A DAM WITH GENERAL RELEASE RULE

GEOFFREY YEO

(Received 6 August 1976)

Abstract

A dam is considered with independently and identically distributed inputs occurring in a renewal process, and in particular a Poisson process, with a general release rate $r(\cdot)$ depending on the content. This is related to a GI/G/1 queue with service times dependent on the waiting time. Some results are obtained for the limiting content distribution when it exists; these are more complete for some special release rates, such as $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$ and $r(x) = a + \mu x$, and particular input size distributions.

1. Introduction

The waiting time X(t) at time t in a single server queue GI/G/1, or the content of an equivalent dam, has been extensively studied [12]; there are more complete results for a Poisson arrival process (M/G/1). More general release rules than unit rate per unit time have also been considered [4], [7], [10], [11], [14], [15]; we consider an instantaneous release rate r(X(t)), which is a function of the content X(t), at time t, such that r(0 -) = 0, and r(x) is continuous and positive on $(0, \infty)$. For illustrative and numerical examples we shall consider the two special cases (i) $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$, $0 < \mu < \infty$, $0 \le \alpha < \infty$, so that the instantaneous release rate is proportional to the α th power of the content, and (ii) $r(x) = a + \mu x$, $0 \le a < \infty$, $0 < \mu < \infty$ [10]. Case (i) with $\alpha = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ is GI/G/1, and with $\alpha = 1$ there is an exponential decay [8], [9], [14]; a variety of other values, such as $\alpha = 1/2$ for a parallel sided sink, might be appropriate in particular circumstances. If (ii) $r(x) = 1 + \mu x$, then the second factor gives a way of providing faster service for large waiting times or content, and it also guarantees ergodicity.

We consider a stochastic process X(t), $0 \le t < \infty$, called the content of a dam of capacity $K \le \infty$, defined on [0, K). Inputs, at $t_1 < t_2 < \cdots (t_1 > 0 = t_0)$ occur in a renewal process with $\tau_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ being indepen-

Geoffrey Yeo

dently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with $P(\tau_i \le x) = A(x)$, $0 \le x < \infty$, $E(\tau_i) = 1/\lambda$, $0 < \lambda < \infty$, and with Laplace transform (LT) $a^*(\theta)$. The inputs S_n , $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, are i.i.d. random variables with $P(S_n \le x) = G(x)$, $0 \le x < \infty$, G(0) = 0, $E(S_n) = \beta < \infty$, and LT $g^*(\theta)$. When $A(x) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda x)$, we have a compound Poisson input process.

The content X(t) of a dam with infinite capacity and unit release rate is equivalent to the virtual waiting time in a single server queue. For a general release rate this is no longer the case; the time a customer would wait for service depends on his own service time and possible subsequent arrivals. However, we may take X(t) as a workload process and it is similar to the potential waiting process defined by Rubinovitch [13]. Let

$$D(x) = \int_{y=0}^{x} \frac{1}{r(y)} \, dy \qquad 0 < x < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

whenever the right-hand side is finite. Put W(t) = D(X(t)), $0 \le t < \infty$. Then W(t) is a process with slope-1 except when inputs or overflow occur or when W(t) = 0; $W(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ and W(t) = 0 if and only if X(t) = 0 [2]. The process may be interpreted as the virtual waiting time in a modified GI/G/1 queueing system with service times depending on waiting times; it is thus an example of the important class of state dependent queueing systems.

We let $X(t_n -) = X_n$, $W(t_n -) = W_n$, and let $S_n^*(W_n)$ be the size of the *n*th input in the transformed process, i.e., "the service time of the *n*th customer" in the sense that it would take time $W_n + S_n^*(W_n)$ before the server became idle if no arrivals occurred in $(t_n, t_n + W_n + S_n^*(W_n))$. As $W_n = D(X_n)$ and $W_n + S_n^*(W_n) = D(X_n + S_n)$ we find

$$S_{n}^{*}(W_{n}) = D(S_{n} + D^{-1}(W_{n})) - W_{n}$$

$$P\{S_{n}^{*}(W_{n}) \leq x \mid W_{n} = w\} = G(D^{-1}(x + w) - D^{-1}(w)), \qquad 0 < x < \infty,$$

$$0 \leq w < \infty,$$

$$0 \leq w < \infty,$$

where D^{-1} is the (unique) inverse function of D(x), such that $D^{-1}(D(x)) = x$; $S_n^*(W_n)$ is an increasing function of D(x) and a decreasing function of W_n . For $K = \infty$ we have

$$X_{n+1} = D^{-1}[\{D(X_n + S_n) - \tau_n\}^+], \qquad (1.3)$$

and

$$W_{n+1} = \{W_n + S_n^*(W_n) - \tau_n\}^+, \qquad (1.4)$$

which is Lindley's [12] form. Any results obtained for the original $X(\cdot)$ process may be interpreted in terms of the transformed $W(\cdot)$ process.

In the special case (i) $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$ ($0 \le \alpha < 1$) and (ii) $r(x) = a + \mu x$, we have respectively

[3]

$$D(x) = \frac{x^{1-\alpha}}{\mu(1-\alpha)}, \ D^{-1}(x) = \{\mu(1-\alpha)x\}^{1/(1-\alpha)}$$
$$S_n^*(W_n) = \{W_n^{1/(1-\alpha)} + S_n(\mu(1-\alpha))^{\alpha-1}\}^{1-\alpha} - W_n,$$

 $r^{1-\alpha}$

(ii)

$$D(x) = \frac{1}{\mu} \ln \left(1 + \frac{\mu x}{a} \right), \ D^{-1}(x) = \frac{a}{\mu} \left(e^{\mu x} \right)$$
$$S_n^*(W_n) = \frac{1}{\mu} \ln \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mu S_n}{a} e^{-\mu W_n} \right\}.$$

If the integral in (1.1) is infinite, e.g., $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \ge 1$, then the dam can not empty in finite time from any positive value of the content. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we put

$$D_r(x) = \int_{y=r}^{x} \frac{1}{r(y)} \, dy \qquad 0 < x < \infty \tag{1.5}$$

-1)

with $D(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} D_{\epsilon}(x)$ whenever the limit exists and is finite; in this case $D_{\varepsilon}(x) = D(x) - D(\varepsilon)$. For $x < \varepsilon$ we have $D_{\varepsilon}(x) < 0$, but $D_{\varepsilon}(x)$ is still monotone in x. If we put $W_{\epsilon}(t) = D_{\epsilon}(X(t))$, then $W_{\epsilon}(t)$ may be negative. However, at all relevant points in the argument below (also in [15]) $D_{\epsilon}(x)$ actually occurs as a difference $D_{\epsilon}(x) - D_{\epsilon}(y)$ (x > 0, y > 0), which eliminates the dependence on ε . Consequently results can be justified for the more general case, although we shall argue only for the case $D(x) < \infty$ and shall leave the generalization to the reader.

We wish to study the distribution function (d.f.) $F(x, t; x_0) = P\{X(t) \leq 0\}$ $x \mid X(0) = x_0$ and $H_n(x; x_0) = P\{X_n \leq x \mid X(0) = x_0\}$ of the content at time t and before the nth input respectively, and the corresponding limiting d.f.'s $F(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} F(x, t; x_0) = P(X \le x)$ and $H(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} H_n(x; x_0)$, whenever they exist. By renewal theoretic arguments it follows [7] that F(x) and H(x)form proper d.f.'s whenever $K < \infty$ or $\lim_{x \to \infty} r(x) > \lambda \beta$. Further from (1.3) we have

$$H_{n+1}(x;x_0) = \int_{y=0}^{\infty} dH_n(y;x_0)P(y,x) = -\int_{y=0}^{\infty} H_n(y;x_0)d_yP(y,x)$$
$$H(x) = -\int_{y=0}^{\infty} H(y)d_yP(y,x)$$
(1.6)

where

$$P(y, x) = P\{X_{n+1} \le x \mid X_n = y\}$$

= $\int_{w=0}^{\infty} \{1 - A(D(y+w) - D(w))\} dG(w).$ (1.7)

Geoffrey Yeo

For GI/G/1 we have $P(y, x) = P\{S_n - \tau_n \le x - y\}$ ([12]), p. 49), and the Wiener-Hopf equation (1.6) can be solved by known methods; in general (1.6) presents a much more complex problem.

Except for the special case $r(x) = \mu x$ we consider an exponential inter-input distribution; the input process is then compound Poisson (class 1 in [2]). Some general results are given in the next section, with more explicit and numerical examples for some special input size distributions in Sections 3 and 4.

2. Compound Poisson input process

In the case of an exponentially distributed time between inputs we can formally obtain an integro-differential equation [7] for F(x, t), which for $K = \infty$ has been solved in some special cases, such as for r(x) = 1(M/G/1) [12] and in terms of LT's for $r(x) = \mu x$ [8], [9]. For the limiting content distribution we have

$$r(x)F'(x) = \lambda F(x) - \lambda \int_{y=0}^{x} F(x-y) \, dG(y) \qquad 0 < x < K, \qquad (2.1)$$

provided $K < \infty$ or $\lim_{x\to\infty} r(x) > \lambda\beta$. For the transformed process W = D(X) with $L(w) = P\{W \le w\}$ we have

$$L'(w) = \lambda L(w) - \lambda \int_{u=0}^{w} L(u) dG(D^{-1}(w) - D^{-1}(u)), \qquad 0 < w < D(K).$$
(2.2)

For the remainder of this section we suppose $K = \infty$. We define $\psi(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\theta x) dF(x) \ (0 \le \theta < \infty)$ as the LT of the limiting content; from (2.1)

$$\int_{x=0-}^{\infty} r(x)e^{-\theta x}dF(x) = r(0)F(0) + \psi(\theta)\zeta(\theta)$$
(2.3)

$$\zeta(\theta) = \rho \{1 - g^*(\theta)\} / \theta.$$
(2.4)

The LT $\psi(\theta)$ is known in the special cases r(x) = 1 [12] and $r(x) = \mu x$ [8]. In the combined case $r(x) = \alpha + \mu x$ (2.3) gives

$$\psi'(\theta) + (\zeta(\theta) - \gamma)\psi(\theta) = -\gamma F(0)$$

where $\gamma = a/\mu$, $\rho = \lambda/\mu$. Using $\psi(0) = 1$ we obtain

$$\psi(\theta) = e^{-J(\theta)} \left\{ 1 - \gamma F(0) \int_{y=0}^{\theta} e^{J(y)} dy \right\}$$
$$J(\theta) = -\gamma \theta + \int_{y=0}^{\theta} \zeta(y) dy.$$

As a consequence of $E(S) = \beta < \infty$ we find $-J(\theta) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$F(0) = \left\{ \gamma \int_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{J(y)} dy \right\}^{-1}.$$

The procedure can be used for more general input processes [3]. When $g^*(\theta) = \nu/(\nu + \theta)$ this gives (3.6) at x = 0, while for $g^*(\theta) = \exp(-\theta\beta) F(0)$ can be evaluated numerically. Moments may be obtained by

$$E(X) = \rho\beta - \gamma(1 - F(0))$$

$$V(X) = \frac{\rho E(S^2)}{2} + (\rho\beta - \gamma) E(X).$$
(2.5)

From (2.1) it follows that

$$E(r(X)) = \lambda\beta + r(0+)F(0),$$
(2.6)

which gives for $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$ ($\alpha > 0$) that

$$E(X^{\alpha}) = \rho\beta$$
$$E(X^{\alpha+1}) = \rho\beta E(X) + \frac{\rho}{2} E(S^{2}),$$

etc., which involves finding the moments of integer order. For $\alpha = 0$ (and $\rho\beta < 1$) and $\alpha = 1$ all moments can be obtained in this way by recurrence. Further if $r(x) = \mu x$ all moments can be found for inputs occurring in a renewal process; if X^* is the content just before an input occurs, then (see [6])

$$E(X^{*r}) = \frac{a^{*}(r\mu)}{1-a^{*}(r\mu)} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} {r \choose j} E(X^{*j})E(S^{r-j})$$
$$E(X^{r}) = \frac{\rho\{1-a^{*}(r\mu)\}}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r} {r \choose j} E(X^{*j})E(S^{r-j}).$$

In the case of $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) we can use a fractional LT ([5], Section 4.7) to obtain

$$\lim_{c\to\infty}\int_{t=\theta}^{c}\frac{(1-\theta)^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\psi'(t)dt=-\zeta(\theta)\psi(\theta).$$

By differentiation we obtain formally

$$\rho\beta E(X) + \frac{\rho}{2} E(S^2) = \int_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \psi''(t) dt,$$

which gives known results as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ or 1.

Geoffrey Yeo

3. Exponentially distributed inputs

We assume that the size of an input has a negative exponential ditribution with mean $\beta = 1/\nu$, $0 < \nu < \infty$, so $G(x) = 1 - \exp(-\nu x)$, $0 \le x < \infty$. From (2.1)

$$r(x)F'(x) = \lambda F(x) - \nu \lambda e^{-\nu x} \int_{y=0}^{x} F(y)e^{\nu y} dy, \qquad 0 < x < K, \qquad (3.1)$$

and hence by differentiation

$$F''(x) + \left(\nu + \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} - \frac{\lambda}{r(x)}\right) F'(x) = 0, \qquad 0 < x < K.$$

Thus

,

$$F'(x) = cr(x)^{-1}e^{O(x)} (3.2) Q(x) = -\nu x + \lambda D(x), (3.2)$$

and using F(K) = 1 and (2.6) we find

$$F(x) = \frac{e^{O(x)} + \nu \int_{y=0}^{x} e^{O(y)} dy}{e^{O(K)} + \nu \int_{y=0}^{K} e^{O(y)} dy} \qquad 0 \le x \le K,$$
(3.3)

which has been obtained by McNeil [10], p. 253, using a limiting result of another problem. From (3.3) or (2.3) the equivalent result for L(z) can easily be found.

More explicit results can be found for special cases of the release rate r(x); for convenience we let $K = \infty$. When r(x) = 1 (and $\lambda/\nu < 1$) $F(x) = 1 - (\lambda/\nu) \exp(\nu - \lambda)x$, and when $r(x) = \mu x$ (3.3) is (truncated) gamma [8]. If $r(x) = \mu \sqrt{x}$, i.e., $\alpha = 1/2$, and if $\phi(x)$ and $\Phi(x)$ are the density function and distribution function of a standard normal random variable, and $\gamma = \rho \sqrt{(2/\nu)}$, it follows that $F(0) = \phi(\gamma)/(\phi(\gamma) + \gamma \Phi(\gamma))$, and $Y = \sqrt{(2\nu X)} - \gamma = \sqrt{\nu W} - \gamma$ is a truncated standard normal random variable on $(-\gamma, \infty)$ with density function $\gamma F(0)\phi(\gamma)/\phi(\gamma)$ with a jump of size F(0) at $-\gamma$. Further $E(\sqrt{X}) = \rho\beta$ (2.6) and

$$E(X) = \frac{\gamma F(0)}{2\nu\phi(\gamma)} \{2\gamma\phi(\gamma) + \gamma^{2}\Phi(\gamma) + 1 - \frac{1}{2}Q(\gamma^{2};3)\}$$

$$E(X^{2}) = \frac{\gamma F(0)}{4\nu^{2}\phi(\gamma)} \{1 + 6\gamma^{2} + (8\gamma + 8\gamma^{3})\phi(\gamma) + \gamma^{4}\Phi(\gamma) - 3\gamma^{2}Q(\gamma^{2};3) - \frac{1}{2}Q(\gamma^{2};5)\},$$
(3.4)

where $Q(x^2; k)$ is the tail of the gamma function with index k/2 ([1], p. 978).

If $r(x) = \mu x^2$, i.e., $\alpha = 2$, then F(0+) = 0, and with $\sigma = \rho \nu = \lambda \nu / \mu$ we obtain

$$F'(x) = \frac{\sqrt{\rho e^{-\nu x - \rho/x}}}{2x^2 \sqrt{\nu K_1(2\sqrt{\rho\nu})}} \qquad 0 < x < \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

where $K_j(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind and of order j ([1], p. 417). The constant c^{-1} in (3.2) is given by the solution of $a''(\sigma) = a(\sigma)/\sigma$, where

$$a(\sigma) = \int_{y=0}^{\infty} e^{-(y+\sigma/y)} dy = 2\sqrt{\sigma} K_1(2\sqrt{\sigma}).$$

In this case $E(X^2) = \rho\beta$ (2.6) and

$$E(X) = c \int_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} e^{-(\nu x + \rho/x)} dx = \frac{-\rho a'(\sigma)}{a(\sigma)}$$
$$= \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\nu}} \frac{K_0(2\sqrt{\sigma})}{K_1(2\sqrt{\sigma})}.$$

TABLE 1

The mean E(X), standard deviation $\sqrt{V(X)}$ and probability F(0 +) of emptiness for exponentially distributed (ν) inputs with $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \rho = \lambda/\mu = 0.5$, 1, 2, 4, and $r(x) = 1 + x\rho$.

ρ	ν	α	E(X)	$\sqrt{V(X)}$	F(0+)
0.5	1	0	1	1.732	0.500
		12	0.567	0.960	0.367
		1	0.500	0.707	0
		2	0.537	0.452	0
		1 + x/2	0.302	0.639	0.604
1	1	1/2	1.449	1.588	0.103
		1	1	1	0
		2	0.814	0.580	0
		1 + x	0.500	0.866	0.500
2	1	1/2	4.499	2.919	0.0028
		1	2	1.414	0
		2	1.212	0.728	0
		1 + 2x	0.800	1.166	0.400
4	1	<u> </u> 2	16.500	5.701	0.000
		1	4	2	0
		2	1.788	0.896	0
		1 + 4x	1.243	1.567	0.311
1	2	0	0.500	1.225	0.500
		1 2	0.444	0.610	0.223
		1	0.500	0.500	0
		2	0.606	0.364	0
		1 + x	0.167	0.373	0.667

When $r(x) = a + \mu x$ we find

$$F(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu a}{\mu} + \nu x; \rho + 1\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu a}{\mu}; \rho + 1\right) + \left(1 + \frac{\mu x}{a}\right)^{\rho} e^{-\nu x} \gamma\left(\frac{\nu a}{\mu}; \rho + 1\right)}{1 - \Gamma\left(\frac{\nu a}{\mu}; \rho + 1\right)}$$
(3.6)

where $\gamma(x;\rho)$ and $\Gamma(x;\rho)$ are respectively the density function and the distribution function of a gamma distribution with index ρ ($\Gamma(x;\rho) = 1 - Q(2x;2\rho)$ ([1], p. 978)).

For $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, 2$, and $r(x) = 1 + \rho x$ and a selection of values of ρ and ν the probability of emptiness F(0+), the mean E(X) and the standard deviation $\sqrt{V(X)}$ of the content are shown in Table 1.

4. Bounded inputs

We consider inputs which have zero mass on $[0, \eta)$, $0 < \eta < \infty$, with mean β ; many input distributions would satisfy such a mild restriction. Further this would give an approximation when counting only inputs of magnitude at least η occurring in a stable input process as defined in [2]. In this case (2.2) can be solved iteratively over $[0, \eta), [\eta, 2\eta), \cdots$ to obtain

$$F(x) = \frac{e^{\lambda D(x)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor x/\eta \rfloor} (-1)^{j} \xi_{j}(x)}{e^{\lambda D(K)} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor K/\eta \rfloor} (-1)^{j} \xi_{j}(K)} \qquad 0 \le x \le K$$
(4.1)

where $\xi_0(x) = 1$, $0 \le x < \infty$ and

$$\xi_{i}(x) = \lambda \int_{w=j\eta}^{x} \frac{e^{-\lambda D(w)}}{r(w)} dw \int_{y=(j-1)\eta}^{w-\eta} e^{\lambda D(y)} \xi_{i-1}(y) dG(w-y) \qquad j\eta \leq x < \infty.$$

If the inputs are constant, i.e., G(x) = 1 for $x \ge \eta = \beta$, then

$$\xi_{j}(x) = \lambda \int_{y=j\beta}^{x} \frac{e^{-\lambda D(y) + \lambda D(y-\beta)}}{r(y)} \xi_{j-1}(y-\beta) dy \qquad j\beta \leq x < \infty.$$
(4.2)

For $r(x) = \mu x^{\alpha}$, $\alpha = 0, 0.25, 0.50, 0.75, 1, 1.50, 2$, $\beta = 1$, $\rho = 0.50, 1, 2, 4$, and x an integer ≤ 7 (4.2) has been evaluated [14], [15].

If the interinput is Erlang with index k (= 2, 3, \cdots), then the iterative procedure used above carries over.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to P. J. Brockwell, B. D. Craven and N. M. H. Smith for helpful comments and discussion.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (National Bureau of Standards, 1964).
- [2] P. J. Brockwell and K. L. Chung, 'Emptiness times of a dam with stable input and general release function', J. Appl. Prob. 12 (1975), 212-217.
- [3] P. J. Brockwell, 'Stationary distributions for dams with additive input and general release rule' (submitted).
- [4] E. Cinlar and M. Pinsky, 'On dams with additive inputs and a general release rule', J. Appl. Prob. 9 (1972), 422-429.
- [5] R. Courant. Differential and Integral Calculus, Vol. 2, Blackie, 1936.
- [6] J. L. Gastwirth and S. S. Wolff, 'On the relation between a particular stochastic process and its two embedded Markov processes', Sankhya A 28 (1966), 151-156.
- [7] D. P. Gaver and R. G. Miller, 'Limiting distributions for some storage problems', in: K. J. Arrow, S. Karlin and H. Scarf, ed. Studies in Probability and Management Science Stanford U. P., 1962.
- [8] S. Karlin, A First Course in Stochastic Processes, Academic Press, 1966.
- [9] J. Keilson and N. D. Mermin, 'The second-order distribution of integrated shot noise'. IRE Tran. on Information Theory, IT-5 (1959), 75-77.
- [10] D. R. McNeil, 'A simple model for a dam in continuous time with Markovian inputs', Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 21 (1972), 241-254.
- [11] P. A. P. Moran, 'A theory of dams with continuous input and a general release rule', J. Appl. Prob. 6 (1969) 88-93.
- [12] N. U. Prabhu, Queues and Inventories, (Wiley, 1965).
- [13] M. Rubinovitch, 'Queues with random service output', Adv. Appl. Prob. 6 (1974), 207-208.
- [14] G. F. Yeo, 'A finite dam with exponential release', J. Appl. Prob. 11 (1974), 122-133.
- [15] G. F. Yeo, 'A finite dam with variable release rule', J. Appl. Prob. 12 (1975), 205-211.

Department of Mathematics,

Odense University,

Odense,

Denmark.