CrossMark

570

J. Fluid Mech. (2017), vol. 814, pp. 570–591. © Cambridge University Press 2017 This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1017/jfm.2017.32

Weakly nonlinear modelling of a forced turbulent axisymmetric wake

Georgios Rigas^{1,+}, Aimee S. Morgans¹ and Jonathan F. Morrison¹

¹Department of Aeronautics, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

(Received 8 April 2016; revised 7 January 2017; accepted 11 January 2017; first published online 9 February 2017)

A theory is presented where the weakly nonlinear analysis of laminar globally unstable flows in the presence of external forcing is extended to the turbulent regime. The analysis is demonstrated and validated using experimental results of an axisymmetric bluff-body wake at high Reynolds numbers, $Re_D \sim 1.88 \times 10^5$, where forcing is applied using a zero-net-mass-flux actuator located at the base of the blunt body. In this study we focus on the response of antisymmetric coherent structures with azimuthal wavenumbers $m = \pm 1$ at a frequency $St_D = 0.2$, responsible for global vortex shedding. We found experimentally that axisymmetric forcing (m = 0)couples nonlinearly with the global shedding mode when the flow is forced at twice the shedding frequency, resulting in parametric subharmonic resonance through a triadic interaction between forcing and shedding. We derive simple weakly nonlinear models from the phase-averaged Navier-Stokes equations and show that they capture accurately the observed behaviour for this type of forcing. The unknown model coefficients are obtained experimentally by producing harmonic transients. This approach should be applicable in a variety of turbulent flows to describe the response of global modes to forcing.

Key words: low-dimensional models, parametric instability, wakes/jets

1. Introduction

At low Reynolds numbers, many flows are able to sustain a stable stationary state when perturbed. With increasing Reynolds number, such flows may undergo a change in stability that affects the whole flow field and become globally unstable. This change in stability is termed a bifurcation, with Reynolds number being the bifurcation parameter. Flows which exhibit a global instability are known as oscillator flows, and bluff-body wake flows are an example (Huerre & Monkewitz 1990; Chomaz 2005).

In the simple case of the flow behind a cylinder, an unstable oscillatory global mode exists above $Re_c = 46$ due to a supercritical Hopf bifurcation which gives rise to periodic vortex shedding (Provansal, Mathis & Boyer 1987). The evolution

[†] Present address: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. Email address for correspondence: rigas@caltech.edu

https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.32 Published online by Cambridge University Press

of the amplitude of the global mode with time, starting from the exponential growth characterised by linear instability, and progressing to the nonlinear saturation characterised by a stable limit cycle, can be modelled using the Stuart–Landau equation. This weakly nonlinear model can be derived from the Navier–Stokes equations, by considering asymptotic expansions close to the threshold of the bifurcation (Sipp & Lebedev 2007).

The Stuart–Landau equation has been widely used to predict the oscillation amplitude and frequency of flows undergoing a supercritical Hopf bifurcation. Sipp (2012) extended the weakly nonlinear analysis in the case where open-loop forcing is applied externally to the system and accurately captured the evolution of the forced global instability in the laminar cavity flow. Multiple coupled Landau-like equations have been also shown to apply to more complicated flows in which several linearly unstable modes interact. These include axisymmetric bluff bodies such as the disk and the sphere (Fabre, Auguste & Magnaudet 2008; Meliga, Chomaz & Sipp 2009). Thus far, weakly nonlinear modelling of bluff-body flows has been limited to laminar, low Reynolds number flows close to criticality.

The focus of this paper is the forced dynamics of the turbulent wake flow behind a three-dimensional axisymmetric bluff-body, characterised via wind tunnel experiments at a diameter Reynolds number of $\sim 1.88 \times 10^5$. The main contributions of this paper are twofold. Firstly, we show how a weakly nonlinear analysis applied to the Navier–Stokes equations yields coupled Landau-like equations for the evolution of the dominant global modes in the turbulent regime. The effect of external forcing is also included in the equations. Similar models are known to apply to laminar flows, but we believe this is the first work demonstrating that they also apply to the dynamics of turbulent flows. Secondly, we show how the unknown coefficients of the derived models by predicting the effect of zero-net-mass-flux forcing on the turbulent bluff-body flow.

The paper is organised as follows. First, in § 2 we present some relevant background both on low-dimensional modelling and on axisymmetric bluff-body flows. In § 3 we present the experimental set-up of the turbulent bluff-body flow and in § 4 some key measurements from the unforced and forced flows that justify the theoretical modelling approach. In § 5 we present the theoretical framework, deriving equations for the evolution of the dominant modes, and show how unknown coefficients in these equations can be obtained from experiments. We validate the resulting equations using experiments of the forced response of the above turbulent bluff-body flow. Finally, § 6 discusses the implications of the work.

2. Background

Key dynamics of turbulent flows, which span a high-dimensional space, may frequently be captured accurately and efficiently by projection onto a low-dimensional space. To enhance understanding of why this is the case, we begin with the governing equations, taken to be the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations:

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} = -\nabla p + Re^{-1} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0.$$
 (2.1*a*,*b*)

One can expand the solution of (2.1) as an infinite sum of basis functions $\psi_n(\mathbf{x})$ that satisfy the boundary conditions, each with temporal amplitude A_n . The solution the

can be written as:

$$q(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(t) \psi_n(\mathbf{x}).$$
(2.2)

Upon substitution in (2.1), a set of ordinary differential equations for the amplitudes A_n is obtained. Quite generally, this can be written as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}t} = LA + N(A), \tag{2.3}$$

where L is a linear operator and N is the nonlinear part. Note that this is an infinite-dimensional description, while experimental evidence suggests that many flows, including the flows behind bluff bodies, are dominated by a small number of modes. For small deviations from the steady state, the nonlinear part can be neglected. In a stable stationary state all the modes are stable in the sense that perturbations off the stationary equilibrium decay in time. Then stability implies that all the eigenvalues λ_i of the linear operator L are lying in the left half-complex plane (negative real part), since any solution of a linear equation can be expanded in eigenmodes whose time dependence is $e^{\lambda t}$. A mode becomes unstable if it is associated with an eigenvalue that crosses the imaginary axis gaining a positive real part. When the Reynolds number is increased, at a critical value it often happens that at least one eigenvalue crosses the imaginary axis. Whenever this situation occurs, the dynamics can be effectively reduced to a set of differential equations, where the number of variables involved is determined by the number of the unstable modes (Crawford & Knobloch 1991). Intuitively, the reason for this reduction is simple separation of time scales. Modes that have just crossed the imaginary axis have a small real part and are evolving on long time scales. All the other fast modes rapidly adapt themselves to the slow modes (Procaccia 1988).

2.1. Dynamics and modelling of axisymmetric bluff-body flows

Several works have previously considered the investigation of the dynamics of bluffbody flows and more recently, the low-dimensional dynamic modelling of these flows. Here we focus on wakes generated by three-dimensional axisymmetric bluff bodies. At low Reynolds numbers, which are in excess of the critical Reynolds number but nonetheless correspond to laminar flow, the axisymmetric wake exhibits global modes with azimuthal number |m| = 1. After an initial steady bifurcation to a stationary |m| =1 mode, a second unsteady bifurcation to oscillatory $m = \pm 1$ modes occurs giving rise to periodic vortex shedding (Bohorquez *et al.* 2011; Bury & Jardin 2012).

Close to the threshold of bifurcations, simple nonlinear models have been proposed that accurately capture the dynamics of axisymmetric bluff-body wakes. As mentioned above, the infinite-dimensional fluid system can be reduced to a system of ordinary differential equations governing their amplitudes when the leading destabilising global modes are simultaneously nearly neutral. Under this framework, Fabre *et al.* (2008), using the symmetry group O(2) of the problem, derived the normal form truncated at third order which describes the interaction of the three global modes (steady m = 1, unsteady $m = \pm 1$) in the wake of a sphere and a disk. Meliga *et al.* (2009) used a multiple time scale expansion to compute analytically the leading-order equations that describe the normal form, which was the same to the normal form proposed by

Fabre *et al.* (2008), accurately predicted the sequence of bifurcations, the associated thresholds and symmetry properties observed in the direct numerical simulations.

For the laminar weakly nonlinear analysis, the velocity and the pressure $q = (u, p)^{T}$ of the Navier–Stokes equations are expanded considering the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{x},t,t_1) = \boldsymbol{q}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^1 \boldsymbol{q}_1(\boldsymbol{x},t,t_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^2 \boldsymbol{q}_2(\boldsymbol{x},t,t_1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^3 \boldsymbol{q}_3(\boldsymbol{x},t,t_1) + \cdots$$
 (2.4)

Based on the multiple-scale method used here, a 'fast' time scale *t* and a 'slow' one $t_1 = \varepsilon t$, where $\varepsilon \ll 1$, have been introduced. The Reynolds number is defined based on the diameter of the body and the free-stream velocity, $Re = U_{\infty}D/\nu$. Introducing (2.4) into (2.1) and considering departure of order ε from criticality, $Re^{-1} = Re_c^{-1} - \varepsilon$, a series of equations is obtained at various orders equating coefficients of the *n*th power of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ to zero. At third order, compatibility equations are imposed to cancel secular terms which yield coupled Landau-like equations that describe the amplitude evolution of the linearly unstable global modes (Sipp & Lebedev 2007; Meliga *et al.* 2009).

Based on the above, before reaching a highly turbulent condition, bluff-body wakes pass through a transitional state in which the time dependence of their state variables is already erratic but only a few modes are appreciably excited. Such a system can be successfully described by mathematical models involving a finite, and even small, number of dynamic variables. Recently it has been shown that the global modes appearing close to the bifurcation thresholds persist at even high Reynolds numbers and manifest as coherent structures. These modes, which are reminiscent of the temporal and spatial symmetry break mechanisms of the transitional regime, contain most of the dynamic behaviour observed in the near turbulent wake of axisymmetric three-dimensional bluff bodies (Rigas *et al.* 2014; Grandemange, Gohlke & Cadot 2014; Rigas *et al.* 2015; Gentile *et al.* 2016). Similar behaviour has been observed for rectilinear three-dimensional bodies, such as the Ahmed body (Grandemange, Cadot & Gohlke 2012; Grandemange, Gohlke & Cadot 2013; Volpe, Devinant & Kourta 2015).

In summary, the evolution of laminar global modes in the transitional regime can be captured using simple weakly nonlinear Landau-like models. These global modes persist at high Reynolds numbers and manifest as coherent structures. In this study we will extend the weakly nonlinear analysis to the turbulent regime and validate the theoretical predictions with experimental measurements.

3. Experimental set-up

The focus of the present work is the turbulent flow around an axisymmetric bluff body with a blunt trailing edge, shown schematically in figure 1 (Rigas *et al.* 2014, 2015; Oxlade *et al.* 2015). Experiments were performed in a closed circuit wind tunnel, the working section of which measures 0.91 m × 0.91 m × 4.8 m. The contraction ratio is 9:1 and the free-stream turbulence intensity is less than 0.1%. A proportional-integral-derivative controller with a set-point variation of less than 0.2% was used to maintain the free-stream velocity, $U_{\infty} = 15$ m s⁻¹. The model diameter, *D*, is 196.5 mm and the length-to-diameter ratio, *L/D*, is 6.48.

The model diameter, D, is 196.5 mm and the length-to-diameter ratio, L/D, is 6.48. The nose employs a modified super ellipse profile with an aspect ratio of 2.5. The boundary layer was conditioned with a 2 mm wide strip of 120 grit emery paper located at x/L = 0.884 (approximately the point of minimum pressure). The Reynolds number based on diameter and free-stream velocity is 1.88×10^5 , and at separation

https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2017.32 Published online by Cambridge University Press



FIGURE 1. (Colour online) Schematic of axisymmetric bluff body: (a) side view; (b) rear view.

is 2050 based on the boundary layer thickness, corresponding to turbulent flow and a turbulent boundary layer separation.

Appropriate forcing for interacting with the wake behind the axisymmetric bluff body was provided by a zero-net-mass-flux actuator. A high-fidelity loudspeaker (model Beyma 6P200ND) mounted inside the model was used to generate a pulsed jet of variable frequency and amplitude. The jet issues in the free-stream direction from a 2.0 mm annular slit, located 1.0 mm below the trailing edge, as shown in figure 1(b). The jet velocity is uniform in the azimuthal direction, hence forcing is axisymmetric with azimuthal wavenumber m = 0. A first-principles linear model relating the actuator driving signal (voltage) to its forcing output (centreline jet velocity) has been derived in appendix A.

The circular rear face of the model was instrumented with 8 Endevco 8507C-1 piezoresistive pressure transducers equispaced in the azimuthal direction at r/D = 0.3. The sampling frequency was 20 KHz. Pressure measurements are expressed as a pressure coefficient, non-dimensionalised by the free-stream dynamic head $0.5\rho U_{\infty}^2$. An important feature of the dynamic pressure transducers on the rear face of the model is that they allow the pressure distribution to be decomposed into azimuthal Fourier modes:

$$p(\theta, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{4} p_m(t) e^{im\theta} + \text{c.c.}$$
(3.1)

Depending on the amplitude values p_{+m} and p_{-m} of the counter-rotating azimuthal modes, the decomposed wave can be a travelling wave, a standing wave or a combination of the last two.

4. Dynamic flow response to forcing

4.1. Unforced flow

The frequency premultiplied spectra of the unforced base-pressure fluctuations obtained from the eight pressure transducers at r = 0.3D, decomposed into azimuthal modes, are shown in figure 2. The Strouhal number is based on the body diameter and the free-stream velocity, $St = fD/U_{\infty}$. A detailed analysis of the energetic regions of the spectra in the turbulent regime has been given in Rigas *et al.* (2014).

At very low frequencies (VLF), $St \approx 0.002$ and $m = \pm 1$, the energy content of the spectra is linked to random reorientations of the turbulent wake in the



FIGURE 2. (Colour online) Premultiplied spectra of the unforced base-pressure fluctuations obtained from the eight pressure transducers located at r = 0.3D, decomposed in azimuthal modes.

azimuthal direction. Similarly, random oscillations with broadband energy content occur around a frequency $St \approx 0.6$ and mainly with m = 0, known in the literature as the 'bubble-pumping mode'. These oscillations appear in the radial direction by examining the motion of the centre of pressure. A stochastic model describing this dynamic behaviour, which is linked to the random motion of the persisting steady symmetry break mode, has been proposed in Rigas *et al.* (2015).

Quasi-periodic oscillations with azimuthal wavenumber $m = \pm 1$ are identified at $St \sim 0.2$, corresponding to the vortex shedding (VS) mode. Recently performed direct numerical simulations and three-dimensional global stability analyses identify this mode as the primary unsteady mode undergoing a supercritical Hopf bifurcation in the laminar regime (Rigas, Esclapez & Magri 2016). Finally, in figure 2 quasi-periodic oscillations are identified at a frequency close to the subharmonic of the VS mode at $St \approx 0.1$ for |m| = 1, 2. Time-resolved particle image velocimetry (not shown here) revealed that this mode is strong only close to the base of the body, in accordance also with the pressure measurements. Additionally, the VS mode is dominating the dynamic wake behaviour and reaches maximum amplitude close to the end of the recirculation bubble, which is approximately 1.5D downstream the base of the body.

4.2. Wake response

We experimentally examine the effect of flow forcing using axisymmetric (m=0) slot jet actuation on the predominant modes present in the unforced flow. The turbulent wake was excited driving the actuator with a harmonic voltage signal, as in the work of Oxlade *et al.* (2015). A wide range of forcing amplitudes and frequencies was explored during the experiments and the results are presented here.

The forced spectra of the azimuthally decomposed base pressure were inspected for changes and compared to the unforced ones. During all forcing conditions, a dominant



FIGURE 3. (Colour online) The response of the amplitude (a) and frequency (b) of the $m = \pm 1$ global vortex shedding mode to axisymmetric forcing with m = 0 at different forcing frequencies. The results for two forcing amplitudes, U_{jet}/U_{∞} , are shown corresponding to +1 (O) and -1 (+) modes.

response was identified at the forcing wavenumber, $m_f = 0$, and frequency $St_f = \omega_f/2\pi$, as expected in a linear framework. In the spectra of base pressure, a sharp peak appears in the m = 0 mode. However, a pronounced change was also observed in the spectra of the |m| = 1 mode, near St = 0.2, which coincides with the frequency of the global shedding mode. This behaviour is indicative of the inherent nonlinearity of the fluid system. The steady-state amplitude and frequency response of the $m = \pm 1$ global shedding mode to axisymmetric forcing over different forcing frequencies and amplitudes are shown in figure 3. The amplitude of the vortex shedding mode was estimated by integrating the $m = \pm 1$ pressure spectra around the frequency of the shedding mode (St_{VS} , $\Delta St = 0.01$):

$$A_{\pm}^{2} \equiv p_{\pm 1}^{2} = \int_{St_{VS} - \Delta St}^{St_{VS} + \Delta St} \Phi_{m=\pm 1}(St) \, \mathrm{d}St.$$
(4.1)

Due to the fact that the frequency of the shedding mode varies depending on the forcing amplitude and frequency, its frequency was estimated as the frequency of the maximum amplitude close to St = 0.2.

The amplitude response is indistinguishable for the m = +1 and m = -1 modes at the VS frequency, meaning $A_{VS} \equiv A_+ = A_-$ for the entire frequency and amplitude range of the forcing. Since the amplitudes of the two counter-rotating decomposed modes are the same, it is concluded that the shedding is a standing mode in the presence of axisymmetric forcing.

4.3. Vortex shedding: parametric subharmonic resonance

A strong resonance in the response occurs when the forcing is close to twice the global-mode frequency, $St_f \approx 2St_{VS} = 0.4$, as shown in figure 3. Near this forcing

frequency, the frequency of the global mode 'locks-in' to one-half the forcing frequency. The lock-in region depends on the forcing amplitude; increasing amplitude results in a wider lock-in range.

Similar frequency lock-in behaviour has been observed experimentally before for three-dimensional bluff-body wakes. Kim & Durbin (1988) examined the wake of a sphere under acoustic excitation for Reynolds number 3700. In their experiments, the shedding frequency also locked-in to one-half the forcing frequency, with no such effect when forcing near to the shedding frequency. Also, Bigger, Higuchi & Hall (2009) examined the forced wake of a disk under helical and symmetric actuation, and found that the most effective frequency to reduce the length of the recirculation zone corresponds to the natural shedding frequency and twice that, for the two types of actuation, respectively. Recently, Barros *et al.* (2016) found that symmetric pulsed-jet forcing can lead to subharmonic resonance of the antisymmetric vortex shedding mode observed in the square-back Ahmed body, when forcing is applied close to twice the shedding frequency.

Lock-in phenomena point to nonlinear oscillator behaviour forcing past a suspected global-mode frequency or its rational multiples (Huerre & Monkewitz 1990). Hence, a nonlinear coupling between the forcing (m = 0) and the unsteady global $(m = \pm 1)$ modes exists. The results indicate that the dominant interaction is a parametric resonance mechanism between the fundamental forcing and the subharmonic modes which leads to a pronounced growth of the subharmonic. This parametric subharmonic instability (PSI), is a resonant wave-triad interaction characterised by transfer of energy from a parent wave to two daughter waves of half-frequency and higher wavenumber (Craik 1988). The resonant conditions are

$$m_f = m_+ + m_-, \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\omega_f = \omega_+ + \omega_-. \tag{4.2b}$$

The above spatial and temporal resonance conditions are met here since $m_f = +1 + (-1) = 0$ and $St_f = 0.2 + 0.2 = 0.4$.

The resonant triadic interaction between axisymmetric forcing and antisymmetric vortex shedding is depicted in the spectra of the azimuthally decomposed pressure in figure 4, where the results of a specific forcing frequency and amplitude are presented. Forcing at $St_f = 0.40$, the spectra of the pressure are dominated by the linear response of the axisymmetric mode at the forcing frequency (St = 0.4) and the nonlinear subharmonic response of the global mode at half the forcing frequency (St = 0.2). Interestingly, all the other spectral peaks observed in the unforced case have been suppressed except the broadband axisymmetric mode at $St \approx 0.06$ which is still present in the base-pressure measurements. The suppressed modes of the wake are the random VLF mode near $St \approx 0.002$ and the quasi-periodic motion close to the subharmonic of the VS mode at $St \approx 0.1$. The suppression of the body and no random meandering is observed when harmonic forcing is applied, as opposed to the unforced case. In conclusion, for the PSI case, the VS mode is dominating the forced response over the entire near wake.

The amplitude and frequency values of the VS mode, as a function of the forcing amplitude U_{jet} , are shown in figure 5. The response of the shedding amplitude follows a nonlinear trend as the forcing amplitude increases. Also, at low forcing amplitudes the shedding mode appears to be insensitive to forcing, meaning that a minimum forcing amplitude is required to excite parametrically the VS. This threshold, when



FIGURE 4. (Colour online) The effect of axisymmetric forcing at $St_f = 2St_{VS} = 0.4$ on the spectra of (a) m = 0 and (b) |m| = 1 mode. The forcing results in parametric subharmonic resonance (PSI) of the |m| = 1 shedding mode at $St_{VS} = 0.2$.



FIGURE 5. Steady-state response of the global vortex shedding mode with $m = \pm 1$ to periodic zero-net-mass-flux forcing with azimuthal wavenumber $m_f = 0$ and frequencies St_f close to twice the global mode frequency; (a) amplitude and (b) frequency.

forcing with a small detuning at $St_f \approx 0.40$, is $U_{jet} \approx 0.05U_{\infty}$. The same forcing amplitude threshold exists in order to lock in the frequency of the VS mode.

To summarise, the unsteady global mode of the flow is known to be the shedding mode, with azimuthal wavenumbers $m = \pm 1$ and frequency $St \approx 0.2$. Axisymmetric harmonic forcing couples nonlinearly with this global mode, with this coupling being the main factor that determines the flow field response. Spectral peaks close to the subharmonic of the global mode, and the very low frequency random rotation of the wake which were present in the unforced spectrum, are suppressed on applying forcing. We therefore conclude that any mathematical attempt to predict the effect of forcing on the flow field must retain at least the global $m = \pm 1$ modes, along with their coupling with the axisymmetric m = 0 forcing.

5. Dynamic modelling

We consider the flow behind the axisymmetric bluff body and we derive amplitude equations governing the forced amplitude evolution of the vortex shedding global mode for the turbulent axisymmetric wake in the presence of external forcing. The governing equations are the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations (2.1).

5.1. Flow decomposition

For a large departure from criticality, where $Re \gg 1$, the separating boundary layer and the near wake are turbulent resulting in the generation of small-scale incoherent motion in localised regions in space and time. Since the near-wake turbulent flow contains structures of widely separated time and length scales, which may interact despite their large scale separation, we consider a model employing scale subdivision with the large scales accounting for the coherent structures and the small scales involving the incoherent background. Then, the instantaneous flow quantities $q = (u, p)^T$ can be decomposed as:

$$q(x, t) = q_0(x) + \tilde{q}(x, t) + q'(x, t),$$
(5.1)

where q_0 is a base flow at Re_c , \tilde{q} the contribution of the global modes (coherent part) and q' the turbulence (incoherent part). The time-independent base flow q_0 is a steady solution of the Navier–Stokes equations at Re_c :

$$\boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \boldsymbol{\nabla} p_0 - \frac{1}{Re_c} \Delta \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_0 = 0.$$
 (5.2*a*,*b*)

The base flow modifications due to departure from Re_c and the nonlinear interactions of the waves are given from the mean component of the coherent wave whereas the turbulence is considered of random nature with zero mean. Similar to Reynolds & Hussain (1972), we define time-averaged and the phase-averaged quantities as

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{q}}(x) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \boldsymbol{q}(x, t) \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \boldsymbol{q}(x, t) \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^N \boldsymbol{q}(x, t + n\tau) \, \mathrm{d}\tau, \quad (5.3a, b)$$

with τ being the period of the quasi-periodic vortex shedding mode. Applying (5.3), the time- and phase-averaged flow quantities are:

$$\bar{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{q}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \qquad (5.4)$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{x},t)\rangle = \boldsymbol{q}_0(\boldsymbol{x}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}(\boldsymbol{x},t).$$
(5.5)

Both averaging operations remove the incoherent contribution of turbulence. Then the mean flow is given as the superposition of a base flow and the mean flow modification of the coherent waves.

5.2. Governing equations for the turbulent flow

Substituting the decomposition (5.1) into the Navier–Stokes equations (2.1) and phase averaging, one finds the following equations:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}_t + (\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) + \boldsymbol{\nabla}(p_0 + \tilde{p}) - \frac{1}{Re} \Delta(\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{u}' \boldsymbol{u}' \rangle.$$
(5.6)

Or equivalently:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}_t \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \langle \boldsymbol{p} \rangle - \frac{1}{Re} \Delta \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = -\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{u}' \boldsymbol{u}' \rangle.$$
(5.7)

The right-hand side term of equation (5.7) involves the contribution of the Reynolds stress of the phase-averaged random turbulence. The phase-averaged form of the Navier–Stokes equations cannot by themselves determine the phase-averaged quantities; one must also provide a relation between the random fluctuating and averaged quantities. For laminar flows and close to the threshold of the instability the amplitude evolution of the coherent waves (linearly unstable modes) can be found using a weakly nonlinear expansion (Meliga *et al.* 2009); in this case $u' \equiv 0$ since the flow is laminar. The weakly nonlinear analysis can be extended also in the turbulent regime by introducing an eddy viscosity closure for the incoherent Reynolds stresses:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}'\boldsymbol{u}'\rangle = -\frac{v_t}{U_{\infty}D}\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{u}_0 + \tilde{\boldsymbol{u}}).$$
(5.8)

Despite its limitations, this approach has been successfully used in various studies for the prediction of global modes through stability analysis of turbulent time-averaged flows (Meliga, Pujals & Serre 2012; Tammisola & Juniper 2016) and base flows obtained by Reynolds-averaged Navier–Stokes equations (Mettot, Sipp & Bézard 2014) in a linearised framework. Under this assumption, equation (5.7) becomes:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u}_t \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \langle \boldsymbol{p} \rangle - \frac{1}{Re_T} \Delta \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = 0.$$
 (5.9)

Here, $Re_T = (U_{\infty}D)/(\nu + \nu_t)$, where ν is the kinematic viscosity and ν_t the turbulent eddy viscosity, where it is expected that $\nu_t \gg \nu$.

5.3. Weakly nonlinear analysis

The turbulent wake exhibits global modes with azimuthal wavenumbers +1 and -1. It therefore has at least a pair of complex eigenvalues with azimuthal wavenumbers +1 and -1, which manifest as organised waves in the turbulent flow. In the present analysis, we focus on the derivation of the response of the global modes to external forcing. The weakly nonlinear analysis can be applied to derive the amplitude equations of the unstable modes. For this, we follow the analysis proposed by Sipp (2012) for the laminar open-cavity flow but now for the three-dimensional axisymmetric turbulent wake.

The departure from the critical Reynolds number, Re_c , where the stability is lost, can be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{Re_T} = \frac{1}{Re_c + \Delta Re} = \frac{1}{Re_c} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta Re}{Re_c} + \cdots \right).$$
(5.10)

Assuming that the turbulent Reynolds number is close and above the critical one, we can write

$$Re_T^{-1} = Re_c^{-1} - \varepsilon.$$
 (5.11)

We introduce a fast time scale t and a slow time scale $t_1 = \varepsilon t$. Then, the turbulent phase-averaged field $\langle q \rangle$ can be expanded around a base flow at criticality as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{q} \rangle(t,t1) = \boldsymbol{q}_0 + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^1 \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_1(t,t1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^2 \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_2(t,t1) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^3 \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_3(t,t1) + \cdots$$
 (5.12)

Introducing (5.11) and (5.12) into (5.9), and equating coefficients of the *n*th power of $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ to zero, a series of equations is obtained at various orders (see appendix B).

For non-resonant forcing at order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, the solution of the equations is sought in the form

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_1 = A_+ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_c t} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_1^A + A_- \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_c t} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_1^A + E \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_f t} \tilde{\boldsymbol{q}}_1^E + \mathrm{c.c.}$$
(5.13)

and consists of the superposition of the global mode and the forcing response, with amplitudes $A_{VS} = A_+ = A_-$ and E, and spatial structures $q_1^A = q_1^A(r, z)$ and $q_1^E = q_1^E(r, z)$, respectively. At order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}^3$, compatibility conditions have to be imposed for the solvability of the equations (Sipp & Lebedev 2007; Meliga *et al.* 2009; Sipp 2012; Orchini, Rigas & Juniper 2016). These conditions yield the following equation governing the complex amplitude A_{VS} of the global mode:

$$\frac{dA_{VS}}{dt} = \alpha A_{VS} + \lambda |A_{VS}|^2 A_{VS} + g(A_{VS}, E).$$
(5.14)

The complex parameters α and λ are the linear growth rate and the saturation coefficient. The function g contains all the secular terms that stem from the interaction of the global mode with the forcing at third order. In the absence of external forcing, the function g is zero and the well-known Stuart–Landau equation is obtained.

When ω_f is close to twice the global shedding mode frequency, we have seen in figure 4 that the flow responds significantly in azimuthal wavenumber |m| = 1 at half the forcing frequency. Thus forcing at frequencies close to twice the shedding frequency (i.e. $St \approx 0.4$) will be termed 'resonant forcing'. For resonant forcing, we have the superimposition of two counter-rotating modes of same amplitude A_{VS} , and (5.14) has the following form:

$$\frac{dA_{VS}}{dt} = \alpha A_{VS} + \lambda |A_{VS}|^2 A_{VS} + \mu E A_{VS}^*.$$
(5.15)

The last term in (5.15) results from the nonlinear coupling between the complex conjugate of the global mode A_{VS}^* and the axisymmetric forcing *E*, when forcing is applied resonantly near twice the global-mode frequency.

5.4. Identification of model parameters

In order to identify the unknown complex parameters, α , λ and μ , of (5.15), further forced experiments are performed. The system is subject to harmonic excitation, modelled through the forcing term E, and the transient response of the unsteady global shedding mode is measured. The non-dimensional centreline velocity of the jet output is used to quantify the forcing amplitude E, that is $E = U_{jet}/U_{\infty}$. Substituting $A_{VS} = |A_{VS}|e^{i\omega t}$ and $E = |E|e^{i\omega_f t}$, for $\omega_f = 2\omega_c$, yields the following equations for the modulus and phase of the unsteady global mode:

$$\frac{1}{|A_{VS}|} \frac{d|A_{VS}|}{dt} = \alpha_r + \lambda_r |A_{VS}|^2 + \mu_r |E|$$
(5.16*a*)

$$\omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}\phi}{\mathrm{d}t} = \alpha_i + \lambda_i |A_{VS}|^2 + \mu_i |E|, \qquad (5.16b)$$

where $\phi = \omega t$. Instantaneous growth rates, $(1/|A_{VS}|)(d|A_{VS}|/dt) = (d \ln |A_{VS}|/dt)$, and frequencies ω , of the shedding response are obtained experimentally using the following procedure.

- (i) The measured pressure signals on the base of the body are decomposed in the azimuthal direction to obtain the first azimuthal Fourier components corresponding to $m = \pm 1$.
- (ii) The signal is then bandpass filtered in the spectral domain with a window centred on the frequency of the vortex shedding mode to obtain $A_{VS}(t)$.
- (iii) The instantaneous complex amplitude $(A_r + iA_i)(t)$ of the vortex shedding global mode is built from the Hilbert transform.
- (iv) Then, the instantaneous amplitude and phase are given by $|A_{VS}| = \sqrt{A_r^2 + A_i^2}$ and $\phi = \tan^{-1} (A_r/A_i)$.
- (v) The growth rate $d|A_{VS}|/dt$ and frequency ω are calculated by differentiating in time the instantaneous amplitude and phase. Time derivatives are evaluated by finite differences.

A similar procedure to the one described above for the identification of the Landau coefficients has been applied close to the threshold of bifurcation for the velocity signal in the wake of a cylinder (Schumm, Berger & Monkewitz 1994). When transients are contaminated by noise, the instantaneous growth rates and frequencies determined in this fashion are only reliable where the signal-to-noise ratio is significantly above unity. The irregularity of the forced transient data was pronounced in the present measurements due to the high turbulent activity in the near wake, despite the bandpass filtering of the signal around the shedding frequency. This problem was tackled by phase averaging over a number of experiments using as a reference signal the forcing signal. In effect, the phase-averaging procedure rejects the background turbulence and extracts only the organised motions from the total signal (Reynolds & Hussain 1972). The averaging procedure produced smooth transient responses, which also facilitated the calculation of relevant derivatives for calculating instantaneous growth rates and frequencies. Averaging was performed here over 50 transient data sets to obtain an average of the amplitude |A(t)| and the instantaneous frequency $\omega(t)$.

After computing the above phase-averaged transient quantities and their derivatives, in order to obtain growth rates and frequencies, the identification of the unknown coefficients is performed by least squares fitting. Inspection of (5.16a) and (5.16b)reveals that the left-hand side of the equations lie on two planes, i.e. of the form $y = c_0 + c_1x_1 + c_2x_2$, as shown in figure 6. The growth rates, frequencies and amplitudes used for the fitting describe the transient behaviour of the vortex shedding from the unforced limit cycle to the saturated amplitude and frequency, for various forcing amplitudes. The fitted parameters, obtained by least squares fitting, are given in table 1. Finally, it should be noted that an alternative way to perform the fitting would be by using only steady-state data. This approach was not followed here in order to evaluate the predictability of the model during the transient regimes. In both cases, longer time series reduce the error of the fitting.

5.5. Model validation

In order to validate the coupled Stuart–Landau equations that resulted from the weakly nonlinear analysis, including the complex coefficient values obtained from experiments,



FIGURE 6. (Colour online) Fitting of unknown coefficients of (5.16b) for $\omega_f = 2\omega_c$ using experimental transient data from the shedding response to three forcing amplitudes U_{jet}/U_{∞} , 0.33 (blue), 0.41 (red), 0.49 (yellow). (*a*,*b*) Fitted planes and experimental transient data (symbols); (*c*,*d*) and (*e*,*f*) two different views of the fitted planes. (*a*,*c*,*e*) Amplitude (real part); (*b*,*d*,*f*) frequency (imaginary part).

the version of the equation valid for resonant forcing, equation (5.15), is now used to predict some features of the forced response. These predictions will be compared to experimental measurements.

We begin by revisiting figure 3, in which the response of the global $m = \pm 1$ shedding mode to axisymmetric forcing was presented for a range of frequencies and two forcing amplitudes, exhibiting amplitude resonance and frequency lock-in when forcing was applied near twice the global-mode frequency. The model predictions, are shown in figure 7, overlaid with the experimental results. The steady-state amplitude and frequency response obtained from the model are shown as a function of forcing frequency for the two experimental forcing amplitudes. It is clear that the model captures the frequency lock-in effect and the parametric subharmonic resonance, as observed in the wind tunnel measurements.

The effect of forcing amplitude on the shedding mode response is now considered for the PSI. The model predictions are compared with the experimental results in figure 8. The response in |m| = 1 is nonlinear; when a certain forcing amplitude

Parameter	α_r	λ_r	μ_r	$lpha_i$	λ_i	μ_i
Value	0.005	-219.861	0.38	1.29	70.141	0.158
Error (±)	0.001	6.220	0.02	0.006	7.65	0.02

TABLE 1. Values of non-dimensional model parameters obtained from data fitting.



FIGURE 7. (Colour online) The response of the amplitude and frequency of the $m = \pm 1$ vortex shedding mode to axisymmetric forcing with m = 0 at different forcing frequencies. Model predictions (lines) and experimental data (symbols).

is reached, frequency lock-in occurs and the amplitude rises sharply. This effect is also well predicted by the model. Thus it is clear that the low-dimensional, weakly nonlinear model is capturing the main features of the response of the global shedding mode. However, it is observed that the quantitative accuracy of the model deteriorates towards lower forcing amplitudes, as seen in figures 7 and 8.

6. Conclusions

We have derived Landau-like models to capture the weakly nonlinear interaction between the global modes and axisymmetric forcing at high Reynolds numbers. These ideas have previously been applied only to laminar wakes. The models were validated performing experiments on the three-dimensional wake of an axisymmetric bluff body, incorporating an axisymmetric zero-net-mass-flux actuator on the base of the body. With the present experiments we have demonstrated that the concept of weakly nonlinear global modes can be extended to a fully turbulent flow, far away from the critical bifurcation Reynolds number.

Key advantage of the models is that the dynamics of the forcing is explicitly included in the Landau models and the unknown coefficients are identified from forced experiments. We derived and validated the models for specific forcing conditions $(\omega_f, m_f) = (2\omega_c, 0)$. The specific choice was made due to the high sensitivity of the global mode close to this forcing frequency, which could be beneficial in feedback



FIGURE 8. Steady-state response of the global vortex shedding mode to zero-net-mass-flux harmonic forcing with azimuthal wavenumber m = 0 and two frequencies close to twice the global-mode frequency; amplitude (a) and frequency (b).

control designs (Pastoor *et al.* 2008; Brackston *et al.* 2016). Also, the combination of the actuator transfer function with the wake dynamics given by the Landau models, gives the full transfer function between physical input to the actuator and measured output of the fluid system. These low-order models can be used as a basis for feedback control designs for the suppression of the large-scale shedding observed in the wake of three-dimensional bluff bodies.

Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), under grant EP/I005684. We are also indebted to the Imperial College EPSRC Impact Acceleration Account for financial support.

Appendix A. Actuator modelling

A simple linear model is used to describe the zero-net-mass-flux actuator dynamics and relate the input (driving voltage) with the output (jet velocity). The basic components of the actuator are an oscillatory diaphragm (here loudspeaker), a cavity and an orifice, as shown schematically in figure 9. The relations among actuator deflection x_d , cavity pressure p_{cav} and jet velocity u_{jet} are described by the following linear differential equations (Persoons & O'Donovan 2007; Persoons 2012):

Conservation of mass in the cavity, combined with ideal gas law and assuming adiabatic compression/expansion:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma p_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}p_{cav}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{A_d}{V} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_d}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{A}{V} u_{jet}.$$
 (A 1)

Conservation of momentum in the orifice, assuming linear damping force:

$$m\frac{\mathrm{d}u_{jet}}{\mathrm{d}t} + cu_{jet} = p_{cav}A.\tag{A2}$$

The relations linking driving voltage v_{in} and current i_{in} with x_d and p_{cav} are given by the governing equations of the electromagnetic system (voice coil diaphragm). These



FIGURE 9. Schematic of the zero-net-mass-flux actuator.

are

$$M_d \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 x_d}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + C_d \frac{\mathrm{d}x_d}{\mathrm{d}t} + K_d x_d = B li_{in} - p_{cav} A_d, \tag{A3}$$

$$v_{in} = Ri_{in} + L\frac{\mathrm{d}i_{in}}{\mathrm{d}t} + Bl\frac{\mathrm{d}x_d}{\mathrm{d}t},\tag{A4}$$

where $F_d = Bli_{in}$ is the electromagnetic force produced by the current in the coil.

We apply the Laplace transform on the differential equations (A 1)–(A 4) to obtain polynomials in $s = j\omega$, the Laplace variable (time domain to the complex frequency domain). Solving for U/V, we find the following transfer function between output jet velocity and driving voltage:

$$\frac{U_{jet}(s)}{V_{in}(s)} = \underbrace{\frac{K_1 s}{\underbrace{(s+\omega_3)}_{Coil}\underbrace{(s^2+2\zeta\omega_2 s+\omega_2^2)}_{Helmholtz}\underbrace{(s^2+2\zeta\omega_1 s+\omega_1^2)}_{Diaphragm}}.$$
(A 5)

Combining (A 2) and (A 5), it can be easily shown that:

$$\frac{P_{cav}(s)}{V_{cav}(s)} = \frac{P_{cav}(s)}{U_{jet}(s)} \frac{U_{jet}(s)}{V_{in}(s)} = \frac{K_1 \, s(ms+c)/A}{(s+\omega_3)(s^2+2\zeta\,\omega_2 s+\omega_2^2)(s^2+2\zeta\,\omega_1 s+\omega_1^2)}.$$
 (A6)

In figure 10, the frequency response functions (A 6) and (A 5) are plotted. The parameters of the model were determined based on the geometric characteristics of the cavity and orifice and the loudspeaker specifications (table 2). The damping factor of the orifice, c, is the only parameter that was obtained experimentally. The transfer function was obtained experimentally by exciting the actuator with a broadband frequency signal (white noise) and recording the cavity pressure.

Appendix B. Weakly nonlinear analysis

Here, the equations governing the forced amplitude evolution of the unstable global modes of the turbulent flow for the turbulent axisymmetric wake are derived. The



FIGURE 10. (Colour online) Frequency response function of the zero-net-mass-flux (ZNMF) actuator: (a) cavity pressure over driving voltage, P_{cav}/V ; (b) jet velocity over cavity pressure, U_{jet}/P_{cav} .

analysis is based on the weakly nonlinear analysis proposed by Sipp (2012), in which the forcing enters the amplitude equations. The analysis distinguishes between two cases depending on the forcing frequency; non-resonant case (ω_f is not close to $2\omega_0$) and resonant case (ω_f is close to $2\omega_0$).

B.1. Non-resonant case

B.1.1. Order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}^1$

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_1 + \boldsymbol{u}_1 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla p_1 - Re_c^{-1} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_1 = 0.$$
 (B 1*a*,*b*)

The above set of equations can also be written in a more elegant way as

$$\left(\partial_t \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M}\right) \boldsymbol{q}_1 = 0, \tag{B2}$$

with \mathcal{M} being the linearised Navier–Stokes operator, \mathcal{P} a prolongation operator which transforms \boldsymbol{u} into $(\boldsymbol{u}, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and \mathcal{P}^{T} a restriction operator which transforms $(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{p})^{\mathrm{T}}$ quantity into \boldsymbol{u} :

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}() + () \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_0 - \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{e}_c^{-1} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^2 & \boldsymbol{\nabla} \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\mathrm{T}} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\mathbf{B} \, 3a, b)$$

The solution is sought in the form

$$\boldsymbol{q}_{1} = A_{+} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{A} + A_{-} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{A} + E \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega f} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{E} + \mathrm{c.c.}$$

$$= A_{VS} (\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega t} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{A} + E \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega f} \boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{E} + \mathrm{c.c.}$$
(B 4)

and consists of the superposition of the global mode and the forcing response. The eigenfunctions q_1^A and q_1^E can be found from:

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\omega_{c}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{M}\right)\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}=0,\tag{B5}$$

Parameter	V	A	γ	С	m	A_d
Value	$7.6 imes 10^{-4}$	0.0123	1.4	0.0004	2.22×10^{-5}	0.015
Unit	m ³	m ²	_	kg s ^{-1}	kg	m ²
	Cavity	Slit	Heat	Orifice	Orifice	Diaphragm
	volume	area	ratio	damping coefficient	gas mass	area
M_d	C_d	K_d	R_e	L_e	Bl	
0.017	1.81	2.13×10^{3}	5.3	600	10.5	
kg	kg s ^{-1}	$N m^{-1}$	${\it \Omega}$	Н		
Diaphragm mass	Diaphragm damping coefficient	Diaphragm stiffness	DC voice coil resistance	Voice coil inductance	Electrical– mechanical conversion factor	
		TINT	2			

 TABLE 2. Actuator parameters.

$$\left(\mathrm{i}\omega_{f}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{M}\right)\boldsymbol{q}_{1}^{E}=0,\tag{B6}$$

with $u = u_c$ on some given forcing boundary Γ_c for (B 6). Equation (B 6) defines q_E as the linear response of the flow to the forcing f_E . Notice that, in order to invert (B 6), the value $i\omega_f$ cannot belong to the eigenvalue spectrum at Re_c .

B.1.2. Order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}^2$

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}_2 + \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_2 + \boldsymbol{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla p_2 - Re_c^{-1} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}_2 = -\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}_0 - \boldsymbol{u}_1 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_1.$$
(B7)

Considering equation (B4), the above system becomes:

$$(\partial_{t} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M}) \mathbf{q}_{2} = \mathbf{F}_{2}^{1} + |A_{VS}|^{2} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{|A_{VS}|^{2}} + [A_{VS}^{2}(\mathrm{e}^{2i\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{2i\theta})\mathrm{e}^{2i\omega_{c}t} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{A_{VS}} + \mathrm{c.c.}] + [A_{VS} E(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta})\mathrm{e}^{i(\omega+\omega_{f})t} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{A_{VS}E} + A_{VS} E^{*}(\mathrm{e}^{i\theta} + \mathrm{e}^{-i\theta})\mathrm{e}^{i(\omega-\omega_{f})t} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{A_{VS}E^{*}}] + (E^{2}\mathrm{e}^{2i\omega_{f}t} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{E^{2}} + \mathrm{c.c.}) + |E|^{2} \mathbf{F}_{2}^{|E|^{2}},$$
(B8)

where

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{1} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\nabla^{2}\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right),\tag{B9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{|A|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A} - \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*A}\right), \qquad (B\ 10)$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{A^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}\right), \qquad (B\ 11)$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{|E|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{*E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E} - \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{*E}\right), \qquad (B \ 12)$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{AE} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{A} - \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E}\right), \qquad (B\,13)$$

$$F_2^{AE^*} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_2^{*E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_2^A - \boldsymbol{u}_2^A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_2^{*E}\right), \qquad (B \, 14)$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{2}^{E^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E}\right). \tag{B15}$$

The terms on the right-hand side of (B 8) are forcing terms. The velocity fields involved in these expressions have been determined at lower order. Since (B 8)

is linear, we may write the solution as the superposition of q_2^1 , $q_2^{|A|^2}$ and $q_2^{A^2}$ corresponding to the response of the linear system to F_2^1 , $F_2^{|A|^2}$ and $F_2^{A^2}$, respectively, i.e.

$$q_{2} = q_{2}^{1} + |A_{VS}|^{2} q_{2}^{|A|^{2}} + [A_{VS}^{2}(e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta})e^{2i\omega_{c}t}q_{2}^{A^{2}} + c.c.] + [A_{VS}E(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})e^{i(\omega+\omega_{f})t}q_{2}^{AE} + A_{VS}E^{*}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})e^{i(\omega-\omega_{f})t}q_{2}^{AE^{*}}] + (E^{2}e^{2i\omega_{f}t}q_{2}^{E^{2}} + c.c.) + |E|^{2}q_{2}^{|E|^{2}},$$
(B 16)

where

$$\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}_{2}^{1} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\nabla^{2}\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right), \qquad (B\ 17)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}_{2}^{|A|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*A}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*A}\right), \qquad (B\ 18)$$

$$(2i\omega_c \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M})\boldsymbol{q}_2^{A^2} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_1^A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_1^A\right), \qquad (B\,19)$$

$$\mathcal{M}\boldsymbol{q}_{2}^{|E|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{*E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{*E}\right), \qquad (B\ 20)$$

$$(\mathbf{i}(\omega_c + \omega_f)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M})\boldsymbol{q}_2^{AE} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_2^E \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_2^A - \boldsymbol{u}_2^A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_2^E\right), \qquad (B\,21)$$

$$(\mathbf{i}(\omega_c - \omega_f)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M})\boldsymbol{q}_2^{AE^*} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_2^{*E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_2^A - \boldsymbol{u}_2^A \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_2^{*E}\right), \qquad (B 22)$$

$$(2i\omega_f \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M})\boldsymbol{q}_2^{E^2} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_2^E \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_2^E\right).$$
(B 23)

These are non-degenerate systems that may be readily inverted when the values 0, $i(\omega_c + \omega_f)$, $i(\omega_c + \omega_f)$ and $2i\omega_f$ do not belong to the eigenvalue spectrum. These conditions, together with the one obtained from (B 6), define the resonant cases.

B.1.3. Order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}^3$

The third-order equation is:

$$\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}_3 + \boldsymbol{u}_0 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_3 + \boldsymbol{u}_3 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_0 + \nabla p_3 - Re_c^{-1} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}_3 = -\partial_{t_1} \boldsymbol{u}_1 - \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{u}_1 - \boldsymbol{u}_1 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_2 - \boldsymbol{u}_2 \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_1.$$
(B 24)

Using (B4) and (B16), the forcing terms of the right-hand side can be written as

$$\left(\partial_{t}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathcal{M}\right)\boldsymbol{q}_{3} = \left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\theta}+\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega_{c}t}\left(\boldsymbol{G}\partial_{t_{1}}A_{VS}+A\boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{A}+A_{VS}|A_{VS}|^{2}\boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{A|A|^{2}} +A_{VS}|E|^{2}\boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{A|E|^{2}}+\mathrm{c.c.}\right)+\cdots, \qquad (B\ 25)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{G} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}\right), \tag{B26}$$

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{A} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{1} - \boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{2} \boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}\right), \qquad (B 27)$$

$$F_{3}^{A|A|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-u_{1}^{A} \cdot \nabla u_{2}^{|A|^{2}} - u_{2}^{|A|^{2}} \cdot \nabla u_{1}^{A} - u_{1}^{*A} \cdot \nabla u_{2}^{A^{2}} - u_{2}^{A^{2}} \cdot \nabla u_{1}^{*A}\right), \quad (B 28)$$

$$F_{3}^{A|E|^{2}} = \mathcal{P}\left(-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{EE^{*}}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{EE^{*}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{A}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{AE}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*E}-\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{*E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{AE} -\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{E}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{AE^{*}}-\boldsymbol{u}_{2}^{AE^{*}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u}_{1}^{E}\right).$$
(B 29)

Elimination of the secular terms yields the following equation governing the amplitude $A'_{VS} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}A_{VS}$ of the global mode:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A_{VS}}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - f(\omega_f)E^2)A_{VS} - \lambda A_{VS}|A_{VS}|^2. \tag{B 30}$$

B.2. Resonant case $\omega_f \approx 2\omega_c$

The scaling is $\omega_f = 2\omega_c + \Omega'$, $E' = \sqrt{\varepsilon}^2 E$, $\Omega' = \varepsilon \Omega$. At order $\sqrt{\varepsilon}^2$ the solution is sought in the form

$$q = q_{0} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} [A_{VS}(e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta})e^{i\omega t}q_{1}^{A} + c.c.] + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^{2} [\delta q_{2}^{1} + |A_{VS}|^{2}q_{2}^{|A|^{2}} + (A_{VS}^{2}(e^{2i\theta} + e^{-2i\theta})e^{2i\omega_{c}t}q_{2}^{A^{2}} + c.c.)] + \sqrt{\varepsilon}^{2} [Ee^{2i\omega_{f}t}q_{2}^{E} + c.c.],$$
(B 31)

where

$$(2i\omega_c \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathcal{M}) \boldsymbol{q}_1^E = \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{f}_E).$$
(B 32)

The amplitude equation reads

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}A_{VS}}{\mathrm{d}t} = (\alpha - \mathrm{i}\Omega/2)A_{VS} - \lambda A_{VS}|A_{VS}|^2 + \mu EA_{VS}^*. \tag{B33}$$

REFERENCES

- BARROS, D., BORÉE, J., NOACK, B. & SPOHN, A. 2016 Resonances in the forced turbulent wake past a 3d blunt body. *Phys. Fluids* 28 (6), 065104.
- BIGGER, R. P., HIGUCHI, H. & HALL, J. W. 2009 Open-loop control of disk wakes. AIAA J. 47 (5), 1186–1194.
- BOHORQUEZ, P., SANMIGUEL-ROJAS, E., SEVILLA, A., JIMÉNEZ-GONZÁLEZ, J. I. & MARTÍNEZ-BAZÁN, C. 2011 Stability and dynamics of the laminar wake past a slender blunt-based axisymmetric body. J. Fluid Mech. 676, 110–144.
- BRACKSTON, R. D., GARCIA DE LA CRUZ, J. M., WYNN, A., RIGAS, G. & MORRISON, J. F. 2016 Stochastic modelling and feedback control of bistability in a turbulent bluff body wake. J. Fluid Mech. 802, 726–749.
- BURY, Y. & JARDIN, T. 2012 Transitions to chaos in the wake of an axisymmetric bluff body. *Phys. Lett.* A **376** (45), 3219–3222.
- CHOMAZ, J.-M. 2005 Global instabilities in spatially developing flows: non-normality and nonlinearity. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 37, 357–392.
- CRAIK, A. D. D. 1988 Wave Interactions and Fluid Flows. Cambridge University Press.
- CRAWFORD, J. D. & KNOBLOCH, E. 1991 Symmetry and symmetry-breaking bifurcations in fluid dynamics. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 23 (1), 341–387.
- FABRE, D., AUGUSTE, F. & MAGNAUDET, J. 2008 Bifurcations and symmetry breaking in the wake of axisymmetric bodies. *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 051702.
- GENTILE, V., SCHRIJER, F. F. J., VAN OUDHEUSDEN, B. W. & SCARANO, F. 2016 Low-frequency behavior of the turbulent axisymmetric near-wake. *Phys. Fluids* 28 (6), 065102.
- GRANDEMANGE, M., CADOT, O. & GOHLKE, M. 2012 Reflectional symmetry breaking of the separated flow over three-dimensional bluff bodies. *Phys. Rev.* E **86**, 035302.
- GRANDEMANGE, M., GOHLKE, M. & CADOT, O. 2013 Turbulent wake past a three-dimensional blunt body. Part 1. Global modes and bi-stability. J. Fluid Mech. 722, 51–84.
- GRANDEMANGE, M., GOHLKE, M. & CADOT, O. 2014 Statistical axisymmetry of the turbulent sphere wake. *Exp. Fluids* 55 (11), 1–11.
- HUERRE, P. & MONKEWITZ, P. A. 1990 Local and global instabilities in spatially developing flows. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22 (1), 473-537.
- KIM, H. J. & DURBIN, P. A. 1988 Observations of the frequencies in a sphere wake and of drag increase by acoustic excitation. *Phys. Fluids* **31** (11), 3260–3265.
- MELIGA, P., CHOMAZ, J. M. & SIPP, D. 2009 Global mode interaction and pattern selection in the wake of a disk: a weakly nonlinear expansion. *J. Fluid Mech.* 633, 159–189.

- MELIGA, P., PUJALS, G. & SERRE, E. 2012 Sensitivity of 2-D turbulent flow past a D-shaped cylinder using global stability. *Phys. Fluids* 24 (6), 061701.
- METTOT, C., SIPP, D. & BÉZARD, H. 2014 Quasi-laminar stability and sensitivity analyses for turbulent flows: prediction of low-frequency unsteadiness and passive control. *Phys. Fluids* 26 (4), 045112.
- ORCHINI, A., RIGAS, G. & JUNIPER, M. P. 2016 Weakly nonlinear analysis of thermoacoustic bifurcations in the rijke tube. J. Fluid Mech. 805, 523-550.
- OXLADE, A. R., MORRISON, J. F., QUBAIN, A. & RIGAS, G. 2015 High-frequency forcing of a turbulent axisymmetric wake. J. Fluid Mech. 770, 305–318.
- PASTOOR, M., HENNING, L., NOACK, B. R., KING, R. & TADMOR, G. 2008 Feedback shear layer control for bluff body drag reduction. J. Fluid Mech. 608, 161–196.
- PERSOONS, T. 2012 General reduced-order model to design and operate synthetic jet actuators. *AIAA J.* **50** (4), 916–927.
- PERSOONS, T. & O'DONOVAN, T. S. 2007 A pressure-based estimate of synthetic jet velocity. *Phys. Fluids* 19 (12), 128104.
- PROCACCIA, I. 1988 Universal properties of dynamically complex systems-the organization of chaos. *Nature* 333, 618–623.
- PROVANSAL, M., MATHIS, C. & BOYER, L. 1987 Bénard-von kármán instability: transient and forced regimes. J. Fluid Mech. 182, 1–22.
- REYNOLDS, W. C. & HUSSAIN, A. K. M. F. 1972 The mechanics of an organized wave in turbulent shear flow. Part 3. Theoretical models and comparisons with experiments. *J. Fluid Mech.* 54, 263–288.
- RIGAS, G., ESCLAPEZ, L. & MAGRI, L. 2016 Symmetry breaking in 3D wakes. In *Proceedings of* the Summer Program, Center for Turbulence Research, Stanford University.
- RIGAS, G., MORGANS, A. S., BRACKSTON, R. D. & MORRISON, J. F. 2015 Diffusive dynamics and stochastic models of turbulent axisymmetric wakes. J. Fluid Mech. 778, R2.
- RIGAS, G., OXLADE, A. R., MORGANS, A. S. & MORRISON, J. F. 2014 Low-dimensional dynamics of a turbulent axisymmetric wake. J. Fluid Mech. 755, R5.
- SCHUMM, M., BERGER, E. & MONKEWITZ, P. A. 1994 Self-excited oscillations in the wake of two-dimensional bluff bodies and their control. J. Fluid Mech. 271, 17–53.
- SIPP, D. 2012 Open-loop control of cavity oscillations with harmonic forcings. J. Fluid Mech. 708, 439-468.
- SIPP, D. & LEBEDEV, A. 2007 Global stability of base and mean flows: a general approach and its applications to cylinder and open cavity flows. *J. Fluid Mech.* **593**, 333–358.
- TAMMISOLA, O. & JUNIPER, M. P. 2016 Coherent structures in a swirl injector at *Re* = 4800 by nonlinear simulations and linear global modes. *J. Fluid Mech.* **792**, 620–657.
- VOLPE, R., DEVINANT, P. & KOURTA, A. 2015 Experimental characterization of the unsteady natural wake of the full-scale square back Ahmed body: flow bi-stability and spectral analysis. *Exp. Fluids* 56 (5), 1–22.