behaviour following inhalation of the synthetic cannabinoid 'spice'. Initially, he was found to be rigid, mute and doubly incontinent, but able to follow vague commands. He was admitted to the acute trust for twelve days in which he was worked-up as a case of drug induced psychosis. As he was not improving, he was then transferred to psychiatric inpatient services for further investigation and management.

Results. The acute medical team did not recognise this as a presentation of catatonia and did not conduct a lorazepam challenge, as suggested by specialist services. A lorazepam challenge is helpful in both diagnosing and treating catatonia. In this case, we believe this may have been missed, due to a lack of knowledge and understanding of the condition. Medical mimics of psychosis, such as autoimmune encephalitis, may be life threatening, but have a good prognosis if treated early. Here, these were not considered, which may have led to disastrous consequences had they been present. This case shows an opportunity for education into the differentials and management of catatonia.

Conclusion. We believe this case highlights a degree of poor understanding surrounding catatonia and its clinical work-up in the acute setting. There were missed opportunities to instigate treatment earlier and consider rarer alternative causes for the presentation. We hope this case will simplify diagnosis and management for acute clinicians, and highlight important medical mimics of catatonia. This case also shows the potential significant harms of synthetic cannabinoids such as 'spice' and highlights a