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At the outset of the research programme into irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) it was perceived that there was a need to develop a symptom-based classification for the patients. Four groups of patients were identified, those with spastic colon syndrome, diarrhoea-predominant spastic colon syndrome, functional diarrhoea and midgut dysmotility. While working with outpatients with IBS it was noted how some of them had suffered symptoms for many years; specifically, a group of patients satisfying the criteria for midgut dysmotility had also suffered from particularly severe and intractable intestinal symptoms. These patients underwent 24 h ambulatory studies of small intestinal motility and the majority were found to have manometric features of chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction (CIIP). To characterise the cause, laparoscopic full-thickness small intestine and colonic biopsies have been obtained in forty-five of the latter group of patients. Of these patients 58% have been found to have complete or partial deficiency of α-actin epitope staining in the inner circular layer of small intestinal smooth muscle. This deficiency is believed to represent an important biomarker rather than the cause of CIIP, since α-actin epitope deficiency has been observed in association with enteric neuropathy and myopathies. In relation to the management of CIIP patients, a multidisciplinary model is proposed incorporating management of co-morbid psychological and psychiatric pathology, abdominal and musculoskeletal pain, fatigue, urological symptoms and nutrition. A six-stage nutritional management plan for these patients is presented.
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It was a privilege to deliver the second Pennington Lecture at the 12th Annual Meeting of the British Association for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (BAPEN). The author’s tenure as BAPEN Chairman ended in 1999, and it was clear, after 5 years in the Chair, that what was needed to carry BAPEN forward was someone who had a broad experience of both academic and clinical aspects of Clinical Nutrition and a person who at the same time was used to a multidisciplinary approach to the subject and would command the respect of all the parties involved. Chris Pennington, of course, was the overwhelmingly obvious choice and everyone would agree that Chris’ greatest contribution to BAPEN was as its Chairman. Following his untimely death in May 2002, the officers and Council of BAPEN wrote ‘BAPEN as well as the field of clinical nutrition has lost a leader with vision, a colleague with compassion, a mentor with time and a friend who cared’. The ensuing article is dedicated to him, and he would have wished his lecturers to look into the future, not dwell in the past, and present new rather than old research data. It is hoped that the subject matter presented satisfies this brief.

The ‘system’ in many academic medical units is that clinical and academic research is blended with clinical responsibilities and this situation has certainly, over the
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years, existed in the Unit at Central Middlesex Hospital. Indeed, at a time when complex intubation techniques were being developed to study small intestinal transit and motility during ileal infusion (Spiller et al. 1984, 1988), and to simultaneously monitor small intestinal motility and quantify colonic inflow volumes (Raimundo et al. 1988, 1990), as well as undertaking regional colonic perfusion studies (Bowing et al. 1993), all the clinicians involved in the research were also working in busy gastroenterology outpatient clinics in which more than half the patients seen had a functional gastrointestinal disorder, the commonest of which was the irritable bowel syndrome (IBS; Harvey et al. 1983; Weber & McCullum, 1992).

It seemed that gastrointestinal physiologists were being asked to see a largely heterogeneous group of patients, different groups of patients having different symptoms referable to different sites of the mid- and hindgut, and that as a generalisation a single mode of therapy (e.g. colofac (Solvay Healthcare Ltd, Southampton, Hants., UK) plus fibre supplements) was highly unlikely to represent an across-the-board treatment approach.

More than 10 years ago, therefore, categorisation of patients (most of whom were assumed to have IBS) into different groups or variants depending on common symptom complexes was begun (Silk, 1995a,b). Although the symptom-based classification that is being used is based on similar set of premises to those that subsequently formed the rationale for the Rome II classification system (Drossman, 1999), it is not in complete agreement with that of Rome II (Cole et al. 2002; Silk, 2003).

Specifically, the author’s group and others (Camilleri & Choi, 1997) have had concerns about the particularly restrictive nature of the Manning Criteria (Manning et al. 1978) to define IBS, as neither the functional diarrhoea defined by Heaton (1984) nor the pain–gas–bloat symtomatic subgroup described by Camilleri and colleagues (Camilleri & Prather, 1992; Camilleri & Choi, 1997) would be identified using the Rome II criteria (Thompson et al. 1999). Accordingly, a system has been developed of categorising patients with IBS into four groups according to clinical features. These groups are: spastic colon syndrome; functional diarrhoea; midgut dysmotility; diarrhoea-predominant spastic colon syndrome (Cole et al. 2002).

**Spastic colon syndrome**

The chosen criteria are based on those of Manning et al. (1978) and the clinical diagnosis depends on four or more of the following symptoms coexisting: (a) visible abdominal distension; (b) pain relieved by bowel action; (c) more frequent stools with the onset of pain; (d) looser stools with the onset of pain; (e) rectal passage of mucus; (f) sensation of incomplete evacuation.

**Functional diarrhoea**

The chosen criteria are passage based on symptoms described by Heaton (1984), i.e. stools in rapid succession in the morning, then either normal or no further defaecation, plus two or more of: (a) first stool formed, the later ones loose; (b) urgency of defaecation; (c) anxiety about bowel function (e.g. travelling); (d) exhaustion after the ‘morning rush’.

**Midgut dysmotility**

Recent experimental evidence, as well as clinical experience, has suggested that there is a group of patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders in whom symptoms arise as a consequence of abnormal small intestinal function. From the clinical standpoint these patients may present with diffuse abdominal pain, often postprandially, bloating not restricted to the upper abdomen, postprandial fullness, ‘trapped wind’, nausea and anorexia. These symptoms occur in the absence of any alteration of bowel habit and are not relieved by bowel evacuation. Experimental evidence favouring the inclusion of patients with these symptoms is that patients with IBS have been shown to have abnormal small intestinal motility (Schmidt et al. 1996; Small et al. 1997) that may be associated with the symptoms (Kumar & Wingate, 1985; Kellow & Phillips, 1987). Pain in IBS can be reproduced by inflating balloons in the small intestine (Moriarty & Dawson, 1982), and patients with IBS have been shown to have selective dysfunction of mechano-sensitive small intestinal afferents (Accarino et al. 1995). Impaired ileo-caecal valve function in patients with IBS presenting with right-sided abdominal pain and bloating has also been demonstrated (Trotman & Price, 1986).

The chosen criteria for inclusion into this midgut dysmotility group are: diffuse abdominal discomfort or pain not relieved by bowel action, not associated with the passage of more frequent or looser stools and not accompanied by the passage of mucus per rectum or by a sense of incomplete evacuation, and two of the following: (a) diffuse abdominal bloating; (b) postprandial fullness or early satiety; (c) nausea; (d) anorexia.

Patients categorised to the midgut dysmotility group according to these criteria are likely to present a similar clinical picture to the ‘bloated IBS’ described by Trotman & Price (1986) and those with pain–gas–bloat described by Camilleri and colleagues (Camilleri & Prather, 1992; Camilleri & Choi, 1997).

**Diarrhoea-predominant spastic colon syndrome**

During the course of early phases of the work, it became apparent that there existed a further group of patients who had some of the clinical features of both spastic colon syndrome and functional diarrhoea but did not satisfy the inclusion criteria for either group. These patients have been grouped together to form a diarrhoea-predominant spastic colon group (Cole et al. 2002), the predominant symptoms being abdominal pain relieved by defaecation ‘rushes’ of diarrhoea and incomplete evacuation. This group of patients is similar to the diarrhoea-predominant IBS group described in the Rome II criteria (Thompson et al. 1999).

Studies have been undertaken to characterise fasting and postprandial distal colonic motility in those patients...
(Cole et al. 2002). Marked reductions in distal colonic motility compared with controls have been noted in the two groups of patients with diarrhoea (functional diarrhoea and diarrhoea-predominant spastic colon syndrome). In contrast, patients with spastic colon syndrome have more vigorous motility than controls. Importantly, the patients with midgut dysmotility have normal distal colonic motility, emphasising how the dysmotility in this group of patients with IBS is likely to reside in the midgut (Cole et al. 2002).

Severe midgut dysmotility

While working with patients with IBS there was recognition that some patients have suffered symptoms for many years. Two large surveys to look into this situation further have been conducted via the IBS Bulletin (Silk, 1998, 2001), which is a quarterly publication provided as a service to patients. At the time of the surveys there were approximately 4000 subscribers. The mean duration of symptoms in the surveyed patients with IBS was found to be ≤16 years (Silk, 2001).

Focusing further on the chronicity problem, there seemed to be a subgroup of patients satisfying the criteria for inclusion into the midgut dysmotility variant of IBS who not only had very-long-standing symptoms, but whose abdominal pain was very severe, intractable, postprandial and, importantly, nocturnal. Some patients seemed to have normal bowel function, whereas others seemed to suffer from particularly severe slow-transit constipation (see Table 1). In order to characterise the small-bowel motility patterns that might be giving rise to symptoms in these patients, 24 h ambulatory studies of small intestinal motility have been undertaken. Standard manometric techniques (Stanghellini et al. 1987; Raimundo et al. 1996; Camilleri et al. 1998) were employed. A pattern of abnormal small intestinal motility characterised by aberrant configuration of the phase III of the migrating motor complex (MMC), abnormal propagation of the MMC (Fig. 1) or absence of the phase III of the MMC emerged. In addition, abnormal bursts of non-propagated activity (Fig. 2), discreet cluster contractions and sustained uncoordinated phasic activity were also identified. Small intestinal manometry has now been undertaken in over fifty patients with severe midgut dysmotility, and the abnormalities seen in the patients all conform to this description. What is striking about the findings is that they are the characteristic manometric abnormalities described in patients with chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction (CIIP; Stanghellini et al. 1987; findings, in turn, that are generally considered predictive of enteric neuropathy as the dominant lesion (Stanghellini et al. 1987; Quigley et al. 1997; Camilleri et al. 1998; Wingate et al. 2002; Scott et al. 2003).

With the aim of determining the cause of the apparent CIIP, laparoscopic full-thickness biopsies of the jejunum and colon have been obtained in a group of these patients using an end gastrointestinal anastomotic stapling device (mean operating time 13 min, Knowles et al. 2004). To date, biopsies have been obtained in forty-five of these patients (Table 2). The details of the histopathological techniques used to stain biopsy sections have been described (Knowles et al. 2004). The most striking finding is the absence in fourteen (31.1%) of the patients of jejunal smooth-muscle α-actin immunostaining in the circular

Table 1. Patients with severe midgut dysmotility variant of irritable bowel syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Long-standing symptoms</th>
<th>Severe pain</th>
<th>Severe to intractable pain</th>
<th>Nocturnal pain</th>
<th>With or without severe constipation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All standard investigations, including Ba follow-through, normal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is incomplete (partial) loss of staining in the circular muscle layer. The interest in the deficiency of the jejunal circular muscle α-actin as a possible biochemical cause of CIIP stems originally from a single case report of its deficiency in a 48-year-old female with clinical radiological and physiological features of CIIP (Smith et al. 1992).

Initially, it seemed reasonable to believe that the biochemical abnormalities in smooth-muscle α-actin might be responsible for causing the abnormalities of motility and symptoms in those patients with CIIP who had the disorder. However, disturbances of manometry observed in the patients with CIIP (predominantly of the phase III MMC with or without abnormal additional non-propulsive activities) are thought to be indicative of a motor programming abnormality, i.e. caused by neural dysfunction rather than an intrinsic myopathic disturbance in which a pattern of generalised hypomotility is often observed (Camilleri et al. 1998). The subsequent discovery of coexisting degenerative or inflammatory neuropathic abnormalities in the series in four patients with α-actin deficiency has prompted a reconsideration of the functional importance of the finding of special alterations in smooth-muscle α-actin staining in the context of its pathophysiological role. The subsequent description in the literature of two further patients with a deficiency of staining of smooth-muscle α-actin who had coexisting enteric myopathy (Moore et al. 2002) and an inflammatory myositis (Ruuksa et al. 2002) provides added support that the alteration in staining in jejunal circular muscle in CIIP patients may not in itself be causative or specific to the disorder. Conversely, it is equally clear that the abnormality represents a useful biomarker of CIIP, especially in the absence of any other structural abnormality (Knowles et al. 2004). Whether or not these patients with CIIP who have α-actin epitope deficiency have a common underlying disorder such as a channelopathy remains to be seen.

Management

Management of the patient with CIIP necessarily has to be considered on an individual basis and there are often multi-system components to the diagnosis (pain, psychiatric, fatigue, musculoskeletal, urological and nutritional) and a multidisciplinary approach to management may therefore be required.

Table 2. Histological findings in chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction (n 53)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not biopsed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal biopsies</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Actin epitope deficiency:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Enteric neuropathy</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal α-actin staining:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteric vasculitis</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteric myositis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonic myopathy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misplaced myenteric plexus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost all the patients with CIIP discussed here were referred for assessment and management of their functional gastrointestinal disorders (most commonly IBS). Many patients had sought advice from or been referred to psychiatrists before diagnosis. In view of the chronicity and severity of their symptoms it is not surprising that a variety of diagnoses had been offered before diagnosis, including anxiety, depression, somatisation, abnormal illness attitude, poor social attitude and ineffective coping attitudes. Based on current knowledge of how biopsychosocial factors interact and modulate experiences of pain in general (Holdcroft & Power, 2003) and other symptoms of functional gastrointestinal disorders (Drossman, 1999), the co-morbid psychopathological components are very likely to affect the clinical picture of CIIP. The skills of liaison psychiatrists and clinical psychologists with an interest in functional gastrointestinal disorders have been invaluable in the management of these patients. Some of the patients with severe co-morbid psychopathology have required and done well with electro-convulsive therapy. Tricyclic antidepressants should be used with caution in these patients, as they have been shown to prolong both oro-caecal and whole-gut transit times (Gorard et al. 1994), at least in part by reducing the velocity of the phase III of the MMC (Gorard et al. 1995). The resultant constipation can be troublesome, particularly if pre-existing. Clinical experience has indicated that selective serotonin noradrenaline re-uptake inhibitors may also cause constipation, and the use of selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (particularly paroxetine) seems to be more beneficial to these patients. It is interesting that paroxetine has been shown to have a prokinetic effect on small bowel motility, shortening oro-caecal transit time and increasing the velocity of the phase III of the MMC (Gorard et al. 1994, 1995).

Psychiatric disorders

Abdominal pain has been one of the major presenting symptoms in the majority of the CIIP patients. As the manometric features of the patients are more in keeping with an enteric neuropathy than myopathy the experiences are similar to those of Mann et al. (1997), all of whose adult patients with enteric neuropathy had pain as a ‘main’ symptom.

The pain in the patients with CIIP is likely to arise either on account of the abnormal and exaggerated motility or as a consequence of visceral hyperalgesia, or a combination of the two mechanisms, the magnitude being influenced by coexisting brain–gut axis dysrhythmia (Drossman, 1999; Castle et al. 2004). Attempts to normalise the small intestinal dysmotility, thereby improving the pain in patients with CIIP, have met with little success, and in agreement with other findings the use of erythromycin, maxolone, domperidone, cisapride and octreotide have been largely ineffective (Dyer et al. 1969; Lipton & Knauer, 1977; Camilleri et al. 1986, 1989; Verne et al. 1995; Mann et al. 1997). The exception relates to patients with CIPP with associated mixed connective tissue disorders and thyroid...
disease. In these patients the treatment of the underlying disease has resulted in a marked improvement of the pharmacologically-responsive intestinal pain resulting from CIIP, which has previously been described in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (Perlemuter et al. 1998). One of the patients, who is experiencing severe intestinal pain as a result of CIIP, has had a temporary remission when receiving chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukaemia. Whether the intestinal dysmotility in the patients can be reversed by the use of either a serotonin type 4 receptor agonist or intestinal pacing remains to be determined.

Experience indicates that the management of intestinal or visceral pain in patients with CIIP is very difficult. It is preferred, of course, that patients should not be on opiates at the time of referral, because the majority of those on opiates are addicted. The key to success in these patients is the use of non-opioid analgesia with full psychological and psychiatric support whenever needed. Although non-opioid analgesia is not renowned as a means of controlling visceral pain, it can be successfully used in patients with CIIP. In those patients treated this way it has certainly been the case, with only twelve patients having to resort to the use of opioid analgesia, of whom six patients have been addicted at one stage at least. Apart from the usual sequelae of opioid addiction, the onset of severe extra-intestinal infections has been noted in three of the patients with CIIP addicted to opioids (infective arthritis of the knee, infective sacroileitis bacteraemia and multiorgan failure). As pointed out (Richards et al. 1997) opiate dependence predicts a poor outcome for patients receiving home parenteral nutrition, so this form of nutritional support has not been encouraged in the patients with CIIP who are opioid dependent.

Fatigue

Of a series of 100 consecutive patients with IBS 60% have reported ‘constant tiredness’ (Whorwell et al. 1986b). A substantial number of the current series of patients with CIIP have also complained of fatigue and tiredness. At present, this aspect of the symptomatology has not been properly characterised and it is planned to determine whether the tiredness and fatigue suffered by the patients satisfies the 1994 Centers for Disease Control definition of idiopathic chronic fatigue, as originally proposed by the International Chronic Fatigue Syndrome Study Groups (Fukuda et al. 1994).

Musculoskeletal pain

Medically-unexplained chronic widespread musculoskeletal pain has a community prevalence of 11–13% in the UK (Croft et al. 1993; Macfarlane et al. 1999). Fibromyalgia, the severe end of the spectrum, comprises musculoskeletal pain in association with multiple tender points (Wolfe et al. 1990). A number of the patients with CIIP have been formally diagnosed as having fibromyalgia, and indeed in two patients the symptoms arising are their most clinically important. It is planned to formally assess the whole series of patients in the near future.

Urological symptoms

Previous studies have shown that patients with IBS normally have a higher incidence of urinary symptoms than matched controls (Whorwell et al. 1986b), but on formal urodynamic studies the incidence of bladder dysfunction is 50% (Whorwell et al. 1986a), with detrusor muscle instability being the commonest cause (Whorwell et al. 1986a). The Unit patients with CIIP also commonly have urinary symptoms, and indeed a number of them have ‘neurogenic’ bladders and have to self catheterise. A number of the patients on formal testing have a complete failure of detrusor muscle function, suggesting the possibility that in these patients there is a common pathology affecting the smooth muscle of the small intestine and bladder. Further work is needed, and indeed is in hand, to fully characterise the urological pathophysiology in these patients.

Nutritional management

The nutritional status of the patients with CIIP is variable, ranging from overnutrition in two patients to undernutrition. Although the nutritional status of the patients has not yet been formally assessed, the majority of them seem to be able to maintain normal or near-normal nutritional status; it is those who became malnourished that present the greatest challenge. Malnutrition arises in this group of patients because their abdominal symptoms, (pain, fullness, distension, bloating, perception of build up of wind) are exacerbated or triggered by eating and, not unnaturally, this situation leads in some patients to a chronic reduction in nutritional intake. Moreover, many of the patients have perceived food intolerances with what they consider to be food-provoking symptoms. It is suggested that there may be an important link between psychological factors and the development of these food-related symptoms, and that in some patients the food-related symptoms develop as a consequence of disturbed intestinal motility that is mediated by a psychologically-induced corticotrophin-releasing hormone stress response (Fukudo et al. 1998). However, the majority of the patients with CIIP have abnormal postprandial motility that may be triggered not by specific food items but by eating. A consistent observation made by the patients themselves is that they are able to better tolerate food by eating small frequent meals. This situation suggests that if lumen nutrient concentrations or loads (concentration × rate) are kept below a defined threshold the abnormal postprandial motility may not be stimulated or triggered. Further studies are required to confirm this relationship. However, it has been observed that if the first stage of nutritional management (normal eating pattern; Table 3) cannot be managed then patients will progress to stages 2 and 3, consuming frequent small meals with or without oral nutritional supplements under dietetic supervision. If nutritional status cannot be maintained in this way some form of artificial nutrition support then becomes a necessity.

Clinical experience has indicated that enteral feeding by percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube is rarely tolerated by the patients with CIIP who reach this stage.
of nutritional management. In the Unit the potential of enteral feeding by percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube as a means of artificial nutritional support is tested by instituting naso-gastric tube feeding (stage 4; Table 3). If this method of feeding is poorly tolerated, enteral feeding by percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube is not considered as an option. As the small intestinal motility responses to naso-gastric and naso-duodenal tube feeding differ, with abnormal motility patterns being noted during naso-gastric tube feeding (Raimundo et al. 1988, 1990), the efficacy of post-pyloric duodenal or jejunal (post ligament of trietz) feeding administered via nasoenteral feeding tubes has been investigated in some of the patients (stage 5; Table 3). Some patients have been able to tolerate this route of feeding, and in these patients needle-catheter jejunal feeding tubes have then been sited. A few of the patients have been successfully fed for reasonably long periods of time (26 years) via this route. To date, only one of the series of patients is failing to maintain nutritional status on needle-catheter jejunal feeding, and has been considered for home parenteral nutrition. Unfortunately, the patient has been opiate dependent, which means that the outlook for home parenteral nutrition is bad (Richards et al. 1997). The patient is, therefore, currently commencing a further trial of needle-catheter jejunal feeding tube feeding. A number of the patients with CIIP have experienced a good deal of abdominal pain in association with their needle-catheter jejunal feeding tubes, and it is recognised, but not understood, that it may be possible to overcome unacceptable tube-related pain by re-siting it.

**Patient-focused multidisciplinary management**

It follows from the earlier discussion that the challenges to the management of the patients with CIIP can only be met by a multidisciplinary approach, and a model has been proposed (Fig. 3). All aspects of management are interlinked and no single area of management is exclusive of the others. Control of abdominal pain, for example, is linked to the management of nutrition status as well as management of musculoskeletal and fibromyalgic symptoms, urological symptoms, tiredness and fatigue, with a particularly important interconnecting area of management being that of the underlying psychological and psychiatric symptoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Normal eating pattern</th>
<th>Frequent small meals</th>
<th>Frequent small meals + oral nutritional supplements</th>
<th>Naso-gastric enteral tube feeding</th>
<th>PEG tube enteral feeding</th>
<th>Naso-jejunal enteral tube feeding</th>
<th>Needle catheter jejunostomy feeding</th>
<th>Total parenteral nutrition</th>
<th>Home parenteral nutrition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Table 3. Stages of nutritional management of patients with chronic idiopathic intestinal pseudo-obstruction**

It is clear that the present and future management of the patients with CIIP will necessarily place an unreasonable financial burden on current resources. Unfortunately, CIIP is a poorly understood condition and a recent multidisciplinary application to the Department of Health for funding from the National Specialised Commissioning Advisory Group has been rejected and a re-submission discouraged. However, it is believed that when the enormity of the condition is fully realised by funding agencies, the patients will be able to receive the properly coordinated multidisciplinary care that they deserve.
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