Male mice that lack the G-protein-coupled receptor GPR41 have low energy expenditure and increased body fat content
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Abstract

SCFA are produced in the gut by bacterial fermentation of undigested carbohydrates. Activation of the Goα-protein-coupled receptor GPR41 by SCFA in β-cells and sympathetic ganglia inhibits insulin secretion and increases sympathetic outflow, respectively. A possible role in stimulating leptin secretion by adipocytes is disputed. In the present study, we investigated energy balance and glucose homoeostasis in GPR41 knockout mice fed on a standard low-fat or a high-fat diet. When fed on the low-fat diet, body fat mass was raised and glucose tolerance was impaired in male but not female knockout mice compared to wild-type mice. Soleus muscle and heart weights were reduced in the male mice, but total body lean mass was unchanged. When fed on the high-fat diet, body fat mass was raised in male but not female GPR41 knockout mice, but by no more on the males than when they were fed on the low-fat diet. Body lean mass and energy expenditure were reduced in male mice but not in female knockout mice. These results suggest that the absence of GPR41 increases body fat content in male mice. Gut-derived SCFA may raise energy expenditure and help to protect against obesity by activating GPR41.
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The gut contains sensors for a wide range of nutrients, a number of them being G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR/GPR). Among these GPCR are a group that respond to fatty acids, including GPR40 (FFA1), GPR43 (FFA2), GPR84 and GPR120(1–3). GPR41 (FFA3) is a GPCR that is activated by SCFA(4,5). GPR41 is expressed in the pancreas (4), including human and mouse islets of Langerhans(17–19), and in the insulin-secreting cell line MIN6 (18,20). Direct activation of GPR41 in pancreatic β-cells and sympathetic ganglia inhibits insulin secretion and increases sympathetic outflow, respectively. A possible role in stimulating leptin secretion by adipocytes is disputed. In the present study, we investigated energy balance and glucose homoeostasis in GPR41 knockout mice fed on a standard low-fat or a high-fat diet. When fed on the low-fat diet, body fat mass was raised and glucose tolerance was impaired in male but not female knockout mice compared to wild-type mice. Soleus muscle and heart weights were reduced in the male mice, but total body lean mass was unchanged. When fed on the high-fat diet, body fat mass was raised in male but not female GPR41 knockout mice, but by no more on the males than when they were fed on the low-fat diet. Body lean mass and energy expenditure were reduced in male mice but not in female knockout mice. These results suggest that the absence of GPR41 increases body fat content in male mice. Gut-derived SCFA may raise energy expenditure and help to protect against obesity by activating GPR41.

SCFA are produced in the gut by bacterial fermentation of undigested carbohydrates. Concentrations of propionate and butyrate in peripheral and portal blood may, especially after feeding, be sufficient to activate GPR41 (4,5,7–11). GPR41 is expressed in enteroendocrine (L) cells(12) where it promotes the secretion of peptide YY (PYY)(13), which inhibits gastric emptying and food intake. As PYY is co-expressed with glucagon-like peptide 1 in enteroendocrine (L) cells(14), activation of GPR41 might also increase the secretion of glucagon-like peptide 1, which like PYY inhibits gastric emptying and food intake, as well as stimulating insulin secretion from islet of Langerhans β-cells(15). Consistent with this mechanism, it was reported recently that GPR41 knockout mice exhibit reduced glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion, both in vivo and from primary colonic cultures. Secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 was not via a Goα-mediated pathway, however, which is not consistent with known signalling pathways for GPR41. Moreover, glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion is stimulated by elevation, not reduction, of the cyclic AMP concentration(16). Second, GPR41 is expressed in the pancreas(17), including human and mouse islets of Langerhans(17–19), and in the insulin-secreting cell line MIN6 (18,20). Direct activation of GPR41 in β-cells of the islets of Langerhans would be expected to inhibit insulin secretion(17), contrasting with any possible stimulatory effect mediated by glucagon-like peptide-1.

Third, some workers have reported that GPR41 mRNA is expressed in murine adipose tissue, where it mediates leptin secretion in response to SCFA(21). However, we(20) and others(22) could not detect expression in murine...
were killed by cervical dislocation following CO2 anaesthesia in the low- or high-fat diet experiments, respectively. Mice weights were measured weekly from 6 or 15 weeks of age.

Food and water intake for each cage and individual body weight of each mouse was recorded weekly from 10 weeks of age. Energy expenditure was measured by open-circuit indirect calorimetry, as previously described (25), when the high-fat diet-fed mice were 15, 27 and 40 weeks old. Energy expenditure of all the different genotypes in a group was measured in a single run over 24 h at room temperature (21 ± 1°C). The mice had free access to food. Energy expenditure was calculated by customised software using the equation of Weir (26).

**Methods**

Housing and procedures were conducted in accordance with the UK Government Animal (Scientific procedures) Act 1986 and approved by the University of Buckingham Ethical Review Board.

**Mice and diet**

**GPR41** knockout mice were generated by Deltagen, transferred via AstraZeneca to the University of Buckingham and bred, genotyped and further backcrossed to the C57BL/6 strain, as described previously (20). The mice used in the present experiments had been backcrossed to the C57BL/6 strain at least seven times.

Mice were housed in groups of three at 21 ± 1°C with lights on from 07:00 to 19:00 hours. There were nine mice in each of eight groups, divided by genotype, sex and diet (wild-type or GPR41 knockout; male or female; low-fat or high-fat diet). They were given ad libitum access to tap water and food and all initially fed on a standard low-fat diet that provided, by energy content, 5% fat, 75% carbohydrate and 20% protein (14.0 kJ/g metabolisable energy; Rat and Mouse Diet 1, BK001E, Beekay Feed; B&K Universal Limited). The high-fat diet-fed mice were fed on this diet until 15 weeks of age and then given a high-fat diet that provided, by energy content, 45% fat, 35% carbohydrate (of which half was sucrose) and 20% protein (D12451; Research Diets, Inc.). Further information on the composition of the diets is given in Table 1.

**Energy expenditure**

Energy expenditure was measured by open-circuit indirect calorimetry, as previously described (25), when the high-fat diet-fed mice were 15, 27 and 40 weeks old. Energy expenditure of all the different genotypes in a group was measured in a single run over 24 h at room temperature (21 ± 1°C). The mice had free access to food. Energy expenditure was calculated by customised software using the equation of Weir (26).

**Body composition**

Body composition was determined using a dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (Lunar Piximus densitometer; Lunar Corporation) scanner at 10, 22 and 40 weeks of age in the low-fat-fed mice and at 22, 27 and 40 weeks of age in the high-fat diet-fed mice. Mice were anaesthetised with

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolisable energy (kJ/g)</th>
<th>14.0</th>
<th>17.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat (% of metabolisable energy)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% SFA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% MUFA</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% PUFA</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (% of metabolisable energy)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Of carbohydrate as sugars</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (% of metabolisable energy)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibre (% by weight)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* % Fatty acids in fat and sugars in carbohydrate are by weight.
† 88% as lard; 12% as soya bean oil.
‡ As added sucrose.

---

**Glucose tolerance test**

Glucose tolerance was measured in all eight groups of mice when they were 27 weeks old. It was also measured in low-fat-fed mice when they were 10 weeks old. The mice were fasted for 5 h from 08:00 hours before administration of glucose (2 g/kg body weight, intraperitoneally). Blood samples were taken from the tip of the tail at 30-min intervals after topical application of a local anaesthetic (lignocaine gel). Glucose and insulin were measured as previously described (24). Total areas under the glucose tolerance curve were calculated for 120 min after administration of glucose using GraphPad Prism software (version 5; GraphPad Software, Inc.).

**Plasma and blood analtyes**

Plasma and blood samples were taken from male and female mice after they had been fasted for 5 h from 08:00 hours, except as described earlier for glucose and insulin in the glucose tolerance test. Plasma adiponectin (Bridge International, Inc.), insulin and leptin (Crystal Chem, Inc.) were measured by mouse-specific ELISA. Blood glucose, cholesterol, TAG (Thermo Fisher Scientific), NEFA (Wako Chemicals) and HDL-cholesterol (Trinity Biotech) and were measured by colorimetry.
isoflurane (1.5%) during data acquisition. Body composition was calculated using the manufacturer’s software (version 1.45).

Liver TAG and glycogen content

Left lobe liver samples were dissected and snap-frozen into liquid N₂. Approximately, 150–300 mg of tissue were homogenised in 500 μl methanol. Chloroform (1 ml) was added and the samples vortexed and then incubated at −20°C overnight. Saline (200 μl) was added and the samples were centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 min. Chloroform was removed from an aliquot (500 μl) of the chloroform phase using a RapidVap evaporation system (Cole-Parmer Instrument Company Limited). TAG was dissolved in ethanol (200 μl) and assayed colorimetrically (TAG reagent; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Liver glycogen was determined by homogenising tissue in KOH, precipitating glycogen using ethanol and treating it with amyloglucosidase before assaying glucose, as described previously(27).

Soleus muscle glucose uptake and palmitate oxidation

2-Deoxy[1-14C]glucose uptake and [1-14C]palmitate oxidation by soleus muscle from low-fat-fed 20-week-old mice were measured as previously described(28).

Locomotor activity

Mice were placed individually in a rectangular cage (42 × 25 cm) in which the bottom of the cage was divided by black lines into six equal rectangles. Following a habituation day, video camera shots were taken every 3 s for 16 min, beginning at 45 min before and 15 min after the dark period. Horizontal locomotor activity was assessed by two independent observers, in a similar manner to that described by others(29), from the number of times the mouse moved to a different square between shots. The observers did not know the genotype or the diet of the mice.

RNA isolation and real-time quantitative PCR analysis of gene expression

Total RNA was isolated and complementary DNA synthesised as described previously(20). Quantitative PCR was performed using Assay on Demand pre-designed primer and probe sets from Applied Biosystems. Transcript levels were quantified in triplicate by real-time RT-PCR and normalisation to housekeeping genes (tubulin, cyclophilin, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase and β-glucuronidase using geNorm software; Primer Design Limited), as described previously(20).

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using one- or two-way with repeated measures ANOVA, as appropriate, using GraphPad Prism software (version 5). If the ANOVA showed significant effects of genotype, comparisons were made between the wild-type and knockout mice of the same sex at specific time points using Fisher’s least significant difference test. Two-sided significance levels are given. Differences were considered significant at P<0.05. Results are shown as mean values with their standard errors.

Results

Low-fat-fed mice

Genotype had no statistically significant effect on the growth curves of either the male or the female mice (Fig. 1). Genotype also had no effect on food intake (males: wild-type 844 (SEM 64), knockout 857 (SEM 59); females: wild-type 821 (SEM 13), knockout 770 (SEM 25) g/mouse per 40 weeks). Body fat mass was affected by genotype in the male knockout mice, being higher in the knockout than the wild-type mice at 40 weeks of age. Body lean mass was not affected by genotype in the male mice (Table 2). Genotype did not significantly affect the plasma leptin concentration in the male mice (P=0.057 by two-way repeated measures ANOVA), but plasma adiponectin was elevated at both 22 and 40 weeks of age (Table 3). There was no effect of genotype on body composition or plasma leptin or adiponectin concentration in the female mice (Tables 2 and 3).

At termination (40 weeks of age), there was no difference between genotypes in absolute liver weights, but liver weight relative to body weight was reduced in the male knockout mice, because the mean body weight was higher (but not significantly so) than in the wild-type mice (Table 4). The TAG content of the livers of the male knockout mice was markedly reduced (Table 4), possibly because the plasma NEFA concentration, a source of liver TAG, was reduced (Table 3). Genotype affected neither the liver TAG nor the plasma NEFA concentration of the female mice (Tables 3 and 4). Genotype did not affect plasma TAG, total cholesterol or HDL concentration in either sex at any age (Table 3). Soleus muscle and heart weights were lower in the knockout than in the wild-type mice when measured in a separate group of male mice (Table 4), but genotype did not affect palmitate oxidation or glucose uptake relative to tissue weight by soleus muscle (Fig. S1, available online).

The fasting blood glucose concentration (at both −30 and 0 min) was not affected by genotype in either sex,
but intraperitoneal glucose tolerance was impaired in 27-week-old male but not female knockout mice (Fig. 2). It was not impaired in 10-week-old male knockout mice – an age when they did not have a raised body fat content (AUC: wild-type 1382 (SEM 158); knockout 1338 (SEM 123) mM × 120 min). Genotype did not affect the plasma insulin concentration in either male or female mice 30 min before or after administration of glucose (Fig. 2(c) and (f)).

High-fat diet-fed mice

Mice were fed on a high-fat diet from 15 weeks of age. The growth curves of the knockout and wild-type mice were similar (Fig 3). Genotype did not affect the food intake of either sex (males: wild-type 336 (SEM 16), knockout 300 (SEM 36); females: wild-type 243 (SEM 12), knockout 248 (SEM 248) g/mouse per 15 weeks). Although the body weight of the male mice was unaffected by genotype, body fat mass and plasma leptin concentration were affected by genotype in male mice, being higher in 27-week-old male knockout than in the wild-type mice (Tables 5 and 6). Body lean mass, by contrast, was decreased at 22, 27 and 40 weeks of age (Table 5). Genotype did not affect the body composition of the female mice (Table 5). Genotype did not affect liver weight in either sex in mice fed on the high-fat diet, nor did it affect plasma NEFA or lipids (Tables 4 and 6).

The fasting blood glucose concentration (at both 30 and 0 min) and glucose tolerance were not affected by genotype in either the male or female mice (Fig. 4), but the plasma insulin concentration 30 min after the glucose load was higher in the knockout than the wild-type mice of both sexes (Fig. 4).

Energy expenditure and muscle metabolism

Energy expenditure was measured over 24 h in the high-fat-fed mice in their home cages in an attempt to understand why the males became obese without their having a detectable increase in energy intake. Energy expenditure was lower in the male knockout than in the male wild-type mice throughout the 24 h measurement period (Fig. 5). It was not low in the female knockout mice, consistent with only the male knockout mice becoming obese.

Observation of individually housed male mice, aged 35–39 weeks, for 15 min during the light and dark periods showed that low energy expenditure in knockout mice was not due to reduced horizontal locomotor activity (Table S1, available online).

### Table 2. Body composition of low-fat-fed mice†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Wild-type</th>
<th>Knockout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3·41 (0·11)</td>
<td>3·41 (0·14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4·58 (0·51)</td>
<td>6·64 (0·79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7·01 (0·78)</td>
<td>10·89***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3·10 (0·14)</td>
<td>3·06 (0·10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3·96 (0·27)</td>
<td>4·56 (0·33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7·93 (1·17)</td>
<td>9·59 (1·17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Mean values was significantly different from that of the wild-type mice (P<0·001).
†Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant (P<0·01) effect of genotype on body fat content in the male mice.

### Table 3. Plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations in low-fat-fed mice†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Wild-type</th>
<th>Knockout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12·8 (3·4)</td>
<td>19·8 (4·5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18·8 (5·1)</td>
<td>31·3 (5·7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (µg/ml)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7·1 (0·5)</td>
<td>11·8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7·6 (0·6)</td>
<td>10·4**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (mM)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1·52 (0·10)</td>
<td>1·19*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1·61 (0·11)</td>
<td>1·17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mM)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0·83 (0·06)</td>
<td>0·64 (0·08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2·28 (0·17)</td>
<td>2·31 (0·12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0·97 (0·05)</td>
<td>1·00 (0·07)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values was significantly different from that of the wild-type mice. *P<0·05, **P<0·01, ***P<0·001.
†Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA did not show a significant effect of genotype on plasma leptin (P<0·057 in males), but in male mice there were significant effects of genotype on plasma adiponectin (P<0·001) and NEFA (P<0·01).
Gene expression

There were no effects of genotype in male, 10- to 12-week old, low-fat-fed mice on levels of mRNA in liver, muscle, epididymal white adipose tissue or interscapular brown adipose tissue for GPR40, GPR120, PPARα coactivator-1α or sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ ATPase 1, type 2 deiodinase or carnitine palmitoyl transferase-1a; in liver only for sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1c, fatty acid synthetase or acetyl CoA carboxylase-1; or in brown adipose tissue for the β3-adrenoceptor (data not shown). We have reported elsewhere(20) a reduction in the expression of GPR43 in white adipose tissue of GPR41 knockout mice.

Discussion

Most reports on the expression and function of GPR41 in murine tissues lead to the prediction that its absence should promote obesity. Evidence has been presented, however,
that its absence is associated with leanness, owing to increased intestinal transit rate and reduced absorption of energy (13). We found, by contrast, that absence of GPR41 was associated with increased body fat content in male mice fed on either a low-fat or a high-fat diet, including when the mice were of the same age (10 weeks) as those used in the previous report. Nevertheless, we do not rule out the possibility that increased intestinal transit rate and reduced absorption of energy might have mitigated obesity in the GPR41 knockout mice used in the present study.

The male GPR41 knockout mice used in the present study also displayed impaired glucose tolerance, elevated plasma adiponectin and lowered plasma NEFA and liver TAG concentrations when fed on a low-fat diet. They displayed reduced lean body mass, elevated plasma leptin and elevated plasma insulin following administration of glucose when fed on a high-fat diet. The only effect of an absence of GPR41 in the female mice was an increase in the concentration of insulin when fed on a high-fat diet. Obesity in rodents is normally associated with elevated plasma leptin, because, with the exception of the Lep(ob) mouse, increased adipocyte number and, especially, adipocyte size are associated with increased leptin secretion.

Activation of GPR41 stimulates the secretion of PYY from L cells (12,13), which would tend to decrease food intake. Others have suggested that propionate might enhance satiety by activating either GPR41 or GPR43 (30). However, we could not detect increased food intake in GPR41 knockout mice. It is therefore unlikely that decreased secretion of PYY from enteroendocrine L cells played an important role in the obese phenotype of GPR41 knockout mice.

A surprising finding was that the phenotype of the male GPR41 knockout mice was not exacerbated by feeding on a high-fat diet. Indeed, body fat content was elevated in 27-week-old but not in 40-week-old high-fat-fed knockout mice. Usually, a high-fat diet exacerbates the metabolic phenotype of GM mice. It is feasible that replacement of carbohydrate with fat in the high-fat diet reduced the production of SCFA in the gut and thereby the exposure of GPR41 to SCFA.

It has been claimed that the GPR41 gene is expressed in murine adipose tissue, where it mediates the stimulation of leptin secretion by SCFA (21). However, it is unlikely that the increased body fat content of male GPR41 knockout mice was primarily due to the regulation of leptin secretion by GPR41. We (20) and others (22) have been unable to detect GPR41 mRNA in murine adipose tissue. Moreover, the plasma leptin concentration was increased rather than decreased in the male GPR41 knockout, high-fat-fed mice compared to wild-type mice. A similar trend (P = 0.057) was observed in male GPR41 knockout mice fed on the low-fat diet. Obesity in rodents is normally associated with elevated plasma leptin, because, with the exception of the Lep(ob)/Lep(ob) mouse, increased adipocyte number and, especially, adipocyte size are associated with increased leptin secretion.

Table 5. Body composition in high-fat-fed mice†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>Knockout</td>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>Knockout</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.03 0.66</td>
<td>9.65* 0.92</td>
<td>25.77 0.71</td>
<td>22.78* 0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.32 1.46</td>
<td>12.75* 1.10</td>
<td>27.70 0.64</td>
<td>25.15* 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.43 1.21</td>
<td>17.05 1.62</td>
<td>29.22 0.55</td>
<td>28.21* 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.79 0.61</td>
<td>6.13 0.70</td>
<td>18.68 0.51</td>
<td>19.57 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>8.38 1.42</td>
<td>7.62 1.18</td>
<td>19.99 0.42</td>
<td>19.05 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18.22 1.70</td>
<td>15.88 1.46</td>
<td>20.41 0.38</td>
<td>20.60 0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean value was significantly different from that of the wild-type mice (P < 0.05).
† Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA showed significant effects of genotype on body fat (P < 0.05) and body lean content (P < 0.01) in the male mice.
modifications that cause obesity in males. Female mice and rats are also less susceptible than males to high-fat diet-induced obesity and diabetes.

Another possible explanation for the reduced energy expenditure of the male knockout mice, particularly those fed on the high-fat diet, is that they have a reduced mass of energy consuming tissues. Total lean body mass was reduced in the male but not the female mice fed on a high-fat diet compared to wild-type mice. Lean body mass is associated with greater whole-body energy expenditure than is fat mass\(^{(31,32)}\). Total lean body mass did not differ between knockout and wild-type male mice fed on the low-fat diet, but soleus muscle and heart weights were low in knockout mice. Therefore, the absence of GPR41 may inhibit the development of some energy consuming tissues, particularly red muscle.

A number of other possible explanations for this reduced energy expenditure can be discounted. It was not associated with reduced horizontal locomotor activity, consistent with it occurring throughout 24 h even though locomotor activity is greatest during the dark period. We could not detect any alteration in gene expression that might indicate decreased

### Table 6. Plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations in high-fat-fed mice†
(Mean values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>Wild-type Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Knockout Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Wild-type Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Knockout Mean</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (ng/ml)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (μg/ml)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEFA (mM)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mM)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Mean value was significantly different from that of the wild-type mice (P< 0.01).
† Two-way repeated-measures ANOVA showed a significant effect of genotype on plasma leptin (P< 0.05) in the male mice.

![Fig. 4.](https://example.com/fig4.png) Fig. 4. (a, d) Glucose tolerance, (b, e) area under the glucose tolerance curve and (c, f) plasma insulin before and after administration of glucose in 27-week-old male (a–c) and female (d–f) mice fed on a high-fat diet. Wild-type mice are shown with or and knockout mice with or . Values are means, with standard errors represented by vertical bars. Two-way, repeated measures ANOVA showed significant (P< 0.05) effects of genotype on plasma insulin in both male and female mice. *Mean value was significantly different from that of the wild-type mice (P< 0.05).
There are numerous reports of sex differences in the effects of diet or genetic manipulation on body composition and metabolism in rodents, and it is often the males that show the greater changes\(^{39–43}\). The differing effects of male and female sex hormones on adipose tissue distribution and the central regulation of metabolism may be of general importance\(^{44,45}\), but mechanisms specific to particular genetic or behavioural manipulations have also been proposed\(^{46,47,48}\).

In the context of the present work, where obesity is linked to low energy expenditure, it may be relevant that female rats have greater oxidative capacity than male rats. This has been linked to differences in oxidative capacity between the sexes in liver, skeletal muscle and brown adipose tissue\(^{47–49}\). This may be because male and female sex hormones have opposite effects on the balance of \(\alpha_{2A}\) and \(\beta\) adrenoceptor expression in murine brown adipocytes\(^{50}\). However, as we were unable to identify any differences in gene expression in male mice that might underlie the differences in energy expenditure, we could not investigate whether any differences were absent in female mice.

Obesity is usually associated with insulin resistance. This may partly explain why the male knockout mice fed on the low-fat diet displayed an impaired glucose tolerance. The male knockout mice fed on the high-fat diet, by contrast, did not display impaired glucose tolerance. This may be because their plasma insulin concentration was higher following administration of glucose than in the wild-type mice. Moreover, the plasma insulin concentration following administration of glucose was higher in the female knockout mice fed on the high-fat diet than in the wild-type mice, even though their body fat content was no different from that of the wild-type mice. Stimulation of GPR41 in \(\beta\)-cells of the islets of Langerhans would be expected to decrease insulin secretion because GPR41 is coupled to G\(_\alpha\). These results, therefore, raise the possibility that the absence of GPR41 in \(\beta\)-cells of high-fat diet-fed mice tends to promote insulin secretion in response to glucose.

Liver composition was studied in the low-fat-fed mice to investigate why liver weight relative to body weight was lower in male knockout compared to wild-type mice. The male low-fat-fed knockout mice had a lower liver TAG content, associated with lower plasma NEFA concentration, than the wild-type mice. The low liver TAG content in the livers of the male knockout mice can account, however, for only about 5 mg of the 90 mg (non-significant) difference in mean liver weight and so cannot explain the difference in relative liver weights. We do not have an explanation for the low liver TAG content and plasma NEFA concentration of the male knockout mice, but we suggest that they are linked. Interestingly, knockout of the GPR43 gene, another SCFA receptor, was also associated with reduced liver weight and TAG content, but only when mice were fed on a high-fat diet\(^{51}\). Another difference from the present study is that GPR43 knockout mice were leaner than wild-type mice. As in the present study, the reduced liver weight could not be explained by the reduced liver TAG content.

In conclusion, in contrast to a previous report\(^{13}\), we find that male but not female GPR41 knockout mice have a higher body fat mass than their wild-type littermates, when they are fed on either a low- or a high-fat diet. This appears as a consequence of their energy expenditure being low. These results raise the possibility that gut-derived SCFA may raise energy expenditure by activating GPR41.
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