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Abstract

Preclinical studies have suggested an anti-colorectal cancer effect of n-3 fatty acids, yet epidemiological studies have reported mixed results. The goal of the present meta-analysis was to examine the association between the dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer risk by conducting a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. We searched the PubMed database up to February 2012 to identify eligible studies. Either a fixed- or random-effects model was used to obtain a pooled relative risk (RR) comparing the highest intake of n-3 fatty acids with the lowest. We conducted subgroup analyses according to sex, geographic region, length of follow-up, cancer site and type of n-3 fatty acids. We included seven prospective studies in the meta-analysis, comprising 489 465 participants and 4656 incident cases. The pooled RR of colorectal cancer in relation to n-3 fatty acids was 0·98 (95 % CI 0·88, 1·09). The results from subgroup analysis indicated a significant reduced risk of colorectal cancer in relation to n-3 fatty acids among men (RR 0·87, 95 % CI 0·75, 1·00; n 4). No significant association was observed in other subgroups. There was no evidence of publication bias as suggested by Begg’s test (P = 0·76) and Egger’s test (P = 0·66). The present meta-analysis showed insufficient evidence of a protective effect of n-3 fatty acids on colorectal cancer risk. However, a reduced risk observed in men warrants further investigation.
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Colorectal cancer is one of the most common cancers and a leading cause of cancer-related mortality, posing a huge burden on public health(11). Diet has been long believed to play an important role in the prevention of colorectal cancer(2). Long-chain n-3 PUFA, including α-linolenic acid, EPA and DHA, are essential fatty acids for human health and are commonly found in marine and plant oils. Considerable evidence from preclinical studies suggests that n-3 fatty acids have anticarcinogenic activity, probably through the modulation of cyclo-oxygenase-2 activity, alteration of membrane dynamics and cell surface receptor function, increased cellular oxidative stress, and anti-inflammatory activity(3). By contrast, epidemiological studies linking n-3 fatty acid intake and colorectal cancer risk have yielded inconsistent results(4–10).

A 2007 meta-analysis reported a reduced, but non-significant, risk of colorectal cancer in relation to the dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids (pooled relative risk (RR) 0·91, 95 % CI 0·70, 1·19)(11). However, evidence was limited at that time because only three cohort studies were available in that meta-analysis, comprising about 190 000 participants and 1400 incident cases. Several large cohort studies(6–10) have been published since then. An updated systematic review is therefore needed for a better understanding of the literature and development of prevention strategies.

Thus, the objective of the present meta-analysis was to examine the association between the dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer risk by conducting a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies.

Abbreviation: RR, relative risk.
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Materials and methods

Literature search

We conducted a systematic literature search of the PubMed database up to February 2012 to identify published studies of dietary n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer. The following search terms were used, including: ‘n-3 fatty acids’, ‘omega-3 fatty acids’, ‘polyunsaturated fat acids’, ‘colorectal cancer’, ‘colon cancer’, ‘rectal cancer’ and ‘large bowel cancer’. No language restriction was imposed. In addition, we manually searched the reference lists of obtained articles for additional studies.

Study selection

Studies were considered eligible for meta-analysis if they met the following criteria: studies had a prospective cohort design; the exposure of interest was dietary n-3 fatty acids; the outcome of interest was incidence of colorectal, colon or rectal cancer; risk estimates and associated 95% CI (or data to calculate them) were provided. If multiple articles were published from the same cohort, we included the most recent one with the longest follow-up time.

Data extraction

For data extraction, two authors independently extracted the following information: the first author’s last name, publication year; characteristics of study population (age and sex), geographic region, follow-up length; number of cases, size of cohort; assessment of dietary intake and outcome; risk estimates with the greatest adjustment and corresponding 95% CI comparing the highest intake category with the lowest (quartiles or quintiles); covariates selected in multivariable models. Disagreements were solved by discussion with a third author. We did not calculate a score for study quality as there was no validated method to do so\(^\text{(12)}\).

Statistical analysis

We used RR as the common measure of the association between dietary n-3 fatty acid intake and colorectal risk across studies. For one study\(^\text{(7)}\) that reported results by stage of cancer (localised and advanced), we combined the two RR estimates and then included the pooled RR estimate in the meta-analysis. Similarly, in the absence of RR estimates for total n-3 fatty acids in two studies, we combined RR estimates of α-linolenic acid, EPA and DHA\(^\text{(4)}\) or used the RR estimate of marine n-3 fatty acids\(^\text{(6)}\).

We calculated the \(Q\) (significance level of \(P<0.10\)) and \(I^2\) statistics to examine statistical heterogeneity across studies. \(I^2\) is the proportion of total variation explained by between-study variation\(^\text{(15,16)}\). Either a fixed- or, in the presence of heterogeneity, random-effects model was used to combine the risk estimates from single studies. To examine whether the results differed by characteristics of study or population, we performed subgroup analysis according to sex (men \(v\). women), geographic region (USA \(v\). Asia), length of follow-up (\(\geq 10\) y. \(< 10\) years), cancer site (colon or rectal) and type of n-3 fatty acid (α-linolenic acid, EPA and DHA). In addition, we examined the relationship between marine n-3 fatty acid intake and colorectal cancer. Sensitivity analysis that omitted one study at one time and pooled the remaining studies was also performed. We planned to quantify the dose–response relationship between n-3 fatty acid intake and colorectal cancer. However, because individual studies differed in the measurement units of n-3 fatty acid intake (e.g. g/d, g/4184 kJ (1000 kcal) and percentage of total energy), it was difficult, perhaps impossible, to convert these different units to a uniform one. Thus, dose–response analysis was not conducted.

Potential publication bias was assessed by the funnel plot in which the log RR were plotted against their standard errors. Begg’s test and Egger’s test\(^\text{(15,16)}\), formal statistical tests for this bias, were also used. All analyses were carried out using STATA version 11.0 (StataCorp).

Results

Literature search

We identified 417 potentially eligible studies through the PubMed database. Of these citations, most were excluded because they were not prospective studies or because the exposure or endpoint was not relevant for the present analysis, leaving eleven studies for full-text review. Further, four studies were excluded because of a case–control design\(^\text{(17–19)}\) or overlapping publication from the same study population\(^\text{(20)}\). Manual searching of the reference lists of these articles did not identify additional articles. Finally, seven studies\(^\text{(4–10)}\) were selected for the present meta-analysis. A flow chart of the study selection process is presented in Fig. 1.
Study characteristics

The characteristics of the seven included studies are presented in Table 1. These studies were published between 2001 and 2011. Of them, three studies were conducted in the USA, three in Asia and one in Europe. Moreover, of them, four studies included both men and women: one consisted of men only and three consisted of women only. The length of the follow-up period ranged from 6 to 22 years. The number of cases diagnosed in the primary studies ranged from 202 to 1268, with a total number of 4656; and the cohort size ranged from 21406 to 133077, with a total number of 489465. All original studies measured dietary intakes using a FFQ and calculated the dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids by multiplying the frequency of each food consumed by the n-3 fatty acids content of the specified portion. Case ascertainment were from various sources, including medical records, cancer registries, death certificates and self-report. The included studies adjusted for a wide range of potential confounders for colorectal cancer, including age (n 7), BMI (n 7), smoking (n 5), physical activity (n 6), and intakes of total energy (n 6), alcohol (n 6) and red meat (n 5).

Main analysis

The multivariable-adjusted RR of colorectal cancer for each study and all studies combined for the highest v the lowest category of n-3 fatty acid intake are shown in Fig. 2. The RR (eleven estimates) from the seven prospective cohort studies were inconsistent, with both inverse and positive associations reported. Hall et al. reported a significant reduced risk, whereas Daniel et al. found a significant increased risk in women. No significant associations were observed in the remaining studies. The pooled RR for all cohort studies combined was 0·98 (95 % CI 0·88, 1·09). Moderate heterogeneity was observed across the studies (P for heterogeneity=0·08, I² = 32·6 %).

Subgroup analysis

The results of subgroup analyses according to sex, geographic region, length of follow-up, cancer site and type of n-3 fatty acid are presented in Table 2. Of note, dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids was associated with a significant reduced risk of colorectal cancer in men (RR 0·87, 95 % CI 0·75, 1·00; n 4). There was no significant association between n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer risk across strata, yet the association between n-3 fatty acids and colon cancer (RR 0·85, 95 % CI 0·72, 1·01; n 3) and the association between EPA and colorectal cancer (RR 0·84, 95 % CI 0·69, 1·01; n 3) were borderline significant. Marine n-3 fatty acid intake was not related to colorectal cancer risk (RR 0·98, 95 % CI 0·84, 1·15; n 4; data shown in the text only). In addition, there was little evidence of heterogeneity across these strata except for that in US studies.

Sensitivity analysis

When we restricted the analysis to studies that adjusted for dietary red meat consumption and studies that adjusted for cigarette smoking, the pooled RR of colorectal cancer were 0·95 (95 % CI 0·81, 1·12) and 0·94 (95 % CI 0·80, 1·11), respectively. Further analyses omitting one study at each turn yielded a range of RR from 0·93 (95 % CI 0·84, 1·03) to 1·01 (95 % CI 0·89, 1·14).

Discussion

The effect of n-3 fatty acids on the primary prevention of colorectal cancer has gained considerable interest in recent years. The present meta-analysis addresses this point by summarising the most updated evidence from seven prospective cohort studies with 489465 participants and 4656 incident cases. The present findings suggest that high dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids was not related to colorectal cancer risk (RR 0·98, 95 % CI 0·88, 1·09). However, results from subgroup analysis indicate a significant reduced risk of colorectal cancer among men (RR 0·87, 95 % CI 0·75, 1·00; n 4).

We observed a sex-specific difference in the association between n-3 fatty acid intake and colorectal cancer. High dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids was inversely associated with colorectal cancer risk in men but not in women. This finding is in line with that from previous cohort studies. The Physicians’ Health Study reported a significant inverse relationship in men, whereas the Cancer Prevention Study-II Nutrition Cohort study showed a significant positive relationship in women. Of note, in women of the Cancer Prevention Study-II Nutrition Cohort study, the observed positive relationship with total n-3 fatty acids appeared to be driven by α-linolenic acid (RR 1·38, 95 % CI 1·02, 1·85), while marine n-3 fatty acid intake was not associated with colorectal cancer risk (RR 0·94, 95 % CI 0·72, 1·24). Furthermore, one nested case–control study found that serum n-3 fatty acids were significantly inversely associated with colorectal cancer in men (OR 0·24, 95 % CI 0·08, 0·76) but not in women (OR 0·85, 95 % CI 0·38, 1·91). Interpreting this sex-specific difference is challenging given the limited data. One possible explanation is that female sex hormones may play a role in the aetiology of colorectal cancer by influencing fatty acid metabolism. Alternatively, this sex-specific difference is simply due to chance.

In the present subgroup analysis according to type of n-3 fatty acids, EPA was markedly associated with a reduced risk of colorectal cancer (RR 0·84, 95 % CI 0·69, 1·01). However, this association was borderline significant (P=0·07), which was probably due to the limited number of studies and hence insufficient statistical power. Although preclinical studies have shown clear evidence that combination EPA and DHA treatment has anti-colorectal cancer activity, few studies have addressed the difference in the efficacy between EPA and DHA. One experimental study has found that EPA had greater antitumorigenic efficacy than DHA in mice.
Table 1. Characteristics of prospective cohort studies included in the present meta-analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Cohort size</th>
<th>Duration (years)</th>
<th>Exposure assessment</th>
<th>Highest v. lowest intake of n-3 FA</th>
<th>Highest v. lowest intake of n-6 FA</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terry, 2001, Sweden(4)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40–75</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>61 463</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>ALA: 0·70 v. 0·45 g/d; EPA: 0·09 v. 0·03 g/d; DHA: 0·18 v. 0·08 g/d</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Age, BMI, education, and intakes of red meat and alcohol, total energy, dietary fibre, Ca, vitamin C, folic acid and vitamin D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, 2004, USA(5)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>≥ 45</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>39 876</td>
<td>8–7</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>0·21 v. 0·09 % total energy</td>
<td>7·6 v. 3·8 % total energy</td>
<td>Age, BMI, family history, history of colorectal polyps, physical activity, smoking, alcohol consumption, HRT and total energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall, 2008, USA(6)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43–63</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>21 406</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Age, BMI, smoking, multivitamin use, history of diabetes, aspirin use, physical activity, alcohol use and red meat intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler, 2009, Singapore(7)</td>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>45–74</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>61 321</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>0·66 v. 0·35 g/1000 kcal</td>
<td>6·6 v. 2·8 g/1000 kcal</td>
<td>Age, BMI, sex, interview year, diabetes, smoking, education, physical activity, family history, alcohol use and total daily energy intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel, 2009, USA(8)</td>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>68–70</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>99 080</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>≥ 1·47 v. &lt; 0·99 g/d</td>
<td>≥ 12·1 v. &lt; 8·4 g/d</td>
<td>Age, BMI, energy, HRT (in women only), physical activity, medication use, cancer screening, and intakes of red, processed meat, low-fat dairy products, fruit and vegetables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murff, 2009, China(9)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40–70</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>73 242</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>1·61 v. 0·64 g/d</td>
<td>9·56 v. 4·28 g/d</td>
<td>Age, BMI, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, menopausal status, HRT, multivitamin use and aspirin use, and intakes of n-6 fatty acids, red meat and total energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasazuki, 2011, Japan(10)</td>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>40–69</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>133 077</td>
<td>9–3</td>
<td>FFQ</td>
<td>4·48 v. 2·13 g/d</td>
<td>11·97 v. 5·85 g/d</td>
<td>Age, area, BMI, smoking, alcohol use, physical activity, screening for cancer, and intakes of Ca, vitamin D, fibre, red meat and total energy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FA, fatty acids; F, female; ALA, α-linolenic acid; NA, not available; HRT, hormone replacement therapy; M, male.
Yet, there is little evidence comparing EPA and DHA in human subjects with colorectal cancer or those at high risk. Notably, a randomised trial in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis reported that EPA had a chemopreventive effect similar to cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, which showed promise as a colorectal cancer chemoprevention agent. While analysing to cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, which showed promise as a colorectal cancer chemoprevention agent, results reported that EPA had a chemopreventive effect similar to cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, which showed promise as a colorectal cancer chemoprevention agent.

![Fig. 2. Meta-analysis of dietary intake of n-3 fatty acids in relation to colorectal cancer risk. RR, relative risk; F, female; C, colon; R, rectal; M, male.](Image)

Table 2. Subgroup analysis of n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer by study design and population characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P for heterogeneity</th>
<th>I² (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terry</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.81, 1.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.73, 1.69</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hall</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.59, 0.98</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulter</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.85, 1.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.02, 1.86</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66, 1.13</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murff</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.77, 2.58</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasazuki</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.48, 1.19</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasazuki</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.41, 1.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasazuki</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.70, 2.52</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sasazuki</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.51, 2.30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.86, 1.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**ALA, α-linolenic acid.**

**Table 2.** Subgroup analysis of n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer by study design and population characteristics

*(Relative risks (RR) and 95% confidence intervals)*

*Authors: X.-J. Shen et al.*
intake of n-3 fatty acids and several tissue biomarkers of n-3 fatty acids were generally low to moderate (plasma r 0.23, whole blood r 0.23 and adipose tissue r 0.39) (25). Therefore, some studies assessed blood fatty acid composition as a reliable index of dietary fatty acid intake. As previously mentioned, one nested case–control study (21) found a significant inverse relationship between serum n-3 fatty acids and colorectal cancer in men but not in women. Another nested case–control study among US physicians reported an inverse, although non-significant, association of blood levels of n-3 fatty acids with colorectal cancer risk (RR 0.60, 95% CI 0.32, 1.11) (17). In general, these data are consistent with the present findings.

Observational studies cannot establish causal association. However, no published randomised trials, which provide the strongest evidence for causal inference (26), have directly evaluated the preventive effect of n-3 fatty acids on colorectal cancer incidence or examined the treatment effect among patients with colorectal cancer. Of note, a phase II trial is currently evaluating the safety and efficacy of EPA in patients with colorectal cancer liver metastases (registered at ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT010170355). We are waiting for more data from this ongoing trial along with other studies in this area.

The present study has strengths. All individual studies included in the present meta-analysis had a prospective cohort design. Such design greatly reduces the likelihood of recall bias and selection bias, which are always of concern in retrospective studies (e.g. case–control studies). Also, all studies but one (6) were population-based, thus further reducing the likelihood of selection bias.

However, some limitations should also be considered while interpreting the present findings. First, as with any observational studies, confounding factors may account for the observed results. For example, people with a high intake of n-3 fatty acids may have a lower intake of red meat and are more likely to be non-smokers. High red meat intake (27) and cigarette smoking (28) have been shown to be associated with a significant high risk of developing colorectal cancer. Not all studies included in the present meta-analysis adjusted for red meat intake and cigarette smoking. However, the present sensitivity analysis limited to studies that adjusted for these variables showed similar results. Despite this, residual confounding due to inadequately measured factors remains possible and should be taken into account in further investigations. Second, the accuracy in the measurement of dietary intakes could be another concern. Misclassification of dietary assessment is inevitable because data were based on self-reported FFQ. As mentioned previously, the validity of FFQ for assessing n-3 fatty acid intake was far from satisfactory. Moreover, all cohort studies measured dietary intakes at baseline only, and the lack of repeated dietary measurement during the follow-up period could also produce misclassification. These misclassifications were probably random and may have biased the association towards null. Third, we did not conduct dose–response analysis as the required data in some primary studies were not available. Such analysis would provide more informative results. Finally, publication bias could have effects on results from any meta-analysis. Although Begg’s and Egger’s tests did not suggest evidence of this bias, the analysis was underpowered because of the small number of included studies.

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis showed insufficient evidence for a protective effect of n-3 fatty acids on colorectal cancer risk. However, a reduced risk observed in men warrants further investigation. In addition, more studies are needed to answer the questions of whether the effects of n-3 fatty acids differ by colorectal cancer site and whether EPA and DHA have different efficacy on colorectal cancer.
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