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Abstract. The singular spectrum of $u$ in a convolution equation $\mu * u=f$, where $\mu$ and $f$ are tempered ultradistributions of Beurling or Roumieau type is estimated by

$$
S S u \subset\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \operatorname{Char} \mu\right) \cup S S f
$$

The same is done for $S S_{*} u$.

## 0 Introduction

In this paper we consider a class of convolution equations in spaces of tempered ultradistributions and study the propagation of Gevrey and analytic singularities.

Various spaces of generalized functions and hyperfunctions are introduced and used in the microlocal analysis of various classes of equations ([4], [6], [11], [13]). Hörmander gives in [4], Chapter 9 an elementary approach to the theory of hyperfunctions (cf. [13]) by using Poisson's kernel as well as Komatsu who develops in [6] the theory of sheaves $C^{*}$ and $C_{*}$ of microfunctions which correspond to spaces of ultradistributions and ultradifferentiable functions, respectively. In [10] we follow [4], Chapter 8, and analyze the microsupport of an ultradistribution in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ by the mean of a kernel introduced in [4], Section 8.4. Note that ultradistribution spaces $\mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ are are invariant under Fourier transformation.

In this paper we investigate the singular spectrum of a solution $u$ of $\mu * u=f$, where $\mu, f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ and prove

$$
S S u \subset\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \operatorname{Char} \mu\right) \cup S S f
$$

The same is proved for $S S_{*} u$. For the corresponding assertion in distribution spaces we refer to [4], Section 8.6.

Generally, for the references related to the propagation of singularities we refer to a wast literature given in the references of [4], [6], [11] and [13].

Since ultradifferential operators with constant coefficients of $*$-class, $P(\partial)$, are convolution operators, Theorem 1 imply the appropriate assertion for $P(\partial)$ (see [6] for a detail analysis of such operators). It is known that $S S_{*} P(\partial) u \subset S S_{*} u, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{SSP}(\partial) u \subset S S u, u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}([6])$. Thus, if an ultradifferential operator $P(\partial)$ of $*-$ class has a property Char $P(\partial)=\varnothing$, then our theorem directly implies the analytichypoellipticity of this operator in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime *}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. An example is the analytic-hypoellipticity of $\Delta u=f$ in $S^{\prime *}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ ([1], second part of Theorem 4.1).
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## 1 Notation and Notions

As usual, by $M_{p}, p \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ is denoted a sequence of positive numbers with $M_{0}=1$. We refer to [5], [8] and [12] for the meaning of conditions (M.1), (M.2)', (M.2), (M.3)' and (M.3). Also we use the following one ([8]):
(M.1)* $M_{p-1}^{*} M_{p+1}^{*} \geq M_{p}^{* 2}, p \in \mathbf{N}$, where $M_{0}^{*}=1, M_{p}^{*}=M_{p} / p!, p \in \mathbf{N}$.

Let $M_{p}$ satisfy (M.1) and (M.3)'. The associated function $M(\rho)$ and the growth function $\tilde{M}(\rho)$ related to $M_{p}$ are defined by

$$
M(\rho)=\sup _{p \in \mathbf{N}_{0}} \ln \frac{\rho^{p}}{M_{p}}, \tilde{M}(\rho)=\sup _{p \in \mathbf{N}_{0}} \ln \frac{\rho^{p}}{M_{p}^{*}}, \quad \rho>0
$$

Note, for given $L>0$ there is $L_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L|\xi|)-|\eta||\xi| \leq \tilde{M}\left(L_{1} /|\eta|\right), \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

([12], Section 1).
We denote by $\Omega$ an open set in $\mathbf{R}^{n} ; K \subset \subset \Omega$ denotes that $K$ is a compact subset of $\Omega$. Recall, for $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega)$,

$$
\|\varphi\|_{K, h, M_{p}}=\sup _{x \in K, \alpha \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}} \frac{\left|\varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)\right|}{h^{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}
$$

We use a symbol $*$ for both $\left(M_{p}\right)$ and $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$. For the definitions of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime *}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{D}_{K}^{*}(\Omega), \mathcal{D}_{K}^{* *}(\Omega)$ and the ultradifferential operators of $*$-class we refer to [5], [8], [11] and [12]. We always assume that $M_{p}$ satisfies (M.1), (M.2)' and (M.3)'.

Komatsu [6] (see also [2]) has defined $S S_{*}$-and $S S^{*}$-singular spectrum of a hyperfunction $f$. We recall the definition of $S S_{*} f, f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime *} .(x, \omega) \in S^{*} \Omega=\Omega \times S^{n-1}$ ( $S^{n-1}$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ ) is not in $S S_{*} f$ iff there exist a neighbourhood $U \subset \Omega$ of $x$ and a conic neighbourhood $\Gamma$ of $\omega$ of the form $\Gamma=\{\xi \neq 0 ;|\xi /|\xi|-\omega|<\eta\}$ such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{*}(U)$ in $\left(M_{p}\right)$ case, for every $\epsilon>0$ there is $C_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
|\widehat{\phi f}(\xi)| \leq C_{\epsilon} e^{-M(\epsilon|\xi|)}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma
$$

in $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$ case, there exist $k>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
|\widehat{\phi f}(\xi)| \leq C e^{-M(k|\xi|)}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma
$$

Note, $S S_{\left\{M_{p}\right\}} f=W F_{L} f$ (see Section 8.4 in [4] and [6]).
The definition of the singular spectrum $S S f$, where $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, is given by Sato (cf. [13]). For an $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}(\Omega),(x, \omega) \in S^{*} \Omega$ is not in $S S f$ if this point is not in $\operatorname{SS}\{f\}$, where $\{f\}$ denotes the corresponding hyperfunction. Note, $\operatorname{SS} f=W F_{A} f-$ the analytic wave front set of $f([4]$, Definition 9.3.2 and Theorem 9.6.3).

The definitions of corresponding singular supports are given by

$$
\operatorname{singsupp}_{*} f=p_{1}\left(S S_{*} f\right), \quad \operatorname{singsupp}_{A} f=p_{1}(S S f)
$$

where $p_{1}$ is the first projection.
The following result ([10]) will be used in this paper.
If $u \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}$ and $v \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime *}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
S S_{*}(u * v) & \subset\left\{(x+y, \xi)(x, \xi) \in S S_{*} u,(y, \xi) \in S S_{*} v\right\} \\
S S(u * v) & \subset\{(x+y, \xi) ;(x, \xi) \in S S u,(y, \xi) \in S S v\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall ([7], [9]) the definitions of tempered ultradistribution spaces.
Let $m>0$. A space of smooth functions $\varphi$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ which satisfy

$$
\sigma_{m, 2}(\varphi)=\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\frac{m^{|\alpha+\beta|}}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{|\beta| / 2} \varphi^{(\alpha)}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

equipped with the topology induced by the norm $\sigma_{m, 2}$, is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{2}^{M_{p}, m}$.
Strong duals of

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\left(M_{p}\right)}=\operatorname{proj} \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_{2}^{M_{p}, m} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{S}^{\left\{M_{p}\right\}}=\text { ind } \lim _{m \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{S}_{2}^{M_{p}, m}
$$

are called spaces of tempered ultradistributions of Beurling and Roumieau type and denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime\left(M_{p}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime\left\{M_{p}\right\}}$, respectively.

For every fixed $p \in[1, \infty]$, the family of norms $\left\{\sigma_{m, 2} ; m>0\right\}$ is equivalent to the family of norms $\left\{\sigma_{m, p} ; m>0\right\}$ where instead of $L^{2}$ norm we use $L^{p}$ norm. In fact, in the sequel we use the family of norms

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{h}(\phi)=\sup \left\{\frac{h^{|\alpha+\beta|}}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\alpha|}}\left|x^{\beta} \partial^{\alpha} \phi(x)\right| ; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}, x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\}, \quad h>0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to $\left\{\sigma_{h, 2} ; h>0\right\} . \mathcal{S}^{\left(M_{p}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\left\{M_{p}\right\}}$ are $(F S)$ —and $(L S)$ — spaces respectively. If (M.2) holds, they are (FN)—and (LN)—spaces, respectively (for these types of spaces we refer to [3]) and

$$
\mathcal{D}^{*} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{*} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{*}, \quad \mathcal{S}^{*} \hookrightarrow S
$$

where " $A \hookrightarrow B$ " means that $A$ is dense in $B$ and the inclusion mapping is continuous. The Fourier transformation is an isomorphism of $S^{*}$ onto itself.

Let us recall that an $f \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime *}$ is in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ if and only if there exists a family $F_{\alpha, \beta}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$, in $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
f=\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}}\left(\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{\beta / 2} F_{\alpha, \beta}\right)^{(\alpha)} \quad \text { in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}
$$

and in $\left(M_{p}\right)$ case, there exists $k>0$, in $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$ case, for every $k>0$,

$$
\left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|\frac{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}{k^{|\alpha+\beta|}} F_{\alpha, \beta}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

If (M.2) and (M.3) are assumed, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ iff $f=P(\partial) F$, where $F$ is a continuous function which satisfies $|F(x)| \leq C_{k} e^{M(k|x|)}, x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$, and in $\left(M_{p}\right)$ case, $P$ is an ultradifferential operator of $\left(M_{p}\right)$-class and the estimate for $F$ holds for some $k>0$ and some $C_{k}>0$, in $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$ case, $P$ is an ultradifferential operator of $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$-class and the estimate holds for every $k>0$ and the corresponding $C_{k}>0$.

Let $D \mathbf{R}^{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}^{n} ;|\operatorname{Im} z|<1\right\}$ and $S^{*} \mathbf{R}^{n}=\partial D \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Recall ([6]), $\left.O_{*}\right|_{D \mathbf{R}^{n}}$ is a sheaf over $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ of holomorphic functions in $D \mathbf{R}^{n}$ which satisfy the following growth condition near $S^{*} \mathbf{R}^{n}$.

Let $U$ be an open set in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$. Then a function $F(z)$ is in $\left.O_{*}\right|_{D \mathbf{R}^{n}}(U)$ if $F$ is holomorphic in $D \mathbf{R}^{n} \cap U$ such that for every compact set $K \subset \subset U$, in $\left(M_{p}\right)$ case, for every ultradifferential operator $P(\partial)$ of class $\left(M_{p}\right)$, in $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$ case, for every ultradifferential operator $P(\partial)$ of class $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$

$$
P(\partial) F(z) \quad \text { is bounded in } K \cap D \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

As in [4], put

$$
I(\xi)=\int_{|\omega|=1} e^{-\langle\omega, \xi\rangle} d \omega, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, K(z)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle z, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)} d \xi, \quad z \in D \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

The properties of $K$ are analyzed in [4], Chapter 4, and [10]. Note that $K(\cdot+\sqrt{-1} y) \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for every fixed $y,|y|<1$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ and

$$
U(z)=(u * K)(z)=\langle u(t), K(x-t+\sqrt{-1} y)\rangle, \quad z \in D \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

Then $U$ is analytic in $D \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and it is proved in [10] that $q \notin S S_{*} u$ if and only if $U$ is $\mathcal{O}_{*}$ in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$ and $q \notin S S u$ if and only if $U$ is analytic at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$ (i.e. in a neighbourhood of this point).

## 2 On the Convolution Equation

First we give the definition of Char $\mu, \mu \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$; for distributions this definition is given in [4], p. 315.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$ and $\Gamma$ be a set of all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that there is a complex conic neighbourhood $V$ of $\xi$ and an analytic function $\phi$ in $V_{c}=\{\zeta \in V ;|\zeta|>c\}$, for some $c>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \hat{\mu}=1 \text { in } V \cap \mathbf{R}^{n} \text { and }|\phi(\zeta)| \leq C e^{M(k|\zeta|)}, \quad \zeta \in V_{c} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k>0$ and $C>0$. Then, Char $\mu=\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}\right) \backslash \Gamma$.
Theorem 1 Let $u, \mu \in \mathcal{S}^{* *}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$. Then:
i) $S S u \subset\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \operatorname{Char} \mu\right) \cup S S(u * \mu)$,
ii) $S S_{*} u \subset\left(\mathbf{R}^{n} \times \operatorname{Char} \mu\right) \cup S S_{*}(u * \mu)$.

Proof The idea of the proof is the same as for distributions ([4]) but uniform estimates of all derivatives of some functions which are needed make the proof more difficult.

We prove the first assertion. The proof of the second one is similar. Only a final conclusion has to be changed and this is done in the proof of ii).
i) Put $f=u * \mu$. We will use the notation from above. Let

$$
\left(x_{0}, \omega_{0}\right) \notin \operatorname{SSf} ; \quad\left|\omega_{0}\right|=1, \quad \omega_{0} \notin \operatorname{Char} \mu .
$$

We have to prove that $K * u$ is analytic at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$. Let $W^{\prime}$ and $W^{\prime \prime}$ be closed conic neighbourhoods of $\omega_{0}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
W^{\prime \prime} \subset \operatorname{int} W^{\prime}, \quad W^{\prime} \subset V
$$

Further, let $\kappa \in \mathcal{E}^{\left(M_{p}\right)}$ such that $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1, \kappa \equiv 1$ in a neighbourhood of $W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}=$ $\left\{\xi \in W^{\prime \prime} ;|\xi| \geq 3 c\right\}$, supp $\kappa \subset W_{2 c}^{\prime}$ and let $\kappa$ be homogeneous of degree 0 when $|\xi| \geq 3 c$. Decompose the Fourier transformation of $u * K(\cdot+y),|y|<1$ as follows:

$$
\frac{\hat{u}(\xi) e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)}=\frac{\hat{u}(\xi)(1-\kappa(\xi)) e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)}+\frac{\hat{f}(\xi) \phi(\xi) \kappa(\xi) e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)}, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

where $\phi$ is given in (4) and put

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{1}(z)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{(1-\kappa(\xi)) e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle z, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)} d \xi, \\
K_{2}(z)=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{\kappa(\xi) \phi(\xi) e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle z, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)} d \xi, \quad z \in D \mathbf{R}^{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, $K * u=K_{1} * u+K_{2} * f$. Note that $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are holomorphic in $\mathbf{D R}^{n}$. Moreover, one can easily prove that there is an $\epsilon>0$ such that $K_{1}$ is analytic if $\left|\operatorname{Im} z+\omega_{0}\right|<\epsilon$. We are going to prove:
(a) For every fixed $y,|y|<1, K_{1}(\cdot+\sqrt{-1} y) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

This implies that $K_{1} * u(\cdot+\sqrt{-1} y),|y|<1$ is a $C^{\infty}$-function. Note that $K_{1} * u$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$.
(b) $K_{2}$ has an analytic extension in

$$
D_{\delta}=\left\{z ;|\operatorname{Im} z|<1-\delta+\delta\left(1+|\operatorname{Re} z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},\left|\operatorname{Im} z+\omega_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}
$$

for some $\delta>0$.
(c) $K_{2}(\cdot+i y) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(|x| \geq d), d>0, y \in D_{\delta}$, where $\mathcal{S}^{*}(|x| \geq d)$ is defined in the same way as $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ but with the supremum in (3) taken over $\{x ;|x| \geq d\}$. This and the partition of unity imply that $K_{2} * f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime *}$.
(d) Since $K * u=K_{1} * u+K_{2} * f$, $|\operatorname{Im} z|<1$, and $K_{1} * u$ is analytic at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$, we will finish the proof by proving that $K_{2} * f$ is analytic at this point.
(a) We will prove that for some $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}(\cdot+\sqrt{-1} y) \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \quad \text { if }\left|y+\omega_{0}\right|<\epsilon \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}(1-\kappa(\xi)) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}$, there exists $\epsilon>0$ such that

$$
\left\langle\omega_{0}, \xi\right\rangle \leq(1-2 \epsilon)|\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\langle y, \xi\rangle-|\xi|<-\epsilon|\xi|, \quad \xi \notin W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime},\left|y+\omega_{0}\right|<\epsilon \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\left|y+\omega_{0}\right|<\epsilon$. Let $x=\operatorname{Re} z \in \mathbf{R}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\alpha, \beta}(x)= & \frac{1}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}\left|x^{\beta} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \frac{(1-\kappa(\xi))(\sqrt{-1} \xi)^{\alpha} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle-\langle y, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)} d \xi\right| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{M_{|\beta-p-r|} M_{|r|} M_{|p|} M_{|\alpha-s|} M_{|s|}} \\
& \left\lvert\, \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle} \sum_{p \leq \beta}\binom{\beta}{p} \sum_{r \leq \beta-p}\binom{\beta-p}{r}(1-\kappa(\xi))^{(\beta-p-r)}\left(\frac{1}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(r)}\right. \\
& \left.\sum_{s \leq p}\binom{p}{s} \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-s)!} \xi^{\alpha-s}(-y)^{p-s} e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle} d \xi \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Note,

$$
\left|\left(\frac{1}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(r)}\right| \leq \frac{2^{r} r!}{I(\xi)}, \quad \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-s)!} \frac{1}{M_{|s|}} \leq 2^{|\alpha|}, \quad \frac{|y|^{p-s}}{M_{|p|}}<\infty
$$

for every $a_{1}$ there is $C_{a_{1}}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|(1-\kappa(\xi))^{(\beta-p-r)}\right|}{a_{1}^{|\beta-p-r|} M_{|\beta-p-r|}}<C_{a_{1}}
$$

for every $a_{2}>0$

$$
\frac{|\xi|^{\alpha-s}}{a_{2}^{|\alpha-s|} M_{|\alpha-s|}} \leq e^{M\left(a_{2}|\xi|\right)} \quad\left(\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right)
$$

This implies that for every $h>0$ and $a>0$ there is $C>0$ such that for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$

$$
\sup \left\{h^{|\alpha+\beta|} R_{\alpha, \beta}(x) ; \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^{n}\right\} \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}} e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle+M(a|\xi|)-|\xi|} d \xi
$$

Now, (6) implies (5).
(b) Let us prove that $K_{2}$ has an analytic extension in

$$
D_{\delta}=\left\{z ;|\operatorname{Im} z|<\left(1-\delta+\delta\left(1+|\operatorname{Re} z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},\left|\operatorname{Im} z+\omega_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}\right.
$$

for some $\delta>0$ which will be chosen later.
Let $x+\sqrt{-1} y \in D_{\delta}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{2}(x+\sqrt{-1} y) & =\left(\int_{W_{2 c}^{\prime} \backslash W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}}+\int_{W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \frac{\kappa(\xi) \phi(\xi)}{I(\xi)} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle z, \xi\rangle} d \xi \\
& =K_{21}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)+K_{22}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use [4], Lemma 8.4.9, which asserts that for every $\epsilon \in(0, \pi / 2)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta)=(2 \pi)^{(n-1) / 2} e^{\langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{1 / 2}} \\
& \text { (7) }\langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{-(n-1) / 4}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{1 / 2}}\right)\right)  \tag{7}\\
& \text { if }\langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{1 / 2} \rightarrow \infty \text { and }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left|\arg \langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{1 / 2}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon
$$

By (7) for $\eta=0$, and (6) we obtain that $K_{21}$ has an analytic extension in a neighbourhood of $x-i \omega_{0}, x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$. Let us prove assertion (b) for

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{22}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)=\int_{W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x+\sqrt{-1} y, \xi\rangle} \phi(\xi)}{I(\xi)} d \xi \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\kappa_{1} \in \mathcal{E}^{\left(M_{p}\right)}, \operatorname{supp} \kappa_{1} \subset W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}, \kappa_{1} \equiv 1$ in $W_{4 c}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ where $W^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a conic neighbourhood of $\omega_{0}$ and let $\kappa_{1}$ be homogeneous of degree 0 for $|\xi| \geq 4 c$.

We choose $\delta$ such that $0<\delta \leq 1$,

$$
\xi+\sqrt{-1} \delta \kappa_{1}(\xi)|\xi| x\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \in V_{c}, \quad \xi \in \operatorname{supp} \kappa_{1}
$$

and $W^{\prime}$ is so narrow that for some $r_{0}>0$,

$$
\xi \in W_{2 c}^{\prime} \Rightarrow L\left(\xi, r_{0}\right) \subset V_{c}
$$

Let $|y|<1, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}$. We will move the integration in (8) to the cycle

$$
W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime} \ni \xi \rightarrow \xi+\sqrt{-1} \delta \kappa_{1}(\xi)|\xi| x\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

By Stokes' formula we have

$$
K_{22}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)=\int_{W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime}} \frac{e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x+\sqrt{-1} y, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle} \phi(\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta)}{I(\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta)} d \xi
$$

where $\eta=\delta \kappa_{1}(\xi)|\xi| x\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\sqrt{-1}\langle x+\sqrt{-1} y, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle-\langle\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq-|\xi|\left(1-\delta+\delta\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)-\langle y, \xi\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

there is an analytic continuation of the integral to the domain $D_{\delta}$.
(c) We will prove that $x \mapsto K_{2}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)$ is in $\mathcal{S}^{\left(M_{p}\right)}(|x| \geq d)$ when

$$
|y|<1-\delta+\delta\left(1+|d|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad\left|y+\omega_{0}\right|<\delta
$$

Let $h>0,|x| \geq d$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|x^{\beta} K_{2}^{(\alpha)}(x+\sqrt{-1} y)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{p \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \beta-p} \sum_{j \leq p} \sum_{s \leq p-j}\binom{\beta}{p}\binom{\beta-p}{r}\binom{p}{j}\binom{p-j}{s}|y|^{|s|} \\
& \left.\quad \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \int_{W_{2 c}^{\prime}} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle-\langle y, \xi\rangle}\left|\phi^{(\beta-p-r)}(\xi)\right|\left(\frac{1}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(r)}| | \xi\right|^{|\alpha-j|} \\
& \left|\kappa^{(p-j-s)}(\xi)\right| d \xi \\
& \leq \sum_{p \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \beta-p} \sum_{j \leq p} \sum_{s \leq p-j}\binom{\beta}{p}\binom{\beta-p}{r}\binom{p}{j}\binom{p-j}{s}|y|^{|s|} \\
& \quad \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \int_{W_{2 c}^{\prime} \backslash W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime \prime}} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle-\langle y, \xi\rangle}\left|\phi^{(\beta-p-r)}(\xi)\right|\left|\left(\frac{1}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(r)}\right||\xi|^{|\alpha-j|} \\
& \quad+\sum_{p-j-s)}^{(p) \mid d \xi} \sum_{r \leq \beta-p} \sum_{j \leq p}\binom{\beta}{p}\binom{\beta-p}{r}\binom{p}{j} \\
& \left.\quad \frac{\alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!}|y|^{|p-j|} \int_{W_{3 c}^{\prime \prime \prime}} e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle-\langle y, \xi\rangle}\left|\phi^{(\beta-p-r)}(\xi)\right|\left(\frac{1}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(r)}| | \xi\right|^{|\alpha-j|} d \xi \\
& =T_{21}(x)+T_{22}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using (6), one can show (as for $K_{1}$ ) that for suitable $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\sup _{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0} \\|x| \geq d}} \frac{h^{|\alpha+\beta|} T_{21}(x)}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}<\infty \quad \text { if }\left|y+\omega_{0}\right|<\epsilon
$$

For $T_{22}(x),|x| \geq d$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n} \\
|x| \geq d}} \frac{h^{|\alpha+\beta|} T_{22}(x)}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}} \\
& \leq h^{|\alpha+\beta|} \sum_{p \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \beta-p} \sum_{j \leq p}\binom{\beta}{p}\binom{\beta-p}{r}\binom{p}{j} \\
& \frac{\left(1+\delta\left(1+d^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\delta\right)^{p-j} \alpha!}{(\alpha-j)!} \frac{2^{r} r!}{M_{|\beta-p-r|} M_{|p|} M_{|r|} M_{|\alpha-j|} M_{|j|}} \\
& \int_{W_{2 c}^{\prime}}\left|e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x+\sqrt{-1} y, \xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta\rangle}\right|\left|\phi^{(\beta-p-r)}(\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta)\right| \\
& \leq C \frac{1}{I(\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta)}\left||\xi+\sqrt{-1} \eta|^{|\alpha-j|} d \xi\right. \\
& \exp \left(-|\xi|\left(1-\delta+\delta\left(1+d^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)-M(a|\xi|)-\langle y, \xi\rangle\right) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

which is clearly a finite integral.
(d) Recall, $K * u(z)=K_{1} * u(z)+K_{2} * f(z),|\operatorname{Im} z|<1$ and $K_{1} * u$ is analytic at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$. We have to prove the same for $K_{2} * f$.

The family of norms $\sigma_{m, 2}$ is equivalent to the family

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{m, 2}(\phi)=\sup _{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{n}}\left\{\frac{m^{|\alpha+\beta|}}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}\left\|\left(x^{\beta} \phi(x)\right)^{(\alpha)}\right\|_{L^{2}}\right\}, \quad m>0 \text { ([9]). }
$$

This, Parseval's identity and (1) imply that in $\left(M_{p}\right)$-case, for every $m_{1}>0$ there is $C_{1}>0$ (resp. in $\left\{M_{p}\right\}$-case, there is $m_{1}>0$ and $C_{1}>0$ ) such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle f(t), K_{2}(z-t)\right\rangle\right| & \leq C_{1} \tilde{\sigma}_{m_{1}, 2}\left(K_{2}(z-t)\right) \\
& \leq C_{1} \sup \frac{m_{1}^{|\alpha+\beta|}}{M_{|\alpha|} M_{|\beta|}}\left\|\xi^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\phi(\xi) \kappa(\xi) e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle x, \xi\rangle} e^{-\langle y, \xi\rangle}}{I(\xi)}\right)^{(\beta)}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \leq C e^{M(m|x|)} e^{\tilde{M}\left(\frac{m}{1-|y|}\right)}, \quad x+\sqrt{-1} y \in D \mathbf{R}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m>0$ and $C>0$ are suitable constants.
One can simply prove that for every $m>0$ there is $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|K_{2}(z-t)\right| \leq C e^{M(m|x-t|)} e^{\tilde{M}\left(\frac{m}{1-|y|}\right)}, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, z \in D \mathbf{R}^{n} .
$$

This implies that the boundary value $\left(K_{2} * f\right)\left(\cdot-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}\right)$ is equal to the convolution of $f$ and the boundary values $K_{2}\left(\cdot-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}\right)$ which are analytic except at 0 . Let $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ where $f_{1} \in \mathcal{E}^{* *}$ and $f_{2}=0$ when $\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r, r>0$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{SSK}_{2}\left(\cdot-\sqrt{-1} w_{0}\right) \subset\left\{\left(0, t w_{0}\right) ; t>0\right\}
$$

and $x_{0} \notin \operatorname{singsupp}{ }_{A}\left(f_{1} * K_{2}\right)\left(\cdot-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}\right)$, which follows from (2).
Thus, $K * u$ is analytic at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$.
ii) For the estimation of $S S_{*} u$ we have to repeat all the arguments of the part i) and to note that

$$
x_{0} \notin \operatorname{singsupp}_{*}\left(f_{1} * K_{2}\right)\left(\cdot-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}\right)
$$

which also follows from (2). This implies that $K * u$ is $O_{*}$ at $x_{0}-\sqrt{-1} \omega_{0}$.
This completes the proof.
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