Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.): a review
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Abstract
Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is an important pulse crop grown and consumed all over the world, especially in the Afro-Asian countries. It is a good source of carbohydrates and protein, and protein quality is considered to be better than other pulses. Chickpea has significant amounts of all the essential amino acids except sulphur-containing amino acids, which can be complemented by adding cereals to the daily diet. Starch is the major storage carbohydrate followed by dietary fibre, oligosaccharides and simple sugars such as glucose and sucrose. Although lipids are present in low amounts, chickpea is rich in nutritionally important unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and oleic acids. β-Sitosterol, campesterol and stigmasterol are important sterols present in chickpea oil. Ca, Mg, P and, especially, K are also present in chickpea seeds. Chickpea is a good source of important vitamins such as riboflavin, niacin, thiamin, folate and the vitamin A precursor β-carotene. As with other pulses, chickpea seeds also contain anti-nutritional factors which can be reduced or eliminated by different cooking techniques. Chickpea has several potential health benefits, and, in combination with other pulses and cereals, it could have beneficial effects on some of the important human diseases such as CVD, type 2 diabetes, digestive diseases and some cancers. Overall, chickpea is an important pulse crop with a diverse array of potential nutritional and health benefits.
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Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.), also called garbanzo bean or Bengal gram, is an Old-World pulse and one of the seven Neolithic founder crops in the Fertile Crescent of the Near East(1). Currently, chickpea is grown in over fifty countries across the Indian subcontinent, North Africa, the Middle East, southern Europe, the Americas and Australia. Globally, chickpea is the third most important pulse crop in production, next to dry beans and field peas(2). During 2006–9, the global chickpea production area was about 11·3 million ha, with a production of 9·6 million metric tonnes and an average yield of 849 kg/ha(2). India is the largest chickpea-producing country with an average production of 6·38 million metric tonnes during 2006–9, accounting for 66 % of global chickpea production(3). The other major chickpea-producing countries include Pakistan, Turkey, Australia, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Iran, Mexico, Canada and the USA.

There are two distinct types of cultivated chickpea: Desi and Kabuli. The Desi (microsperma) types have pink flowers, anthocyanin pigmentation on stems, and a coloured and thick seed coat. The Kabuli (macrosperma) types have white flowers, lack anthocyanin pigmentation on stems, and have white or beige-coloured seeds with a ram’s head shape, a thin seed coat and a smooth seed surface(4). In addition, an intermediate type with pea-shaped seeds of local importance is recognised in India. The seed weight generally ranges from 0·1 to 0·3 g and 0·2 to 0·6 g in the Desi and Kabuli types, respectively(4). The Desi types account for about 80–85 % of the total chickpea area and are mostly grown in Asia and Africa(5). The Kabuli types are largely grown in West Asia, North Africa, North America and Europe.

There is a growing demand for chickpea due to its nutritional value. In the semi-arid tropics, chickpea is an important component of the diets of those individuals who cannot afford animal proteins or those who are vegetarian by choice. Chickpea is a good source of carbohydrates and protein, together constituting about 80 % of the total dry seed mass(6,7) in
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comparison with other pulses. Chickpea is cholesterol free and is a good source of dietary fibre (DF), vitamins and minerals\(^{8,9}\).

Globally, chickpea is mostly consumed as a seed food in several different forms and preparations are determined by ethnic and regional factors\(^{10,11}\). In the Indian subcontinent, chickpea is split (cotyledons) as ‘dhal’ and ground to make flour (‘besan’) that is used to prepare different snacks\(^{12,13}\). In other parts of the world, especially in Asia and Africa, chickpea is used in stews and soups/salads, and consumed in roasted, boiled, salted and fermented forms\(^{14}\). These different forms of consumption provide consumers with valuable nutritional and potential health benefits.

Chickpea is used in stews and soups/salads, and consumed in roasted, boiled, salted and fermented forms. In other parts of the world, especially in Asia and Africa, chickpea is used in stews and soups/salads, and consumed in roasted, boiled, salted and fermented forms. These different forms of consumption provide consumers with valuable nutritional and potential health benefits.

Although chickpea is a member of the ‘founder crop package’\(^{15}\) with potential nutritional/medicinal qualities, it has not received due attention for research like other founder crops (e.g. wheat or barley). Chickpea has been consumed by humans since ancient times owing to its good nutritional properties. Furthermore, chickpea is of interest as a functional food with potential beneficial effects on human health. Although other publications have described the physico-chemical and nutritional characteristics of chickpea, there is limited information on the relationship between its nutritional components and health benefits. This review attempts to bridge this void and investigates the literature regarding the nutritional value of chickpeas and their potential health benefits.

### Chickpea grain composition

#### Classification of carbohydrates

Dietary carbohydrates are classified into two groups: (1) available (mono- and disaccharides), which are enzymatically digested in the small intestine, and (2) unavailable (oligosaccharides), resistant starch, non-cellulosic polysaccharides, pectins, hemicelluloses and cellulose), which are not digested in the small intestine\(^{16}\). The total carbohydrate content in chickpea is higher than that in pulses (Table 2). Chickpea contains monosaccharides (ribose, glucose, galactose and fructose), disaccharides (sucrose and maltose) and oligosaccharides (stachyose, ciceritol, raffinose and verbascose) (Table 1). The amount of these fractions varies, though not significantly, between the Desi and Kabuli genotypes (Table 1).

#### Mono-, di- and oligosaccharides

Sánchez-Mata et al.\(^{(17)}\) reported the following monosaccharide concentrations in chickpea: galactose, 0.05 g/100 g; ribose, 0.11 g/100 g; fructose, 0.25 g/100 g; glucose, 0.7 g/100 g. Maltose (0.6 %) and sucrose (1–2 %) have been reported to be the most abundant free disaccharides in chickpea\(^{(9)}\). Pulse seeds contain some of the highest concentrations of oligosaccharides among all the crops. Oligosaccharides are not absorbed or hydrolysed by the human digestive system but fermented by colonic bacteria to release gases or flatulence\(^{(18)}\). α-Galactosides are the second most abundant carbohydrates in the plant kingdom after sucrose\(^{(19,20)}\), and in chickpea, they account for about 62 % of total sugar (mono-, di- and oligosaccharides) content\(^{(17)}\). The two important groups of α-galactosides present in chickpea are as follows: (1) raffinose family of oligosaccharides, including raffinose (trisaccharide), stachyose (tetrasaccharide) and verbascose (pentasaccharide)\(^{(20)}\), and (2) galactosyl cyclitols, including ciceritol (Table 1)\(^{(21)}\). Ciceritol was isolated for the first time from chickpea seeds by Quemener & Brillouet\(^{(22)}\) and later confirmed by Bernabé et al.\(^{(21)}\). Ciceritol and stachyose, two important galactosides in chickpea, constitute 36–43 % and 25 %, respectively, of total sugars (mono-, di- and oligosaccharides) in chickpea seeds\(^{(17,23)}\).

α-Galactosides are neither absorbed nor hydrolysed in the upper gastrointestinal tract of humans, accumulating in the large intestine of the human digestive system. Humans lack α-galactosidase, the enzyme responsible for degrading these

### Table 1. Different carbohydrate fractions in chickpea seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbohydrates</th>
<th>Áman(^{(27)\text{§}})†</th>
<th>Wang &amp; Daun(^{(26)\text{±}})</th>
<th>Han &amp; Baik(^{(20)\text{†}})</th>
<th>Aguilera et al.(^{(23)\text{§}})|</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>41·1 (38·2–43·9)</td>
<td>36·4 (33·1–40·4)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>4·3</td>
<td>3·8 (3·10–4·41)</td>
<td>2·0 (1·56–2·86)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raffinose</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>0·6 (0·48–0·73)</td>
<td>0·5 (0·46–0·77)</td>
<td>50·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stachyose</td>
<td>2·8</td>
<td>2·2 (1·76–2·72)</td>
<td>1·6 (1·25–1·98)</td>
<td>27·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbascose</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>17·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciceritol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27·6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fructose</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactose</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactinol</td>
<td>0·5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0·1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltose</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manninotriose</td>
<td>3·4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinitol</td>
<td>0·2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K, Kabuli; D, Desi.

"* Expressed as g/100 g dry weight. Numbers in parentheses indicate range.
† Expressed as mg/g.
‡ Expressed as a percentage of the dry weight of raw seed.
§ The type of chickpea is not specified.
‖ Expressed as g/kg."
oligosaccharides\(^{(20)}\). Therefore, \(\alpha\)-galactosides undergo microbial fermentation by colonic bacteria resulting in the production of hydrogen, methane and \(\mathrm{CO}_2\), major components of flatulent gases\(^{(24)}\). The expulsion of these gases is responsible for abdominal discomfort. Gas production is higher following chickpea consumption compared with other pulses, and this could be due to a higher content of oligosaccharides in chickpea\(^{(25,26)}\). Germination decreases the raffinose, stachyose and verbascose content\(^{(27)}\). Chickpea has lower values for the absolute content of flatulent \(\alpha\)-galactosides (1.56 g/100 g) compared with other pulses such as white beans (2.46 g/100 g), lentils (2.44 g/100 g) and pinto beans (2.30 g/100 g)\(^{(17)}\).

**Polysaccharides**

Polysaccharides are high-molecular-weight polymers of monosaccharides present as storage carbohydrates (e.g. starch) or structural carbohydrates (e.g. cellulose) providing structural support\(^{(29)}\). Among the storage polysaccharides, chickpea has been reported to synthesise and store starch and not galactomannans\(^{(30)}\). Starch is the major storage carbon reserve in pulse seeds\(^{(6)}\). It is made up of two large glucan polymers, amylole and amylpectin, in which the glucose residues are linked by \(\alpha-(1 \rightarrow 4)\) bonds to form a linear molecule and the linear molecule is branched by \(\alpha-(1 \rightarrow 6)\) linkages\(^{(6)}\). The side chains of amylpectin are packed into different polymorphic forms in the lamellae of starch grains: ‘A’ type in cereals and ‘C’ type in pulses. The ‘C’ polymorph is considered to be of the intermediate type between the ‘A’ polymorph in cereals and the ‘B’ polymorph in tubers in packing density and structure\(^{(6)}\). The content of starch varies from 41 to 50% of the total carbohydrates\(^{(28–30)}\), with the Kabuli types having more soluble sugars (sucrose, glucose and fructose) compared with the Desi types\(^{(28)}\). The total starch content of chickpea seeds has been reported to be about 525 g/kg DM, about 35% of total starch has been considered to be resistant starch and the remaining 65% as available starch\(^{(25,31)}\). Cereals such as wheat have a higher amount of starch compared with chickpea\(^{(50)}\), but chickpea seeds have a higher amylose content (30–40\% \(v.\) 25\% in wheat)\(^{(33,34)}\). In vitro starch digestibility values (ISDV) of chickpea vary from 37 to 60\%\(^{(35,36)}\) and are higher than other pulses such as black grams, lentils and kidney beans\(^{(37)}\). However, the in vitro starch digestibility values of pulses, in general, are lower than cereals due to a higher amylose content\(^{(38)}\).

**Dietary fibre**

DF is the indigestible part of plant food in the human small intestine. It is composed of poly/oligosaccharides, lignin and other plant-based substances\(^{(39)}\). DF can be classified into soluble and insoluble fibres. The soluble fibre is digested slowly in the colon, whereas the insoluble fibre is metabolically inert and aids in bowel movement\(^{(40)}\). The insoluble fibre undergoes fermentation aiding in the growth of colonic bacteria\(^{(40)}\). The total DF content (DFC) in chickpea is 18–22 g/100 g of raw chickpea seed\(^{(25,40)}\), and it has a higher amount of DF among pulses (Table 2). Soluble and insoluble DFC are about 4–8 and 10–18 g/100 g of raw chickpea seed, respectively\(^{(29,41)}\). The fibre content of chickpea hulls on a dry weight basis is lower (75%) compared with lentils (87%) and peas (89%)\(^{(29)}\). The lower DFC in chickpea hulls can be attributed to the difficulty in separating the hull from the cotyledon during milling.

The DFC of chickpea seeds is equal to or higher than that of other pulses such as lentils (\(\text{Lens culinaris}\)) and dry peas (\(\text{Pisum sativum}\))\(^{(40)}\). The Desi types have a higher total DFC and insoluble DFC compared with the Kabuli types. This could be due to thicker hulls and seed coat in the Desi types (11.5% of total seed weight) compared with the Kabuli types (only 4.3–4.4% of total seed weight)\(^{(41)}\). Further, Wood et al\(^{(42)}\) have reported that the thinner seed coat in the Kabuli types is due to thinner palisade and parenchyma layers with fewer polysaccharides. Usually, no significant differences are found in soluble DFC between the Kabuli and Desi types due to the similar proportion of hemicelluloses that constitute a large part (about 55%) of the total seed DF in the Kabuli and Desi types\(^{(43)}\). The hemicellulosic sugar arabinose/rhamnose is present in appreciable amounts in hull and insoluble fibre fractions of chickpea\(^{(29)}\). Glucose is present in large amounts in hull and soluble fibre fractions of chickpea. Xylose is the major constituent of soluble fibre fractions in chickpea\(^{(29)}\).

**Protein content**

Protein–energy malnutrition is observed in infants and young children in developing countries, and includes a range of pathological conditions arising due to the lack of protein and energy in the diet\(^{(44)}\). Malnutrition affects about 170 million people, especially preschool children and nursing mothers of developing countries in Asia and Africa\(^{(45)}\). Pulses provide a major share of protein and energy in the Afro-Asian diet. Among the different pulses, chickpea has been reported to have a higher protein bioavailability\(^{(40,47)}\).

The protein content in chickpea significantly varies as a percentage of the total dry seed mass before (17–22%) and after (25.3–28.9%) dehulling\(^{(35,40)}\). The differences in the crude protein concentration of Kabuli and Desi types have been inconsistent, showing significant differences in one instance (241 g/kg in ‘Kabuli’ vs. 217 g/kg in ‘Desi’)\(^{(49)}\) and no differences in another instance (217 g/kg in ‘Kabuli’ vs. 215 g/kg in ‘Desi’)\(^{(41)}\). The seed protein content of eight annual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Carbohydrate</th>
<th>Fat</th>
<th>TDF</th>
<th>Total sugars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chickpea ((\text{Cicer arietinum L.}))</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon pea ((\text{Cajanus cajan L.}))</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean ((\text{Phaseolus vulgaris L.}))</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mung bean ((\text{Vigna radiata L.}))</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peas ((\text{Pisum sativum L.}))</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faba bean ((\text{Vicia faba L.}))</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TDF, total dietary fibre.
wild species of the genus *Cicer* ranged from 168 g/kg in *Cicer cuneatum* to 268 g/kg in *Cicer pinnatifidum*, with an average of 207 g/kg over the eight wild species. Chickpea protein quality is better than some pulse crops such as black gram (*Cajanus cajan*; 1·80 g/16 g N of cystine) and green gram (*Vigna radiata*; 2·20 g/16 g N of methionine), and green pea, which has about 1·10 g/16 g N of methionine and cystine, the exceptions being cowpea, which has about 2·20 g/16 g N of methionine and common beans have about 1·10 g/16 g N of methionine and cystine. Pulses are rich in sulphur-containing amino acids. Pulses are usually consumed along with cereals, especially in Asian countries, thereby allowing the daily dietary amino acid requirements to be met.

### Protein digestibility

The *in vitro* protein digestibility of raw chickpea seeds varies from 54 to 76% (36, 52, 53). Chitra et al. (54) found higher *in vitro* protein digestibility values for chickpea genotypes (65·3–79·4%) compared with those for pigeon pea (*C. cajan*; 60·4–74·4%), mung bean (*V. radiata*; 67·2–72·2%), urd bean (*V. mungo*; 55·7–63·3%) and soyabean (*Glycine max*; 62·7–71·6%). The digestibility of protein from the Kabuli types is higher than that from the Desi types. Wood et al. (9) reported a fat content of 3·40–8·83% and 2·90–7·42% in Kabuli- and Desi-type chickpea seeds, respectively. Further, even higher levels (3·80–10·20%) of fat content in chickpea have been reported (24). The fat content in chickpea (6·04 g/100 g) is higher than that in other pulses such as lentils (1·06 g/100 g), red kidney bean (1·06 g/100 g), mung bean (1·15 g/100 g) and pigeon pea (1·64 g/100 g), and also in cereals such as wheat (1·70 g/100 g) and rice (about 0·60 g/100 g) (37). Chickpea is composed of about 66% PUFA, about 19% MUFA and about 15% SFA (Table 4).

### Fat content and fatty acid profile

The total fat content in raw chickpea seeds varies from 2·70 to 6·48% (51, 58). Shad et al. (59) reported lower values (about 2·05 g/100 g) for crude fat content in Desi-type chickpea varieties. Wood & Grusak (9) reported a fat content of 3·40–8·83% and 2·90–7·42% in Kabuli- and Desi-type chickpea seeds, respectively. Further, even higher levels (3·80–10·20%) of fat content in chickpea have been reported (24). The fat content in chickpea (6·04 g/100 g) is higher than that in other pulses such as lentils (1·06 g/100 g), red kidney bean (1·06 g/100 g), mung bean (1·15 g/100 g) and pigeon pea (1·64 g/100 g), and also in cereals such as wheat (1·70 g/100 g) and rice (about 0·60 g/100 g) (37). Chickpea is composed of about 66% PUFA, about 19% MUFA and about 15% SFA (Table 4). On average, oleic acid (OA) was higher in the Kabuli types and linoleic acid (LA) was higher in the Desi types (Table 4). Chickpea is a relatively good source of nutritionally important PUFA, LA (51·2%) and monounsaturated OA (32·6%). Chickpea has higher amounts of LA and OA compared with other edible pulses such as lentils (44·4% LA; 20·9% OA), peas (45·6% LA; 23·2% OA) and beans (46·7% LA; 28·1% OA) (50). LA is the dominant fatty acid in chickpea followed by OA and palmitic acid (Table 4).

### Amino acid profile

The amino acid profiles of chickpea seeds are presented in Table 3. There are some minor variations in the quantity of a few amino acids such as lysine, tyrosine, glutamic acid, histidine and the two combined aromatic amino acids (Table 3). Generally, sulphur-rich amino acids (methionine and cystine) are limiting in pulses. Commonly consumed food pulses such as chickpea, field pea, green pea, lentils and common beans have about 1·10 g/16 g N of methionine and cystine. The exceptions being cowpea, which has about 2·20 g/16 g N of methionine and green pea, which has about 1·80 g/16 g N of cystine. There are no significant differences in the amino acid profiles of Kabuli- and Desi-type chickpeas. Amino acid deficiencies in chickpea (or other pulses) could be complemented by consuming cereals, which are rich in sulphur-containing amino acids. Pulses are usually consumed along with cereals, especially in Asian countries, thereby allowing the daily dietary amino acid requirements to be met.

### Table 3. Amino acid content in chickpea seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amino acids</th>
<th>Rao &amp; Subramanian (187)‡§</th>
<th>Wang &amp; Daun (56)*†</th>
<th>Alajaji &amp; El-Adawy (58)‡§</th>
<th>Wang et al. (57)*†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>45–79</td>
<td>5·80</td>
<td>4·9–6·70</td>
<td>5·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>7–31</td>
<td>1·50</td>
<td>1·1–2·10</td>
<td>1·50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cys</td>
<td>7–18</td>
<td>1·40</td>
<td>0·8–2·00</td>
<td>1·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>30–68</td>
<td>5·20</td>
<td>4·5–6·20</td>
<td>5·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>20–35</td>
<td>2·80</td>
<td>2·2–3·30</td>
<td>2·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>44–60</td>
<td>3·10</td>
<td>2·6–3·90</td>
<td>3·60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>49–80</td>
<td>6·40</td>
<td>5·6–7·20</td>
<td>7·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>28–48</td>
<td>4·20</td>
<td>3·3–5·10</td>
<td>4·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>38–63</td>
<td>3·70</td>
<td>2·9–4·60</td>
<td>4·00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>10–50</td>
<td>8·50</td>
<td>8·3–13·7</td>
<td>9·80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>1·7–2·40</td>
<td>2·20</td>
<td>1·7–2·70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>3·90</td>
<td>3·6–4·70</td>
<td>4·10</td>
<td>3·6–4·53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asp</td>
<td>12·10</td>
<td>11·2–12·9</td>
<td>12·80</td>
<td>11·1–15·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>15·2</td>
<td>13·1–17·5</td>
<td>16·00</td>
<td>13·4–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>3·80</td>
<td>3·2–4·50</td>
<td>3·90</td>
<td>3·3–4·20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>4·90</td>
<td>3·8–6·50</td>
<td>4·80</td>
<td>4·0–6·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>5·90</td>
<td>5·2–6·70</td>
<td>6·00</td>
<td>5·5–6·90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>2–12</td>
<td>1·0</td>
<td>0·7–1·60</td>
<td>0·90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K, Kabuli; D, Desi; N/D, not determined.

* Expressed as g/16 g N.
† The type of chickpea is not specified.
‡ Expressed as g/100 g.
§ Expressed as mg/g protein.
Oil characteristics

Chickpea cannot be considered as an oilseed crop since its oil content is relatively low (3.8–10%) in comparison with other important oilseed pulses such as soyabean or groundnut. However, chickpea oil has medicinal and nutritionally important tocopherols, sterols and tocotrienols.

The content of different sterols and tocopherols in chickpea is presented in Table 5. Sitosterol (72.52–76.10%; Table 5) is the dominant sterol in chickpea oil followed by campesterol.

The α-tocopherol content reported by the United States Department of Agriculture is lower than the other reported values in Table 5. However, the α-tocopherol content in chickpea is relatively higher (8.2 mg/100 g) than other pulses such as lentils (4.9 mg/100 g), green pea (1.3 mg/100 g), red kidney bean (2.1 mg/100 g) and mung bean (5.1 mg/100 g).

The α-tocopherol content, coupled with the concentration of δ-tocopherol, which is a potent antioxidant property, makes chickpea oil oxidatively stable and contributes to a better shelf life during storage.

TAG is the predominant neutral lipid in Desi chickpea oil and phospholipids are also found in oil.

The physico-chemical characteristics of chickpea oil are summarised in Table 6. The relative index values of chickpea (1.49) are higher than those of soyabean (1.46) and groundnut (1.47), the two important oil-bearing pulses. The iodine values of chickpea oil (111.87–113.69, Wijs method) were also higher than the iodine values of groundnut (80–106, Wijs method) and Phaseolus vulgaris (80.5–92.3, Wijs method).

The lower acid values observed for chickpea (Table 6) make its oil refining easier. The peroxide value for chickpea oils (3.97–6.37 mequiv/kg; Table 6) was within the maximum limit of the Codex recommendation (10 mequiv/kg) for edible oils.

Minerals

Chickpea, like other pulses, not only brings variety to the cereal-based daily diet of millions of people in Asia and Africa, but also provides essential vitamins and minerals.

The different minerals present in chickpea seeds are presented in Table 7. Raw chickpea seeds (100 g) on an average provide about 5.0 mg/100 g of Fe, 4.1 mg/100 g of Zn, 138 mg/100 g of Mg and 160 mg/100 g of Ca. About 100 g of chickpea seeds can meet the daily dietary requirements of Fe (1.05 mg/d in males and 1.46 mg/d in females) and Zn (4.2 mg/d in males and 3.0 mg/d in females).

Table 4. Fatty acid profiles of chickpea seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fatty acids</th>
<th>Baker et al.(188)§</th>
<th>Wang &amp; Daun(56)+</th>
<th>USDA(32)+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauric (12:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myristic (14:0)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.19–0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitic (16:0)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>9.41</td>
<td>8.52–10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmitoleic (16:1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.27–0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stearic (18:0)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.21–1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic (18:1)</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>32.56</td>
<td>27.7–42.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic (18:2)</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>51.20</td>
<td>42.25–56.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic (18:3)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.23–3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arachidic (20:0)</td>
<td>Traces</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.59–0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gadoleic (20:1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.48–0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eicosadienoic (20:2)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00–0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behenic (22:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.29–0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erucic (22:1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.00–0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignoceric (24:0)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.00–0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervonic (24:1)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, Desi; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture; ND, measured but not detected.

* Expressed as percentage of oil.
† The type of chickpea is not specified.
‡ Expressed as g/100 g.
§ Expressed as wt % of total elute.

Table 5. Important sterols and tocopherols in oil from chickpea seeds (Mean values and standard deviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sterols (%)</th>
<th>Gopala Krishna et al.(174)*</th>
<th>Zia-Ul-Haq et al.(63)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>sd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campesterol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.06–13.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ2-Avenasterol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.79–1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigmastanol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.92–5.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Sitostanol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>73.12–76.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clerosterol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.94–4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ5-Avenasterol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.12–5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocopherols (mg/100 g oil)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>32.99–34.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>33.94</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>186.17</td>
<td>11.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocotrienols</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.93–8.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, Desi.

* The type of chickpea is not specified.
were no significant differences between the Kabuli and Desi genotypes except for Ca, with the Desi types having a higher content than the Kabuli types\(^{(56,70)}\). The amount of total Fe present in chickpea is lower (5.45 mg/100 g) compared with other pulse crops such as lentils (8.60 mg/100 g) and beans (7.48 mg/100 g)\(^{(71)}\). Data on other minerals present in chickpea are very limited. Se, a nutritionally important trace element, is also found in chickpea seeds\(^{(1)}\). Other trace elements including Al (10.2 mg/g) and Cd (0.01 mg/g) were no significant differences between the Kabuli and Desi genotypes except for Ca, with the Desi types having a higher content than the Kabuli types\(^{(56,70)}\).

Table 6. Physical and chemical characteristics of chickpea seed oil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Zia-Ul-Haq et al(^{(61)})</th>
<th>Shad et al(^{(59)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total oil (%)</td>
<td>5.88–6.87</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid values (mg KOH/g)</td>
<td>2.55–2.67</td>
<td>2.40–2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodine values (Wij's method)</td>
<td>111.87–113.69</td>
<td>112.56–113.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponification values (mg KOH/g)</td>
<td>183.98–185.64</td>
<td>178.90–180.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsaponifiable matter, (%, w/w)</td>
<td>2.99–3.71</td>
<td>3.42–3.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.9339–0.9346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative density (g/cm(^3), at 40°C)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive index (at 40°C)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour</td>
<td>Brown–yellow</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peroxide value (mequiv/kg)</td>
<td>3.97–6.37</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Anisidine value</td>
<td>5.39–8.74</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation value</td>
<td>13.09–22.34</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavour score</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAG (%)</td>
<td>2.2–2.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAG (%)</td>
<td>0.7–1.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (%)</td>
<td>55.7–63.2</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy value (kJ/100 g sample)</td>
<td>3.85–13.01</td>
<td>1531.71–1560.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, Desi; MAG, monoacylglycerols; DAG, diacylglycerols.

There are numerous antioxidants in chickpea seeds, and among them, carotenoids are the most important and widely distributed carotenoids in plants and is converted into vitamin A more efficiently than the other carotenoids\(^{(77)}\). On a dry seed weight basis, chickpea has a higher amount of β-carotene than ‘golden rice’ endosperm\(^{(77,78)}\) and red-coloured wheats\(^{(32)}\).

### Carotenoids

Plant carotenoids are lipid-soluble antioxidants/pigments responsible for bright colours (usually red, yellow and orange) of different plant tissues\(^{(75)}\). Carotenoids are classified into two types: (1) oxygenated, referred to as xanthophylls, which includes lutein, violaxanthin and neoxanthin, and (2) non-oxygenated, referred to as carotenes, which includes β-carotene and lycopene\(^{(76)}\). The important carotenoids present in chickpea include β-carotene (Table 8), lutein, zeaxanthin, β-cryptoxanthin, lycopene and α-carotene. The average concentration of carotenoids (except lycopene) is higher in wild accessions of chickpea than in cultivated varieties or landraces (cv. *Hadas*)\(^{(77)}\). β-Carotene is the most important and widely distributed carotenoid in plants and is converted into vitamin A more efficiently than the other carotenoids\(^{(77)}\).

### Isoflavones

Chickpea seeds contain several phenolic compounds\(^{(9)}\). Of these, two important phenolic compounds found in chickpea are the isoflavones biochanin A (5,7-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyisoflavone) and formononetin (7-hydroxy-4'-methoxyisoflavone)\(^{(9)}\). Other phenolic compounds detected in chickpea oil are daidzein, genistein, maturessin and secoisolariciresinol\(^{(79,80)}\). The concentration of biochanin A is higher in Kabuli-type seeds (1420–3080 μg/100 g) compared with Desi-type seeds (838 μg/100 g)\(^{(41)}\). The amount of formononetin in Kabuli- and Desi-type seeds is 215 μg/100 g and 94–126 μg/100 g, respectively\(^{(81)}\).

Table 7. Mineral constituents (mg/100 g) of chickpea seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Rao &amp; Deosthale(^{(189)^a})</th>
<th>Ibañez et al(^{(70)})</th>
<th>Wang &amp; Daun(^{(56)})</th>
<th>USDA(^{(32)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.7–1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>4.6–7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.6–5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>220.0</td>
<td>210.0</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>161.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>128.0</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>169.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>32.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>398.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>377.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.08†</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D, Desi; K, Kabuli; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture.

† Expressed as μg/g.

\(^a\) The type of chickpea is not specified.
Anti-nutritional factors

Despite the potential nutritional and health-promoting value of anti-nutritional factor (ANF), their presence in chickpea limits its biological value and usage as food. ANF interfere with digestion and also make the seed unpalatable when consumed in raw form by monogastric animal species (82).

ANF can be divided into protein and non-protein ANF (83). The non-protein ANF include alkaloids, tannins, phytic acid, saponins and phenolics, while the protein ANF include trypsin inhibitors, chymotrypsin inhibitors, lectins and antifungal peptides (Table 10) (84,85). Chickpea protease inhibitors are of two types: (1) Kunitz type – single-chain polypeptides of about 20 kDa with two disulphide bridges which inhibit the enzyme activity of trypsin but not chymotrypsin (86); and (2) Bowman–Birk inhibitors – which are also single-chain polypeptides of about 8 kDa in size with seven disulphide bridges which inhibit the enzyme activity of both trypsin and chymotrypsin (87,88). Protease inhibitors interfere with digestion by irreversibly binding with trypsin and chymotrypsin in the human digestive tract. They are resistant to the digestive enzyme pepsin and the stomach’s acidic pH (88). They negatively affect certain necessary enzymatic modifications required during food processing such as water-retaining capacity, gel-forming and foaming ability of different products (89).

Phytic acid can bind to several important divalent cations (e.g. Fe, Zn, Ca and Mg) forming insoluble complexes and making them unavailable for absorption and utilisation in the small intestine (90–92). Tannins inhibit enzymes, reducing the digestibility and making chickpea astringent. Saponins are commonly found in several pulses including chickpea (Table 10) (93), giving the pulses a bitter taste and making them less preferable for consumption by humans and animals (94).

Saponin content in chickpea (56 g/kg) is higher than that in other pulses such as green gram (16 g/kg), lentils (3·7–4·6 g/kg), faba bean (4·3 g/kg) and broad bean (3·5 g/kg) (95).

Though the ANF act as limiting factors in chickpea consumption, they can be reduced or eliminated by soaking.

### Table 8. Vitamins in chickpea seeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vitamins</th>
<th>Chavan et al. (12)*†</th>
<th>Wang &amp; Daun (56)*†</th>
<th>USDA (32)*†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (A)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>2·15–6·00</td>
<td>1·34</td>
<td>1·65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin (D₂ + D₃)</td>
<td>0·028–0·40</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (B₂)</td>
<td>0·15–0·30</td>
<td>0·26</td>
<td>0·21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin (B₃)</td>
<td>1·6–2·90</td>
<td>1·22</td>
<td>1·72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pantothenic acid (B₅)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1·02</td>
<td>1·09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyridoxine (B₆)</td>
<td>0·55</td>
<td>0·38</td>
<td>0·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanocobalamin (B₁₂)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biotin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Tocopherol</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10·68</td>
<td>9·33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Tocopherol (vitamin E)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2·24</td>
<td>1·91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline, total (in μg/100 g)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid</td>
<td>150·0</td>
<td>299·21</td>
<td>206·48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A, Retinol activity equivalent (RAE)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Carotene</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin K (phyloquinone)</td>
<td>120·0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23·2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

K, Kabuli; D, Desi; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture; ND, measured but not detected.

*Expressed as mg/100 g.
†The type of chickpea is not specified.
‡Expressed as μg/100 g.

### Table 9. Vitamin* content (mg/100 g) in different legumes (56)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crops</th>
<th>Folic acid</th>
<th>Vit C</th>
<th>Vit B₁</th>
<th>Vit B₂</th>
<th>Vit B₃</th>
<th>Vit B₅</th>
<th>Vit B₆</th>
<th>Tocopherol (γ + α)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chickpea (Kabuli)</td>
<td>299·0</td>
<td>1·34</td>
<td>0·49</td>
<td>0·26</td>
<td>1·22</td>
<td>1·02</td>
<td>0·38</td>
<td>12·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickpea (Desi)</td>
<td>206·5</td>
<td>1·65</td>
<td>0·29</td>
<td>0·21</td>
<td>1·72</td>
<td>1·09</td>
<td>0·30</td>
<td>11·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean</td>
<td>107·9</td>
<td>3·85</td>
<td>0·58</td>
<td>0·16</td>
<td>1·31</td>
<td>0·31</td>
<td>0·21</td>
<td>3·85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red kidney beans</td>
<td>34·5</td>
<td>0·99</td>
<td>0·23</td>
<td>0·33</td>
<td>0·31</td>
<td>0·21</td>
<td>3·15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lentil</td>
<td>138·1</td>
<td>0·71</td>
<td>0·29</td>
<td>0·33</td>
<td>2·57</td>
<td>1·32</td>
<td>5·64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White kidney beans</td>
<td>22·0</td>
<td>0·09</td>
<td>0·73</td>
<td>0·11</td>
<td>1·12</td>
<td>0·35</td>
<td>0·16</td>
<td>2·96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon pea†</td>
<td>173‡</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0·4</td>
<td>0·17</td>
<td>2·20</td>
<td>0·68</td>
<td>0·07</td>
<td>0·39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vit, vitamin; NA, not available.
*Vit A and B₁₂ not detected in these legumes.
†Adopted from the United States Department of Agriculture (32).
‡Expressed as μg/100 g.
cooking, boiling and autoclaving. The ANF also have beneficial effects, which are discussed below.

**Health benefits**

Although pulses have been consumed for thousands of years for their nutritional qualities, it is only during the past two to three decades that interest in pulses as food and their potential impact on human health has been revived. Chickpea consumption has been reported to have some physiological benefits that may reduce the risk of chronic diseases and optimise health (discussed in detail in the following paragraphs). Therefore, chickpeas could potentially be considered as a 'functional food' in addition to their accepted role of providing proteins and fibre. Different definitions are proposed that describe functional foods as: (1) 'one encompassing healthful products, including modified food or ingredient that may provide health benefits beyond traditional ingredients'; (2) 'foods that, by virtue of the presence of physiologically-active components, provide a health benefit beyond basic nutrition'. As discussed above, chickpea is a relatively inexpensive source of different vitamins, minerals and several bioactive compounds (phytates, phenolic compounds, oligosaccharides, enzyme inhibitors, etc.) that could aid in potentially lowering the risk of chronic diseases. Due to its potential nutritional value, chickpea is gaining consumer acceptance as a functional food. Recent reports of the importance of chickpea consumption in relation to health are discussed below.

**CVD, CHD and cholesterol control**

In general, increased consumption of soluble fibre from foods results in reduced serum total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol (LDL-C) and has an inverse correlation with CHD mortality. Usually, pulses and cereals have a comparable ratio of soluble to insoluble fibres per 100g serving (about 1:3). Chickpea seeds are a relatively cheap source of DF and bioactive compounds (e.g. phytosterols, saponins and oligosaccharides); coupled with its low glycaemic index (GI), chickpea may be useful for lowering the risk of CVD. Chickpea has a higher total DFC (about 18–22 g) compared with wheat (about 12.7 g) and a higher amount of fat compared with most other pulses or cereals. However, two PUFA, LA and OA, constitute almost about 50–60% of chickpea fat. Intake of PUFA such as LA (the dominant fatty acid in chickpea; Table 4) has been shown to have a beneficial effect on serum lipids, insulin sensitivity and haemostatic factors, thereby it could be helpful in lowering the risk of CHD.

Isoflavones are diphenolic secondary metabolites that may lower the incidence of heart disease due to (1) the inhibition of LDL-C oxidation, (2) the inhibition of proliferation of aortic smooth muscle cells (SMC) and (3) the maintenance of the physical properties of arterial walls. Ferulic and p-coumaric acids are polyphenols that are found in chickpea seeds at low concentrations, and these have been shown to reduce blood lipid levels in rats. β-Carotene, the most studied carotenoid, is also present in chickpea seeds. Some cross-sectional and prospective studies have shown an inverse relationship between the incidence of CVD and plasma levels of antioxidants such as β-carotene and vitamin E. However, a large-scale randomised controlled trial (RCT) involving 22,071 healthy individuals demonstrated no benefit or harm of β-carotene supplementation (50mg on alternate days) on CVD, although this study concluded that β-carotene supplementation could have some apparent benefits on subsequent vascular events. These neutral results have
also been supported by several other intervention and prevention trials as reviewed by Stanner et al.\textsuperscript{121}. Therefore, despite the evidence supporting the increased occurrence of CVD with a low intake of antioxidants or low levels of antioxidants in the plasma, there is at present no evidence from intervention trials to support the beneficial effect of β-carotene on CVD or CHD. The role of β-carotene, along with other vitamins or nutrients, in helping to reduce the incidence of CVD needs to be further investigated.

Foods rich in saponins have been reported to reduce plasma cholesterol by 16–24 %\textsuperscript{122}. The mechanism of cholesterol reduction is by binding to dietary cholesterol\textsuperscript{123} or bile acids, thereby increasing their excretion through faeces\textsuperscript{124,125}. β-Sitosterol (the dominant phytosterol in chickpea) is helpful in decreasing serum cholesterol levels and the incidence of CHY\textsuperscript{126–128}. A higher intake of folate acid helps in reducing serum homocysteine concentrations, a risk factor for CHD\textsuperscript{129}. Folic acid supplementation has been shown to reduce homocysteine levels by 13–4 to 51.7 %\textsuperscript{130–132}. However, although a meta-analysis has shown an association between plasma cholesterol by 16–24 % (122), the mechanism of CVD needs to be further investigated.

Inclusion of chickpeas in a high-fat rodent diet reduced the deposition of visceral and ectopic fats, resulting in hypo-lipidaemia and insulin-sensitising effects in rats\textsuperscript{136}. Incorporation of chickpeas in a human study also led to improvements in fasting insulin and total cholesterol content\textsuperscript{140}. Total cholesterol and fasting insulin were reduced by 7–7 mg/dl and 5–2 pmol/l, respectively. In this study, forty-five healthy individuals were fed with a minimum of 104 g chickpea/d for 12 weeks as part of their regular diet.

Inclusion of chickpea in a high-fat rodent feed reduced the deposition of visceral and ectopic fats, resulting in hypo-lipidaemia and insulin-sensitising effects in rats\textsuperscript{136}. Incorporation of chickpeas in a human study also led to improvements in fasting insulin and total cholesterol content\textsuperscript{140}. Total cholesterol and fasting insulin were reduced by 7–7 mg/dl and 5–2 pmol/l, respectively. In this study, forty-five healthy individuals were fed with a minimum of 104 g chickpea/d for 12 weeks as part of their regular diet.

Diabetes and blood pressure

Pulses such as chickpea have a higher amount of resistant starch and amylose\textsuperscript{109}. Amylose has a higher degree of polymerisation (1667 glucose v. 540), rendering the starch in chickpea more resistant to digestion in the small intestine which ultimately results in the lower availability of glucose\textsuperscript{109,138}. The lower bioavailability of glucose results in the slower entry of glucose into the bloodstream, thus reducing the demand for insulin which results in the lowering of the GI and insulinaemic postprandial response\textsuperscript{139,140}. The lowering of the GI is an important aspect in reducing both the incidence and the severity of type 2 diabetes\textsuperscript{141}. Further, increased consumption of resistant starch is related to improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity\textsuperscript{130,142,143}. LA, a PUFA, is biologically important due to its involvement in the production of PG. PG are involved in the lowering of blood pressure and smooth muscle constriction\textsuperscript{144}. Also, LA and linolenic acid are required for growth and performing different physiological functions\textsuperscript{145}. Additionally, phytosterols, such as β-sitosterol, are helpful in reducing blood pressure\textsuperscript{126–128}. LA and β-sitosterol are the major PUFA and phytosterol, respectively, in chickpea seeds; therefore, chickpea seeds could be incorporated as part of a regular diet that may help to reduce blood pressure.

Cancer

Butyrate is a principal SCFA (about 18 % of the total volatile fatty acids) produced from the consumption of a chickpea diet (200 g/d) in healthy adults\textsuperscript{147}. Butyrate has been reported to suppress cell proliferation\textsuperscript{148} and induce apoptosis\textsuperscript{149}, which may reduce the risk of colorectal cancer. Butyrate inhibits histone deacetylase, which prevents DNA compaction and induces gene expression. It has also been suggested that butyrate shuts the cells along the irreversible pathway of maturation leading to cell death\textsuperscript{149}. Inclusion of β-sitosterol (the major phytosterol in chickpea; Table 7) in a rat diet reduced N-methyl-N-nitrosourea (carcinogen)-induced colonic tumours\textsuperscript{150}. Saponin-rich foods have been shown to inhibit pre-neoplastic lesions caused by azoxymethane in the
rat colon(151). Protease inhibitors are also known to suppress carcinogenesis by different mechanisms, but their precise targets are still unknown(85,152,153).

Lycopene, an oxygenated carotenoid present in chickpea seeds, may reduce the risk of prostate cancer(154). Though there are association studies suggesting a role for lycopene in protection against prostate cancer, the results from very few RCT conducted are not sufficient either to support or refute the role of lycopene in cancer prevention(155,156). Ziegler et al.(157) reported that lower levels of carotenoids either in the diet or body can enhance the risk of certain types of cancer. Studies have shown a direct positive correlation between a carotenoid-rich diet and a decreased incidence of lung and other forms of cancer(158). The cancer prevention ability of carotenoids could be due to their antioxidant properties(159), but the exact mode of action needs to be identified.

Biochanin A, a chickpea isoflavone, inhibits the growth of stomach cancer cells in vitro and reduces tumour growth when the same cells are transferred to mice(79,160). Further, chickpea isoflavone extract specifically inhibited epithelial tumour growth and had no effect on healthy cells(161). Murillo et al.(162) have shown a 64% suppression of azoxymethane-induced aberrant cryptic foci in rats fed with 10% chickpea flour, and indicated that saponins could be one of the factors for the reduction of lesions. N-Nitrosodiethylamine, a nitrosamine, has been reported to cause carcinogenesis through DNA mutation(163). Inclusion of chickpea seed coat fibre in the diet has been shown to reduce the toxic effects of N-nitrosodiethylamine on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant potential(165). The average percentage decrease in lipid peroxidation was about 21% in the liver and lungs, about 15.50% in the spleen and kidney and about 12.46% in the heart. In eighteen rats divided into three groups of six each, a hypercholesterolaemic diet was fed for 4 weeks: group I was fed a control hypercholesterolaemic diet (starch (63%), oil (10%), casein (15%), cellulose (5%), salt mixture (5%)); group II fed a hypercholesterolaemic diet plus 5% chickpea seed coat fibre. group III fed a group II diet plus 5% chickpea seed coat fibre.

Weight loss/obesity

Intake of foods, which are rich in DF, is associated with a lower BMI(164,165). Eating of foods with a high fibre content helps in reaching satiety faster (fullness post-meal), and this satiating effect lasts longer as fibre-rich foods require a longer time to chew and digest in the intestinal system(103,166). Additionally, consumption of low-GI foods resulted in an increase in cholecystokinin (a gastrointestinal peptide and hunger suppressant) and increased satiety(107–109). Diets with low-GI foods resulted in reduced insulin levels and higher weight loss compared with those with higher-GI foods(170). Since chickpea is considered to be a low-GI food, it may help in weight-loss and obesity reduction.

Chickpea supplementation in the diet prevented increased body weight and the weight of epididymal adipose tissues in rats(170). At the end of the 8-month experimental period, rats fed on a HFD weighed 654 g v. those fed with a HFD plus chickpea (562 g). The epididymal fat pad weight:total body weight ratio was higher in rats fed on a HFD (0·032 g/g) compared with those fed on a HFD plus chickpea diet (0·023 g/g; details of this experiment are explained in the ‘CVD, CHD and cholesterol control’ section)(172). Therefore, chickpea being a low-GI food could be an effective choice in weight-loss programmes. Chickpea has been reported to decrease fat accumulation in obese subjects. This aids in improving fat metabolism and could be helpful in correcting obesity-related disorders(173). Chickpea supplementation in the diet resulted in increased satiety and fullness(174). In this study, forty-two participants consumed a chickpea-supplemented diet (average 104 g/d) for 12 weeks; this was preceded and succeeded by their habitual diet for 4 weeks each.

Gut health and laxation

A significant increase (18%) in DF intake was recorded when 140 g/d of chickpea and chickpea flour were consumed by nineteen healthy individuals for 6 weeks(172). Similarly, Murty et al.(171) reported a 15% increase in DF intake in forty-two volunteers (age 52·17 (SD 6·30) years old). These studies revealed an overall improvement in bowel health accompanied by an increased frequency of defecation, ease of defecation and softer stool consistency while on a chickpea diet compared with a habitual diet. DF promote laxation/bowel function by aiding in the movement of material through the digestive system.

Other health benefits

Chickpea seed oil contains different sterols, tocopherols and tocotrienols(173–175). These phytosterols have been reported to exhibit anti-ulcerative, anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-tumour and anti-inflammatory properties coupled with a lowering effect on cholesterol levels(171,170). Δ7-Avenasterol and Δ7-avenasterol, phytosterols present in chickpea oil, have antioxidant properties even at frying temperatures(177). Carotenoids such as lutein and zeaxanthin, the major carotenoids in chickpea seeds, are speculated to play a role in senile or age-related macular degeneration. Though there are some epidemiological and association studies suggesting a beneficial effect of lutein and zeaxanthin on age-related macular degeneration, evidence from RCT on the effect of carotenoids on age-related macular degeneration is not presently available(178). Carotenoids have been reported to increase natural killer cell activity(179). Vitamin A, a derivative of β-carotene, is important in several developmental processes in humans such as bone growth, cell division/differentiation and, most importantly, vision. It has been reported that at least three million children develop xerophthalmia (damage to cornea) and about 250,000–500,000 children become blind due to vitamin A deficiency(180). Chickpea has been reported to have higher levels of carotenoids (explained above) than ‘golden rice’, and it could be potentially used as a source of dietary carotenoids. Chickpea seeds have been used in traditional medicine as tonics, stimulants and aphrodisiacs(181). Further, they are...
used to expel parasitic worms from the body (anthelmintic property), as appetizers, for thirst quenching and reducing burning sensation in the stomach\(^{(35)}\). In the Ayurvedic system of medicine, chickpea preparations are used to treat a variety of ailments such as throat problems, blood disorders, bronchitis, skin diseases and liver- or gall bladder-related problems (biliousness)\(^{(182)}\). In addition to these applications, a variety of ailments such as throat problems, blood disorders, system of medicine, chickpea preparations are used to treat disorders\(^{(183)}\). Uygur people of China have used chickpea treating skin ailments, ear infections, and liver and spleen disorders\(^{(186)}\). Chickpea seeds are also used for blood enrichment, for over 2500 years\(^{(184–186)}\). In herbal medicine for treating hypertension and diabetes in the Ayurvedic system of medicine\(^{(182)}\).

Conclusions

The information presented in this review shows the potential nutritional importance of chickpea and its role in improved nutrition and health. It is an affordable source of protein, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins, DF, folate, \(\beta\)-carotene and health-promoting fatty acids. Scientific studies have provided some evidence to support the potential beneficial effects of chickpea components in lowering the risk of various chronic diseases, although information pertaining to the role of individual chickpea components in disease prevention and the mechanisms of action are limited to date. This is due to the complex nature of disease aetiology and various factors having an impact on their occurrence. It is imperative that the scientific community continues to unravel the mechanisms involved in disease prevention and determine how bioactive components from foods such as chickpea can influence human health. Further research, especially well-conducted RCT, needs to be performed to provide compelling evidence for the direct health benefits of chickpea consumption.
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