# The impact of phosphorus on the immune system and the intestinal microbiota with special focus on the pig

Charlotte M.E. Heyer[1], Eva Weiss[2]*, Sonja Schmucker[1], Markus Rodehutscord[2], Ludwig E. Hoelzle[3], Rainer Mosenthin[2] and Volker Stefanski[1]

[1]Institute of Animal Husbandry and Animal Breeding, University of Hohenheim, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany
[2]Institute of Animal Nutrition, University of Hohenheim, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany
[3]Institute of Environmental and Animal Hygiene and Veterinary Medicine (with Animal Clinic), University of Hohenheim, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany

## Abstract

There is increasing interest in dietary ingredients that are appropriate to support digestive and immune functions, but also maintain a stable microbial ecosystem in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), particularly in weaned pigs. P is an essential nutrient for both microbes and their host, as it is involved, for example, in bone formation, energy metabolism, cellular signalling and stabilisation of cell membranes. Non-ruminant animals have limited access to phytate, the main storage form of P in plant seeds. The release of P bound to phytate requires phytase activity of plant or microbial origin, resulting in the formation of variable phosphorylated inositol phosphates (InsPs). The present review focuses on interactions between variations in dietary P supply, the immune system of the host, and the intestinal microbial ecosystem. Although results on the interaction between P and the immune system are inconsistent, several studies in different species have shown a positive impact of dietary P and phytase addition on the adaptive immune response. Recent studies with pigs suggest that P supply may influence intestinal microbial composition and activity. Individual InsPs or phosphate may also affect properties of pathogenic micro-organisms, such as metabolism or virulence. In conclusion, P may be considered as part of an integrated approach to support immune functions and maintain a stable microbial ecosystem in the GIT, thereby providing a barrier against potential pathogens. Within this regard, differences in phytate-P content and intrinsic phytase activity of plant feedstuffs, as well as the formation of individual InsPs, have to be taken into account.

**Key words:** Immune system: Intestinal microbiota: Phosphorus: Pigs

## Introduction

P plays an essential role in agriculture and the food industry as a mineral present in ingredients used for live-stock feeding as well as in mineral fertilisers, with about 90 % of the world's mined phosphate rock being used in the agriculture and food sector[1]. According to recent estimates, global phosphate resources may be exhausted within the next 50–100 years[2,3]. Thus, a sustainable resource management and a reduction of phosphate mining are becoming particularly important[4]. In feedstuffs of plant origin, P is either present as non-phytate-P or as phytate-P, i.e. P bound to phytic acid (*myo*-inositol-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate; InsP$_6$). Phytate is the main storage form of P, and can be found in seeds, plant tissues and plant organs[5,6]. Plant seeds, which are the major feed components in diets for pigs, contain about 75 % of phytate[7]. In non-ruminant animals, hydrolysis of phytate is incomplete, as the proximal gastrointestinal tract (GIT) lacks sufficient enzymes such as endogenous mucosal phytase and phosphatase[8–10]. As a consequence, non-hydrolysed phytate is excreted with manure, possibly leading to an accumulation of P in the soil and water[11,12]. Furthermore, phytic acid is known to act as an anti-nutritive agent, reducing the absorption of trace elements and minerals[13], and interfering with digestion and absorption of dietary proteins and carbohydrates[9,14,15]. P is an essential constituent of many important primary cell metabolites such as lipopolysaccharides, phospholipids,

---

nucleic acids and different cytoplasmic solutes[16,17]. Several studies with various species suggest that P performs important functions within the immune system, with modifications in dietary P supply being related to modulations in immune function[18–20]. Kegley *et al.*[18], for example, observed in weaned piglets that an increasing concentration of available P in the diet enhanced the cell-mediated immune response, but reduced the humoral immune response. According to *in vitro* studies with human and mammalian cells, specific lower phosphorylated inositol phosphates (InsPs) (for example, *myo*-inositol triphosphates (InsP$_3$) and *myo*-inositol tetraphosphates (InsP$_4$)) also play a significant part in cellular signal transduction, regulation of cell function, growth and differentiation after absorption[21]. InsP$_4$ is believed to be involved in T and B cell development and in neutrophil and mast cell functions[22]. However, P is not only essential for the host animal, but also for the microbiota colonising the animal's GIT. It is part of important basic modules such as phospholipids in the cytoplasmic and outer membranes of Gram-negative bacteria and co-enzymes, as well as teichoic acid and nucleotides in the cell walls of Gram-positive bacteria[23,24]. The potential impact of P on the intestinal microbiota is not only restricted to members of the indigenous microbiota, but may also apply to potentially pathogenic bacteria. There is evidence, for example, that the host's InsP metabolism is closely associated with specific intestinal pathogenic bacteria that have developed complex strategies to modulate their uptake and intracellular lifestyle[25,26]. In both humans and animals, a stable endogenous microbiota is involved in colonisation resistance against incoming pathogens. Any change in the intestinal microbial ecosystem could therefore shift the balance between protective microbiota and pathogens in favour of the pathogens[27,28].

Apart from pathogen pressure, the intestinal microbial equilibrium is influenced by a wide range of other factors, including host genetics, age, stress, the environment and diet[29–33]. Modulation of dietary protein level, carbohydrate composition and use of feed additives such as pre- and probiotics are among the dietary strategies currently implemented to support a healthy GIT microbiota in pigs[34]. Moreover, several studies in pigs indicate an impact of dietary P and Ca on microbiota composition and bacterial activity in the GIT[35,36]. The present review focuses on the impact of dietary P, phytate and phytate hydrolysis products on the porcine immune system and on the porcine microbiota along the GIT. Possible interactions between diet composition, the intestinal microbial equilibrium and the host's defence mechanisms, including a potential impact on host health, will be discussed. The importance of this aspect for both the host and its inhabiting microbiota will also be assessed. While the main focus of the present review is directed to the pig, studies on the effect of P supply on the porcine immune system are rare. Thus, studies on other species are also included when applicable.

## The porcine immune system: a brief overview

The immune system protects the organism against pathogens and controls the integrity of the body. In vertebrates, it is composed of an innate and an adaptive arm, both of which include humoral and cellular components. The first line of defence is formed by innate immune cells, such as neutrophil granulocytes, natural killer cells, monocytes/macrophages, dendritic cells and endothelial cells, as well as humoral components such as the complement system. A more detailed overview of the porcine innate immune system has been given by Mair *et al.*[37]. The characteristic cells of the adaptive immune response are T- and B-lymphocytes, with the antibodies released by B-lymphocytes forming the humoral part of the adaptive arm[38]. The main characteristic of the T and B cells is their specific recognition of antigens and the formation of an immunological memory, while cells of the innate immune system have the ability to distinguish between pathogens and self- or non-pathogenic structures. In this context, macrophages, dendritic cells and other cells of the innate arm express pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-like receptors and lectins[39]. The binding of conserved pathogen structures (such as lipopolysaccharides of bacteria or unmethylated CpG of viral nucleic acid by the Toll-like receptors) induces cell activation and the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, IL-6, and TNF-α. The T and B cells recognise antigens through their T cell receptor (TCR) and B cell receptor, respectively. The B cell receptor is a surface-bound form of an antibody and can recognise native proteins. The TCR is composed of two protein chains, either αβ or γδ, and the expressing cells are either named αβ-T cells or γδ-T cells. In contrast to TCR γδ-T cells, TCR αβ-T cells recognise small peptide fragments only if bound to the cell-recognition molecule major histocompatibility complex (MHC). The TCR αβ-T cells can be further differentiated into cells expressing either the TCR co-receptor CD4 or CD8. The CD4$^+$T cells recognise antigens presented on MHC class II molecules, while CD8$^+$T cell subsets recognise antigens presented on MHC class I molecules[40]. Upon binding to an antigen, B and T cells differentiate from a mature, but naive status into potent effector cells comprising various activities such as killing, cytokine production, or antibody production. After clearing an infection, some of these differentiated cells survive and sustain in the body, now able to react promptly to the same pathogen.

The GIT represents one of the largest immunological organs of the body. For the mucosal immune system consisting of innate and adaptive arms, it is important to achieve a balance between immune tolerance and immune responsiveness in a diverse milieu of harmful pathogens, dietary antigens and the intestinal microbiota harbouring the GIT[41]. Vertebrates have specialised lymphoid structures, such as the gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), which provides a specific host defence and constitutes the largest accumulation of immunological cells in the organism[42]. The GALT is divided into inductive

sites, including Peyer's patches, and into effectors sites[43], such as the *lamina propria*[44]. For pigs in particular, the various components of the GALT need to sustain intestinal homeostasis during the critical period from birth to weaning, in order to ensure optimal growth and health conditions[45]. For a comprehensive overview of the GIT immunity and of the components of the mucosal immune system with special focus on the pig, see Burkey *et al.*[45].

## The intestinal microbiota: composition and interaction with the immune system of the host

The intestinal microbial ecosystem is characterised by a high density of different species in a very complex and dynamic environment. The amount of microbial cells in single-stomached animals, including humans, exceeds the amount of host cells by at least one order of magnitude[46]. The GIT of single-stomached animals harbours all groups of microbes, but mainly bacteria[31,46]. It is colonised by Gram-positive bacteria including *Streptococcus* (aerotolerant), *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium* (micro-aerobe or obligate anaerobe), *Clostridium* (obligate anaerobe), but also by obligate anaerobe Gram-negative bacteria such as *Bacteroides*, *Fusobacterium*, *Selenomonas* and *Prevotella*[31]. Firmicutes and the Bacteroidetes phyla form the major group of the gut microbiota[47,48]. The bacterial density increases from the proximal to the distal GIT. About 500 bacterial species and $10^{10}$–$10^{11}$ bacteria per g digesta colonise the porcine colon and caecum[31,49,50].

The intestinal microbiota has coevolved over millions of years, so that the relationship between the indigenous microbes and the host may be more intensive than previously assumed. These findings suggest that ecological development is influenced by the genetics of the host and by the interaction with the coevolved intestinal micro-biota[51,52]. Apart from host genetics, the composition of the microbiota in the GIT, for example of humans, pigs and poultry, is affected by several factors. These include pathogen pressure in particular, but also age, environment, stress, diet composition (for example, type and content of carbohydrates, lipids, proteins and minerals)[29–33], and endogenous nutrient sources[30]. Moreover, intestinal pH, inhibitory factors such as antimicrobial substances produced by members of the microbial ecosystem, fermentation endproducts and digesta flow rate may also affect microbial composition and activity[31,32]. The main energy source of the intestinal microbiota is fermentable carbohydrates passing through the GIT, such as non-digestible oligosaccharides, NSP and different types of resistant starch[53]. The saccharolytic activity of the microbes is associated with positive effects for the host[54] due to the production of SCFA including butyrate, acetate and propionate[55]. These SCFA serve as energy sources for the host, and can meet about 30 % of the energy needs of a growing pig[56]. Butyrate represents the main energy source for colonic epithelial cells[57], and is commonly produced by clostridia such as *Roseburia* species or *Eubacterium rectale*[58]. In contrast to butyrate and propionate, which are produced by a small number of bacterial groups, acetate is formed by many different bacterial species[59]. On the other hand, the fermentation of dietary proteins results in the production of detrimental substances such as amines, phenols and ammonia[49], and is often associated with the growth of potentially pathogenic microbes such as *Bacteroides* and *Clostridium* species[60].

The microbial composition is affected by different immunological mechanisms including antibacterial proteins of the innate immune response such as α-defensins. Paneth cells of the small intestine produce these peptides, which may change the microbial composition as it has been shown for mice[61]. The intestinal immune system controls the bacterial exposure to the tissue of the host, thereby reducing the risk of infection. Two main immunological mechanisms exist to prevent the host from colonisation with intestinal pathogens, including the reduction of direct contact with the epithelia cell surface (stratification), and the restriction of the penetration of immunological compartments (compartmentalisation)[62]. The stratification of intestinal microbiota, particularly bacteria, on the luminal tissue is regulated by secreted IgA which prevents microbial translocation across the epithelial barrier[63,64]. Mucosal compartmentalisation reduces the exposure of bacteria to the systemic immunological compartments, for example by cytokine-producing innate lymphoid cells of the *lamina propria*[65]. Detailed descriptions of the interaction between the immune system and the microbiota have been published elsewhere[62].

## Dietary modulators affecting phosphorus digestibility and nutrient–phytate interactions

P contributes substantially to skeletal development, mineral metabolism, energy metabolism, cellular signalling and the stabilisation of cell membranes[66,67]. In pigs, the small intestine, especially the jejunum, has been suggested as the major site of P absorption[68]. On the other hand, results concerning the role of the large intestine in the regulation of P absorption are rather contradictory. Both absorption[69–71] and secretion of P[72] are supposed to occur in the large intestine of pigs. Moreover, it has been suggested by several authors that factors such as dietary P and Ca level[73–75], phytate content[13,73], ingredient composition of the diet[76], feeding level and supply of inorganic P sources[77] may influence P homeostasis across the GIT.

### *Phytate hydrolysis*

In ruminants, phytate is largely degraded due to the activity of rumen microbiota, including several anaerobic phytase-producing bacteria such as *Selenomonas ruminantium*, *Megasphaera elsdenii*, *Prevotella ruminicola* and *Mitsuokella multiacidus*[78], thus rendering P available for the

host. In contrast, only a partial hydrolysis of phytate occurs in non-ruminants, which lack sufficient hydrolytic enzymes such as endogenous phytase in the proximal GIT to hydrolyse dietary phytate. Recent studies with broilers fed diets low in P and Ca have shown, however, that about two-thirds of dietary $InsP_6$ can be degraded in the GIT[79], indicating substantial activity of enzymes originating from a mix of endogenous and microbial sources. Another reason for the limited degradation of phytate is the insolubility of mineral Ca–phytate complexes at the pH levels usually prevalent in the small intestine[80]. As a consequence, the P digestibility is reduced due to the formation of insoluble Ca–phytate complexes and their negative effect on the efficacy of mucosal phytase[6]. Consequently, there is a need for dietary supplementation with inorganic phosphate and/or exogenous phytases to increase the animals' efficiency of P utilisation.

## Phytase activities

Exogenous phytases of microbial origin are extensively used as feed additives in non-ruminant diets to improve digestibility of P in plant feed ingredients. Due to the acidic conditions of the stomach, phytate is relatively soluble and can be hydrolysed by supplemental exogenous phytases[9]. Varying amounts of $InsP_6$-P can also be utilised by the animal due to the presence of intrinsic phytases in some feed ingredients[5,81]. As a result, hydrolysis of $InsP_6$-P to inorganic P and lower *myo*-inositol phosphates such as *myo*-inositol pentaphosphates ($InsP_5$), $InsP_4$ and $InsP_3$ occurs[82]. However, phytase activity varies considerably between different plant feedstuffs[6]. Cereals and cereal by-products, for example, have a higher intrinsic phytase activity compared with legume seeds such as soyabeans and field beans[5,83,84]. In a recent study by Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[85], the treatment of barley with lactic acid alone or in combination with heat treatment also resulted in a reduced $InsP_6$-P concentration, possibly due to a decrease in pH. At the same time, the formation of lower phosphorylated phosphates such as $InsP_4$ and $InsP_5$ was stimulated. According to the authors, further studies are required to confirm these effects on intestinal P digestibility and to elucidate the impact on animal performance[85]. According to Blaabjerg *et al.*[86–88], heat treatment affects phytate degradation in diets and feedstuffs for pigs in combination with phytase supplementation, both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. In one *in vitro* study[88], phytate degradation was enhanced upon addition of phytase to heat-treated diets compared with non-heat-treated diets. The authors suggest that structural changes induced by the heat treatment might have enhanced the contact between phytase and phytate, leading to increased phytate degradation.

## Carbohydrate–phytate interactions

Several authors[73,89] have suggested interactions between carbohydrate composition of the diet and P disappearance across the gut wall, especially in the large intestine. In growing pigs fitted with simple T-cannulas, for example, the addition of different fermentable carbohydrates at a level of 25 % to a diet containing low contents of digestible P present as phytate-P differently affected the net disappearance of P in the large intestine[90]. In this study, cellulose and pectin caused a net secretion of P into the lumen of the large intestine, whereas supplemental starch led to a net absorption of P. Partridge[89] found a significant net secretion of P into the large intestine when pigs were fed maize starch sucrose and groundnut meal, but not for a cereal-based diet. Jongbloed *et al.*[73] also observed a net secretion into the large intestine for a maize–soyabean meal-based diet, and, by contrast, a net absorption from the large intestine for a diet based on tapioca and hominy feed. It appears that the intestinal net disappearance of P is affected by the source of fermentable carbohydrates in pigs' diets. According to Baumgärtel *et al.*[90], a stimulation of microbial growth as a consequence of an increased supply with fermentable carbohydrates (for example, pectin) would be associated with the increased incorporation of P into microbial biomass, thus increasing the demand for P. As a result, a stimulation of P secretion might occur. Furthermore, the carbohydrate sources used in these studies could have affected the composition and metabolic activity of the intestinal microbiota, thereby also influencing microbial degradation of $InsP_6$. Baumgärtel *et al.*[90] observed in their study a reduced ileal $InsP_6$ degradation for starch. The authors suggested that proliferation of lactic acid bacteria due to the presence of high amounts of starch at the terminal ileum might have suppressed other bacteria with a higher potential for phytase production. Although further research on the influence of fermentable carbohydrates on intestinal net disappearance of P is required, results of different studies suggest a close relationship between the composition and metabolic activity of the intestinal microbiota and P homeostasis across the gut wall, thus emphasising the importance of dietary components being capable of modulating the intestinal microbiota.

## Effects of phosphorus on the immune system

As illustrated in Table 1, few studies have so far examined the impact of dietary P on immune cell functions such as lymphocyte proliferation[18,91], phagocytic activity[19,91,92], antibody response[18–20,93,94], numbers of leucocytes[20] and further parameters[95,96] in blood or tissues related to the immune system.

## Lymphocyte proliferation

It appears that either through dietary supplementation with P[18] or increased P availability upon phytase addition[20] the proliferation and function of peripheral lymphocytes in different species can be stimulated, thereby supporting the adaptive arm of the immune system. However, studies in pigs are rare. There is only one study by Kegley *et al.*[18]

**Table 1.** Effect of dietary phosphorus−calcium level and phytase supplementation on immune parameters measured in blood or tissue of different species

| Species | P (%, as fed) | Ca (%, as fed) | Phytase (phytase units/kg) | Substrate | Immunological response | Effect | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Pig | 0·47−0·70 | 0·32−0·71 | | Blood | Lymphocyte proliferation to PHA | ↑ * | [18] |
| | | | | | Lymphocyte proliferation to PWM | ↔ | |
| | | | | | IgG antibody response to SRBC | ↓ * | |
| | | | | | IgG antibody response to OVA | ↓ * | |
| Pig | 0·80 | 1·00 | | Tissue | mRNA expression of IL-1β in duodenum | ↓ ‡ | [96] |
| Dairy cow | 0·34−0·52 | 0·79−0·80 | | Blood | Lymphocyte proliferation to ConA | ↔ | [91] |
| | | | | | Lymphocyte proliferation to PHA | ↔ | |
| | | | | | Lymphocyte proliferation to PWM | ↔ | |
| | | | | | Phagocytic activity of neutrophils against *Staphylococcus aureus* | ↔ | |
| Rat | 0·03 | 0·60 | | Blood | Phagocytic activity of granulocytes | ↓ † | [92] |
| Broiler | 0·70 | 0·76 | 500 | Blood | Percentage of ERFC | ↑ ‖ | [20] |
| | | | | | Percentage of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$T cells | ↑ ‖ | |
| | | | | | Antibody response against NDV | ↑ ‖ | |
| | | | | | IgA antibody response | ↑ ‖ | |
| | 0·50 | 0·77 | 500 | | Percentage of ERFC and EAC | ↑ ‖ | |
| | | | | | Percentage of CD4$^+$ and CD8$^+$T cells | ↑ ‖ | |
| | | | | | Antibody response against NDV | ↔ | |
| | | | | | IgA antibody response | ↑ ‖ | |
| Broiler | 0·71 | 1·01 | | Blood | Antibody response against NDV | ↓ § | [94] |
| | 0·47−0·53 | 0·72−0·77 | 600−1000 | | Antibody response against NDV | ↑ § | |
| Fish | 0·44−1·49 | | | Blood | Phagocytic activity | ↔ | [19] |
| | | | | | IgM antibody response | ↓ † | |
| | | | | | IgM antibody response against BGG | ↔ | |
| Fish | 0·00−0·85 | | | Blood | Antibody response against *Edwardsiella ictaluri* | ↑ * | [93] |

PHA, phytohaemagglutinin; ↑, higher; PWM, pokeweed mitogen; ↔, no difference; SRBC, sheep erythrocytes; ↓, lower; OVA, ovalbumin; ConA, concanavalin A; ERFC, erythrocyte rosette-formation cells; NDV, Newcastle disease virus; EAC, erythrocyte antibody complement cells; BGG, bovine γ-globulin.
* With increasing dietary P content.
† Compared with high-CaP diet.
‡ Compared with low-CaP diet.
§ Compared with diet according to the actual P requirement.
‖ Compared with diet without phytase supplementation.

with weaned pigs in which the effect of different dietary P levels on mitogen-induced lymphocyte proliferation has been examined so far. The results indicate a positive linear relationship between phytohaemagglutinin-induced lymphocyte proliferation and the amount of dietary P. While phytohaemagglutinin primarily stimulated T lymphocytes, no effect of lymphocyte proliferation on pokeweed mitogen could be observed, which suggests that B cell proliferation is not affected. According to the authors, reduced T cell proliferation might be due to the circulation of vitamin D in the organism. This finding is supported by the results of a study by Engstrom *et al.*[97], in which a low-P diet enhances the concentration of plasma 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D$_3$, a vitamin known to inhibit several lymphocyte functions including the proliferation response to mitogen stimulation[98,99]. As a consequence, feeding pigs below their actual P requirement[100] impairs lymphocyte function, although different lymphocyte subsets seem to be differently affected. Moreover, the impact of low-P diets on lymphocyte function might not be the same for all species as microbial phytase production and release in the gut might have modulating effects on lymphocytes. In lactating dairy cows, for example, Mullarky *et al.*[91] failed to observe an effect of differences in P supply on lymphocyte proliferation in

response to the mitogens concanavalin A (ConA), pokeweed mitogen and phytohaemagglutinin.

## Activity of phagocytes

The effect of P on the phagocytic function of immune cells has so far only been studied in rats, ruminants and European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* L.). In a study by Kiersztejn *et al.*[92] the impact of differences in dietary P supply on the phagocytic activity of granulocytes in rats was assessed. The animals received either a low- or a high-P diet with or without injection of a Ca antagonist (verapamil), with verapamil often administered to prevent a rise of Ca$^{2+}$ levels in the blood. The phagocytic activity of granulocytes was significantly lower in rats fed the low-P diets compared with high-P diets, irrespective of application of the Ca antagonist. Furthermore, a higher Ca$^{2+}$ level and lower ATP content in granulocytes were observed in rats fed the low-P diet without verapamil. The low-P diet together with verapamil yielded lower ATP contents in granulocytes as compared with rats fed the high-P diets with or without verapamil treatment. The authors concluded that dietary phosphate depletion increased cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ levels and decreased ATP concentration of granulocytes, which, in turn, resulted in an

impaired phagocytic activity[92]. Similarly, Massry[101] observed elevated cytosolic $Ca^{2+}$ levels and an impaired phagocytosis of granulocytes in rats fed a low-P diet.

In a study with dairy cows, Mullarky *et al.*[91] found no effect of dietary P supply on the bactericidal activity of neutrophils against *Staphylococcus aureus*. The authors assumed that even the lowest dietary P concentration used in their study was still too high to exhibit adverse effects on ATP levels and phagocytic activity, which is in contrast to findings in rats[92]. A study conducted with juvenile European whitefish[19] also failed to demonstrate an effect of dietary P supplementation on phagocytic activity.

It can be concluded from the results of several studies[19,91,92] on the functional activity of immune cells that a sufficiently high P availability is essential to maintain normal immune cell functions. There is also sufficient evidence for the existence of species-specific differences, such as effects of a low dietary P supply are apparently more pronounced in non-ruminant mammals such as pigs than in ruminants, where more P is available to the host due to the activity of the rumen microbiota, including several phytase-producing bacteria[78].

## Lymphocyte distribution

In addition to immune cell functions, adequate distribution of lymphocytes and antigen-presenting cells in the organism is generally critical for immune functioning. The effect of dietary P supply on the number and distribution of immune cells has yet not been studied in mammalian livestock. However, according to the results of a study by Liu *et al.*[20] with poultry, both dietary phytate content and phytase activity can affect blood T and B cell numbers. The authors determined the percentage of erythrocyte rosette-forming cells (ERFC, T cells) and erythrocyte antibody complement cells (EAC, B cells and monocytes), as well as the percentage of CD4^{+} and CD8^{+}T cells by flow cytometry. The percentage of ERFC was higher in broilers that had received a high-phytate diet (0·44 %, as fed) supplemented with phytase (500 and 1000 phytase units/kg of feed) as compared with groups without phytase supplementation. Moreover, the percentages of ERFC and EAC were higher in broilers fed the low-phytate diets (0·22 %, as fed) supplemented with phytase, compared with the low-phytate diets without phytase supplementation. In addition, the percentage of blood CD4^{+} and CD8^{+}T cells was elevated in broilers with phytase-supplemented diets. Obviously, the increased availability of P following phytase-mediated degradation of phytate into lower phosphorylated InsPs resulted in higher concentrations of ERFC, EAC and blood CD4^{+} and CD8^{+}T cells. Similarly, in a study with mice[102] investigating possible interactions between InsP metabolism and specific immunological parameters, a modulation of B cell selection and activation due to Ins(1,3,4,5)P$_4$ could be observed. In a study with growing broilers[95], the effect of low-P diets supplemented with a phytase-containing 'cocktail' on the mass of immune organs and the area of intestinal surface covered by GALT was examined. Among other factors, the addition of the 'cocktail' containing phytase, acid phosphatase, pectinase and citric acid to the low-P control diet increased the mass of the *bursa fabricius* as compared with a low-P control diet without enzyme supplementation. The aforementioned studies[20,95] with birds suggest that P content or P availability may influence lymphocyte migration.

## Antibody response

The ability of organisms in establishing an appropriate antibody response depends on the timely meeting of immune-competent cells such as lymphocytes and antigen-presenting cells in lymphatic organs. The key processes – function and migration of immune cells – seem to be altered by insufficient dietary P supply as outlined above. Studies using experimental immunisation protocols showed both negative and positive consequences of the P supply. In a study with weaned pigs, Kegley *et al.*[18] examined the effect of different dietary P supplies on the antibody response. Upon immunisation with either sheep erythrocytes or ovalbumin, IgG antibody response decreased with increasing P supply. The lowest concentration of IgG antibodies against sheep erythrocytes could be detected for the diet with the highest content of P. At first glance, this finding seems to challenge the observed effect of P content on lymphocyte proliferation. However, according to Kegley *et al.*[18], an increased plasma level of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D$_3$ in pigs fed a low-P diet might be beneficial for antibody production, as high levels of vitamin D$_3$ are also known to stimulate monocytes, thereby enhancing antigen presentation[103]. In this case, the stimulatory effect of low-P content on monocytes would compensate for the negative effect on T cell function. However, this conclusion remains speculative, as vitamin D$_3$ levels were not measured in this study.

In a study with fish[19], European whitefish were fed diets varying in P content. The authors observed lower total plasma IgM concentrations upon feeding of the low-P diet compared with the diet with the highest P content. In a subsequent experiment, the animals were fed the diet with the lowest and the highest P levels for 7 weeks, and were subsequently immunised with an intraperitoneal injection of bovine γ-globulin. As expected, the total plasma IgM concentration was lower in the fish fed the low-P diet compared with those fed the high-P diet, but no effect on bovine γ-globulin-specific IgM antibody response could be detected. In both experiments, the growth of fish that were fed the low-P diet was significantly lowered, however, possibly confounding the results as growth hormones are believed to affect immunological responses[104]. It has been shown, for example, that

growth hormones have a stimulatory effect on human and murine T cells *in vitro*[105,106]. Eya & Lovell[93] assessed the effect of dietary P on the antibody response against *Edwardsiella ictaluri* in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). In addition to the specific antibody production increase of *E. ictaluri* with increasing dietary P concentrations, mortality of the fish also decreased at higher dietary P levels. It appears that differences in dietary P content may affect total plasma Ig levels and the specific humoral antibody responses in fish.

Ghahri et al.[94] studied the effect of different dietary P levels and microbial phytase supplementation on the antibody production in broilers vaccinated with the Hitcher B1 Newcastle disease virus (NDV). The anti-NDV antibody titre of broilers fed the low-P diet was lower in comparison with the group fed according to their actual P requirement. Moreover, adding phytase to the diet increased the antibody response against NDV. As phytase exerted a positive influence on the immune response to NDV, it was suggested that both phytase and a reduction in P supply may modulate immune functions. A study by Liu et al.[20] with broilers assessed the effect of phytate and phytase on serum anti-NDV antibodies and jejunal mucosal secretory IgA production after intranasal and intra-ocular administration of NDV attenuated vaccine. Jejunal secretory IgA production was increased by dietary phytase addition for the low- and high-phytate diets. For the high-phytate diets supplemented with phytase, the anti-NDV antibodies were enhanced, whereas adding phytase to the low-phytate diets showed no effect.

In conclusion, as influenced by the availability of dietary P, both total plasma Ig and antibody response are affected differently. However, the direction of this effect appears to be inconsistent across species. A dietary supplementation of phytate and phytase seems to positively affect the adaptive immune response, at least in fish and broilers, but not in pigs, except for phytase. This inconsistency across studies can be attributed to differences in the nature of the antigens, immunisation protocols and the dietary content of P and phytase. It also cannot be ruled out that stimulatory and inhibitory effects of P on different immune cell subsets exist, which probably compensate each other at different extents, depending on the source of antigen or species characteristics. In either case, the effect of P on the function and distribution of different immune parameters warrants further investigation.

### Expression of intestinal cytokines

In weaned piglets, Metzler-Zebeli et al.[96] studied the influence of dietary oat β-glucan and CaP level on intestinal inflammation. The relative mRNA expression of IL-1β and IL-6 was analysed in tissue samples of the duodenum, the ileum, the caecum and the mid-colon. In the duodenum, the high-CaP diet decreased the expression of IL-1β compared with the low-CaP diet, irrespective of

β-glucan supplementation. Since pro-inflammatory cytokines can affect intestinal permeability and nutrient transport directly and indirectly[107], it can be speculated whether the modulation of the intestinal expression of selected genes in pigs fed the high-CaP diet as observed by Metzler-Zebeli et al.[96] assists in maintaining intestinal function during the post-weaning period. Shaw et al.[108] examined the effect of dietary phytase upon a natural *Eimeria* challenge in naive and vaccinated broilers. Broilers were fed maize–soyabean meal-based diets with one of two dietary CaP levels (low and according to their actual P requirement). At day 18, the expression of IL-17 was increased both in the duodenum of the vaccinated and the challenged broilers fed the low-CaP diet supplemented with phytase. As IL-17 plays an important role in the immunological control of infectious diseases and is a key factor in T-helper cell 17 lineage immune response[109], the observed increase in IL-17 expression suggests a health-promoting effect on the animal.

### Conclusion and remarks on future research on phosphorus and immune function

The overall picture emerging from the current studies on P availability and immune functioning indicates a minimum requirement of P to ensure normal immune function. The critical amount of dietary P to maintain immunity, however, remains unclear and may substantially vary across species, for example between non-ruminants and ruminants due to their different access to phytate. Since researchers have observed contradictory effects of high P levels on different aspects of immunity, such as enhanced T cell proliferation but a diminished antibody response in pigs, future work should involve a comprehensive analysis of the different immune subsystems. It is also evident that animal growth may decrease upon feeding of low-P diets, at least in some studies and species[104]. This suggests that growth-related hormones with immune-modulatory properties such as somatotropin or cortisol have a direct impact on immune cell function and migration[110,111]. A properly functioning immune system, however, is crucial for organisms to resist infections and to prevent diseases. Current studies strongly suggest that this ability is dependent on dietary P levels, but further research is required to verify this hypothesis.

### Effects of phosphorus on the intestinal microbiota

P contributes considerably to bacterial structure and metabolic processes[23,24]. According to Wood & Clark[112], a surplus of P can be stored as polyphosphates in bacterial cells and may be used as an energy and P source for metabolic processes. Furthermore, P is important for bacterial proliferation. According to the results of *in vitro* studies, for example, the phosphate concentration of the culture medium has been shown to be positively correlated

with the growth yield of *Bacteroides amylophilus*, an amylolytic and pectinolytic rumen bacterium[113]. Moreover, several authors have concluded from the results of *in vitro* studies[114–116] that P as a coenzyme is essential for bacterial degradation of dietary fibre, and that bacterial synthesis of fibrolytic enzymes is strongly dependent on sufficient P supply. In an *in vitro* study of Francis *et al.*[114], the effect of phosphate concentration on the bacterial cellulase activity of rumen fluid of fistulated merino sheep was assessed. Cellulases of rumen microorganisms are extra-cellular enzymes; therefore they are exposed to stimulators or inhibitors present in the surrounding fluid. In this study, cellulase activity of the rumen fluid was progressively stimulated with rising phosphate concentrations from 5 to 50 mM. In another *in vitro* study using the rumen simulation technique, Komisarczuk *et al.*[117] examined the effect of variations in P supply on rumen micro-organisms in sheep. P deficiency caused a reduction in SCFA synthesis due to reduced fermentation of cellulose. This suggests that the activity of bacterial fibrolytic enzymes is modulated by the content of available P in the surrounding medium[116]. Metzler *et al.*[118] conducted a study with ileally cannulated growing pigs fed either a low-P maize–soyabean meal-based control diet, or 75 % of the control diet supplemented with either 25 % lignocellulose, maize starch or apple pectin in order to assess the impact of differently fermentable carbohydrates on P metabolism, on the chemical composition of faecal mixed bacterial mass (MBM), and on microbial activity in the large intestine. Upon addition of apple pectin or lignocellulose, P recoveries were higher in ileal than in faecal samples. Microbial activity (measured as SCFA production) was affected by the different fermentable carbohydrates used: for example, starch supplementation resulted in higher ileal SCFA contents when compared with supplementation with cellulose or pectin. Compared with the control, the P content of the MBM was decreased for the pectin treatment. The N:P ratio in the MBM was higher for the pectin diet, whereas the Ca:P ratio was constant for all treatments. The changes observed in the accumulation of P in the MBM as influenced by the differently fermentable carbohydrates may be related to a modulation of microbial activity, measured by differences in SCFA production. Pectin, for example, caused a reduction in P content of faecal MBM in comparison with the control diet, whereas ileal SCFA content was lower and faecal SCFA content was higher compared with the starch treatment. This would indicate a close relationship between the availability of fermentable substrate and P metabolism.

The impact of variations in dietary P supply and carbohydrate sources in the diet on the intestinal microbiota composition and activity in pigs has been reported in several *in vivo* studies[35,36,119,120] as summarised in Table 2. Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[36], for example, studied the effect of different cereal grains and variations in dietary CaP content on microbial composition and metabolites in weaned piglets. Piglets were fed for 14 d either a wheat–barley- or a maize-based diet with a CaP content according to their actual requirement or a high CaP content. Digesta samples of the stomach, ileum and mid-colon were analysed for specific bacterial groups using quantitative PCR. Generally, diet modulated bacterial composition and metabolites in the upper GIT, while no effects could be observed in the colon. In the stomach and in the ileum, a selective stimulation of *Bifidobacterium* could be observed upon feeding of the maize- *v.* the wheat–barley-based diet. In addition, higher numbers of some gastric and ileal bacterial groups such as gastric Enterobacteriaceae, ileal *Enterococcus, Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* and *Campylobacter* were observed when the diet with the high-CaP content was fed compared with the diet with a CaP content according to their actual requirement. The authors concluded that, although a selective stimulation of specific bacterial groups was observed in their study, the intestinal microbiota of weaned pigs is quite resistant to dietary modulations such as changes in carbohydrate and CaP content. We can conclude that further information on selective stimulation of certain beneficial bacterial groups, such as *Bifidobacterium* spp., will be useful for diet formulation in young pigs. On the other hand, given that *Campylobacter* species such as *Campylobacter coli* may cause diarrhoea[121] and are a source of highly immune potent lipopolysaccharides[122,123], increased numbers of *Campylobacter* spp. are considered non-beneficial. Thus, attention should also be paid to possible harmful bacterial groups regarding gut health and growth performance[36].

In weaned piglets, Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[35] studied the influence of dietary oat β-glucan and CaP level on intestinal microbial composition and metabolic activity. The piglets were fed one of four different diets: maize starch–casein-based diets with a low-CaP or a high-CaP level, or the low- and high-CaP diets supplemented with 8·95 % oat β-glucan concentrate. After slaughter, digesta samples from the ileum, caecum and mid-colon were analysed for bacterial composition, butyrate production pathway genes (quantitative PCR) and fermentation endproducts. The piglets fed the diet with the high CaP level showed a decreased lactate concentration and decreased *Streptococcus* spp. gene copy numbers in the stomach, as well as a decreased propionate concentration in the large intestine. The caecal gene copy numbers of *Clostridium* cluster XIVa were increased for piglets fed the high-CaP diet. Similarly, Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[124] found elevated gene copy numbers of *Clostridium* cluster XIVa in the distal ileum of growing pigs that were fed phytase-supplemented maize–soyabean meal-based diets in comparison with a low-P control diet[124]. This was associated with the increased availability of phytate-P in the small intestine due to the activity of phytase[125], and probably with a changed pattern of InsPs in the ileum.

**Table 2.** Effect of dietary phosphorus and calcium level in combination with different carbohydrate sources on selected bacterial groups and total SCFA production in the intestine of weaned (initial body weight 8–9 kg) and growing (initial body weight 30–51 kg) pigs

| Initial body weight (kg) | P; Ca (%, as fed) | Carbohydrate source | Sampling site | Response bacteria | Total SCFA | Reference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 8 | 0·80; 1·00 | | Caecum | *Enterococcus* spp. ↓‡; *Clostridium* cluster XIVa ↑‡ | ↔ | [35] |
| | 0·45; 0·54 | Oat β-glucan | Stomach | *Lactobacillus* spp. ↑*; *Clostridium* cluster XIVa ↑*; *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* ↑*; *Enterobacteriaceae* ↑* | ↔ | |
| | | | Caecum | *Enterococcus* spp. ↓‡ | ↔ | |
| | | | Colon | *Lactobacillus* spp. ↑*; *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↑*; *Clostridium* cluster XIVa ↓* | ↑* | |
| | 0·80; 1·00 | Oat β-glucan | Stomach | *Lactobacillus* spp. ↑*; *Clostridium* cluster XIVa ↑*; *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* ↑†; *Enterobacteriaceae* ↑* | ↔ | |
| | | | Colon | *Lactobacillus* spp. ↑*; *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↑*; *Clostridium* cluster XIVa ↓* | ↑* | |
| 9 | 1·19; 1·48 | Wheat–barley | Stomach | *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↓§; *Enterobacteriaceae* ↑‡ | ↑‡ | [36] |
| | | | Ileum | Total bacteria ↓§; *Enterococcus* spp. ↑‡; *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↓§; *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* ↑‡ | ↔ | |
| | 1·17; 1·41 | Maize | Stomach | *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↑§; *Enterobacteriaceae* ↑‡ | ↓‡ | |
| | | | Ileum | Total bacteria ↑§; *Enterococcus* spp. ↑‡; *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↑§; *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* ↑‡ | ↔ | |
| 40 | 0·22; 0·71 | Lignocellulose | Ileum | *Bifidobacterium* spp. ↑*‖ | | [120] |
| | 0·23; 0·68 | Maize starch | Ileum | *Lactobacillus* spp. ↑*‖ | | |
| | 0·24; 0·72 | Apple pectin | Ileum | *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* ↑¶ | ↓† | |
| 51 | 0·40; 0·65 | Inulin | Colon | *Enterobacteriaceae* ↓* | | [119] |
| | | | Caecum | | ↑* | |
| | 0·60; 0·93 | Inulin | Colon | *Enterobacteriaceae* ↓* | | |
| | | | Caecum | | ↑* | |

↓, Lower; ↑, higher; ↔, no difference.
* Compared with the control diet without carbohydrate supplementation.
† Compared each with the other.
‡ Compared with the diet with a CaP content according to the actual requirement/low-CaP diet.
§ Compared with the other cereal diet.
‖ Compared with the pectin treatment.
¶ Compared with the lignocellulose treatment.

Similarly, Blaabjerg *et al.*[87] found a decreased InsP$_6$ concentration and a variable InsP pattern in ileal digesta of pigs with increasing dietary levels of plant or microbial phytase. Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[35] concluded from the results of their study that the stimulating effect of CaP on the *Clostridium* cluster XIVa could have been caused by the higher P concentration in the diet, thereby increasing P availability for the microbes. Without oat β-glucan supplementation, high dietary CaP supply decreased gene copies of butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-transferase in gastric digesta compared with pigs fed the low-P control diet, while this decrease could not be observed for diets supplemented with oat β-glucan. This suggests a positive effect of oat β-glucan on gastric butyrate production, which could be favourable for younger animals such as weaned pigs given the stimulatory effect of butyrate on intestinal development, function and health.

In digesta samples of slaughtered pigs, Varley *et al.*[119] obtained potential interactions between dietary P content and supplementation of inulin to the diet of pigs with regard to intestinal microbiota composition and activity. Pigs were fed four different wheat–soyabean meal-based diets with either low or high CaP content, each supplemented with inulin (Table 2). No effect of CaP level could be observed in ileal digesta, neither on the specific bacterial groups assessed (i.e. *Lactobacillus* spp.,

*Bifidobacterium* and Enterobacteriaceae) nor on microbial activity as SCFA production was not changed. In contrast, Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[36] observed higher numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the stomach as well as higher numbers of *Enterococcus*, *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* and *Campylobacter* in the ileum of pigs fed a diet with a high CaP content in comparison with pigs fed a diet with a CaP content according to their actual requirement (Table 2). Ileal Enterobacteriaceae and lactobacilli numbers, however, were not affected. Obviously, effects observed for differences in dietary CaP supply are not only specific for different bacterial species, but are also influenced by sampling site. However, it has to be emphasised that both studies[36,119] differed in the amount and type of substrate available for intestinal fermentation, which could have affected microbial metabolism and composition[118].

In a study with growing pigs fitted with ileal T-cannulas, Metzler *et al.*[125] examined the impact of dietary P and Ca supply, phytase addition and ileal pectin infusion on ileal and faecal P and Ca balance, on chemical composition of faecal MBM, and on the activity of bacterial enzymes. Pigs were either fed a low-P maize–soyabean meal-based control diet and received an ileal infusion of water or pectin once per d, or were fed the control diet supplemented with either monocalcium phosphate (MCP) or supplemented with phytase. MCP supplementation

enhanced ileal and faecal P and Ca recovery as well as bacterial incorporation of P and Ca into the MBM, obviously due to an increased intestinal P availability for bacteria[125]. Furthermore, MCP decreased D- + L-lactate in ileal digesta, but did not affect SCFA concentrations in either ileal digesta or faeces. Similarly, Varley *et al.*[119] observed in growing pigs no effect of variations in P supply on ileal SCFA production as a measure of microbial activity upon feeding diets with varying CaP contents. In the study of Metzler *et al.*[125], phytase addition decreased ileal and faecal P recoveries. Reductions in P content in faecal MBM could also be observed, probably due to a lower intestinal P availability for large-intestinal microbes upon phytase supplementation[125]. The decrease in P availability for the intestinal microbes was associated with a tendency towards lowered fermentation activity, similar to observations in studies with rumen microbes[126]. In another study of Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[124] with growing pigs fitted with simple ileal T-cannulas, the effect of dietary P and Ca supply, phytase addition and ileal pectin infusion on changes in bacterial populations at the ileal and faecal level was assessed. Pigs were either fed a low-P maize–soyabean meal-based control diet and received an ileal infusion of water or pectin once per d, or they were fed a control diet supplemented with either MCP or phytase. Bacterial gene copy numbers determined by quantitative PCR in the ileal digesta of pigs were not different for lactobacilli and Enterobacteriaceae due to MCP supplementation, which is in general agreement with the results of a study by Varley *et al.*[119] where lactobacilli and Enterobacteriaceae were not influenced upon feeding of a diet with a high CaP content. Metzler-Zebeli *et al.*[124] also obtained lower ileal gene copy numbers of *Enterococcus* spp., *Enterococcus faecium* and the *Clostridium leptum* cluster due to dietary MCP addition. On the other hand, increasing the P availability in the small intestine through phytase supplementation stimulated the growth of *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas*, the *Clostridium leptum* cluster and also the *Clostridium coccoides* cluster. As phytase addition increased availability of phytate-P but not of Ca, the authors concluded that Ca rather than P might act as a growth-inhibiting factor for specific bacteria, as has been observed for MCP supplementation. The authors suggest that the high level of dietary CaP used in their study may have inhibited the proliferation of specific bacterial groups in the proximal GIT of pigs when compared with pigs fed a control diet without additional CaP supplementation. Increasing the concentration of free $Ca^{2+}$ ions may reduce the adhesion potential of specific bacterial species, resulting in decreased colonisation of mucosal areas due to competition for the same adhesion sites with other bacterial species[124,127]. Bacterial adhesion to the intestinal mucosa is considered an important factor for bacterial colonisation, as it prevents wash-out of bacteria[128,129]. However, it needs to be taken into account that Ca plays a significant part in metabolic signalling (such as intracellular signals)

in order to affect various cellular processes[130] and the composition and activity of the GIT microbiota[36,131].

## Importance of phosphorus for bacterial metabolism with special emphasis on pathogens

The release of P from $InsP_6$ due to supplementation with exogenous phytase plays an important role in improving the P digestibility in plant feed ingredients used in diets for single-stomached animals. However, individual InsPs or phosphate may specifically affect bacterial properties, such as metabolism or virulence. Pathogenic micro-organisms in particular use the host's InsP metabolism to ensure their survival and replication in niches of the GIT[25,26]. Several enteric pathogens, for example, have developed strategies to metabolically utilise *myo*-inositol as a carbon and energy source. This feature applies to Gram-positive enteropathogens such as *Enterococcus faecalis*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Clostridium perfringens*, but also to Gram-negative pathogens such as *Salmonella* typhimurium[132] which may under certain circumstances cause infections in pigs. *Salmonella* typhimurium can utilise *myo*-inositol as a sole carbon source[133], and involved genes are suggested to play a role in the *Salmonella* typhimurium virulence in pigs or chickens[134,135]. Other attaching and intracellular pathogenic bacteria interfere with the host's metabolism of InsP to direct their attachment and uptake into phagocytes and non-phagocytic intestinal cells, and to modulate intracellular vesicle trafficking pathways to ensure survival and efficient intracellular replication[107,112,136].

Several intestinal pathogens such as *Salmonella* spp, enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella* spp. and *Yersinia* spp. may modulate the host's InsP metabolism in different ways including the production of: (i) InsP-binding proteins to promote efficient host cell entry; (ii) InsP-metabolising enzymes that directly modulate the host cell InsP levels; or (iii) other macromolecules (lipids or proteins) that modulate host cell InsP-metabolising enzymes[107,112]. For example, *Salmonella* spp. produces the multifunctional protein SopB (*Salmonella* outer protein B), a phosphatidylinositol polyphosphatase. SopB triggers the uptake, and maintains high levels of $InsP_3$ in the intracellular *Salmonella* vacuole, thus enabling intracellular survival and replication[137,138]. In addition, SopB-mediated hydrolysis of InsPs might cause a disruption of epithelial tight junctions, destruction of epithelial integrity and other alterations of membrane integrity leading to fluid secretion, inflammation and diarrhoea[139,140].

Other mechanisms of pathogens using the metabolism of InsP include $InsP_6$-induced auto-processing of toxins. This mechanism is used by the human pathogens *Clostridium difficile* and *Vibrio cholerae* as well as by the porcine pathogen *Clostridium perfringens* as part of a complex strategy for toxin activation and subsequent delivery of effectors to the target cells. For this purpose, the toxins

possess an intrinsic proteolytic activity mediated by an internal cysteine protease domain that may be directly activated by the binding of $InsP_6^{(141-144)}$. Although most of these studies have not been performed with pigs, similar mechanisms may apply for this species. However, despite these fundamental studies on the complex interactions between several pathogens and the host's InsP metabolism, it is still not clear to what extent the dietary modulation of intestinal P and InsP metabolism might influence the metabolism and virulence of intestinal pathogens. Given the high variability of InsP concentration and different forms of InsPs observed in the ileal digesta and faeces of pigs due to different dietary treatments[86,87,145], further work should focus on the impact of individual InsPs on the properties of intestinal pathogens.

## Interactions between dietary phosphorus, intestinal microbiota and host health

Dietary supplementation with P and also Ca has been suggested as a promising strategy to modulate the intestinal eubiosis of pigs[131]. This concept is based on studies with rats, where an improved colonisation resistance against intestinal pathogens and a promotion of lactobacilli in ileal digesta and at the ileal mucosa have been observed for CaP-rich diets[146]. In pig nutrition, dietary CaP contents ranging above pigs' actual requirements are considered to be disadvantageous. This applies especially for the health of piglets, as excessive CaP supply may compromise gastric barrier function[147]. Evidence that dietary CaP may modulate the porcine intestinal microbiota[35,124] is emerging, although results are not always consistent. For example, the proliferation of several bacterial groups (for example, *Enterococcus*, *Bacteroides–Prevotella–Porphyromonas* and *Campylobacter*) was stimulated in the ileum of pigs upon feeding a high-CaP diet[36], while another study found lower ileal gene copy numbers of *Enterococcus* spp., *Enterococcus faecium* and the *Clostridium leptum* cluster upon dietary MCP addition[124]. While the present review focuses on the effects of variations in dietary P supply, it is often difficult to differentiate between effects of P and Ca. Here, specific effects of Ca, such as the potential of free $Ca^{2+}$ ions to inhibit the proliferation of specific bacterial groups in the proximal part of the GIT, have to be considered[124,127]. This might be of specific importance with regard to competition for adhesion sites and thus for the colonisation of the mucosa and, as a consequence, for the colonisation resistance against potential pathogens. Moreover, several studies[36,119,124] failed to detect any effect of variations in dietary P and Ca content on lactobacilli in ileal and faecal samples. In a recent study by Mann et al.[131], however, feeding CaP-rich diets to pigs promoted *Lactobacillus* proliferation, including *Lactobacillus mucosae*, at the mucosa of the gastric *pars non-glandularis*. Such a promotion of *Lactobacillus mucosae*, which is strongly associated with the mucus layer of the intestinal

mucosa in pigs[148], could make a particular contribution to a reduced attachment of opportunistic pathogens by steric hindrance of attachment sites. Generally, *Lactobacillus* is said to suppress the growth of potential pathogens, such as enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, through very effective bacteriocin and organic acid production[149-151]. Thus, CaP-related promotion of *Lactobacillus* might be beneficial in supporting the gastric barrier.

Several studies have shown interactions between dietary CaP supply, fermentation activity and microbial composition of the GIT in pigs[35,36,120]. However, studies with pigs focusing not only on potential relationships between dietary P, but also on Ca supply and the animals' immune system are rare. At least with regard to the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines, a relationship between dietary P content and the inflammatory response in the small intestine of pigs post-weaning could be established[96]. Given the close relationship between specific microbial metabolites (for example, butyrate) and expression of cytokines in weaned pigs[96], interactions between intestinal microbiota including their metabolic activities, the integrity of the epithelial barrier function, the immune system, and the P content of the diet can be assumed. Furthermore, results from other vertebrate species indicate that dietary deficiency of P may negatively affect lymphocyte function, cytokine secretion and antibody production. Stratification and compartmentalisation, for example, are the main immunological mechanisms preventing the host from colonisation with intestinal pathogens, and both strongly depend on IgA production and cytokine secretion by intestinal immune cells. In an immune-compromised host, however, these mechanisms might be reduced. Reduced lymphocyte function, as a consequence of low P availability, might therefore negatively affect the host's ability to prevent colonisation with intestinal pathogens.

## Conclusions

P is an essential nutrient of a porcine diet to maintain health and performance, particularly in the growing pig during the first weeks after weaning. There is rising scientific evidence that P has to be considered as part of an integrated approach to support digestive and immune functions, but can also establish and maintain a stable microbial ecosystem in the GIT with special focus on providing a barrier against potential intestinal pathogens. Although results on the interactions between P and the immune system are inconsistent, several studies have shown a positive impact of dietary P and phytase addition on the adaptive immune response (for example, lymphocyte proliferation, antibody response). The close relationship between the availability of fermentation substrates and available P has to be considered when formulating diets in support of a stable intestinal microbial ecosystem. Differences in P availability and the formation of individual

InsPs due to variations in phytate-P content and in the activity of intrinsic phytase (for example, as a consequence of feed processing) of plant feedstuffs also have to be taken into account. Nevertheless, further research into the role of P for the immune system and for the intestinal microbiota is still required, with special focus to be directed to the purpose of individual InsPs for the immune functions of the host, but also for bacterial metabolism. As pathogenic micro-organisms have been shown to use the host's InsP metabolism to ensure their survival and replication in the GIT, future research should also concentrate on the role of dietary P for intestinal pathogens.

## Acknowledgements

## References

1. Smil V (2000) Phosphorus in the environment: natural flows and human interferences. *Annu Rev Energy Environ* **25**, 53–88.
2. Steen I (1998) Phosphorus availability in the 21st century: management of a non-renewable resource. *Phosphorus Potassium* **217**, 25–31.
3. Cordell D, Drangert JO & White S (2009) The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global Environ Change* **19**, 292–305.
4. Rodehutscord M (2008) Approaches for saving limited phosphate resources. *Arch Tierz* **51**, 39–48.
5. Eeckhout W & De Paepe M (1994) Total phosphorus, phytate-phosphorus and phytase activity in plant feedstuffs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **47**, 19–29.
6. Steiner T, Mosenthin R, Zimmermann B, *et al.* (2007) Distribution of phytase activity, total phosphorus and phytate phosphorus in legume seeds, cereals and cereal by-products as influenced by harvest year and cultivar. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **133**, 320–334.
7. Raboy V (2009) Approaches and challenges to engineering seed phytate and total phosphorus. *Plant Sci* **177**, 281–296.
8. Maenz DD & Classen HL (1998) Phytase activity in the small intestinal brush border membrane of the chicken. *Poult Sci* **77**, 557–563.
9. Selle PH, Cowieson AJ, Cowieson NP, *et al.* (2012) Protein-phytate interactions in pig and poultry nutrition: a reappraisal. *Nutr Res Rev* **25**, 1–17.
10. Onyango EM & Adeola O (2009) Dietary phytate (inositol hexaphosphate) regulates the activity of intestinal mucosa phytase. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* **93**, 639–646.
11. Whalen JK & Chang C (2001) Phosphorus accumulation in cultivated soils from long-term annual applications of cattle feedlot manure. *J Environ Qual* **30**, 229–237.
12. Adeli A, Sistani KR, Rowe DE, *et al.* (2005) Effects of broiler litter on soybean production and soil nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations. *Agron J* **97**, 314–321.
13. Schlemmer U, Jany KD, Berk A, *et al.* (2001) Degradation of phytate in the gut of pigs - pathway of gastro-intestinal inositol phosphate hydrolysis and enzymes involved. *Arch Anim Nutr* **55**, 255–280.
14. Rutherfurd SM, Chung TK & Moughan PJ (2002) The effect of microbial phytase on ileal phosphorus and amino acid digestibility in the broiler chicken. *Br Poult Sci* **43**, 598–606.
15. Ravindran V, Cabahug S, Ravindran G, *et al.* (1999) Influence of microbial phytase on apparent ileal amino acid digestibility of feedstuffs for broilers. *Poult Sci* **78**, 699–706.
16. Abelson PHA (1999) potential phosphate crisis. *Science* **283**, 2015.
17. Hirota R, Kuroda A, Kato J, *et al.* (2010) Bacterial phosphate metabolism and its application to phosphorus recovery and industrial bioprocesses. *J Biosci Bioeng* **109**, 423–432.
18. Kegley EB, Spears JW & Auman SK (2001) Dietary phosphorus and an inflammatory challenge affect performance and immune function of weanling pigs. *J Anim Sci* **79**, 413–419.
19. Jokinen EI, Vielma J, Aaltonen TM, *et al.* (2003) The effect of dietary phosphorus deficiency on the immune responses of European whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* L.). *Fish Shellfish Immunol* **15**, 159–168.
20. Liu N, Ru YJ, Cowieson AJ, *et al.* (2008) Effects of phytate and phytase on the performance and immune function of broilers fed nutritionally marginal diets. *Poult Sci* **87**, 1105–1111.
21. Sauer K & Cooke MP (2010) Regulation of immune cell development through soluble inositol-1,3,4,5- tetrakis-phosphate. *Nat Rev Immunol* **10**, 257–271.
22. Miller AT, Chamberlain PP & Cooke MP (2008) Beyond IP$_3$: roles for higher order inositol phosphates in immune cell signaling. *Cell Cycle* **7**, 463–467.
23. JW Lengeler, G Drews and HG Schlegel (editors) (1999) *Biology of the Prokaryotes*, Stuttgart: Georg Thieme.
24. Durand M & Komisarczuk S (1988) Influence of major minerals on rumen microbiota. *J Nutr* **118**, 249–260.
25. Hilbi H (2006) Modulation of phosphoinositide metabolism by pathogenic bacteria. *Cell Microbiol* **8**, 1697–1706.
26. Weber SS, Ragaz C & Hilbi H (2009) Pathogen trafficking pathways and host phosphoinositide metabolism. *Mol Microbiol* **71**, 1341–1352.
27. Stecher B, Robbiani R, Walker AW, *et al.* (2007) *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium exploits inflammation to compete with the intestinal microbiota. *PloS Biol* **5**, 2177–2189.
28. Bearson SMD, Allen HK, Bearson BL, *et al.* (2013) Profiling the gastrointestinal microbiota in response to *Salmonella*: low versus high *Salmonella* shedding in the natural porcine host. *Infect Genet Evol* **16**, 330–340.
29. Kiarie E, Romero LF & Nyachoti CM (2013) The role of added feed enzymes in promoting gut health in swine and poultry. *Nutr Res Rev* **26**, 71–88.
30. Stewart CA, Hillman K, Maxwell F, *et al* (1993) Recent advances in probiosis in pigs: observations on the microbiology of the pig gut. In *Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition*, pp. 197–220 [PC Gansworthy and DJA Cole, editors]. Nottingham: Nottingham University Press.

Nutrition Research Reviews

31. Gaskins HR (2001) Intestinal bacteria and their influence on swine growth. In *Swine Nutrition*, pp. 585–608 [AJ Lewis and LL Southern, editors]. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press LLC.

32. Pluske JR, Pethick DW, Hopwood DE, *et al.* (2002) Nutritional influences on some major enteric bacterial diseases of pigs. *Nutr Res Rev* **15**, 333–371.

33. Mariat D, Firmesse O, Levenez F, *et al.* (2009) The Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio of the human microbiota changes with age. *BMC Microbiol* **9**, 123.

34. Bauer E, Williams BA, Smidt H, *et al.* (2006) Influence of dietary components on development of the microbiota in single-stomached species. *Nutr Res Rev* **19**, 63–78.

35. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Zijlstra RT, Mosenthin R, *et al.* (2011) Dietary calcium phosphate content and oat β-glucan influence gastrointestinal microbiota, butyrate-producing bacteria and butyrate fermentation in weaned pigs. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **75**, 402–413.

36. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Mann E, Schmitz-Esser S, *et al.* (2013) Changing dietary calcium-phosphorus level and cereal source selectively alters abundance of bacteria and metabolites in the upper gastrointestinal tracts of weaned pigs. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **79**, 7264–7272.

37. Mair KH, Sedlak C, Käser T, *et al.* (2014) The porcine innate immune system: an update. *Dev Comp Immunol* **45**, 321–343.

38. Shishido SN, Varahan S, Yuan K, *et al.* (2012) Humoral innate immune response and disease. *Clin Immunol* **144**, 142–158.

39. Kumar H, Kawai T & Akira S (2011) Pathogen recognition by the innate immune system. *Int Rev Immunol* **30**, 16–34.

40. Charerntanakul W & Roth JA (2006) Biology of porcine T lymphocytes. *Anim Health Res Rev* **7**, 81–96.

41. Artis D (2008) Epithelial-cell recognition of commensal bacteria and maintenance of immune homeostasis in the gut. *Nat Rev Immunol* **8**, 411–420.

42. Mowat AM & Viney JL (1997) The anatomical basis of intestinal immunity. *Immunol Rev* **156**, 145–166.

43. Brandtzaeg P & Pabst R (2004) Let's go mucosal: communication on slippery ground. *Trends Immunol* **25**, 570–577.

44. Nagler-Anderson C (2001) Man the barrier! Strategic defences in the intestinal mucosa. *Nat Rev Immunol* **1**, 59–67.

45. Burkey TE, Skjolaas KA & Minton JE (2009) Board-invited review: porcine mucosal immunity of the gastrointestinal tract. *J Anim Sci* **87**, 1493–1501.

46. Savage DC (1977) Microbial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **31**, 107–133.

47. Leser TD, Amenuvor JZ, Jensen TK, *et al.* (2002) Culture-independent analysis of gut bacteria: the pig gastrointestinal tract microbiota revisited. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **68**, 673–690.

48. Kim HB, Borewicz K, White BA, *et al.* (2011) Longitudinal investigation of the age-related bacterial diversity in the feces of commercial pigs. *Vet Microbiol* **153**, 124–133.

49. Jensen BB (2001) Possible ways of modifying type and amount of products from microbial fermentation in the gut. In *Gut Environment of Pigs*, pp. 181–200 [A Piva, KE Bach Knudsen and JE Lindberg, editors]. Nottingham: Nottingham University Press.

50. Rist VTS, Weiss E, Eklund M, *et al.* (2013) Impact of dietary protein on microbiota composition and activity in the gastrointestinal tract of piglets in relation to gut health: a review. *Animal* **7**, 1067–1078.

51. Darveau RP, McFall-Ngai M, Ruby E, *et al.* (2003) Host tissues may actively respond to beneficial microbes. *ASM News* **69**, 186–191.

52. Hooper LV (2004) Bacterial contributions to mammalian gut development. *Trends Microbiol* **12**, 129–134.

53. Houdijk JGM, Hartemink R, Verstegen MWA, *et al.* (2002) Effects of dietary non-digestible oligosaccharides on microbial characteristics of ileal chyme and faeces in weaner pigs. *Arch Anim Nutr* **56**, 297–307.

54. Geboes KP, De Hertogh G, De Preter V, *et al.* (2006) The influence of inulin on the absorption of nitrogen and the production of metabolites of protein fermentation in the colon. *Br J Nutr* **96**, 1078–1086.

55. Varel VH & Yen JT (1997) Microbial perspective on fiber utilization by swine. *J Anim Sci* **75**, 2715–2722.

56. Morita T, Kasaoka S & Kiriyama S (2004) Physiological functions of resistant proteins: proteins and peptides regulating large bowel fermentation of indigestible polysaccharide. *J AOAC Int* **87**, 792–796.

57. Pryde SE, Duncan SH, Hold GL, *et al.* (2002) The microbiology of butyrate formation in the human colon. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **217**, 133–139.

58. Aminov RI, Walker AW, Duncan SH, *et al.* (2006) Molecular diversity, cultivation, and improved detection by fluorescent *in situ* hybridization of a dominant group of human gut bacteria related to *Roseburia* spp. or *Eubacterium rectale*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 6371–6376.

59. Cummings JH & Englyst HN (1987) Fermentation in the human large intestine and the available substrates. *Am J Clin Nutr* **45**, 1243–1255.

60. Macfarlane S & Macfarlane GT (1995) Proteolysis and amino acid fermentation. In *Human Colonic Bacteria: Role in Nutrition, Physiology and Pathology*, pp. 75–100 [GR Gibson and GT Macfarlane, editors]. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

61. Salzman NH, Ghosh D, Huttner KM, *et al.* (2003) Protection against enteric salmonellosis in transgenic mice expressing a human intestinal defensin. *Nature* **422**, 522–526.

62. Hooper LV, Littman DR & Macpherson AJ (2012) Interactions between the microbiota and the immune system. *Science* **336**, 1268–1273.

63. Macpherson AJ & Uhr T (2004) Induction of protective IgA by intestinal dendritic cells carrying commensal bacteria. *Science* **303**, 1662–1665.

64. Macpherson AJ, Gatto D, Sainsbury E, *et al.* (2000) A primitive T cell-independent mechanism of intestinal mucosal IgA responses to commensal bacteria. *Science* **288**, 2222–2226.

65. Spits H & Di Santo JP (2011) The expanding family of innate lymphoid cells: regulators and effectors of immunity and tissue remodeling. *Nat Immunol* **12**, 21–27.

66. Takeda E, Yamamoto H, Nashiki K, *et al.* (2004) Inorganic phosphate homeostasis and the role of dietary phosphorus. *J Cell Mol Med* **8**, 191–200.

67. Taylor JG & Bushinsky DA (2009) Calcium and phosphorus homeostasis. *Blood Purif* **27**, 387–394.

68. Breves G & Schröder B (1991) Comparative aspects of gastrointestinal phosphorus metabolism. *Nutr Res Rev* **4**, 125–140.

69. Den Hartog LA, Huisman J, Thielen WJG, *et al.* (1988) The effect of including various structural polysaccharides in pig diets on ileal and faecal digestibility of amino acids and minerals. *Livest Prod Sci* **18**, 157–170.

70. Liu J, Bollinger DW, Ledoux DR, *et al.* (2000) Effects of dietary calcium:phosphorus ratios on apparent absorption of calcium and phosphorus in the small intestine, cecum, and colon of pigs. *J Anim Sci* **78**, 106–109.

71. Seynaeve M, Janssens G, Hesta M, *et al.* (2000) Effects of dietary Ca/P ratio. P level and microbial phytase supplementation on nutrient digestibilities in growing pigs: precaecal, post-ileal and total tract disappearances of OM, P and Ca. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* **83**, 36–48.

72. Larsen T & Sandström B (1993) Effect of dietary calcium level on mineral and trace element utilization from a rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) diet fed to ileum-fistulated pigs. *Br J Nutr* **69**, 211–224.

73. Jongbloed AW, Mroz Z & Kemme PA (1992) The effect of supplementary *Aspergillus niger* phytase in diets for pigs on concentration and apparent digestibility of dry matter, total phosphorus, and phytic acid in different sections of the alimentary tract. *J Anim Sci* **70**, 1159–1168.

74. Li D, Che XR, Wang YQ, *et al.* (1999) The effect of calcium level on microbial phytase activity and nutrient balance in swine. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci* **12**, 197–202.

75. Ruan Z, Zhang YG, Yin YL, *et al.* (2007) Dietary requirement of true digestible phosphorus and total calcium for growing pigs. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci* **20**, 1236–1242.

76. Fang RJ, Li TJ, Yin FG, *et al.* (2007) The additivity of true or apparent phosphorus digestibility values in some feed ingredients for growing pigs. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci* **20**, 1092–1099.

77. Rodehutscord M, Faust M & Pfeffer E (1999) The course of phosphorus excretion in growing pigs fed continuously increasing phosphorus concentrations after a phosphorus depletion. *Arch Anim Nutr* **52**, 323–334.

78. Yanke IJ, Bae HD, Selinger LB, *et al.* (1998) Phytase activity of anaerobic ruminal bacteria. *Microbiology* **144**, 1565–1573.

79. Shastak Y, Zeller E, Witzig M, *et al.* (2014) Effects of the composition of the basal diet on the evaluation of mineral phosphorus sources and interactions with phytate hydrolysis in broilers. *Poult Sci* **93**, 2548–2559.

80. Selle PH, Cowieson AJ & Ravindran V (2009) Consequences of calcium interactions with phytate and phytase for poultry and pigs. *Livest Sci* **124**, 126–141.

81. Düngelhoef M, Rodehutscord M, Spiekers H, *et al.* (1994) Effects of supplemental microbial phytase on availability of phosphorus contained in maize, wheat and triticale to pigs. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **49**, 1–10.

82. Ariza A, Moroz OV, Blagova EV, *et al.* (2013) Degradation of phytate by the 6-phytase from *Hafnia alvei*: a combined structural and solution study. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e65062.

83. Viveros A, Centeno C, Brenes A, *et al.* (2000) Phytase and acid phosphatase activities in plant feedstuffs. *J Agric Food Chem* **48**, 4009–4013.

84. Zimmermann B, Lantzsch HJ, Langbein U, *et al.* (2002) Determination of phytase activity in cereal grains by direct incubation. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* **86**, 347–352.

85. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Deckardt K, Schollenberger M, *et al.* (2014) Lactic acid and thermal treatments trigger the hydrolysis of *myo*-inositol hexakisphosphate and modify the abundance of lower *myo*-inositol phosphates in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *PLOS ONE* **9**, e101166.

86. Blaabjerg K, Nørgaard JV & Poulsen HD (2012) Effect of microbial phytase on phosphorus digestibility in non-heat-treated and heat-treated wheat-barley pig diets. *J Anim Sci* **90**, 206–208.

87. Blaabjerg K, Jørgensen H, Tauson AH, *et al.* (2010) Heat-treatment, phytase and fermented liquid feeding affect the presence of inositol phosphates in ileal digesta and phosphorus digestibility in pigs fed a wheat and barley diet. *Animal* **4**, 876–885.

88. Blaabjerg K, Carlson D, Hansen-Møller J, *et al.* (2007) *In vitro* degradation of phytate and lower inositol phosphates in soaked diets and feedstuffs. *Livest Sci* **109**, 240–243.

89. Partridge IG (1978) Studies on digestion and absorption in the intestines of growing pigs. 3. *Net movements of mineral nutrients in the digestive tract. Br J Nutr* **39**, 527–537.

90. Baumgärtel T, Metzler BU, Mosenthin R, *et al.* (2008) Precaecal and postileal metabolism of P. Ca and N in pigs as affected by different carbohydrate sources fed at low level of P intake. *Arch Anim Nutr* **62**, 169–181.

91. Mullarky IK, Wark WA, Dickenson M, *et al.* (2009) Short Communication: analysis of immune function in lactating dairy cows fed diets varying in phosphorus content. *J Dairy Sci* **92**, 365–368.

92. Kiersztejn M, Chervu I, Smogorzewski M, *et al.* (1992) On the mechanisms of impaired phagocytosis in phosphate depletion. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **2**, 1484–1489.

93. Eya JC & Lovell RT (1998) Effects of dietary phosphorus on resistance of channel catfish to *Edwarsiella ictaluri* challenge. *J Aquat Anim Health* **10**, 28–34.

94. Ghahri H, Rostami D, Zandiyeh MA, *et al.* (2012) The effects of phytase on performance, serum mineral levels, enzyme activities and immune function of broilers fed nutritionally marginal diets. *Middle East J Sci Res* **11**, 1481–1490.

95. Zyla K, Wikiera A, Koreleski J, *et al.* (2000) Comparison of the efficacies of a novel *Aspergillus niger* mycelium with separate and combined effectiveness of phytase, acid phosphatase, and pectinase in dephosphorylation of wheat-based feeds fed to growing broilers. *Poult Sci* **79**, 1434–1443.

96. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Gänzle MG, Mosenthin R, *et al.* (2012) Oat β-glucan and dietary calcium and phosphorus differentially modify intestinal expression of proinflammatory cytokines and monocarboxylate transporter 1 and cecal morphology in weaned pigs. *J Nutr* **142**, 668–674.

97. Engstrom GW, Horst RL, Reinhardt TA, *et al.* (1985) Effect of dietary phosphorus levels on porcine renal 25-hydroxy-vitamin D-1 α- and 24R-hydroxylase activities and plasma 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin $D_3$ concentration. *J Anim Sci* **60**, 1005–1011.

98. Lemire JM, Adams JS, Sakai R, *et al.* (1984) 1α,25-Dihydroxyvitamin $D_3$ suppresses proliferation and immunoglobulin production by normal human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *J Clin Invest* **74**, 657–661.

99. Tsoukas CD, Provvedini DM & Manolagas SC (1984) 1,25-Dihydroxyvitamin $D_3$: a novel immunoregulatory hormone. *Science* **224**, 1438–1440.

100. National Research Council (1988) *Nutrient Requirements of Swine*, 9th ed.. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

101. Massry SG (1978) The clinical syndrome of phosphate depletion. *Adu Exp Med Biol* **103**, 301–312.

102. Miller AT, Sandberg M, Huang YH, *et al.* (2007) Production of Ins(1,3,4,5)P4 mediated by the kinase Itpkb inhibits store-operated calcium channels and regulates B cell selection and activation. *Nat Immunol* **8**, 514–521.

103. Manolagas SC, Provvedini DM & Tsoukas CD (1985) Interactions of 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin $D_3$ and the immune system. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* **43**, 113–122.

104. Murphy WJ, Rui H & Longo DL (1995) Effects of growth hormone and prolactin immune development and function. *Life Sci* **57**, 1–14.

105. Snow EC, Feldbush TL & Oaks JA (1981) The effect of growth hormone and insulin upon MLC responses and the generation of cytotoxic lymphocytes. *J Immunol* **126**, 161–164.

106. Mercola KE, Cline MJ & Golde DW (1981) Growth hormone stimulation of normal and leukemic human T-lymphocyte proliferation *in vitro*. *Blood* **58**, 337–340.

107. McKay DM & Baird AW (1999) Cytokine regulation of epithelial permeability and ion transport. *Gut* **44**, 283–289.

108. Shaw AL, van Ginkel FW, Macklin KS, *et al.* (2011) Effects of phytase supplementation in broiler diets on a natural *Eimeria* challenge in naive and vaccinated birds. *Poult Sci* **90**, 781–790.

109. Zhang L, Liu R, Song M, et al. (2013) *Eimeria tenella*: interleukin 17 contributes to host immunopathology in the gut during experimental infection. *Exp Parasitol* **133**, 121–130.

110. Webster Marketon JI & Glaser R (2008) Stress hormones and immune function. *Cell Immunol* **252**, 16–26.

111. Welniak LA, Sun R & Murphy WJ (2002) The role of growth hormone in T-cell development and reconstitution. *J Leukoc Biol* **71**, 381–387.

112. Wood HG & Clark JE (1988) Biological aspects of inorganic polyphosphates. *Annu Rev Biochem* **57**, 235–260.

113. Caldwell DR, Keeney M, Barton JS, et al. (1973) Sodium and other inorganic growth requirements of *Bacteroides amylophilus*. *J Bacteriol* **114**, 782–789.

114. Francis GL, Gawthorne JM & Storer GB (1978) Factors affecting the activity of cellulases isolated from the rumen digesta of sheep. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **36**, 643–649.

115. Lee SF, Forsberg CW & Gibbins LN (1985) Cellulolytic activity of *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **50**, 220–228.

116. Metzler BU & Mosenthin R (2008) A review of interactions between dietary fiber and the gastrointestinal microbiota and their consequences on intestinal phosphorus metabolism in growing pigs. *Asian Austral J Anim Sci* **21**, 603–615.

117. Komisarczuk S, Merry RJ & McAllan AB (1987) Effect of different levels of phosphorus on rumen microbial fermentation and synthesis determined using a continuous culture technique. *Br J Nutr* **57**, 279–290.

118. Metzler BU, Mosenthin R, Baumgärtel T, et al. (2009) Effects of fermentable carbohydrates and low dietary phosphorus supply on the chemical composition of faecal bacteria and microbial metabolites in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr* **93**, 130–139.

119. Varley PF, McCarney C, Callan JJ, et al. (2010) Effect of dietary mineral level and inulin inclusion on phosphorus, calcium and nitrogen utilisation, intestinal microflora and bone development. *J Sci Food Agric* **90**, 2447–2454.

120. Metzler BU, Vahjen W, Baumgärtel T, et al. (2009) Changes in bacterial populations in the ileum of pigs fed low-phosphorus diets supplemented with different sources of fermentable carbohydrates. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **148**, 68–89.

121. Nic Fhogartaigh C & Dance DAB (2013) Bacterial gastroenteritis. *Medicine* **41**, 693–699.

122. Mani V, Weber TE, Baumgard LH, et al. (2012) Growth and development symposium: endotoxin, inflammation, and intestinal function in livestock. *J Anim Sci* **90**, 1452–1465.

123. Zebeli Q & Metzler-Zebeli BU (2012) Interplay between rumen digestive disorders and diet-induced inflammation in dairy cattle. *Res Vet Sci* **93**, 1099–1108.

124. Metzler-Zebeli BU, Vahjen W, Baumgärtel T, et al. (2010) Ileal microbiota of growing pigs fed different dietary calcium phosphate levels and phytase content and subjected to ileal pectin infusion. *J Anim Sci* **88**, 147–158.

125. Metzler BU, Mosenthin R, Baumgärtel T, et al. (2008) The effect of dietary phosphorus and calcium level, phytase supplementation, and ileal infusion of pectin on the chemical composition and carbohydrase activity of fecal bacteria and the level of microbial metabolites in the gastrointestinal tract of pigs. *J Anim Sci* **86**, 1544–1555.

126. Komisarczuk S, Durand M, Beaumatin P, et al. (1987) Effects of phosphorus deficiency on rumen microbial activity associated with the solid and liquid phases of a fermentor (Rusitec). *Reprod Nutr Dev* **27**, 907–919.

127. Larsen N, Nissen P & Willats WGT (2007) The effect of calcium ions on adhesion and competitive exclusion of *Lactobacillus* ssp. and *E. coli* O138. *Int J Food Microbiol* **114**, 113–119.

128. Rojas M & Conway PL (1996) Colonization by lactobacilli of piglet small intestinal mucus. *J Appl Bacteriol* **81**, 474–480.

129. Erickson AK, Willgohs JA, McFarland SY, et al. (1992) Identification of two porcine brush border glycoproteins that bind the K88ac adhesin of *Escherichia coli* and correlation of these glycoproteins with the adhesive phenotype. *Infect Immun* **60**, 983–988.

130. Berridge MJ, Bootman MD & Roderick HL (2003) Calcium signalling: dynamics, homeostasis and remodelling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **4**, 517–529.

131. Mann E, Schmitz-Esser S, Zebeli Q, et al. (2014) Mucosa-associated bacterial microbiome of the gastrointestinal tract of weaned pigs and dynamics linked to dietary calcium-phosphorus. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e86950.

132. Staib L & Fuchs TM (2014) From food to cell: nutrient exploitation strategies of enteropathogens. *Microbiology* **160**, 1020–1039.

133. Kröger C & Fuchs TM (2009) Characterization of the *myo*-inositol utilization island of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *J Bacteriol* **191**, 545–554.

134. Carnell SC, Bowen A, Morgan E, et al. (2007) Role in virulence and protective efficacy in pigs of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium secreted components identified by signature-tagged mutagenesis. *Microbiology* **153**, 1940–1952.

135. Chaudhuri RR, Morgan E, Peters SE, et al. (2013) Comprehensive assignment of roles for *Salmonella* typhimurium genes in intestinal colonization of food-producing animals. *PLoS Genet* **9**, e1003456.

136. Pizarro-Cerdá J & Cossart P (2004) Subversion of phosphoinositide metabolism by intracellular bacterial pathogens. *Nat Cell Biol* **6**, 1026–1033.

137. Zhou D, Chen LM, Hernandez L, et al. (2001) A *Salmonella* inositol polyphosphatase acts in conjunction with other bacterial effectors to promote host cell actin cytoskeleton rearrangements and bacterial internalization. *Mol Microbiol* **39**, 248–259.

138. Marcus SL, Knodler LA & Finlay BB (2002) *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium effector SigD/SopB is membrane-associated and ubiquitinated inside host cells. *Cell Microbiol* **4**, 435–446.

139. Mason D, Mallo GV, Terebiznik MR, et al. (2007) Alteration of epithelial structure and function associated with PtdIns(4,5)P$_2$ degradation by a bacterial phosphatase. *J Gen Physiol* **129**, 267–283.

140. Boyle EC, Brown NF & Finlay BB (2006) *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium effectors SopB. *SopE, SopE2 and SipA disrupt tight junction structure and function. Cell Microbiol* **8**, 1946–1957.

141. Reineke J, Tenzer S, Rupnik M, et al. (2007) Autocatalytic cleavage of *Clostridium difficile* toxin B. *Nature* **446**, 415–419.

142. Egerer M, Giesemann T, Jank T, et al. (2007) Auto-catalytic cleavage of *Clostridium difficile* toxins A and B depends on cysteine protease activity. *J Biol Chem* **282**, 25314–25321.

143. Prochazkova K, Shuvalova LA, Minasov G, et al. (2009) Structural and molecular mechanism for autoprocessing of MARTX toxin of *Vibrio cholerae* at multiple sites. *J Biol Chem* **284**, 26557–26568.

144. Guttenberg G, Hornei S, Jank T, et al. (2012) Molecular characteristics of *Clostridium perfringens* TpeL toxin and consequences of Mono-O-GlcNAcylation of Ras in living cells. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 24929–24940.

145. Blaabjerg K, Hansen-Møller J & Poulsen HD (2010) High-performance ion chromatography method for separation and quantification of inositol phosphates in diets and digesta. *J Chromatogr B* **878**, 347–354.

146. Bovee-Oudenhoven IM, Wissink ML, Wouters JT, *et al.* (1999) Dietary calcium phosphate stimulates intestinal lactobacilli and decreases the severity of a *Salmonella* infection in rats. *J Nutr* **129**, 607–612.

147. Lawlor PG, Lynch PB, Caffrey PJ, *et al.* (2005) Measurements of the acid-binding capacity of ingredients used in pig diets. *Ir Vet J* **58**, 447–452.

148. De Lange CFM, Pluske J, Gong J, *et al.* (2010) Strategic use of feed ingredients and feed additives to stimulate gut health and development in young pigs. *Livest Sci* **134**, 124–134.

149. Chauvière G, Coconnier MH, Kernéis S, *et al.* (1992) Adhesion of human *Lactobacillus acidophilus* strain LB to human enterocyte-like Caco-2 cells. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**, 1689–1696.

150. De Vuyst L, Callewaert R & Crabbé K (1996) Primary metabolite kinetics of bacteriocin biosynthesis by *Lactobacillus amylovorus* and evidence for stimulation of bacteriocin production under unfavourable growth conditions. *Microbiology* **142**, 817–827.

151. Walter J, Britton RA & Roos S (2011) Host-microbial symbiosis in the vertebrate gastrointestinal tract and the *Lactobacillus reuteri* paradigm. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, 4645–4652.