

Turning Numbers into Pictures in the Elementary Classroom

"I am glad that you got to be her [I am glad that you got to be here]." The words were carefully printed by an eight-year-old girl on pink notebook paper and handed to me after I finished a lesson on making graphs. There were hugs and thank-you's and lots of smiles. After a math lesson, you ask? Yes, after a math lesson.

I began the morning with the first of the three third-grade classes by printing my name across the chalkboard, introducing myself, and telling the students where I worked and what I do in my job. After a few minutes, I directed the topic to the subject of the day's math lesson: graphs. As part of my work in the Engineering Economic Evaluations Group at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), I generate a variety of charts and graphs.

Holding up a newspaper, I asked the students, "Does anyone read the newspaper?" Nearly all students raised their hands, so I continued, "What is your favorite section?" I called on eight to ten students, who described favorite sections. I then held the newspaper up again, fully open so everyone could see the entire front page, and asked, "What portion of this page is the most interesting?" Every student I called on mentioned one of the photographs on the front, so I then asked, "Which draws your attention more? Pictures or words?" "Pictures!" they all answered at once (momentarily forgetting to raise their hands and be called on). Now it was time to tell the students the lesson's objective: "Today, we're going to turn numbers into pictures."

I showed several examples of tables of numbers and graphs from the newspaper and from my office. "Which is more interesting?" "Which is easier to understand?" The graphs, of course. Ten minutes had passed since I had written my name on the chalkboard. Now we were ready to work.

As I talked with the students about how useful graphs were in a variety of situations, I walked up and down the rows of desks handing out copies of a worksheet I had made. On the sheet were rows of empty boxes with an axis label printed across one side of the paper. I continued talking and explaining the task at hand as I passed out snack-sized bags of M&Ms [NOTE: Before passing out any food products, check with the teacher and students for food allergies or restrictions!]. Less than fifteen minutes later, twenty-six color-coded bar graphs were finished. The classroom teacher and I checked each graph before the student was allowed to "consume the data."

The students were visibly proud of their graphs. My instructions for completing the task had been minimal: I showed them a completed worksheet that I had done from my own bag of M&Ms, and I asked them to count their M&Ms and color their bar graphs with crayons that matched the colors of the candy. The students used a variety of approaches to sorting, counting, and recording—but that's *good*. These students learned much more than how to count M&Ms and color in a bar graph. Each student had the chance to analyze a problem, develop an approach to solving it, and evaluate his or her results. Every student had a chance to contribute and ask questions, and every student left the classroom having experienced "success" in math class that day.

Today's students have difficulty with problem-solving in science and math. Beginning in the middle grades, when students are asked to apply basic principles to abstract situations, there is a sharp decline in standardized test scores. Students find their interest and enthusiasm bounded by their lack of a solid foundation in fundamental skills. There is little understanding of how math becomes the language of science, or how fundamental science and math skills figure in everyday life and careers. Putting scientists, engineers, and technicians in the classroom provides the answer to every student's question, "Why do I need to learn those multiplication tables?"

In January 1991, the Engineering Technology Division (ETD) of ORNL decided to develop an organized science and math education support program. An initial interest survey identified personnel who wanted to contribute to the program. This

group of more than 40 employees became the Division's Education Team. A planning meeting was held to find out what education activities the members were involved in, what new activities should be considered, and what general education philosophies should guide decisions. A data sheet of the meeting's discussion became a second interest survey that was completed by all team members. The tabulated results indicated that members felt comfortable giving presentations, wanted to be a presence in a single school (adopt-a-school), and wanted to encourage and motivate curiosity in science and math.

Meetings with Division management and school administrators were held to select an elementary school and target grade level. The program was to begin its first school year with weekly presentations to all third-grade classes. Topics for presentations that would supplement the present curriculum were selected by the classroom teachers and became sign-up sheets at the next team meeting. In addition, team members would serve as technical judges for the school-wide science fair and provide information and support to other teachers, as requested. At the end of this first year, the program would be evaluated, modified, and expanded to include presentations for the fourth grade.

Lesson evaluation forms for each presentation were completed by the classroom teacher. The team coordinator led a wrap-up session with students to query their impressions of science as a whole, their likes and dislikes about the program, and their "wish list" for next year's presentations. This information was coupled with discussions with teachers, school administrators, and team members in making changes and improvements in ETD's program. As a result, the number of lessons in the third grade are now fewer, topics for the fourth grade are more complex than originally planned, and the team is looking at ways to include parents as we expand the program into the fifth grade in the coming school year.

Are industry-led education support programs effective? Comparing standardized test scores may be tempting, but it is important to remember that those tests may not reflect the broader applications and dynamic concepts presented. High school dropout rates and numbers of students enrolling in high school elective science and math courses are values more likely to reflect the positive impact of the education support program. Industry may need to rely on less formal measures of success:

The Education Exchange highlights the experiences of scientists and engineers with local schools, along with helpful hints and resources. If you would like to share your own involvement in science education, contact Finley Shapiro, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA 19104, U.S.A.
Telephone (215) 895-6749
Fax (215) 895-1695
Email: shapiro@ece.drexel.edu

positive comments from teachers and students, presenters who feel that they reached the students, and administrators from industry and education who want to continue the program.

Students need a reason to learn. Schools need to see what a valuable resource their local technical community can be. Industry needs to see how easy it is to help. And to the little girl who wrote "I am glad jat you got to be her"—I am glad I got to be there too!

CATHERINE WAGNER

Acknowledgments

This work was prepared by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-6285, managed by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC05-84OR21400.

Catherine Wagner holds a degree in Education and is the Precollege Education Coordinator for the Engineering Technology Division (ETD) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory. Technology in Education: A Guidebook for De-

veloping a Science and Math Education Support Program, ORNL TM-12145, details ETD's education program and serves as an easy-to-use guide for any technical organization or school that would like to develop an individualized science and math education support program. Limited copies are available by writing to Catherine Wagner, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Building 9102-1/MS 8038, P. O. Box 2009, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831-8038. □

To receive additional information on how you can get involved in enhancing K-12 science education, circle number 120 on the Reader Service Card.

**KEY ADVANCES
IN MATERIALS SCIENCE**

**ELECTRON
MICRODIFFRACTION**

by J.C.H. Spence and J. M. Zuo

Electron Microdiffraction features the most recent theoretical and experimental developments and details the latest advances in the applications of the transmission electron microscope. The authors thoroughly discuss space-group determination by CBED, structure factor measurement by CBED, coherent CBED (holograms, ronchigrams) from defects using subnanometer probes, and strain measurement using HOLZ line shifts. This unique text discusses historical aspects of the field, basic principles, and current progress in applications, experimental techniques, computer algorithms, and instrumentation.

0-306-44262-0/382 pp./ill./1992/\$49.50

**NONDESTRUCTIVE
CHARACTERIZATION OF
MATERIALS IV**

edited by Clayton O. Ruud, Jean F. Bussière, and Robert E. Green, Jr.

This comprehensive collection of sixty papers surveys the applications of NDC to the measurement of the physical and mechanical properties of materials, such as microstructure, residual stress, texture, dislocation density, and distribution.

0-306-44047-4/proceedings/528 pp./ill./1991 \$125.00

Book prices are 20% higher outside US & Canada.

**PHYSICS OF LOW-
DIMENSIONAL
SEMICONDUCTOR
STRUCTURES**

edited by Paul Butcher, Norman H. March, and Mario P. Tosi

This authoritative volume surveys the physics of heterostructures containing low-dimensional electron and hole gases. Contributors analyze the electronic and phonon structure of quantum wells, superlattices, quantum wires, and quantum dots; and explore diffusive, mesoscopic, and ballistic electron transport. A volume in the series *Physics of Solids and Liquids*.

0-306-44170-5/576 pp. + index/ill./1992/\$120.00

**REVIEW OF PROGRESS IN
QUANTITATIVE
NONDESTRUCTIVE
EVALUATION
Volume 12**

edited by Donald O. Thompson and Dale E. Chimenti

This exhaustive two-part volume covers the latest advances in NDE research. Part A features the development of standard NDE techniques, emerging inspection technologies, interpretive signal processing and image analysis, and sensors and new techniques. Part B covers engineered materials; material properties; nonlinearity, deformation, and fracture; civil structures and materials; and systems, process control, and reliability.

0-306-44483-6/proceedings/2,376 pp. + index ill./1993/\$345.00

Bound in two volumes



PLENUM PUBLISHING CORPORATION
233 Spring Street, New York, NY 10013-1578
Telephone orders: 212-620-8000/1-800-221-9369

Advertisers in This issue:

	Page No.
ASM International	69
Burleigh Instruments, Inc.	21
Digital Instruments	6
EDAX International	19
Elsevier Science Publishers	66, 68
The Gem Dugout	7
High Voltage Engineering/ Europa BV	inside front cover
Huntington	back cover
IOP Publishing	26
Lake Shore Cryotronics, Inc.	22
MKS Instruments, Inc.	3
Plenum Publishing Corp.	64
Princeton Gamma-Tech, Inc.	14
Quantum Design	13
Rexham Industrial	12
Rudolph Research	8
Sandia National Laboratory	26
SPEX Industries, Inc.	11
TopoMetrix	inside back cover
University of California, Berkeley	41
VCH	18, 62
Virginia Semiconductor, Inc.	10
Voltaix, Inc.	9

For free information about the products and services offered in this issue, fill out and mail the Reader Service Card, or FAX it to (312) 922-3165.

Circle No. 22 on Reader Service Card.