Alexithymia and frontal–amygdala functional connectivity in North Korean refugees
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Abstract

**Background.** Refugees commonly experience difficulties with emotional processing, such as alexithymia, due to stressful or traumatic experiences. However, the functional connectivity of the amygdala, which is central to emotional processing, has yet to be assessed in refugees. Thus, the present study investigated the resting-state functional connectivity of the amygdala and its association with emotional processing in North Korean (NK) refugees.

**Methods.** This study included 45 NK refugees and 40 native South Koreans (SK). All participants were administered the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), and Clinician-administered PTSD Scale (CAPS), and differences between NK refugees and native SK in terms of resting-state functional connectivity of the amygdala were assessed. Additionally, the association between the strength of amygdala connectivity and the TAS score was examined.

**Results.** Resting-state connectivity values from the left amygdala to the bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dPFC) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) were higher in NK refugees than in native SK. Additionally, the strength of connectivity between the left amygdala and right dPFC was positively associated with TAS score after controlling for the number of traumatic experiences and BDI and CAPS scores.

**Conclusions.** The present study found that NK refugees exhibited heightened frontal–amygdala connectivity, and that this connectivity was correlated with alexithymia. The present results suggest that increased frontal–amygdala connectivity in refugees may represent frontal down-regulation of the amygdala, which in turn may produce alexithymia.

Introduction

The United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees reported that there were over 15 million refugees worldwide in 2012. Refugees are vulnerable to various traumatic and stressful experiences before, during, and after evacuation, and these experiences may cause emotional disturbances, such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), depression, anxiety, and/or alexithymia (Keyes, 2000), which can last for up to 2 decades after resettlement in a host country (Marshall et al., 2005). The amygdala is a key neural substrate underlying emotional disturbances following traumatic or stressful events (Brown et al., 2014) because it plays pivotal roles in the generation and expression of affect (Davis, 1992). Furthermore, structural and functional alterations in the amygdala are associated with long-term exposure to stress (Jedd et al., 2015).

Altered resting-state connectivity of the amygdala has been reported in individuals exposed to traumatic or stressful environments. Increased amygdala connectivity to the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dPFC) was reported in late adolescents who experienced childhood maltreatment (Herrringa et al., 2013). PTSD patients with dissociative symptoms exhibit greater connectivity between the amygdala and prefrontal cortex compared with PTSD without dissociative symptoms (Nicholson et al., 2015). Because the frontal cortex likely inhibits amygdala-related fear and anxiety (Banks et al., 2007), strengthened frontal–amygdala connectivity after a traumatic experience might underlie difficulties in the identification and expression of emotion, i.e. dissociation or alexithymia.

However, because different traumatic experiences lead to different types of neural alterations (Boccia et al., 2016), findings based on individuals who experienced childhood abuse or other trauma cannot be generalized to other groups, such as refugees (Liemburg et al.,...
Previous functional neuroimaging studies of alexithymia reported that alexithymia was associated with reduced activity of the limbic or paralimbic areas during emotional stimuli or imagery tasks, enhanced activity in the somatosensory or sensorimotor areas during exposure to stimuli with a physical context, and reduced activity in the insula or prefrontal cortex during social tasks (Moriguchi et al., 2006; Moriguchi and Komaki, 2013). Alexithymia has also been reported to be associated with structural brain changes, such as in gray matter volume in the insula or cingulate cortex (Goerlich-Dobre et al., 2014a).

To explore the functional connectivity of the amygdala in a traumatized population, North Korean (NK) refugees living in South Korea, who had been exposed to a variety of stressful and traumatic situations, were recruited to this study. These individuals lived under the extremely oppressive NK political system before defection, and most experienced frequent life-threatening traumatic situations during defection. They also experienced socioeconomic difficulties as they adapted to the developed South Korean (SK) society after settlement. Moreover, NK refugees have been reported to exhibit altered emotional processing (Park et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2017), and they are more likely to be alexithymic than are native SKs. Thus, NK refugees may show altered fronto-amygdala connectivity in association with their alexithymia.

Therefore, the present study examined differences between NK refugees and native SK in terms of resting-state functional connectivity of the amygdala. Alexithymia can be defined as suppression of emotional recognition or expression, so in refugees it would likely be associated with compensatory hyper-regulation of the amygdala by the prefrontal cortex in an effort to avoid negative affect. It was hypothesized that the amygdala would show increased functional connectivity to the frontal cortex in NK refugees compared with native SK, and that the connectivity strength between the amygdala and frontal cortex would be positively associated with disturbances in emotional processing, such as alexithymia, in NK refugees.

Methods

Participants

NK refugees and native SK were recruited via advertisements. The exclusion criteria for all participants were as follows: (1) any metal or other implants that violated MRI safety standards and/or (2) a history of head injury, neurological disorders, untreated serious medical illness, and/or any neurodevelopmental disorders. SKs with a lifetime history of psychiatric disorder were screened and excluded. Six participants (five NK refugees and one native SK) were excluded because their MR images displayed artifacts. There were no significant demographic or clinical differences between the excluded and included participants. Ultimately, 45 NK refugees who were living in South Korea and 40 native SK controls were included in the present study. NK refugees in South Korea are predominantly female and middle-aged; NK refugees in the current study showed similar gender and age distributions. SK controls were matched for age and gender. For the NK refugees, the average time between their first departure from North Korea and participation in the present study was 9.55 ± 5.30 years; during defection they spent an average of 3.83 ± 4.29 years in countries other than South or North Korea, and their average length of residence time in South Korea was 5.40 ± 2.75 years. The research protocol of the present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital and all participants provided written informed consent after receiving a comprehensive written and verbal description of the study.

Procedure

All participants were assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV (SCID) (First et al., 1997), Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) (Beck et al., 1996), and revised Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS) (Taylor et al., 1992). For the NK refugee group, additional assessments were performed with the Clinician-administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) (Blake et al., 1995) and a short interview after the clinical assessment, in which they were asked to briefly describe their life history and types of traumatic experiences. An MRI scanning session was carried out within 1–4 weeks of the clinical assessment. To protect against misunderstandings, the meaning of the questionnaire was fully explained, item by item, to the NK refugees.

The BDI is a widely used 4-point Likert-type self-report scale that assesses depressive symptoms. It consists of 21 items that measure most symptoms associated with depressive disorders; a higher BDI total score indicates greater symptom severity. The revised TAS is 23-item self-report questionnaire that assesses alexithymia symptoms and consists of three subscales: Difficulty Describing Feelings (DDF), which assesses the inability to verbally express emotional states; Difficulty Identifying Feeling (DIF), which evaluates the inability to consciously distinguish and recognize emotional states; and Externally Oriented Thinking (EOT), which measures the tendency to focus attention externally. In previous research on 343 community-dwelling subjects without psychiatric disorders, the mean total score on the Korean version of the TAS was 32.51 ± 11.07 (Lee et al., 2010).

The CAPS is a 30-item structured interview assessing current and lifetime diagnoses of PTSD based on the DSM-IV. The re-experience, avoidance, arousal, and dissociative subtypes are evaluated in terms of symptom frequency, intensity, and severity (the sum of symptom frequency and intensity); the current and
lifetime CAPS scores used in the present study were the sum scores of current and lifetime symptom severity, respectively. The Trauma Exposure Check List for NK Refugees was used to evaluate the types of traumatic events that NK refugees had experienced during residency in North Korea, or in the process of escape (Jeon et al., 2005). This checklist assesses 23 common traumatic events experienced by NK refugees, including torture, violence, arrest, imprisonment, human trafficking, witnessing public executions, and other life-threatening incidents.

**fMRI data acquisition**

Functional and structural images were acquired using a 3T MRI system (Trio Tim, Siemens; Erlangen, Germany) with a 12-channel birdcage head coil. For resting-state fMRI image acquisition, T2*-weighted echo-planar imaging (EPI) was used with the following parameters: TR/TE/flip angle = 3500 ms/30 ms/90°, slice thickness = 3.5 mm, in-plane resolution = 1.9 × 1.9 mm², field of view = 240 mm, and matrix size = 128 × 128. For structural image acquisition, T1-weighted, 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (3D MPRAE) was used with the following parameters: TR/TE/TI/flip angle = 1670 ms/1.89 ms/900 ms/9°, slice thickness = 1.0 mm, in-plane resolution = 1.0 × 1.0 mm², field of view = 250 mm, and matrix size = 256 × 256. A certified psychologist and an MRI engineer were present to supervise the entire procedure during the scanning sessions. During the resting-state scan (6 min, 58 s), participants were instructed to stay awake and stare at the black monitor screen with their eyes open. During structural image acquisition (3 min, 54 s), participants were instructed to stay relaxed.

**fMRI data preprocessing**

The resting-state fMRI data were preprocessed using SPM12 software (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK). All images were checked via visual inspection for gross motion artifacts due to the continuous movement of the patient throughout the scans, and for susceptibility artifacts due to metallic foreign bodies, allowing removal of data possibly corrupted due to artifacts. Six motion correction parameters obtained from the pre-processing procedure, and outliers obtained from ART-based scrubbing, were also removed (the 97th percentile in the normative sample was used to detect outliers). The coordinate origin-of-input image was set to the anterior commissure prior to preprocessing, functional volumes were realigned, and differences in slice timing were corrected. The functional images were co-registered with anatomical images and then spatially normalized to Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space using a transformation matrix derived from the T1 anatomical image segmentation; the obtained functional images were 3 × 3 × 3 mm³. Finally, functional images were spatially smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with a full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) of 6 mm.

**Functional connectivity analysis**

The CONN functional connectivity toolbox v16b (http://www.nitrc.org/projects/conn) was used to perform the resting-state functional connectivity analyses (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012). All data were bandpass filtered (0.008–0.09 Hz) and physiological, and other spurious sources of noise in the blood oxygenation level-dependent signal were removed by component-based noise correction (CompCor) (Behzadi et al., 2007). Six motion correction parameters obtained from the pre-processing procedure were also removed. The seed-to-voxel analysis was performed using the bilateral amygdala as the seed region, which was predefined using the Harvard-Oxford atlas in FSL (MRIB, Oxford, UK) (Smith et al., 2004). The mean time course was calculated from each seed; then, Pearson’s correlation analyses were performed including all other voxels in the cerebral region. For the group level analysis, Pearson’s correlation coefficients were converted to z-scores using Fisher’s r-to-z transformation to improve normality, and a second-level general linear model was carried out with the independent t test used to compare the mean z-scores of the NK refugees and native SK. Entire functional clusters whose connectivity with the bilateral amygdala differed significantly between groups were extracted. The mean z-values of the connectivity between the amygdala and functional clusters that showed group differences were calculated, and were regarded as indicating the strength of functional connectivity. The reported results of the seed-to-voxel correlation analyses were thresholded at a family-wise error rate (FWE) corrected cluster level of p < 0.05, and an uncorrected peak level of p < 0.001 for each seed.

**Statistical analysis**

To compare the demographic and clinical data of the two groups, independent t tests were used to analyze continuous values and chi-square tests were performed for analysis of categorical values. Two-sample t tests were performed to identify significant between-group differences in functional connectivity according to the z-maps of the bilateral amygdala of the two groups. Additionally, Pearson’s correlation analyses of the relationship between connectivity strength (mean z-value) and the clinical data were performed within the NK refugee group to investigate the associations of functional connectivity with clinical symptom severity.

**Results**

The demographic characteristics of the 45 NK refugees and 40 native SK are summarized in Table 1. There was only a marginal difference in TAS scores (p = 0.074). Of the three TAS subscales, NK refugees had higher scores on the DIF than the native SK (p = 0.001). Additionally, based on the SCID and CAPS, current Axis-I psychiatric disorders were diagnosed in 16 NK refugees; however, psychotropics were prescribed to only three NK refugees at the time of the study. Correlation between TAS, BDI, CAPS and number of traumatic experiences were described in the online Supplementary Table S1.

**Differences in functional connectivity of the amygdala between NK refugees and native SK**

Compared with native SK, NK refugees showed greater functional connectivity between the left amygdala and left dlPFC [Brodmann area (BA) = 10, k = 115, z = 4.608, corrected p = 0.047] and right dlPFC (BA = 10, k = 216, z = 4.002, corrected p = 0.003; Table 2, Fig. 1). Additionally, in NK refugees, the left amygdala showed higher connectivity to the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) than in native SK (BA = 32, k = 163, z = 4.646, corrected p = 0.008). In contrast, the functional connectivity of the right amygdala seed did not differ between the two groups. There
were no significant differences in functional connectivity between NK refugees with and without current Axis-I psychiatric disorders, or between NK refugees taking and not taking psychotropic medication. Even after excluding NK refugees with current Axis-I psychiatric disorders and those taking psychotropic medication, the functional connectivity strength for all three connections (i.e. left amygdala–right dlPFC, left amygdala–left dlPFC, and left amygdala–dACC) was significantly higher in NK refugees than in native SK. After controlling for education and income, the group differences in functional connectivity strength remained significant.

We conducted an additional whole-brain comparison of connectivity with the amygdala between NK refugees and native SK, after excluding NK refugees with current Axis-I disorders and those taking psychotropic medications, and after controlling for education and income. After excluding those with Axis I disorders, the left amygdala showed higher connectivity to the right dlPFC, the right dACC, and the left fusiform cortex in NK refugees (online Supplementary Table S3 and Supplementary Fig. S2). After controlling for income and education, higher connectivity between the left amygdala and the bilateral insula and between the left amygdala and the right insula, was found in NK refugees (online Supplementary Table S4 and Supplementary Fig. S3).

**Correlation between alexithymia and connectivity strength of the amygdala**

The functional connectivity strength (i.e. \( z \) value) between the left amygdala and right dlPFC had a positive association with TAS total score in the NK refugees (\( r = 0.309, p = 0.047 \); Fig. 2) and, among the TAS subscales, DIF was correlated with the strength of functional connectivity between the left amygdala and right dlPFC (\( r = 0.332, p = 0.032 \)). DDF and EOT were not significantly correlated with this left amygdala–right dlPFC connectivity strength (\( r = 0.293, p = 0.060 \) and \( r = 0.212, p = 0.177 \), respectively). The association between amygdala–dlPFC connectivity

---

**Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study participants \( (N=85) \)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>South Korean controls (n = 40)</th>
<th>North Korean refugees (n = 45)</th>
<th>Group comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>( t, ) or ( \chi^2 ) value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>34.8 (1.84)</td>
<td>36.31 (1.69)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
<td>10 (22%)</td>
<td>( \chi^2 = 0.91 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income*</td>
<td>2.60 (1.12)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.90)</td>
<td>3.35**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>15.68 (3.79)</td>
<td>11.78 (4.02)</td>
<td>4.01***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since settlement in South Korea (years)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.40 (2.75)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis I psychiatric disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eating disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized anxiety disorder</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychotropic medication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-anxiety &amp; hypnotics</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-depressant</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current CAPS Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23.78 (4.05)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime CAPS Total</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>41.11 (4.91)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI</td>
<td>5.15 (0.90)</td>
<td>16.33 (2.30)</td>
<td>4.49***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS total</td>
<td>27.04 (2.15)</td>
<td>33.5 (2.43)</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS – DDF</td>
<td>8.32 (0.90)</td>
<td>9.07 (0.77)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS – DIF</td>
<td>4.52 (0.96)</td>
<td>10.49 (1.16)</td>
<td>3.55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS – EOT</td>
<td>14.2 (0.73)</td>
<td>13.94 (0.85)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma Exposure Check List (number of traumas experienced)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.86 (3.13)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAPS, Clinical-administered PTSD Scale; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; TAS, Toronto Alexithymia Scale; TAS-DDF, TAS-Difficulty Describing Feelings; TAS-DIF, TAS-Difficulty Identifying Feeling; TAS-EOT, TAS-Externally Oriented Thinking.

*Monthly income was classified into five levels (1: no income; 2: under 1 million KRW; 3: 1–2 million KRW; 4: 2–3 million KRW; 5: over 3 million KRW)

**\( p < 0.05 \), ***\( p < 0.01 \).
and DIF total score became non-significant after additionally controlling for DDF and EOT ($r = 0.262$, $p = 0.129$). DIF was a significant mediator of differences in left amygdala–right dlPFC connectivity between SKs and NK refugees (Sobel test statistic = $2.291$, $p = 0.022$). However, after controlling for BDI score, the mediation effect was no longer significant.

The associations between amygdala–dlPFC connectivity and TAS total score remained significant even after controlling for CAPS score, BDI score, and the number of traumatic experiences of NK refugees (left amygdala–right dlPFC, $r = 0.396$, $p = 0.015$; left amygdala–left dlPFC, $r = 0.390$, $p = 0.017$). CAPS scores for NK refugees were not significantly correlated with left amygdala–right dlPFC connectivity ($r = 0.032$, $p = 0.833$), left amygdala–left dlPFC connectivity ($r = 0.132$, $p = 0.387$), or left amygdala–dACC connectivity ($r = 0.190$, $p = 0.211$). NK refugees’ BDI scores were also not significantly correlated with left amygdala–right dlPFC connectivity ($r = 0.061$, $p = 0.709$), left amygdala–dlPFC connectivity ($r = 0.092$, $p = 0.571$), or left amygdala–dACC connectivity ($r = 0.097$, $p = 0.551$).

The correlation analysis performed using the CONN toolbox was employed for whole-brain analysis of NK refugees, to detect any brain areas whose connectivity with the amygdala was significantly correlated with TAS score. Connectivity with the amygdala was correlated with TAS total scores in a wide range of brain areas.
areas, including the prefrontal and cingulate areas (online Supplementary Fig. S4).

Discussion

The present study found differences between NK refugees and native SK in terms of resting-state functional connectivity of the amygdala. Specifically, NK refugees had heightened connectivity between the amygdala and frontal–cortical regions, including the bilateral dlPFC and dACC. Additionally, the stronger connectivity between the amygdala and dlPFC was associated with a greater degree of alexithymia, independent of depression, PTSD, and the number of traumatic experiences. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate resting-state connectivity in a refugee sample and show differences from the general population.

Consistent with our first hypothesis, NK refugees showed enhanced connectivity between the left amygdala and bilateral dlPFC during resting state. Previous studies have observed neural coupling between the left amygdala and frontal–cortical regions during emotion regulation tasks, especially when participants are provided with cognitive strategies to downregulate the emotional response to negative stimuli (Goldin et al., 2008). In the present study, functional connectivity was measured during resting state. This suggests that refugees may have increased prefrontal down-regulation of the amygdala even in the absence of negative stimuli. The increased connectivity may also reflect compensation for altered amygdala activity during the resting condition. A prolonged state of heightened negative affect in refugee populations may require negative feedback to achieve down-regulation of the prefrontal cortex. NK refugees also exhibited enhanced coupling between the amygdala and dACC. The ACC is typically engaged during conflict monitoring and error processing, during conscious efforts to divert attention from a threat, or during the modulation of subcortical systems that generate emotional responses (Bantick et al., 2002; van Veen and Carter, 2002; Etkin et al., 2015). Taken together, the present and previous findings indicate that increased frontal–limbic connectivity in NK refugees was associated with down-regulation of emotion or compensation after emotional appraisal by the dlPFC. However, considering the varied roles of the dlPFC, the exact mechanism of increased prefrontal–amygdala connectivity in refugees remains unclear.

Interestingly, significant differences in connectivity between the NK refugees and native SK were observed only in the left amygdala, with the right amygdala seed showing no group differences in connectivity. This is somewhat consistent with previous findings showing that the left amygdala is a key region in the generation and processing of emotion (Banks et al., 2007), especially cognitive representations of fear (Phelps et al., 2001). Furthermore, high alexithymia scores are associated with alterations in the volume of the left amygdala, which is in turn associated with emotional perception (Goerlich-Dobre et al., 2015). Alexithymia was associated with a blunted amygdala response to emotional speech prosody tasks (Goerlich-Dobre et al., 2014b). As the amygdala involves bottom-up attention to emotional stimuli (Vuilleumier, 2005), reduced activation of the amygdala may be related to the reduced automatic focus on emotional stimuli in alexithymia (van der Velde, 2015). The present results revealed an association between alexithymia and the connectivity strength between the left amygdala and right dlPFC in NK refugees. Alexithymia is a disorder of emotional processing characterized by difficulties in verbalizing and identifying emotion, and a tendency to focus on external events (Taylor et al., 1999). The present findings indicate that increased amygdala–dlPFC connectivity in NK refugees may underlie their inability to consciously identify their emotional states.

The dlPFC is a key brain area for attention, working memory, and executive functions. With respect to emotional processing, the dlPFC plays roles in regulating emotion via deploying attentional resources or cognitive reappraisal (Ochsner et al., 2012). The dlPFC plays central roles in alexithymia and emotional regulation (Walker et al., 2011; Liemburg et al., 2012). An EEG study reported that individuals with a lesser degree of alexithymia exhibit lower dlPFC activation during the reappraisal of emotional stimuli (Pollatos and Gramann, 2012). Conversely, an fMRI study found that, in individuals with a greater degree of alexithymia, functional connectivity between the default mode network and prefrontal cortex was stronger during resting state (Liemburg et al., 2012), which suggests that the neural systems involved in emotion regulation may be active even in the absence of emotional stimuli in individuals with alexithymia. Alexithymic individuals are also more likely to suppress rather than cognitively reappraise emotion (Swart et al., 2009), which typically involves activation of the right lateral prefrontal area (Goldin et al., 2008). Combined with previous findings, the present results suggest that the dlPFC downregulated neural systems to inhibit prolonged experience of the negative affect that may underlie alexithymia in refugees.

Amygdala–dlPFC connectivity might indicate an association between the emotional reactivity of the amygdala and the emotional regulation of the dlPFC. The present findings indicate that increased amygdala–DLPFC connectivity may underlie the alexithymia seen in NK refugees, especially their inability to identify their emotional states. Avoidance during fear conditioning was reported to activate the dlPFC and modulate the amygdala (Delgado et al., 2009). Our findings suggest that over-regulation of the amygdala by the dlPFC may produce alexithymia as an avoidance strategy in traumatized refugees.

There may be some issues regarding the direction of the association between alexithymia and amygdala–dlPFC connectivity. Alexithymia has been regarded as a form of emotional dysregulation, and emotional dysregulation has been suggested to be associated with weakened prefrontal–amygdala connectivity, especially in PTSD. However, the current study found that alexithymia in refugees was related to enhanced prefrontal–amygdala connectivity. Our study suggests that not only hypo, but also hyperconnectivity between the dlPFC and the amygdala can produce emotional disturbances such as alexithymia. While prefrontal hyporegulation of the amygdala may be related to fear or anxiety, prefrontal hyperregulation may be related to emotional suppression, as seen in alexithymia.

The positive association between alexithymia and amygdala–dlPFC connectivity in refugees has theoretical implications, including experiential avoidance theory (Kashdan et al., 2006) or constructed emotion theory (Barrett, 2006, 2017). When NK refugees are forced to suppress their emotions under traumatic or oppressive environments, to avoid harmful consequences, experiential avoidance may result from the down-regulation of negative affect. However, the excessive tendency to down-regulate affect may also lead to disturbances in the integrated construction of emotion. Insufficient or distorted construction of emotion may also be associated with alexithymia. Future research assessing experiential avoidance, reappraisal, and suppression under diverse
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emotional stimuli would be helpful. In the current study, the positive association between alexithymia and amygdala–dIPFC connectivity was found only in NK refugees, and the alexithymia scores of participants were not particularly high. Future studies investigating other populations, including those with severe alexithymia, may be also needed.

In the present study, the association between alexithymia and amygdala–dIPFC connectivity in NK refugees was independent of PTSD, depressive symptoms, and the number of traumatic experiences. Moreover, depression and PTSD symptoms were not related to amygdala connectivity. Taken together, these findings suggest that the association between alexithymia and amygdala–dIPFC connectivity in NK refugees may be related to their sociocultural experiences; i.e. the extremely oppressive NK society may discourage the expression of negative affect, which in turn could cause frontal–amygdala coupling and alexithymia.

Among the three factors of alexithymia, only DIF was higher in NK refugees. DIF in NK refugees was correlated with amygdala–dIPFC connectivity. A previous study found that the association between alexithymia and dysphoric affect among refugees was mainly explained by DIF (Søndergaard and Theorell, 2004). The increased DIF of NK refugees, which was correlated with amygdala–prefrontal connectivity, suggests that their heightened negative affect may need to be controlled or warded off by increasing amygdala–dIPFC connectivity as a compensatory strategy.

These findings suggest that decoupling of the amygdala and the frontal cortices might reduce alexithymia. However, weakened frontal control over the amygdala may result in a hyperactive amygdala and aggravation of fear and anxiety. In most psychological therapies for trauma victims, the participants are encouraged to identify and express emotions, even though the risk of an anxiety attack or re-traumatization during the session may be high. Electronic or magnetic stimulation of amygdala-to-frontal cortex functional connections might modulate emotional processing, which would be helpful for enhancing therapeutic effects or reducing the adverse effects of treatment in trauma victims or refugees.

The present study had several limitations that should be noted. First, the cross-sectional design of the study did not allow for determination of the precise time-course or causal relationships between the experiences of refugees, their alexithymia, and the altered functional connectivity in the amygdala. Longitudinal studies investigating the functional connectivity of the amygdala may be more helpful in this regard. Second, approximately one-third of the NK refugees in the present study had current psychiatric disorders, the results of which may have affected the results. One possible reason for the increased DIF of NK refugees, which was correlated with amygdala connectivity that was correlated with alexithymia, may be also needed.

Finally, the present findings should be generalized to other refugee populations with caution because different results may be observed in refugees who did not experience an extremely oppressive and isolated sociocultural society. Thus, the present findings may be more relevant to citizens who grew up under very oppressive regimes rather than to refugees in general.

In conclusion, NK refugees exhibited heightened frontal–amygdala connectivity that was correlated with alexithymia. The present results suggest that increased frontal–amygdala connectivity in refugees might produce alexithymia to avoid experiencing negative affect.
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