ZEROS OF A CLASS OF CANONICAL ON THE PRODUCTS OF INTEGRAL ORDER

by N. A. BOWEN (Received 8th April 1963)

1. Introduction

In (1) I obtained † an asymptotic formula for the number of zeros of an arbitrary canonical product $\Pi(z)$ of integral order but not of mean type, all of whose zeros lie on a single radius, from a knowledge of the asymptotic behaviour of (i) log $|\Pi(z)|$ as $|z| = r \rightarrow \infty$ along another radius l, with certain side conditions. After proving the analogous theorem in which $\log |\Pi(z)|$ in (i) is replaced by $\mathscr{I}\{\log \Pi(z)\}$, I show in this note that, at a cost of replacing l by two radii l_1 and l_2 , both of these theorems may be generalised to include a class of canonical products of integral order whose zeros lie along a whole line. In one of the resulting theorems ‡ (Theorem II) I find the asymptotic number of zeros on each half of the line of zeros; another theorem (Theorem III) includes a previous result of mine.§

2. Notation, Reference Formulae and Lemmas

In this paper (a_n) , (b_n) denote non-decreasing sequences of positive numbers; $j(r) \ge 0, k(r) \ge 0$ (j(0) = 0 = k(0)) denote the numbers of a_n, b_n respectively in $|z| \leq r$, and $n(r) \equiv i(r) + k(r)$; J, K are non-negative constants; S(z, a, y, q) is the canonical product of genus q defined by

$$S(z, a, \gamma, q) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{ze^{i\gamma}}{a_n}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{ze^{i\gamma}}{a_n} + \ldots + \frac{(-1)^q}{q} \left(\frac{ze^{i\gamma}}{a_n}\right)^q\right\},$$

and

$$P(z, a, b, \gamma, q) \equiv S(z, a, \gamma, q)S(z, b, -\gamma, q),$$

where γ is real.

The following formulae may be found useful for reference:

$$\mathscr{R}\{\log S(re^{i\alpha}, a, \gamma, q)\} = (-1)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{q+1}\{t\cos \overline{q+1}\,\alpha+\gamma+r\cos q\overline{\alpha+\gamma}\}j(t)dt}{t^{q+1}(t^2+r^2+2tr\cos \overline{\alpha+\gamma})}$$
$$\mathscr{I}\{\log S(re^{i\alpha}, a, \gamma, q)\} = (-1)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{q+1}\{t\sin \overline{q+1}\,\alpha+\gamma+r\sin q\overline{\alpha+\gamma}\}j(t)dt}{t^{q+1}(t^2+r^2+2tr\cos \overline{\alpha+\gamma})},$$
$$(\alpha+\gamma+\pi)$$

† (1), p. 299, Theorem 2, part (ii).
‡ For statements and proofs of the theorems, see sections 4, 5, 6.
§ (1), p. 313, Theorem 3, part (ii).

N. A. BOWEN

I suppose also that V(t) is any function of the form

$$V(t) = (\log t)^{S_1} (\log_2 t)^{S_2} \dots (\log_m t)^{S_m}, \quad t \ge t_0,$$

where the $S_u(u = 1, 2, ..., m)$ are real and not all zero, and t_0 is chosen large enough to ensure that V(t) is positive and monotonic.

Let p be a non-negative integer and let

3. We shall need the following results.

Lemma 1. Let $\psi(z)$ be an analytic function of $z = re^{i\theta}$, regular for $|\arg z| < \pi$ and on the negative real axis with the possible exception of logarithmic singularities.[†] Suppose also that $\psi(z)$ is real on the positive real axis and that

(i)
$$|\psi(z)| = o(r^{s-1}) as |z| = r \rightarrow 0,$$

(ii) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\psi(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = o(r^s) as r \rightarrow \infty,$

where s is an integer.

Then for $|\arg z| < \pi$ we have

(iii)
$$\psi(z) = \frac{(-1)^{s+1}}{\pi} \int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{z^{s} \mathscr{I}\{\psi(te^{i\pi})\}dt}{t^{s}(t+z)} + O(|z|^{s-1}), \quad (z \neq 0)$$

where A is any positive constant.

Lemma 2. If the indices (s-1), s in (i), (ii) of Lemma 1 are replaced by $(s-\frac{1}{2})$, $(s+\frac{1}{2})$ respectively, then the analogue of (iii) is

$$\psi(z) = \frac{(-1)^{s}}{\pi} \int_{A}^{\infty} \frac{z^{s+\frac{1}{2}} \Re\{\psi(te^{i\pi})\} dt}{t^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(t+z)} + O(|z|^{s-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (z \neq 0).$$

Proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2. Lemma 1 is obtained by considering the contour integral

$$\psi(z)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{z^{s}\psi(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta^{s}(\zeta-z)},$$

where Γ is the contour formed by the radii arg $z = \pm \pi$ joined by the circumferences of the circles $|\zeta| = A$, $|\zeta| = R$, and z lies within this contour. Lemma 2 is obtained in the same way,[†] the indices s in the contour integral being replaced by $(s+\frac{1}{2})$.

Lemma § 3. Case I. Let $V(r) \downarrow 0$ as $r \uparrow \infty$. Then from

† By "logarithmic singularities," which do not arise in this paper but arose in (2) from which the analogous Lemma 2 below is taken, I mean the singularities of $\psi(z)$ at the zeroes of p(z), say, where $\psi(z) \equiv \log p(z)$ and p(z) is an arbitrary canonical product. ‡ For details see (2), p. 116. § (1), p. 299, Theorem 2, part (i).

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500010890 Published online by Cambridge University Press

248

with the h(z) of (1) follows the relation

$$q=p-1.$$

Case II. Let $V(r)\uparrow\infty$ as $r\uparrow\infty$. Then from (3) with the h(z) of (2) follows the relation

$$q = p$$
.

In both cases the asymptotic formula

$$j(r) \sim Jr^p \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|$$

follows.

Lemma 4.

(a)
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} |V(re^{i\theta})|/V(r) = 1.$$

(b) $\Re\{V(re^{i\theta})\} \sim V(r).$
(c) $\Im\{V(re^{i\theta})\} \sim \theta \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)}.$

4. Theorem I. Let

 $|\alpha| < \pi$

and let the canonical product S(z, a, 0, q) of at most order (q+1), convergent type, satisfy

 $\mathscr{I}\{\log S(re^{i\alpha})\} \sim J\mathscr{I}\{h(re^{i\alpha})\} \quad (\alpha \text{ constant}) \quad \dots \quad (4)$

with the h(z) of (1) if $V(r)\downarrow 0$ as $r\uparrow\infty$ (Case I), with the h(z) of (2) if $V(r)\uparrow\infty$ as $r\uparrow\infty$ (Case II).

Suppose also that

 $s\pi/p < |\alpha| < (s+1)\pi/p$ (s ≥ 0 integral)

and

$$j(\mathbf{r}) = o(\mathbf{r}^{(s+1)\pi/|\alpha|}).$$

Then

$$q = p-1$$
 (Case I), $q = p$ (Case II);

and, in both cases,

$$j(r) \sim Jr^p \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|. \tag{5}$$

Proof of Theorem I. It is not necessary to give details as the proof follows the same lines as that of Theorem 2, part (ii), in (1), p. 299; we use here Lemma 1 to obtain (3) for $\alpha = 0$, and the result follows from Lemma 3.

Note on Theorem I. If, in Theorem I, the possibility $\sin p\alpha = 0$ were permitted, the corresponding right-hand side of (4) would be $o(r^{p}V(r))$, and consequently no precise information like (5) could be expected, since canonical

products of the form S(z, a, 0, q) with $Jr^{p} \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|$ zeros would for all $J(\geq 0)$ satisfy $\dagger \mathscr{I}\{\log S(re^{i\alpha})\} = o(r^{p}V(r)).$

5. Theorem II. Let

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 < \gamma < \pi & (\gamma \ constant) \\ | \alpha | < \pi - \gamma & (\alpha \ constant) \end{array} \right\} \qquad (6)$$

and let the canonical product $\ddagger P(z, a, b, \gamma, q)$ of at most order (q+1), convergent type, satisfy

and

$$\{\log P(re^{-i\alpha})\} \sim J\mathscr{I}\{h(re^{i(-\alpha+\gamma)})\} + K\mathscr{I}\{h(re^{i(-\alpha-\gamma)})\} \dots (8)$$

where §

and the h(z) of (1) is used if $V(r)\downarrow 0$ as $r\uparrow \infty$ (Case I), the h(z) of (2) is used if $V(r)\uparrow \infty$ as $r\uparrow \infty$ (Case II).

Suppose also that

Ì

$$s\pi/p < |\alpha| < (s+1)\pi/p \quad (s \ge 0 \text{ integral})$$
$$n(r) = o(r^{(s+1)\pi/|\alpha|}), \qquad (10)$$

and either

with

with or

with Then

$$q = p-1$$
 (Case I), $q = p$ (Case II);(12)

and, in both cases

If, in addition,

and, with $|\alpha|$ and γ interchanged, (10) with either (11a) or (11b) holds, then

† For the estimate for $\mathcal{I}(\log S(re^{i\alpha}))$ we should use (1), p. 298, Theorem 1, part (iv).

[‡] Defined in section 2.

§ The note at the end of section 4 explains the necessity of the assumption (9).

Proof of Theorem II, Case I. Setting

 $Q(z) \equiv P(z, a, b, \gamma, q)P(z, b, a, \gamma, q),$

we observe that Q(z) is regular in $|\arg z| < \pi - \gamma$, real on the real axis, and, by (7), (8), the definition (1) of h(z), and the properties of V(z) as listed in Lemma 4 of section 3, O(z) satisfies

$$\mathscr{I}\left\{Q(re^{i\alpha})\right\}\sim 2(-1)^{p-1}(J+K)r^{p}\left\{V(r)\cos p\gamma\sin p\alpha\right.\\\left.-\left(\alpha\cos p\gamma\cos p\alpha-\gamma\sin p\gamma\sin p\alpha\right)\frac{dV}{d(\log r)}\right\}.$$
 (16)

Now if

$$p\alpha = s\pi$$
, or $p\gamma = (2k-1)\pi/2$, or both,(17)

the right-hand side of (16) is $o(r^{p}V(r))$ and we can expect the method to give no precise information about n(r).

If (17) does not hold, then (16) is equivalent to

 $\mathscr{I}{O(re^{i\alpha})} \sim 2(-1)^{p-1}(J+K)r^{p}V(r)\cos p\gamma\sin p\alpha,$

from which, on putting $\psi(z) \equiv \log Q(z^{a/\pi})$, assuming (as we obviously may at this stage, since Q(r) is real) that $\alpha > 0$, and using Lemma 1 of section 3, we find that

by the argument used in (1), pp. 309-310, the "order" requirements of the lemma following simply from (10).

Now

$$\log Q(r) \equiv 2(-1)^{q} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{q+1} \{t \cos \overline{q+1} \ \gamma + r \cos q\gamma\} \{j(t) + k(t)\} dt}{t^{q+1} | t + re^{i\gamma} |^{2}}$$
$$= 2 \log | P_{1}(re^{i\gamma})|,$$

where $P_1(z)$ is any canonical product of genus q, having only negative zeros, n(r) = j(r) + k(r) in number. To $P_1(z)$ we now apply \dagger Lemma 2 of section 3 with $\psi(z) \equiv \log P_1(z^{\gamma/\pi})$, using (11*a*) or (11*b*), and (18). We get

$$\log P_1(r) \sim (-1)^{p-1} (J+K) r^p V(r)$$

and hence, by Lemma 3 (Case I) of section 3,

$$q = p - 1$$

and

$$n(r) \sim (J+K)r^{p} \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right| \qquad (13)$$

as required.

For the other part of Theorem II (Case I), we also have ‡

$$\sin p(\alpha + \gamma) - \sin p(\alpha - \gamma) \neq 0,$$

† For details, see proof of Theorem 2, part (ii), in (1), p. 299. ‡ We notice that, if sin $p(\alpha + \gamma)$ were equal to sin $p(\alpha - \gamma)$, the result (15) with specific J, K could not be expected, since the right-hand sides of (7) and (8) would involve (J+K) and so be unaltered for an infinite choice of non-negative J, K having a constant sum.

that is.

Let

$$I(0, \infty, \alpha, \gamma, h) \text{ denote } (-1)^q \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{q+1} \{t \sin \overline{q+1} \alpha + \gamma + r \sin q \alpha + \gamma\} h(t) dt}{t^{q+1} (t^2 + r^2 + 2tr \cos \alpha + \gamma)}$$

Then, on substituting from (13) into (7) we get †

$$I(0, \infty, \alpha, \gamma, j) - I(0, \infty, \alpha, -\gamma, j) \sim J \mathscr{I} \{h(re^{i(\alpha+\gamma)}) - h(re^{i(\alpha-\gamma)})\},$$

that is,

$$I(0, \infty, \gamma, \alpha, j) + I(0, \infty, \gamma, -\alpha, j) \sim J \mathscr{I} \{h(re^{i(\gamma+\alpha)}) + h(re^{i(\gamma-\alpha)})\}, \dots (20)$$

and

 $I(0, \infty, -\gamma, \alpha, j) + I(0, \infty, -\gamma, -\alpha, j) \sim J \mathscr{I} \{h(re^{i(-\gamma+\alpha)}) + h(re^{i(-\gamma-\alpha)})\} \dots (21)$ follows similarly from (13) and (8).

Since (20) with (21) is a particular case of (7) with (8), with $|\alpha|$ and γ interchanged, the argument used to prove the first part of the theorem here yields

$$j(r) \sim Jr^{p} \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|$$

$$k(r) \sim Kr^{p} \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|$$
(15)

and hence, by (13),

Proof of Theorem II, Case II. The same method applies.

.

6. Theorem III. Let

$$0 < \gamma < \pi \qquad (\gamma \ constant)$$
$$|\alpha| < \pi - \gamma \quad (\alpha \ constant)$$

and let canonical product § $P(z, a, b, \gamma, q)$ of at most order (q+1), convergent type, satisfy $\mathscr{R}\{\log P(re^{i\alpha})\} \sim J\mathscr{R}\{h(re^{i(\alpha+\gamma)})\} + K\mathscr{R}\{h(re^{i(\alpha-\gamma)})\} \qquad (22)$

and

$$\mathscr{R}\{\log P(re^{-i\alpha})\} \sim J\mathscr{R}\{h(re^{i(-\alpha+\gamma)})\} + K\mathscr{R}\{h(re^{i(-\alpha-\gamma)})\}$$

where ||

and the h(z) of (1) is used if $V(r)\downarrow 0$ as $r\uparrow \infty$ (Case I), the h(z) of (2) is used if $V(r)\uparrow\infty$ as $r\uparrow\infty$ (Case II).

Suppose also that

either

$$0 < \gamma < \pi/2p$$

- Using (1), p. 298, Theorem 1, part (iv).
- The radii which now have to be excepted are those given by (19).
- Defined in section 2.
- § Defined in section 2.
 If the note at the end of section 4 explains the necessity of the assumption (23).

252

with

$$n(r)=o(r^{\pi/2\gamma});$$

or with

$$(2k-1)\pi/2p < \gamma < (2k+1)\pi/2p$$
 (k>0 integral)

 $\alpha = 0$:

 $0 < |\alpha| < \pi/2p$

$$n(r) = o(r^{(2k+1)\pi/2\gamma});$$

and either

or

with

$$n(r) = o(r^{\pi/2 |\alpha|});$$

or

$$(2s-1)\pi/2p < |\alpha| < (2s+1)\pi/2p \quad (s>0 \text{ integral})$$

with

$$n(r) = o(r^{(2s+1)\pi/2 |a|})$$

Then

$$q = p-1$$
 (Case I), $q = p$ (Case II);

and, in both cases,

$$n(r) \sim (J+K)r^{p} \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right|.$$

Proof of Theorem III. This follows the same lines as the proof of the first part of Theorem II, except that here Lemma 1 of section 2 is not required, Lemma 2 being used for both of the main steps in the argument. In the special case \dagger in which $\alpha = 0$, however, only one application of Lemma 2 is needed.

REFERENCES

(1) N. A. BOWEN, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 12 (1962), 297-314.

(2) N. A. BOWEN and A. J. MACINTYRE, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 70 (1951), 114-126.

THE UNIVERSITY LEICESTER

† If $\alpha = 0$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\pi$, then p = 2k and hence (1), p. 313, Theorem 3, part (ii) is included in Theorem III above. Part (i) however is *not* given, since, by the properties of V(z), the right-hand side of (22) here, for $\alpha = 0$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\pi$ and *odd* p, is of magnitude $O\left(r^p \left| \frac{dV(r)}{d(\log r)} \right| \right)$ and not $O(r^p V(r))$ as in the theorem quoted.

E.M.S.-R