SOME ISOMETRIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF l.

by JIANG ZHU

(Received 30 October, 1990)

0. Introduction. The previous results on isometrically characterizing l_{∞}^{n} in terms of operator ideal norms can be summarized as follows.

Let E be an n-dimensional Banach space.

(1) If $\lambda(E) = 1$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^{n}$ (see [3], [5], [6]), where $\lambda(E)$ is the projection constant of E (see [4]).

(2) If $\pi(E) = n$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^{n}$ (see [1], [2]).

(3) If $\Delta_2(E) = \sqrt{n}$, then $E \approx l_{\infty}^n$ (see [8]), where $\Delta_2(E)$ is the 2-dominated constant of E (see [4]).

(4) If for any linear operator $T: l_1^{n+1} \to E$, $v_1(T) = v_1^{(n)}(T)$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^n$ (see [7]), where v_1 is the 1-nuclear norm (see [4]).

In this paper we have the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let $E = (K^n, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be an n-dimensional Banach space and let M be a positive integer. If $p_0 \ge 2$ is such that $\pi_p(E) \le M^{1/p}$ for all $p \ge p_0$, then E embeds isometrically into l_{∞}^M .

COROLLARY. If $\pi_p(E) = n^{1/p}$ for all $p \ge p_0$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^n$.

THEOREM 2. If $\pi_p(i_{2E}) = n^{1/p}$ for all $p \ge p_0$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^n$, where $i_{2E}: (K^n, |\cdot|_2) \to (K^n, |\cdot|_E)$ is the John operator.

Since $n^{1/p} \le \pi_p(i_{2E}) \le \pi_p(E)$ for all E with dim(E) = n, the Corollary also follows immediately from Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. If for any linear operator $T: l_{\infty}^{n+1} \to E$ we have $\pi_1(T) = \pi_1^{(n)}(T)$, then $E \simeq l_{\infty}^n$.

This can be regarded as a dual result to (4).

1. Preliminaries. Let $E = (K^n, \|\cdot\|_E)$ be an *n*-dimensional Banach space, where $K = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Let $l_p^n = (K^n, \|\cdot\|_p)$, where $\|x\|_p = (\sum_{i \le n} |x(i)|^p)^{1/p}$ (for $1 \le p < \infty$), $\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{i \le n} |x(i)|$ We say that $E = (K^n, \|\cdot\|_E)$ and $F = (K^n, \|\cdot\|_F)$ are isometric and write $E \simeq F$ if there exists a linear operator T from E to F such that $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| = 1$. The John operator is the identity map $i_{2E}: (K^N, |\cdot|_2) \to (K^n, \|\cdot\|_E)$, where $(K^n, |\cdot|_2)$ is the Euclidean space whose unit ball has maximum volume among all ellipsoids contained in the unit ball of E.

For a linear operator $T: E \rightarrow F$, the *p*-summing norms $(p \ge 1)$ are defined by

$$\pi_p^{(k)}(T) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \le k} \|Tx_i\|^p \right)^{1/p} : x_1, \ldots, x_k \in E, \, \mu_p(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\pi_p(T) = \sup_k \pi_p^{(k)}(T),$$

Glasgow Math. J. 34 (1992) 117-121.

JIANG ZHU

$$\mu_p(x_1,\ldots,x_k) = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \le k} |f(x_i)|^p \right)^{1/p} : f \in E^*, \|f\|_* = 1 \right\}.$$

If E is finite dimensional, $\pi_p(E) := \pi_p(id_E)$.

2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3. It is well known that any separable Banach space is isometric to a subspace of l_{∞} . Let $m \in N \cup \{\infty\}$ be minimal with the property that there exists an isometric embedding $i: E \to l_{\infty}^m$. Our aim is to show that $m \leq M$ (to prove Theorem 1) and m = n (to prove Theorem 3).

Let e_1^*, \ldots, e_m^* be the standard dual basis of l_{∞}^m , and for $1 \le j \le m$ let $f_j = i^*(e_j^*)$. Then we have $||x||_E = \max\{|f_j(x)|: 1\le j\le m\}$ for every $x \in E$. By the minimality of m, it is clear that none of the functionals f_j is a multiple of any other (in the case $m = \infty$, we choose a subsequence of $(f_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ such that it is a minimal norming set), and that, for each j, there exists a unit vector x_j such that f_j is its unique supporting functional.

Proof of Theorem 1. Now suppose that $\infty > m > M$. By a simple compactness argument, there exists a such that 0 < a < 1 and $f(x_i) > a \Rightarrow f(x_j) \le a$ for $f \in E^*$ such that $||f||_{E^*} = 1$ and for $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le m$, $i \ne j$.

Then certainly

$$\mu_p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \leq (1+(m-1)a^p)^{1/p}$$

and $(\sum_{i \le m} ||x_i||^p)^{1/p} = m^{1/p}$. So we have

$$\pi_p^p(E) \ge \frac{m}{1 + (m-1)a^p}$$

which is strictly larger than M if p is large enough. If $m = \infty$, we choose $\infty > m' > M$, and use $x_1, \ldots, x_{m'}$ in the same way as before to show that $\pi_p^p(E) > M$ for p large enough.

This contradicts our assumption that $\pi_p(E) \le M^{1/p}$ for p sufficiently large.

Proof of Theorem 3. If m > n, define $T: l_{\infty}^{n+1} \to E$ by $Te_j = x_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n+1$. Then $\pi_1(T) = \sum_{j \le n+1} ||Te_j|| = \sum ||x_j|| = n+1$. Since $\pi_1(T) = \pi_1^{(n)}(T)$, there exist $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in l_{\infty}^{n+1}$ such that

$$\mu_1(y_1,\ldots,y_n) = \max\left\{\sum_{i\leq n} |y_i(j)|: 1\leq j\leq n+1\right\} = 1$$
(1)

and

$$\sum_{i \le n} \|Ty_i\| = n + 1.$$
 (2)

Hence

$$\sum_{i \le n} \|Ty_i\| \le \sum_{i \le n} \|\sum_{j \le n+1} y_i(j)Te_j\| \le \sum_{i,j} |y_i(j)| \le n+1.$$
(3)

So (2) holds only when

$$\sum_{i \le n} |y_i(j)| = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1$$
 (4)

118 where and

$$||Ty_i|| = \sum_{j \le n+1} |y_i(j)|$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n.$ (5)

By (4) there exist i_0, j_1, j_2 such that $1 \le i_0 \le n$, $1 \le j_1 \le j_2 \le n + 1$ and $y_{i_0}(j_k) \ne 0$ for k = 1, 2. On the other hand, there exists $f \in E^*$ such that $||f||_{E^*} = 1$ and $f(Ty_{i_0}) = ||Ty_{i_0}||$. So (5) implies that $||f(x_j)| = 1$ or $y_{i_0}(j) = 0$. But by the definition of $x_j, |f(x_{j_1})| < 1$ or $|f(x_{j_2})| < 1$. This contradicts (5).

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Without losing generality, we assume that $|\cdot|_2 = ||\cdot||_2$. Then $||\cdot||_E \le ||\cdot||_2 \le ||\cdot||_{E^*}$. By John's theorem (see [8]), there exist $x_1, \ldots, x_N \in K^n$ and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_N$, where $N \le n(n+1)/2$ in the real case, $N \le n^2$ in the complex case, such that $||x_i||_E = ||x_i||_2 = ||x_i||_{E^*} = 1$, x_i is not a multiple of any other x_j , and

$$\sum_{i \le N} \lambda_i = n,$$
$$\sum_{i \le N} \lambda_i x_i \otimes x_i = \mathrm{id}_{K^n}.$$

It is clear that there exist b_i such that $0 < b_i < 1$ for i = 1, ..., N and,

if $||f||_2 \le 1$ and $|(x_i, f)| > b_i$, then $|(x_i, f)| \le b_i$ for all $j \ne i$.

The main step of the proof is the following claim.

CLAIM. N = n and x_1, \ldots, x_n form an orthogonal basis in l_2^n .

We want to show that $\lambda_i \ge 1$ for all *i* such that $1 \le i \le N$. Suppose that one can find an $i_0 \le N$ such that $0 < \lambda_{i_0} < 1$. Choose *p* large enough such that

$$b_{i_0}^p < \frac{(1-\lambda_{i_0})}{2n}$$

Fix such p and choose a positive number c satisfying

$$\frac{1-\lambda_{i_0}}{2} < c^p < \min\left\{1, \frac{n(1-\lambda_{i_0})}{2(n-1)}\right\}.$$

Now we are in the position to estimate a lower bound of $\pi_p(i_{2E})$ by using the N+1 elements cx_{i_0} , $\lambda_1^{1/p}x_1, \ldots, \lambda_N^{1/p}x_N$. Denote them by y_1, \ldots, y_{N+1} .

Some simple computations show

$$\mu_2(y_1,\ldots,y_{N+1}) < \max\left\{1 + \frac{1-\lambda_{i_0}}{2n}, c^{\rho} + \frac{1+\lambda_{i_0}}{2}\right\}$$

in l_2^n . Meanwhile

$$\sum_{i \le N+1} ||y_i||_E^p = c^p + n.$$

Hence (note our choice of c)

$$\pi_{p}(i_{2E})^{p} \geq \min\left\{\frac{c^{p}+n}{(1-\lambda_{i_{0}})/2n+1}, \frac{c^{p}+n}{c^{p}+(1+\lambda_{i_{0}})/2}\right\} > n$$

The contradiction implies the claim.

Without losing generality we can assume that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is the unit vector basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$.

By Pietsch's theorem (see [4]), there exists a sequence $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subseteq l_2^n$ such that for any $x \in E$

$$\|x\|_{E}^{p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(x, f_{j})|^{p}$$
(6)

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|f_j\|_2^p \le \pi_p(i_{2E})^p = n.$$
(7)

In (6) put $x = e_i$ for i = 1, ..., n. Then it is easy to see that each f_j must have the form $\beta_j e_k$. Put $A_i = \{f_j : f_j \text{ is of the form } \beta_j e_i\}$ for i = 1, ..., n. From (6) (for $x = e_i$) and (7), one has

$$\sum_{f_j \in A_i} |(e_i, f_j)|^p = 1$$

for $p \ge p_0$. Hence

$$||x||_{E}^{p} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(x, f_{j})|^{p} \leq \sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{f_{j} \in A_{i}} |(x, f_{j})|^{p}$$
$$= \sum_{i \leq n} |(x, e_{i})|^{p} \sum_{f_{i} \in A_{i}} |(f_{j}, e_{i})|^{p} = ||x||_{p}^{p}$$

for all $p \ge p_0$. Letting $p \to \infty$, we see that $||x||_E \le ||x||_{\infty}$. But since $||e_i||_{E^*} = 1$, it follows that $||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i \le n} |(x, e_i)| \le \max_{i \le n} ||x||_E ||e_i||_{E^*} = ||x||_E$. So $||\cdot||_E = ||\cdot||_{\infty}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. I wish to thank Dr Jameson for his encouragement and valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

1. J. P. Deschaseaux, Une caractérisation de certains espaces vectoriels normés de dimension finie par leur constante de Macphail, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 276 (1973), A1349-A1351.

2. D. J. H. Garling, Operators with large trace, and a characterization of l_n^{∞} , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **76** (1974), 413-414.

3. D. B. Goodner, Projections on normed linear spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 89-108.

4. G. J. O. Jameson, Summing and nuclear norms in Banach space theory (Cambridge University Press, 1987).

5. J. L. Kelley, Banach spaces with the extension property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1952), 323-326.

120

6. L. Nachbin, A theorem of the Hahn-Banach type for linear transformations, Trans. Amer.

Math. Soc. 68 (1950), 28-46.
7. A. Pelczynski and N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, On the length of faithful nuclear representation of finite rank operators, Mathematika 35 (1988), 126-134.

8. N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, Banach-Mazur distances and finite-dimensional operator ideals (Pitman, 1988).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS LANCASTER UNIVERSITY LANCASTER