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1. Introduction. Let $G$ be a group. We define $\lambda(G)$ to be the smallest integer $n$ such that every element of the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$ is a product of $n$ commutators. Ito [4] has shown that $\lambda\left(A_{n}\right)=1$ for all $n$. Thompson [7] has shown that $\lambda\left(\mathrm{SL}_{n}(q)\right)=1$ for all $n$ and $q$. In fact, there is no known simple group $G$ such that $\lambda(G)>1$. However, there do exist such perfect groups (cf. [7]).
$G$ is said to be a $C$-group if $G$ is the commutator subgroup of some group. First we show that if $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{s}$ satisfy certain conditions, then there exists a group $K$ with $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{i}$ and $\lambda(K)>k$. As a consequence of this, we show that if $G$ is a finite, non-perfect $C$-group and $k$ is a positive integer, then there exist a group $K$ and a positive integer $s$ so that $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G$ and $\lambda(K)>k$.

In the next section, we derive some applications to the case where $G^{\prime}$ is abelian, and in particular where $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic. Finally, we construct nilpotent groups $G$ of class 2 satisfying $\lambda(G)=n$ and either $G^{\prime}$ is finite of rank $n^{2}$ or $G^{\prime}$ is finitely generated of rank $n^{2}-n+1$ (where the rank of a finitely generated abelian group is the minimal number of elements in a generating set). The former improves a result of Gallagher [1], while the latter answers a question of Liebeck [5].

## 2. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$-groups.

Definition. Let $p$ be a prime. A group $G$ is said to be a $C_{p}$-group if there exists a group $K$ with a subgroup $H$ satisfying:
(i) $K^{\prime}=G \subset H$,
(ii) $H^{\prime} \neq G$, and
(iii) $[K: H]=p$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $G_{i}$ is a $C_{p}$-group for $i=1,2, \ldots, s=1+p+\cdots+p^{2 k}$. Then there exists a group $K$ so that $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{i}$ and $\lambda(K)>k$.

Proof. Choose $K_{i}, H_{i}$ as in the definition above, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then $K_{i}=\left\langle H_{i}, u_{i}\right\rangle$, where $\quad u_{i}^{p} \in H_{i}$. Pick elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k+1} \in \prod_{i=1}^{s} K_{i}$, where $x_{i}=\left(u_{1}^{\lambda_{11}}, \ldots, u_{s}^{\lambda_{s k+1}}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1 j}, \lambda_{2 j}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 k+1, j}\right), 1 \leq j \leq s$, range over all $s$ one dimensional subspaces of $\prod_{i=1}^{2 k+1} \not \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Set $K=\left\langle H_{1}, \ldots, H_{s}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k+1}\right\rangle \subset \prod_{i=1}^{s} K_{i}$. Clearly $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{i}$.
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Let $w$ be a typical product of $k$ commutators in $K$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[y_{j} \prod_{r=1}^{2 k+1} x_{r^{e_{r}},}, z_{j} \prod_{r=1}^{2 k+1} x_{r^{\prime}}^{f_{r}}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{i}, z_{j} \in K$. Then $y_{j}=\left(a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{j s}\right)$ and $z_{j}=\left(b_{j 1}, \ldots, b_{j s}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$, where $a_{i m}$, $b_{j m} \in H_{m}$ for $m=1, \ldots, s$.
$\in H_{m}$ for $m=1, \ldots, s$.
Since $H_{i} \supset K_{i}^{\prime}, H_{i} \triangleleft K_{i}$, and hence $H_{i}^{\prime} \triangleleft K_{i}$. Thus $H=\prod_{i=1}^{s} H_{i} \triangleleft K$. Consider (1) in $K / H$. A straightforward calculation gives

$$
\left.\left.w=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\left[a_{j 1}, u_{1}^{\alpha_{1}}\right]\right]\left[u_{1}^{\beta_{11}}, b_{j 1}\right], \ldots,\left[a_{j s}, u_{s}^{\alpha_{s}}\right]\right]\left[u_{s}^{\beta_{i+i}}, b_{j s}\right]\right)
$$

in $K / H$, where $\alpha_{i j}=\sum_{r=1}^{2 k+1} e_{j r} \lambda_{r i}$ and $\beta_{i j}=\sum_{r=1}^{2 k+1} f_{j r} \lambda_{r i}$.
The system of $2 k$ equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=1}^{2 k+1} e_{i r} w_{r}=0 \\
& \sum_{r=1}^{2 k+1} f_{j r} w_{r}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
\end{aligned}
$$

in the unknowns $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 k+1}$ has a non-trivial solution in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Hence there exists $t$, $1 \leq t \leq s$, such that $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{2 k+1}\right)=\left(\lambda_{10}, \ldots, \lambda_{2 k+1, t}\right)$ is a solution. So $\alpha_{i j} \equiv \beta_{i j} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ for $j=1, \ldots, k$, and therefore the $t$ th component of $w$ in $K / H$ is 1 . Thus we have shown that if $c_{i} \in G_{i}-H_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s$, then the element $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{s}\right)$ is not a product of $k$ commutators in $K$.

As a corollary to this we get our desired result.
Theorem 2. If $G$ is a non-perfect, finite $C$-group, then, given a positive integer $k$, there exist a positive integer $s$ and a group $K$ so that $K^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{s} G$ and $\lambda(K)>k$.

Proof. Macdonald [6] has shown that if $G$ is a finite $C$-group, then there exists $K$ finite with $K^{\prime}=G$. Choose $K$ of minimal order such that $K^{\prime}=G$. Since $G$ is not perfect, $K \neq G$, and hence there exists a prime $p$ so that $p \mid[K: G]$. Since $K / G$ is a finite abelian group whose order is a multiple of $p$, there exists a subgroup $H$ of $K$ satisfying $H \subset G$ and [ $K: H$ ] $=p$. By the minimality of $K, H^{\prime} \neq G$, and thus $G$ is a $C_{p}$-group. The result now follows from Theorem 1.

## 3. Abelian commutator subgroups.

Lemma 1. An abelian group is a $C_{2}$-group.
Proof. Let $A$ be an abelian group. Consider $G=(A \times A) \times_{s}\langle x\rangle$, where $x^{2}=1$ and
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$x(a, b) x=(b, a)$. Then $G^{\prime}=\left\{\left(a, a^{-1}\right): a \in A\right\} \cong A$. Let $H=A \times A$. Then $H^{\prime}=1$ and $[G: H]=2$.

We remark that in the group above $\lambda(G)=1$ as $[x,(1, a)]=\left(a, a^{-1}\right)$. From Lemma 1 and Theorem 1 we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Suppose $G$ is a direct product of $2^{2 k+1}-1$ abelian groups. Then there exists a group $K$ with $K^{\prime}=G$ and $\lambda(K)>k$.

Corollary. If $G$ is a cyclic group of order $n$, where $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots p_{s}^{\alpha}, s \geq 2^{2 k+1}-1$, then there exists $K$ with $K^{\prime}=G$ and $\lambda(K)>k$.

If $2 \mid n$ the result can be improved slightly. However, if $n$ is odd, this is the best possible example (cf. [3]). Macdonald [6] has proved the corollary in the case $n$ is odd and $s \geq 2^{2 k+2}-1$.
4. Nilpotent groups. Let $R=M_{2 n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the ring of $2 n \times 2 n$ matrices with integer entries. Set $E_{k l}=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in R \quad$ where $a_{i j}=0 \quad$ if $\quad(i, j) \neq(k, l)$ and $a_{k l}=1$. Let $U=$ $\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in M_{3}(R): b_{i j}=0\right.$ if $i>j$, and $\left.b_{i i}=1\right\}$. Then $U$ is a group under matrix multiplication. Let $G$ be the subgroup of $U$ generated by $\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in U: b_{12}=b_{23}^{t}=\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} \lambda_{k} E_{k 1}\right\}$. If we denote the set of skew-symmetric matrices of $R$ by $S$, then $G^{\prime}=\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in U: b_{12}=b_{23}=0, b_{13} \in S\right\}$. However, $x=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in G^{\prime}$ is a commutator if and only if rank $b_{13} \leq 2$. Hence $x=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in G^{\prime}$ is a product of $m$ commutators if and only if rank $b_{13} \leq 2 m$. Clearly, then $\lambda(G) \doteq n$. Note also that $G^{\prime} \subset Z(G)$, and hence $G$ is nilpotent of class 2 .

Let $A=\{(i, j): 1 \leq i<j \leq 2 n, i+j \leq 2 n+1\}$. Notice that $|A|=n^{2}$. Choose integers $k_{i j}$, $(i, j) \in A$, so that there exists a prime $p$ with $p \mid k_{i j}$. Let $H=\left\{\left(a_{i j}\right) \in S: a_{i j}\right.$ is a multiple of $k_{i j}$ for $(i, j) \in A\}$. Note that $H$ is an additive subgroup of $S$. Set $x_{0}=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in S$, where $a_{i j}=0$ if $i+j \neq 2 n+1$, and $a_{i j}=1$ if $i+j=2 n+1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the coset $x_{0}+H$ consists only of matrices of rank $2 n$, since if $y \in x_{0}+H$, det $y \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.

Now consider $K=\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in G^{\prime}: b_{13} \in H\right\}$. $K$ is a central subgroup of $G$, and hence is normal. By the above remarks, the element $y=\overline{\left(b_{i j}\right)} \in G^{\prime} / K$ and $b_{13}=x_{0}$ is not a product of fewer than $n$ commutators. Hence $\lambda(G / K)=n$. Also $(G / K)^{\prime}=G^{\prime} / K \cong \prod_{(i, j) \in \mathbb{A}} \mathbb{Z} / k_{i j} \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 4. If $G$ is a finitely generated abelian group with rank $G \geq n^{2}$, then there exists a group $K$ such that $G=K^{\prime} \subset Z(K)$ and $\lambda(K)=n$.

If we take $k_{i j}=p$, a fixed prime for all $(i, j) \in A$, then $G^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{n^{2}} \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. If $T$ is a maximal torsion-free central subgroup of $G$, then $K=G / T$ is a finite nilpotent group such that $K^{\prime}=G^{\prime}$ and $\lambda(K)=\lambda(G)$. Let $L$ be the sylow $p$-subgroup of $K$. Then $L^{\prime}=K^{\prime}$ and $\lambda(L)=n$. Gallagher [1] has shown that if $G$ is a $p$-group and $\left|G^{\prime}\right|<p^{n(n+1)}$, then $\lambda(G) \leq n$. Our example shows that $n(n+1)$ can not be replaced by $(n+1)^{2}+1$. For $n=1, p^{4}$ is the best bound (cf. [2]).

We now will construct another example. For each $(i, j) \in A$, set $k_{i j}=0$ if $i+j<2 n+1$ and $k_{i j}=m_{i}>1$ if $i+j=2 n+1$. Let $H$ be as above. If $y \in x_{0}+H$, $\operatorname{det} y=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\lambda_{i} m_{i}\right)^{2} \neq 0$. Again set $K=\left\{\left(b_{i j}\right) \in G^{\prime}: b_{13} \in H\right\}$. Arguing as above, we see that $\lambda(G / K)=n$, and $(G / K)^{\prime}=G^{\prime} / K \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n^{2}-n} \mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} / m_{i} \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, if we assume that the $m_{i}$ are pairwise relatively prime, then rank $G^{\prime} / K=n^{2}-n+1$. Hence we have constructed a group $N$ of nilpotency class 2 with $\lambda(N)=n$, and $N^{\prime}$ generated by $n^{2}-n+1$ elements. Liebeck [5] showed that if $N^{\prime} \subset Z(N)$ can be generated by 2 elements, then $\lambda(N)=1$. The above example shows that 2 cannot be replaced by 3 . This answers a question posed in [5].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his gratitude to Robert Steinberg for his help in the construction of the examples in Section 4.

## REFERENCES

1. P. X. Gallagher, The generation of the lower central series, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965), 405-410.
2. R. Guralnick, Expressing group elements as products of commutators, Ph.D. Thesis, UCLA (1977).
3. R. Guralnick, On cyclic commutator subgroups, to appear.
4. N. Ito, A theorem on the alternating group $A_{n}(n \geq 5)$, Math. Japon. 2 (1951), 59-60.
5. H. Liebeck, A test for commutators, Glasgow Math. J. 17 (1976), 31-36.
6. I. D. Macdonald, On cyclic commutator subgroups, J. London Math. Soc. 38 (1963), 419-422.
7. R. C. Thompson, Commutators in the special and general linear groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 16-33.

Department of Mathematics
University of California
405 Hilgard Avenue
Los Angeles, Ca 90024
Present address:
Department of Mathematics
253-37
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, Ca 91125

