

On groups of exponent four with generators of order two

**Narain D. Gupta, Horace Y. Mochizuki,
and Kenneth W. Weston**

The largest group of exponent 4 generated by n elements of order 2 has nilpotency class $n + 1$ when $n \geq 3$.

Introduction

Let $G(n) = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a_i^2, w^4 \rangle$ ($n \geq 2$) be the freest group of exponent 4 on n generators of order 2. It is almost immediate that

$$G(2) = \langle a_1, a_2; a_1^2, a_2^2, w^4 \rangle = \langle a_1, a_2; a_1^2, a_2^2, [a_1, a_2]^2 \rangle = \\ = \langle a_1, a_2; a_1^2, a_2^2, [a_1, a_2, a_2], [a_1, a_2, a_1] \rangle,$$

so that $G(2)$ is nilpotent of class precisely 2. It is a well-known result of Wright [1] that the nilpotency class of $G(n)$ ($n \geq 3$) is at most $n + 1$. In this note we show that the nilpotency class of $G(n)$ ($n \geq 3$) is at least $n + 1$. This settles a long standing conjecture of Wright [1].

Preliminaries

Let S denote the free associative ring freely generated by

Received 7 November 1973. Received by the J. Austral. Math. Soc. 13 August 1971. Communicated by G.E. Wall. Publication of this paper was accidentally delayed, and in order to reduce the delay, the paper was transferred, with the authors' consent, to the Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. The research was supported in part by the National Research Council of Canada and the National Science Foundation of the USA.

x_1, x_2, \dots . Let $\rho = ((1+x_1) \dots (1+x_l)-1)^3$ ($l \geq 2$) be a fixed element of S . A straight forward expansion shows that

$$(1) \quad \rho = \sum_{(r,s,t)} \xi_r \xi_s \xi_t \quad (r, s, t \in \{1, \dots, l\}),$$

where $\xi_m = \sum x_{k(1)} \dots x_{k(m)}$, the sum being taken over all sequences $1 \leq k(1) < \dots < k(m) \leq l$. For each sequence $1 \leq k(1) < \dots < k(m) \leq l$, define

(2) $F_\rho(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ = sum of all monomials in (1) which have positive degree in each of $x_{k(1)}, \dots, x_{k(m)}$ and degree zero in the other x 's ;

(3) $F_\rho^{(d)}(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ = sum of all monomials in $F_\rho(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ which are of length precisely $m + d$ ($d \geq 0$) ;

(4) $F_\rho^{(d)}[k(i)](k(1), \dots, k(m))$ = sum of all monomials in $F_\rho^{(d)}(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ whose first component is $x_{k(i)}$;

(5) $F_\rho^{(d)}[k(i)](k(1), \dots, k(m))$ = sum of all monomials in $F_\rho^{(d)}(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ whose last component is $x_{k(i)}$;

(6) $F_\rho^{(d)}[k(i), k(j)](k(i), \dots, k(m))$ = sum of all monomials in $F_\rho^{(d)}(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ whose first component is $x_{k(i)}$ and last component is $x_{k(j)}$; and

(7) $|F|$ = number of monomials in F .

LEMMA 1. Let $\rho = ((1+x_1) \dots (1+x_l)-1)^3$ ($l \geq 2$) be a fixed element of S . Then for each sequence $1 \leq k(1) < \dots < k(m) \leq l$,

$$(i) \quad \left| F_\rho^{(0)}[k(i)](k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right| \text{ is even for each } i \in \{1, \dots, m\} ;$$

(ii) $\left| F_{\rho[k(i)]}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right|$ is even for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$;

(iii) $\left| F_{\rho}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right|$ is even;

(iv)

$\left| F_{\rho[k(i),k(j)]}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right| + \left| F_{\rho[k(j),k(i)]}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right|$
 is even for all pairs $1 \leq i < j \leq m$; and

(v) $\left| F_{\rho[k(i),k(i)]}^{(1)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) \right|$ is odd for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof of (i). It is clearly enough to prove that $\left| F_{\rho[i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m) \right|$ is even for each $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. By definition every monomial in $F_{\rho[i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m)$ is of the form $(x_{iM_1}) (M_2) (M_3)$, where x_{iM_1}, M_2, M_3 are terms from ξ_r, ξ_s, ξ_t respectively for some r, s, t . Thus we may pair $(x_{iM_1}) (M_2) (M_3)$ with $(x_{iM_1}) (M_3) (M_2)$ which by (1) is also present in $F_{\rho[i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m)$.

Proof of (ii). As in (i) we may pair $(M_1) (M_2) (M_3 x_i)$ and $(M_2) (M_1) (M_3 x_i)$ together.

Proof of (iii). Since

$$F_{\rho}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) = \sum_{i=1}^m F_{\rho[k(i)]}^{(0)}(k(1), \dots, k(m)) ,$$

the proof follows by (i).

Proof of (iv). As in the proof of (i) it is enough to prove that $\left| F_{\rho[i,j]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m) \right| + \left| F_{\rho[j,i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m) \right|$ is even for each pair $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. We note by (1) that every monomial in $F_{\rho[i,j]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m)$ is of the form

$$x_i M(B_1) M(C_1) \cdot M(A_1) M(B_2) M(C_2) \cdot M(A_2) M(B_3) x_j \quad (\in \xi_r \cdot \xi_s \cdot \xi_t) ,$$

and every monomial in $F_{\rho[j,i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m)$ is of the form

$$x_j^{M(C_1)} \cdot M(A_1)M(B)M(C_2) \cdot M(A_2)x_i \quad (\in \xi_r \cdot \xi_s \cdot \xi_t) ,$$

where

$$A_1 \cup A_2 = \{1, \dots, i-1\} \quad (A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset) ,$$

$$B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 = \{i+1, \dots, j-1\} \quad (B_1 \cap B_2 = B_2 \cap B_3 = B_3 \cap B_1 = \emptyset) ,$$

$$C_1 \cup C_2 = \{j+1, \dots, m\} \quad (C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset) ,$$

and

$$M(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_q) = x_{\alpha_1} \dots x_{\alpha_q} .$$

For each choice of B_1, B_2, B_3 ($B_2 \neq B$), we may pair

$$(x_i^{M(B_1)M(C_1)}) \quad (M(A_1)M(B_2)M(C_2)) \quad (M(A_2)M(B_3)x_j)$$

and

$$(x_i^{M(B_3)M(C_1)}) \quad (M(A_1)M(B_2)M(C_2)) \quad (M(A_2)M(B_1)x_j) ,$$

so that $F_{\rho[i,j]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m) + F_{\rho[j,i]}^{(0)}(1, \dots, m)$ equals the even number of terms plus the sum of paired terms of the form

$$\{ (x_i^{M(C_1)}) (M(A_1)M(B)M(C_2)) (M(A_2)x_j) + (x_j^{M(C_1)}) (M(A_1)M(B)M(C_2)) (M(A_2)x_i) \} \\ = \text{sum of even number of terms} .$$

Proof of (v). Once again it is enough to show that

$\left| F_{\rho[i,i]}^{(1)}(1, \dots, m) \right|$ is odd. By (1) every monomial in $F_{\rho[i,i]}^{(1)}(1, \dots, m)$ is of the form

$$x_i^{M(B_1)} \cdot M(A_1)M(B_2) \cdot M(A_2)x_i \quad (\in \xi_r \cdot \xi_s \cdot \xi_t) ,$$

where

$$A_1 \cup A_2 = \{1, \dots, i-1\} \quad (A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset)$$

and

$$B_1 \cup B_2 = \{i+1, \dots, m\} \quad (B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset) .$$

Since $s \geq 1$, there are $\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k}$ choices of $M(A_1)M(B_2)$ and for each choice of $M(A_1)M(B_2)$, $M(B_1)$ and $M(A_2)$ are uniquely determined. Thus $|F_{\rho}^{(1)}[i, i](1, \dots, m)| = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \binom{m-1}{k}$, which is an odd number (independent of the choice of $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$).

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

The ring $R(n)$ ($n \geq 2$)

Let $n \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer and let $R(n)$ denote the ring (with 1) of characteristic 2 generated by y_1, \dots, y_n and satisfying *only* the following three conditions and their consequences:

- (I) $y_{i(1)} \cdots y_{i(n+2)} = 0$;
- (II) $y_{i(1)} \cdots y_{i(l)} = 0$ if $l \leq n$ and $i(j) = i(k)$ for some $j \neq k$;
- (III) $y_i y_{1\sigma} \cdots \hat{y}_i \cdots y_n y_i = y_i y_{1\sigma} \cdots \hat{y}_{i\sigma} \cdots y_n y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ and all permutations σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i\sigma = i$.

Let J denote the ideal of $R(n)$ generated by all elements of the form

$$\rho(l) = ((1+y_{k(1)}) \cdots (1+y_{k(l)}-1)^3 \quad (l \geq 2) .$$

For each $l = 2, 3, \dots$, there are only finitely many, say $r(l)$, such elements. Thus we may write

$$J = \text{ideal}_{R(n)}\{\rho(l, q(l)), q(l) \in \{1, \dots, r(l)\}, l = 2, 3, \dots\} .$$

We refer the reader to (2) where in the expansion of

$$\rho = ((1+x_1) \cdots (1+x_l-1)^3 \quad (l \geq 2) ,$$

the terms $F_{\rho}(k(1), \dots, k(m))$ have been defined for each sequence $1 \leq k(1) < \dots < k(m) \leq l$. Correspondingly, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(L, q(L)) &= ((1+y_{j(1)}) \dots (1+y_{j(L)})^{-1})^3 \\ &= \sum F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) , \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all sequences $1 \leq k(1) < \dots < k(m) \leq L$.

We clearly have

$$J \subseteq \text{ideal}_{R(n)}\{F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m)))\} = K .$$

We note that

if $m \leq n-1$, then by (II),

$$F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) = F_{\rho(L, q(L))}^{(0)}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m)))$$

with $|\{j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))\}| = m$;

if $m \geq n+2$, then by (I),

$$F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) = 0 ;$$

if $m = n + 1$, then by (I),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) &= \begin{cases} 0 , \text{ or} \\ F_{\rho(L, q(L))}^{(0)} [j(k(i)), j(k(p))] (j(k(1)), \\ \dots, j(k(m))) \text{ (with } j(k(i)) = j(k(p)) \\ \text{for some } i \neq p \\ = 0 \text{ by (III) and the pairing used to} \\ \text{prove Lemma 1 (iv);} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and if $m = n$, then

$$F_{\rho(L, q(L))}(j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) = \begin{cases} 0 , \text{ or} \\ F_{\rho(L, q(L))}^{(0)} (j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) \\ + F_{\rho(L, q(L))}^{(1)} (j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) \\ \text{(with } |\{j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))\}| = m) . \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$J \subseteq K = \text{ideal}_{R(n)} \left\{ F_{\rho}^{(0)}(L, q(L)) (j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))) \right\} \text{ with}$$

$$|\{j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(m))\}| = m \leq n \text{ and } F_{\rho}^{(1)}(L, q(L)) (j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(n)))$$

$$\text{with } \{j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(n))\} = \{1, \dots, n\} .$$

In particular if $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (y_i y_1 \dots \hat{y}_i \dots y_n y_i) \in K$, $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, then by Lemma 1 (i), (ii), (iii) and (III) it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (y_i y_1 \dots \hat{y}_i \dots y_n y_i) \in \text{ideal}_{R(n)} \left\{ F_{\rho}^{(1)}(L, q(L)) (j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(n))), \right.$$

$$\left. \text{with } \{j(k(1)), \dots, j(k(n))\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}$$

$$= \text{ideal}_{R(n)} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n y_i y_1 \dots \hat{y}_i \dots y_n y_i \right\} \text{ by}$$

Lemma 1 (v);

hence $\epsilon_i = 0$ for all i or $\epsilon_i = 1$ for all i . We summarize these observations in the following:

LEMMA 2. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i (y_i y_1 \dots \hat{y}_i \dots y_n y_i)$, $\epsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$, lies in the ideal J , then either $\epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_n = 0$ or $\epsilon_1 = \dots = \epsilon_n = 1$. In particular $y_1 y_2 \dots y_n y_1 \notin J$.

The main result

Let $M(n+1)$ denote the multiplicative group of 2×2 matrices over $R(n)/J$ generated by X, Y_1, \dots, Y_n ($n \geq 2$), where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1+y_i & 0 \\ y_i & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$.

THEOREM. $M(n+1)$ is a homomorphic image of $G(n+1)$ and the nilpotency class of $M(n+1)$ is at least $n + 2$.

Proof. It is easily verified that X and Y_i 's are of order 2.

An arbitrary element of $M(n+1)$ is of the form $W = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & 0 \\ \mu & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where τ is a unit. Since $(1+\tau)^3 \in J$ it follows that $1 + \tau + \tau^2 + \tau^3 \in J$ and $\tau^4 = 1$. Thus

$$W^4 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^4 & 0 \\ \mu(1+\tau+\tau^2+\tau^3) & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that $M(n+1)$ is a homomorphic image of $G(n+1)$. Next we note that

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1+y_i & 0 \\ y_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 1+y_i & 0 \\ \rho(1+y_i)+y_i & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \rho^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\rho^* = (\rho(1+y_i)+y_i)(1+y_i) + \rho(1+y_i) + y_i = (\rho(1+y_i)+y_i)y_i = \rho(1+y_i)y_i = \rho y_i.$$

Thus by obvious induction

$$[X, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, Y_1] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ y_1 y_2 \dots y_n y_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is not the identity matrix by Lemma 2. Thus $M(n+1)$ is nilpotent of class at least $n + 2$ as was to be shown.

Reference

- [1] C.R.B. Wright, "On groups of exponent four with generators of order two", *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 1097-1105.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Manitoba,
Winnipeg, Canada;

Department of Mathematics,
University of California, Santa Barbara,
Santa Barbara,
California, USA;

Department of Mathematics,
University of Wisconsin-Parkside,
Kenosha,
Wisconsin, USA.